Comparison of Cellular Autofluorescence Patterns of Two Model Microalgae by Flow Cytometry
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Abstract

Microalgae are widely used in biotechnological research, especially for the production of biochemical compounds, antioxidants, secondary metabolites, pigments, carbohydrates, proteins and lipids. Various analytical methods are needed throughout both experimental and downstream processing of industrial microalgae products. As one of these methods, flow cytometry is an advantageous option for detecting fluorescently labeled recombinant proteins, lipids and metabolic compounds. It is important to take into account the autofluorescent properties of specific compartments of target cells to well establish a distinct labeling protocol during such analytical processes. Because the amount of autofluorescence may interfere with the fluorescent signal detection of specifically labeled protein or lipid content, this can prevent the precise signal detection of labeled molecules. Furthermore, it can lead to an overestimation of the amount of labeled compounds in the cells. In this study, the autofluorescent properties of two freshwater model microalgae Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (CC-124) and Chlorella vulgaris (CV-898), both of which are predominantly used in industry, were examined by flow cytometry measurements. The experimental findings revealed that fluorescent channel-2 (FL2-H) stands as the most suitable channel to achieve minimal autofluorescence of both CC-124 and CV-898 microalgae strains. The obtained results highlight that one should pay attention to the autofluorescence signals in CC-124 and CV-898 cell lines during the flow cytometry-based detection of biological products when deciding on fluorophore.
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1. Introduction

Microalgae are widely preferred eukaryotic microorganisms in biotechnology as they can produce health promoting lipids, antioxidants, polysaccharides, proteins, secondary metabolites, vitamins and pigments [1]. As the evolutionary ancestors of terrestrial plants, they can be easily cultivated at laboratory conditions in the presence of natural sunlight, atmospheric CO₂, varieties of different low-cost carbon sources and the infinitesimal amounts of trace elements [2]. They currently provide numerous advantages to industrial biotechnology as food and feed supplies with high protein content, feedstocks for different biofuels production processes and sustainable bioremediation agents for municipal and urban wastewaters, all of which make microalgae essential for next generations [3, 4]. As microalgae genome manipulation tools are pretty much well established, the metabolic engineering efforts on industrially promising microalgae strains become relatively common [5, 6]. Microalgae are of growing interest towards various mass production systems, particularly for bioenergy, lucrative metabolites, antioxidants, nutraceuticals and pharmaceuticals [7, 8]. In addition, the specific use of photosynthetic microalgae as recombinant production hosts comprises the mass production of value-added compounds, anticarcinogenic and antimicrobial drugs, monoclonal antibodies, pharmaceutical proteins, vaccines, drug additives and various compounds used in cosmetics [9, 10]. On the other hand, it is very important to carry out comprehensive analytical, biochemical and molecular analyzes on newly discovered microalgae strains with reliable analytical methods to reveal their industrial use and commercialization potentials biotechnologically. [11].
During cultivation and downstream processes, the native biological characteristics of microalgae can be disclosed by employing different analytical methods, such as fluorescence and/or electron microscopy, quantitative metric measurements, multi-dimensional single-cell analysis and molecular biology-based diagnostic tools [12]. Recently, different cell sorting mechanisms combined with flow cytometry have been developed and successfully applied to microalgae cultures to eliminate various culture contaminants including bacteria [13]. Electrical microfluidics chips with advanced separation and recognition characteristics were also adapted for microalgae cultures to recognize, label and eventually eliminate culture contaminants [14]. In addition, direct electrical detection of a single bacterium in drinking water could be a highly practical technique for ensuring the contamination-free maintenance and sustainability of open pond microalgae production systems in the future. [15].

