

Cofinitely eg-Supplemented Modules

ISSN: 2651-544X
http://dergipark.gov.tr/cpost

Celil Nebiyev¹ Hasan Hüseyin Ökten^{2,*}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Ondokuz Mayıs University, 55270, Kurupelit, Atakum, Samsun, Turkey, ORCID:0000-0002-7992-7225

² Technical Sciences Vocational School, Amasya University, Amasya, Turkey, ORCID:0000-0002-7886-0815

* Corresponding Author E-mail: hokten@gmail.com

Abstract: Let M be an R -module. If every cofinite essential submodule of M has a g -supplement in M , then M is called a cofinitely essential g -supplemented (or briefly cofinitely eg -supplemented) module. In this work, some properties of these modules are investigated.

Keywords: Cofinite Submodules, Essential Submodules, g -Small Submodules, g -Supplemented Modules.

1 INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unital left modules.

Let R be a ring and M be an R -module. We denote a submodule N of M by $N \leq M$. Let M be an R -module and $N \leq M$. If there exists a submodule L of M such that $M = N + L$ and $N \cap L = 0$, then N is called a *direct summand* of M and denoted by $M = N \oplus L$. A submodule U of an R -module M is called a *cofinite submodule* of M if M/U is finitely generated. Let M be an R -module and $N \leq M$. If $L = M$ for every submodule L of M such that $M = N + L$, then N is called a *small* (or *superfluous*) submodule of M and denoted by $N \ll M$. A module M is said to be *hollow* if every proper submodule of M is small in M . M is said to be *local* if M has a proper submodule which contains all proper submodules. A submodule N of an R -module M is called an *essential* submodule, denoted by $N \trianglelefteq M$, in case $K \cap N \neq 0$ for every submodule $K \neq 0$, or equivalently, $N \cap L = 0$ for $L \leq M$ implies that $L = 0$. Let M be an R -module and K be a submodule of M . K is called a *generalized small* (briefly, *g -small*) submodule of M if for every essential submodule T of M with the property $M = K + T$ implies that $T = M$, we denote this by $K \ll_g M$. A module M is said to be *generalized hollow* (briefly, *g -hollow*) if every proper submodule of M is g -small in M . Let M be an R -module and $U, V \leq M$. If $M = U + V$ and V is minimal with respect to this property, or equivalently, $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll V$, then V is called a *supplement* of U in M . M is said to be *supplemented* if every submodule of M has a supplement in M . M is said to be *cofinitely supplemented* if every cofinite submodule of M has a supplement in M . M is said to be *essential supplemented* (briefly, *e -supplemented*) if every essential submodule of M has a supplement in M . M is said to be *cofinitely essential supplemented* (briefly, *cofinitely e -supplemented*) if every cofinite essential submodule of M has a supplement in M . Let M be an R -module and $U, V \leq M$. If $M = U + V$ and $M = U + T$ with $T \trianglelefteq V$ implies that $T = V$, or equivalently, $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll_g V$, then V is called a *g -supplement* of U in M . M is said to be *g -supplemented* if every submodule of M has a g -supplement in M . M is said to be *essential g -supplemented* if every essential submodule of M has a g -supplement in M . M is said to be *cofinitely g -supplemented* if every cofinite submodule of M has a g -supplement in M . The intersection of maximal submodules of an R -module M is called the *radical* of M and denoted by $RadM$. If M have no maximal submodules, then we denote $RadM = M$. The intersection of essential maximal submodules of an R -module M is called a *generalized radical* (briefly, *g -radical*) of M and denoted by $Rad_g M$. If M have no essential maximal submodules, then we denote $Rad_g M = M$.

More details about supplemented modules are in [2]-[10]. More informations about cofinitely supplemented modules are in [1]. More details about essential supplemented modules are in [9]. More details about cofinitely essential supplemented modules are in [4]. More informations about g -small submodules and g -supplemented modules are in [5]-[6]. The definition of cofinitely g -supplemented modules and more informations about these modules are in [3]. The definition of essential g -supplemented modules and some properties of them are in [7].

Lemma 1. Let M be an R -module.