Flow cytometry is one of the high-throughput instruments to quantitatively examine the cell size, granularity, heterogeneity of microalgae cells and related culture populations using fluorescence beams [16]. Flow cytometry provides visualization and classification of cells within morphological shapes and analysis of fluorophore-tagged signals of the molecule of interest such as proteins, carbohydrates and lipids. Preparation of cells for analysis by flow cytometry is performed based on immunolabeling approaches. One of the foremost methodological key points during standard flow cytometry analysis is to initially detect the autofluorescence of cells. Autofluorescence is defined as inherent cellular fluorescence derived by the emission of natural compounds within cells, such as chlorophyll and NADH [17]. Such interference of autofluorescence with the specific label was also reported from certain types of mammalian cells [18]. However, to the best of our knowledge, no scientific report has yet been released regarding the natural autofluorescence spectra of the freshwater microalgae strains examined, suggesting the requirement of an optimization step during the flow cytometry-based experimental and analytical processes. This study thus aimed to unravel the cellular autofluorescence spectra of two model microalgae *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (CC-124) and *Chlorella vulgaris* Beijerinck (CV-898) cells.

2. Materials and Methods
2.1. Microalgae Culturing and Flow Cytometry Analysis

*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (CC-124: CCALA No: 928) and *Chlorella vulgaris* Beijerinck (CV-898: CCALA No: 898) strains were obtained from Culture Collection of Autotrophic Organisms (CCALA) at Dukelska, Czech Republic. Both strains were immediately enriched in TAP broth or agar plates. 1x10⁶ microalgae cells were then taken and incubated within 100 ml modified TAP (Tris-Acetate-Phosphate) medium on an orbital shaker at room temperature and 120 rpm shaking speed for 5 days. At least 500.000 cells (counted by Countess II FL Automated Cell Counter, Thermofisher), in the presence of over 96% cell viability detected by hemocytometer-based counting, either in the phosphate-buffered saline buffer (1xPBS) or in TAP media were run by flow cytometer, C6 Accuri (from BD Biosciences). Cells were visualized by forward scatter (FSC)-side scatter (SSC) dot plot, and then analyzed by fluorescence channels; FL1-H, FL2-H, FL3-H and FL4-H. Table 1 reveals the excitation and emission values and filters for each channel. 1xPBS and TAP medium alone were also run to understand the background fluorescence of the cell suspensions. Figure 1 represents the wavelengths of each channel. The cell morphology was assessed by FSC (X-axes) and SSC (Y-axes) values (as mean, geometric mean and median), representing the cell size and granularity, respectively. The fluorescence signals of at least 500.000 cells were collected through the employment of a 488 nm laser beam and further analyzed using different filters as shown in Table 1. The autofluorescence patterns of gated cells (P1) were also revealed in the charts by fluorescence channels (FL1-H, FL2-H, FL3-H and FL4-H). Both PBS and TAP medium were also analyzed as blank controls to the cell samples. At least 10.000 events were analyzed for each triplicate experimental run.

Table 1. Excitation and emission of fluorescence channels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FL1-H</th>
<th>FL2-H</th>
<th>FL3-H</th>
<th>FL4-H</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Excitation (nm)</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emission (nm)</td>
<td>500-550</td>
<td>550-600</td>
<td>650-700</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter</td>
<td>533/30 (585 BP)</td>
<td>585/40 (585 BP)</td>
<td>670 (LP)</td>
<td>675/25 (675 BP)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Results and Discussion

Recent advances in recombinant DNA technology and genome editing tools have increased R&D initiatives on microalgae strains with renewable, sustainable and economic potentials towards versatile production of various value-added products, secondary metabolites, natural pigments, antioxidants, hydrocarbons, biofuels and derivatives. On the other hand, innovative approaches mostly relying on metabolic engineering and systems biology to develop microalgae strains with improved properties yield abundant recombinants that necessitate extensive screening efforts for targeted product(s). Comparative screening and quantitative analysis of natural and/or recombinantly engineered microalgae cells is of paramount importance for the final selection and full characterization of the most promising strains. In this regard, flow cytometry is a simple
New means (Figure 2a, b). Cell size was also large, and the granularity was also large. Microalgae were also routinely evaluated for cellular dry weight (CDW) to highlight biomass productivity. Therefore, determination of cell granularity seems important for understanding biomass production capacity.

The granularity can change in terms of growth phases such as early and late exponential stages. The cells used in this study were mixed at different growth phases to reveal a general pattern of cell morphology. A study revealed the differences in CDW between some microalgae [1], but to the best of knowledge, there is no study showing the cell size differences through cell granularity by SSC dot plot of flow cytometry analysis.

Some studies use microscopy to understand the sizes of cells [21]. In this scenario, high-resolution microscopy equipped with at least 63X objective might be practical for better visualization of microalgae cells. Therefore, we suggest that microscopies with higher performance could be preferred to perform such comparison comprehensively.

The cellular autofluorescence pattern of the cells was also examined by the implementation of different fluorescence channels. As control, the fluorescence amount of both PBS and microalgae media were firstly detected at a similar range with the cells. We identified that, at FL2-H, PBS is a more suitable environment to run cells in terms of a relatively low level of autofluorescence compared to TAP medium (Figure 3a, b). The lowest fluorescence of both microalgae cells was detected at the FL2-H channel; however, the highest was identified at FL3-H (Figure 3c, d). Experiments need to be adjusted by the subtraction of the mean of fluorescence intensity (MFI) of PBS or TAP medium from the MFI of cells. Image-based [22] or standard flow cytometry [16] are in use to analyze cellular components of microalgae. However, the interference of autofluorescence with the detection of the specific markers of interest was overlooked.

The chlorophyll content of microalgae is one of the main sources of cellular autofluorescence, and fluorescence is used to be measured in cells detected at forward and side scatter plots [23]. As similar, a typical automated cell counter was further optimized for the facilitated quantification of chlorophyll content in microalgae [24]. Nevertheless, our previous work illustrated that although automated cell counter was not favorably effective, flow cytometry-based analysis was revealed as the best analytical instrument to work with microalgae [25], as also commonly stressed by other researchers [26–28].

**Figure 1.** Representative wavelengths for emission and excitation of fluorescence channels 1, 2, 3 and 4 of BD Accuri C6 instrument using FITC, PE, APC and PerCP, respectively (modified from BD Biosciences’s web site). The spectra can be modified according to dye type.
Flow cytometry is used not only for the detection of fluorescence labeled-components, but also viability detection, biomass estimation, population heterogeneity detection, cell sorting and isolation of axenic algal cultures [29, 30]. Therefore, the elucidation of autofluorescence patterning in model microalgae is detected by flow cytometry. Recently, the ontological pattern of autofluorescence was also considered to improve spectroscopic methods [31]. In parallel, crucial

Figure 2. The morphological features of CC-124 (a) and CV-898 (b) by FSC (forward scatter) and SSC (side scatter) representing cell size and granularity, respectively (X and Y axes were adjusted to 16 million unit).

Figure 3. The autofluorescence patterns of TAP medium (a), and PBS buffer (b), CC-124 (c) and CV-898 microalgae cells (d) along with the fluorescence channels (i-iv). (X and Y-axes in cell size (FSC) and granularity (SSC plots) were adjusted to 1.6 million unit).
The current findings also suggest that the best strategy to perform any flow cytometry based measurements on both CC-124 and CV-898 model microalgae is to select a fluorophore emitted at fluorescence channel-2. Table 2 exemplifies some of such representative fluorophores. On the other hand, Figure 4 displays the wavelength distributions of some fluorophores given in Table 2, emitting specifically at FL2-H (Figure 4).

### 4. Conclusion

We found that the minimum fluorescence signal from both model microalgae strains was obtained at only fluorescence channel-2 (FL2-H) of 4 channels. In other words, the obtained results suggest that the most suitable fluorophores for CC-124 and CV-898 cells are the fluorophores emitting at FL2-H. In conclusion, the specific label of interest does not mix with natural signals in both CC-124 and CV-898 cells when the reported results are in consideration. The current knowledge will certainly be practical for the quantitative production of various biomaterials during the versatile biotechnological applications of microalgae.
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