- (1) If $K \leq L \leq M$, then $K \trianglelefteq M$ if and only if $K \trianglelefteq L \trianglelefteq M$.
- (2) Let N be an R -module and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. If $K \trianglelefteq N$, then $f^{-1}(K) \trianglelefteq M$.
- (3) For $N \leq K \leq M$, if $K/N \trianglelefteq M/N$, then $K \trianglelefteq M$.
- (4) If $K_1 \trianglelefteq L_1 \leq M$ and $K_2 \trianglelefteq L_2 \leq M$, then $K_1 \cap K_2 \trianglelefteq L_1 \cap L_2$.
- (5) If $K_1 \trianglelefteq M$ and $K_2 \trianglelefteq M$, then $K_1 \cap K_2 \trianglelefteq M$.

Proof: See [10, 17.3]. □

Lemma 2. Let M be an R -module. The following assertions are hold.

- (1) If $K \leq L \leq M$, then $L \ll M$ if and only if $K \ll M$ and $L/K \ll M/K$.
- (2) Let N be an R -module and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. If $K \ll M$, then $f(K) \ll N$. The converse is true if f is an epimorphism and $Ke f \ll M$.

- (3) If $K \ll M$, then $\frac{K+L}{L} \ll \frac{M}{L}$ for every $L \leq M$.
- (4) If $L \leq M$ and $K \ll L$, then $K \ll M$.
- (5) If $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n \ll M$, then $K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_n \ll M$.
- (6) Let $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n, L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n \leq M$. If $K_i \ll L_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_n \ll L_1 + L_2 + \dots + L_n$.

Proof: See [2, 2.2] and [10, 19.3]. □

Lemma 3. Let M be an R -module. The following assertions are hold.

- (1) Every small submodule in M is g -small in M .
- (2) If $K \leq L \leq M$ and $L \ll_g M$, then $K \ll_g M$ and $L/K \ll_g M/K$.
- (3) Let N be an R -module and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. If $K \ll_g M$, then $f(K) \ll_g N$.
- (4) If $K \ll_g M$, then $\frac{K+L}{L} \ll_g \frac{M}{L}$ for every $L \leq M$.
- (5) If $L \leq M$ and $K \ll_g L$, then $K \ll_g M$.
- (6) If $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n \ll_g M$, then $K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_n \ll_g M$.
- (7) Let $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n, L_1, L_2, \dots, L_n \leq M$. If $K_i \ll_g L_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $K_1 + K_2 + \dots + K_n \ll_g L_1 + L_2 + \dots + L_n$.

Proof: See [5]-[6]-[8]. □

Lemma 4. Let M be an R -module. The following assertions are hold.

- (1) $\text{Rad}M \leq \text{Rad}_g M$.
- (2) $\text{Rad}_g M = \sum_{L \ll_g M} L$.
- (3) Let N be an R -module and $f : M \rightarrow N$ be an R -module homomorphism. Then $f(\text{Rad}_g M) \leq \text{Rad}_g N$.
- (4) For $K, L \leq M$, $\frac{\text{Rad}_g K+L}{L} \leq \text{Rad}_g \frac{K+L}{L}$. If $L \leq \text{Rad}_g K$, then $\text{Rad}_g K/L \leq \text{Rad}(K/L)$.
- (5) If $L \leq M$, then $\text{Rad}_g L \leq \text{Rad}_g M$.
- (6) For $K, L \leq M$, $\text{Rad}_g K + \text{Rad}_g L \leq \text{Rad}_g (K + L)$.
- (7) $Rx \ll_g M$ for every $x \in \text{Rad}_g M$.

Proof: See [5]-[6]-[8]. □

2 COFINITELY eg -SUPPLEMENTED MODULES

Lemma 5. Let V be a supplement of U in M . Then

- (1) If $W + V = M$ for some $W \leq U$, then V is a supplement of W in M .
- (2) If M is finitely generated, then V is also finitely generated.
- (3) If U is a maximal submodule of M , then V is cyclic and $U \cap V = \text{Rad}V$ is the unique maximal submodule of V .
- (4) If $K \ll M$, then V is a supplement of $U + K$ in M .
- (5) For $K \ll M$, $K \cap V \ll V$ and hence $\text{Rad}V = V \cap \text{Rad}M$.
- (6) Let $K \leq V$. Then $K \ll V$ if and only if $K \ll M$.
- (7) For $L \leq U$, $\frac{V+L}{L}$ is a supplement of U/L in M/L .

Proof: See [10, 41.1]. □

Lemma 6. Let V be a g -supplement of U in M . Then

- (1) If $W + V = M$ for some $W \leq U$, then V is a g -supplement of W in M .
- (2) If every nonzero submodule of M is essential in M , then V is a supplement of U in M .
- (3) If U is an essential maximal submodule of M , then $U \cap V = \text{Rad}V$ is the unique essential maximal submodule of V .
- (4) If $K \ll_g M$ and $U \trianglelefteq M$, then V is a g -supplement of $U + K$ in M .
- (5) Let $U \trianglelefteq M$ and $K \ll_g M$. Then $K \cap V \ll_g V$ and hence $\text{Rad}_g V = V \cap \text{Rad}_g M$.
- (6) Let $U \trianglelefteq M$ and $K \leq V$. Then $K \ll_g V$ if and only if $K \ll_g M$.
- (7) For $L \leq U$, $\frac{V+L}{L}$ is a g -supplement of U/L in M/L .

Proof: See [5]-[6]-[8]. □

Lemma 7. Let M be an R -module.

- (1) If $M = U \oplus V$ then V is a supplement of U in M . Also U is a supplement of V in M .
- (2) For $M_1, U \leq M$, if $M_1 + U$ has a supplement in M and M_1 is supplemented, then U also has a supplement in M .
- (3) Let $M = M_1 + M_2$. If M_1 and M_2 are supplemented, then M is also supplemented.
- (4) Let $M_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If M_i is supplemented for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ is also supplemented.
- (5) If M is supplemented, then M/L is supplemented for every $L \leq M$.
- (6) If M is supplemented, then every homomorphic image of M is also supplemented.
- (7) If M is supplemented, then $M/\text{Rad}M$ is semisimple.
- (8) Hollow and local modules are supplemented.
- (9) If M is supplemented, then every finitely M -generated module is supplemented.
- (10) ${}_R R$ is supplemented if and only if every finitely generated R -module is supplemented.

Proof: See [10, 41.2]. □

Lemma 8. *Let M be an R -module.*

- (1) *If M is supplemented, then M is essential supplemented.*
- (2) *For $M_1 \leq M$ and $U \trianglelefteq M$, if $M_1 + U$ has a supplement in M and M_1 is essential supplemented, then U also has a supplement in M .*
- (3) *Let $M = M_1 + M_2$. If M_1 and M_2 are essential supplemented, then M is also essential supplemented.*
- (4) *Let $M_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If M_i is essential supplemented for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ is also essential supplemented.*
- (5) *If M is essential supplemented, then M/L is essential supplemented for every $L \leq M$.*
- (6) *If M is essential supplemented, then every homomorphic image of M is also essential supplemented.*
- (7) *If M is essential supplemented, then $M/\text{Rad}M$ have no proper essential submodules.*
- (8) *Hollow and local modules are essential supplemented.*
- (9) *If M is essential supplemented, then every finitely M -generated module is essential supplemented.*
- (10) *${}_R R$ is essential supplemented if and only if every finitely generated R -module is essential supplemented.*

Proof: See [9]. □

Lemma 9. *Let M be an R -module.*

- (1) *If M is supplemented, then M is cofinitely supplemented.*
- (2) *If M is finitely generated and cofinitely supplemented, then M is supplemented.*
- (3) *For $M_1 \leq M$ and U cofinite submodule of M , if $M_1 + U$ has a supplement in M and M_1 is cofinitely supplemented, then U also has a supplement in M .*
- (4) *Let $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$. If M_i is cofinitely supplemented for every $i \in I$, then M is also cofinitely supplemented.*
- (5) *Let $M_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If M_i is cofinitely supplemented for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ is also cofinitely supplemented.*
- (6) *If M is cofinitely supplemented, then M/L is cofinitely supplemented for every $L \leq M$.*
- (7) *If M is cofinitely supplemented, then every homomorphic image of M is also cofinitely supplemented.*
- (8) *If M is cofinitely supplemented, then every cofinite submodule of $M/\text{Rad}M$ is a direct summand of $M/\text{Rad}M$.*
- (9) *Hollow and local modules are cofinitely supplemented.*
- (10) *If M is cofinitely supplemented, then every M -generated module is cofinitely supplemented.*
- (11) *${}_R R$ is supplemented if and only if every generated R -module is cofinitely supplemented.*

Proof: See [1]. □

Lemma 10. *Let M be an R -module.*

- (1) *If M is essential supplemented, then M is cofinitely essential supplemented.*
- (2) *If M is supplemented, then M is cofinitely essential supplemented.*
- (3) *If M is finitely generated and cofinitely essential supplemented, then M is essential supplemented.*
- (4) *For $M_1 \leq M$ and U cofinite essential submodule of M , if $M_1 + U$ has a supplement in M and M_1 is cofinitely essential supplemented, then U also has a supplement in M .*
- (5) *Let $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$. If M_i is cofinitely essential supplemented for every $i \in I$, then M is also cofinitely essential supplemented.*
- (6) *Let $M_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If M_i is cofinitely essential supplemented for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ is also cofinitely essential supplemented.*
- (7) *If M is cofinitely essential supplemented, then M/L is cofinitely essential supplemented for every $L \leq M$.*
- (8) *If M is cofinitely essential supplemented, then every homomorphic image of M is also cofinitely essential supplemented.*
- (9) *If M is cofinitely essential supplemented, then $M/\text{Rad}M$ have no proper essential submodules.*
- (10) *Hollow and local modules are cofinitely essential supplemented.*
- (11) *If M is cofinitely essential supplemented, then every M -generated module is cofinitely essential supplemented.*
- (12) *${}_R R$ is essential supplemented if and only if every generated R -module is cofinitely essential supplemented.*

Proof: See [4]. □

Lemma 11. *Let M be an R -module.*

- (1) *If V is a supplement of U in M , then V is a g -supplement of U in M .*
- (2) *If $M = U \oplus V$ then V is a g -supplement of U in M . Also U is a g -supplement of V in M .*
- (3) *For $M_1, U \leq M$, if $M_1 + U$ has a g -supplement in M and M_1 is g -supplemented, then U also has a g -supplement in M .*
- (4) *Let $M = M_1 + M_2$. If M_1 and M_2 are g -supplemented, then M is also g -supplemented.*
- (5) *Let $M_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If M_i is g -supplemented for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ is also g -supplemented.*
- (6) *If M is g -supplemented, then M/L is g -supplemented for every $L \leq M$.*
- (7) *If M is g -supplemented, then every homomorphic image of M is also g -supplemented.*
- (8) *If M is g -supplemented, then $M/\text{Rad}_g M$ is semisimple.*
- (9) *Hollow, local and g -hollow modules are g -supplemented.*
- (10) *If M is g -supplemented, then every finitely M -generated module is g -supplemented.*
- (11) *${}_R R$ is g -supplemented if and only if every finitely generated R -module is g -supplemented.*
- (12) *If M is g -supplemented and every nonzero submodule of M is essential in M , then M is supplemented.*

Proof: See [5]-[6]. □

Lemma 12. *Let M be an R -module.*

- (1) *If M is g -supplemented, then M is cofinitely g -supplemented.*
- (2) *If M is supplemented, then M is cofinitely g -supplemented.*

- (3) If M is cofinitely supplemented, then M is cofinitely g -supplemented.
- (4) If M is cofinitely g -supplemented and every nonzero submodule of M is essential in M , then M is cofinitely supplemented.
- (5) If M is finitely generated and cofinitely g -supplemented, then M is g -supplemented.
- (6) For $M_1 \leq M$ and U cofinite submodule of M , if $M_1 + U$ has a g -supplement in M and M_1 is cofinitely g -supplemented, then U also has a g -supplement in M .
- (7) Let $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$. If M_i is cofinitely g -supplemented for every $i \in I$, then M is also cofinitely g -supplemented.
- (8) Let $M_i \leq M$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If M_i is cofinitely g -supplemented for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $M_1 + M_2 + \dots + M_n$ is also cofinitely g -supplemented.
- (9) If M is cofinitely g -supplemented, then M/L is cofinitely g -supplemented for every $L \leq M$.
- (10) If M is cofinitely g -supplemented, then every homomorphic image of M is also cofinitely g -supplemented.
- (11) If M is cofinitely g -supplemented, then every cofinite submodule of $M/\text{Rad}_g M$ is a direct summand of $M/\text{Rad}_g M$.
- (12) Hollow, g -hollow and local modules are cofinitely g -supplemented.
- (13) If M is cofinitely g -supplemented, then every M -generated module is cofinitely g -supplemented.
- (14) ${}_R R$ is g -supplemented if and only if every R -module is cofinitely g -supplemented.

Proof: See [3]. □

Definition 1. Let M be an R -module. If every cofinite essential submodule of M has a g -supplement in M , then M is called a cofinitely essential g -supplemented (or briefly cofinitely eg -supplemented) module.

Proposition 1. Every cofinitely essential supplemented module is cofinitely eg -supplemented.

Proof: Let M be a cofinitely essential supplemented module and U be a cofinite essential submodule of M . Then U has a supplement V in M . Here $M = U + V$ and $U \cap V \ll V$. Since $U \cap V \ll V$, $U \cap V \ll_g V$. Hence V is a g -supplement of U in M . Therefore, M is cofinitely eg -supplemented. □

Lemma 13. Every essential g -supplemented module is cofinitely eg -supplemented.

Proof: Let M be an essential g -supplemented module and U be a cofinite essential submodule of M . Since M is essential g -supplemented and $U \trianglelefteq M$, U has a g -supplement in M . Hence every cofinite essential submodule of M has a g -supplement in M and M is cofinitely eg -supplemented. □

Corollary 1. Every g -supplemented module is cofinitely eg -supplemented.

Proof: Since every g -supplemented module is essential g -supplemented, by Lemma 13, every g -supplemented module is cofinitely eg -supplemented. □

Lemma 14. Every cofinitely g -supplemented module is cofinitely eg -supplemented.

Proof: Let M be a cofinitely g -supplemented module and U be a cofinite essential submodule of M . Since M is cofinitely g -supplemented and U is a cofinite submodule of M , U has a g -supplement in M . Hence every cofinite essential submodule of M has a g -supplement in M and M is cofinitely eg -supplemented. □

Corollary 2. Let $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$. If M_i is cofinitely g -supplemented for every $i \in I$, then M is cofinitely eg -supplemented.

Proof: Since M_i is cofinitely g -supplemented for every $i \in I$, by [3, Lemma 2.6], $M = \sum_{i \in I} M_i$ cofinitely g -supplemented. Then by Lemma 14, M is cofinitely eg -supplemented. □

Corollary 3. Let M be a cofinitely g -supplemented module. Then every M -generated module is cofinitely eg -supplemented.

Proof: Clear from Corollary 2. □

Corollary 4. Let R be a ring. If ${}_R R$ is g -supplemented, then every R -module is cofinitely eg -supplemented.

Proof: Since ${}_R R$ is g -supplemented, ${}_R R$ is cofinitely g -supplemented. Then by Corollary 3, every R -module is cofinitely eg -supplemented. □

Proposition 2. Let M be an cofinitely eg -supplemented module. If every nonzero submodule of M is essential in M , then M is cofinitely g -supplemented.

Proof: Let U be a cofinite submodule of M . If $U = 0$, then M is a g -supplement of U in M . Let $U \neq 0$. Then $U \trianglelefteq M$ and since M is cofinitely eg -supplemented, U has a g -supplement in M . Hence M is cofinitely g -supplemented. □

3 References

- 1 R. Alizade, G. Bilhan, P. F. Smith, *Modules whose Maximal Submodules have Supplements*, Comm. in Algebra **29**(6) (2001), 2389-2405.
- 2 J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja, R. Wisbauer, *Lifting Modules Supplements and Projectivity In Module Theory*, *Frontiers in Mathematics*, Birkhauser, Basel, 2006.
- 3 B. Koşar, *Cofinitely g -Supplemented Modules*, British J. of Math. and Comp. Sci., **14**(4) (2016), 1-6.
- 4 B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, *Cofinitely Essential Supplemented Modules*, Turkish St. Inf. Tech. and Appl. Sci., **13**(29) (2018), 83-88.
- 5 B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, N. Sökmez, *g -Supplemented Modules*, Ukrainian Math. J., **67**(6) (2015), 861-864.
- 6 B. Koşar, C. Nebiyev, A. Pekin, *A Generalization of g -Supplemented Modules*, Miskolc Math. Notes, **20**(1) (2019), 345-352.
- 7 C. Nebiyev, H. H. Ökten, *Essential g -Supplemented Modules*, Turkish St. Inf. Tech. and Appl. Sci., **14**(1) (2019), 83-89.
- 8 C. Nebiyev, On a Generalization of Supplement Submodules, Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Math. **113** (2) (2017), 283-289.
- 9 C. Nebiyev, H. H. Ökten, A. Pekin, *Essential Supplemented Modules*, Int. J. of Pure and Appl. Math., **120**(2) (2018), 253-257.
- 10 R. Wisbauer, *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Gordon and Breach, Philadelphia, 1991.