Chloride Estimation in Ground Water From Electrical Conductivity Measurement

 ${\sf Hamid}$ Zare <code>ABYANEH 1 A. H. NAZEMI 2 M. R. NEYSHABORI 2 K. MOHAMMADI 3 G. H. MAJZOOBI 1 </code>

Geliş Tarihi: 06.12.2004

Abstract: Salinity assessment of ground waters for the irrigation purpose often includes measurement of chloride ion, constitute that in large concentrations is toxic to plant. Since the measurement of electrical conductivity is much easier than determining chloride ion, the aim of this study was to develop an empirical relationship between chloride ion concentration and electrical conductivity of ground waters for western of Iran. Large numbers of water samples, 1063 laboratory and 2252 field data, were collected over about 66000 Km² areas in west of Iran. Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured with a conductivity cell and CI concentration by titration with AgNO₃ solution. The data were grouped into various groups based on crop tolerance to salinity and consequently to EC. Linear, polynomial and power regression models were tested. Using data for all 3315 samples, all three models were highly significant and explained 99% of the variability in observed CI values. Other models for different groups of data also developed and it was concluded that there is a good agreement and correlation between EC and Cl- concentration in water samples except for $EC < 3$ dSm $^{-1}$.

Key Words: Chloride estimation, electrical conductivity, groundwater, linear, polynomial and power regression

Tuzlu Sularda Elektiriksel İletkenlik Ölçümünden Klor Tahmini

Öz: Sulamada yüksek konsantrasyonlarda bitki üzerinde toksik etki oluşturan tuzluluk değerlendirmesi, elektriksel iletkenlik ve klor iyon ölçümlerini içermektedir. Elektriksel iletkenlik ölçümü klor belirlenmesinden daha kolay olduğu için bu çalışmanın amacı, İran'ın batısındaki yer altı sularında klor iyon konsantrasyonu ile elektriksel iletkenlik arasındaki deneysel ilişkiyi belirlemektir. Su örneklerinin büyük miktarı (1063 laboratuvar ve 2252 tarla örneği) İran'ın batısındaki 66000 km2'lik alandan toplanmıştır. Elektiriksel iletkenlik bir iletkenlik hücresiyle, CI iyonu konsantrasyonu da AgNO₃ çözeltisi ile titrimetrik olarak belirlenmiştir. Elde edilen veriler bitkinin tuza toleransına göre EC değerleri dikkate alınarak gruplandırılmıştır. Bunlara linear, polinominal ve power regresyon modelleri uygulanmıştır. Uygulamada 3315 örneğe ait değerlerin hepsi kullanılmıştır. Uygulanan her 3 regresyon modelinde EC değeri ile Cı - değerleri arasında oldukça önemli düzeyde (%99) ilişki bulunmuştur. Aynı zamanda farklı grupların verileri için diğer modeller geliştirilmiştir. Sonuç olarak EC değeri 3 dsm-1 den küçük olanlar dışında EC ile su örneklerindeki Cl- konsantrasyonu arasında iyi bir uyumluluk ve korelasyon olduğu kanısına varılmıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Klor tahmini, elektriksel iletkenlik, yeraltı suyu, doğrusal, çok terimli ve güç regresyon modelleri

Introduction

In many countries, groundwater is one of the major water resources for domestic and agricultural uses. Aquifers and the contained ground water are inherently susceptible to salinization from irrigation and evaporation especially in arid and semi-arid regions. Desalinization is prohibitively costly and often impractical. In this context and in recognition of the need for effective and efficient methods for protecting ground water resources, it is necessary to have easy ways to monitor the quality of water.

Major source of groundwater pollutions are agricultural activities, seepage through landfills and waste deposits (Kinzelbach 1986). Electrical conductivity (EC) is usually a representation of salinity and it can be measured with a simple device. Chloride ion (Cl) is an important element among dissolved solids which can limit plant growth, decrease yields and reduce quality of drinking water. Chloride is highly soluble and remains in the soil solution, while other ions such as sulphate and bicarbonate combine with calcium and magnesium, where

present, to form calcium sulphate and calcium carbonate, which are sparingly soluble compounds.

Measurement of EC is fast and inexpensive. On the other hand, CI concentration typically is measured by titration of aqueous samples using standard $AgNO₃$ solution. Chloride analysis thus is time consuming and expensive, compared to EC measurement (Hajrasuliha 1991). Since CI is a major constitute of saline waters and soils, and it directly affects EC, therefore, a close correlation between EC and Cl is expected.

Based on the study of large numbers of solute solutions, irrigation waters, and soil extracts, a linear relationship between the logarithm of the equivalent concentration and the logarithm of specific conductance has been reported by Marion and Babcock (1977). Maas (1986) suggested that if CI were the predominant anion in α soil solution, the CI concentration in molm⁻³ would be approximately equal to 10 times the EC_e measured in dSm^{-1} .

¹ College of Agriculture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamadan-Iran

² College of Agriculture, University of Tabriz Tabriz-Iran

³ College of Agriculture Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran-Iran

Observations of CI concentration and EC for many saline waters throughout central part of Iran reported by Hajrasuliha, (1991) suggested the possibility of a generalized empirical relationship between these two factors. Therefore, it is conceivable that the Cl⁻ concentration can simply be estimated from EC measurement. The reliable predictions of the solute transport within flow systems have largely based on the Cl measurements (Ursino et al. 2001, Volker et al. 2002). A relatively accurate relationship between EC and Cl would be helpful in estimating the temporal and spatial solute distribution in groundwater which is quite valuable in water pollution management.

The objective of this paper is to analyze and develop a relationship between chloride concentration and EC, as a prediction tool, for ground waters in a cold semi-arid region located in western Iran.

Materials and Methods

Two data set consisting of the simultaneous measurement of CI and EC were used for this research. The first set containing 1212 and 1040 water samples have been taken from 120 and 100 tube wells during 1996-2003, at Hamadan and East Azarbaijan provinces, respectively (Anonymous 2003a, b). Hamadan Province has 19000 km² area, average elevation of 1850 m above sea level and 350 mm of annual precipitation and those are 47000 km², 2450 m and 230 mm for East Azarbaijan Province, respectively. Both areas are classified as cold semi-arid climate. EC values of the water samples ranged from 0.15 to 28 dSm^{-1} depending on the location of wells and time of sampling.

The second data set was sampled during laboratory experiment. Figure 1 depicts the physical model used in this study. Two experiments were carried out with two different soils. Table 1 shows the physical properties of the soils and Figure 2 is the particle size distribution curves. Soil No. 1 had a fine sandy texture with a uniformity coefficient of 1.67, while soil No. 2 was a coarse sand with uniformity coefficient of 3.97, implying that soil No. 1 is more uniform than soil No. 2. The size distribution effect is well reflected in saturated hydraulic conductivity (K_s) and saturation percentage (SP). Soil 1 has lower K_s and higher SP as compared to soil 2. (Table1).

Sodium chloride solutions 35 dSm⁻¹ was evenly introduced to the soil surface through porous tubes, and then soil solution samples were taken at specified time intervals from saturated and unsaturated zones. 1063 samples with wide range of EC and chloride concentration were taken. Chloride content was measured by titration with $AqNO₃$ solution and all EC values automatically were adjusted to 25° C.

Three different models, linear, polynomial and power regression, were used to describe Cl concentration as a function of EC. Respectively, the general forms of these models are:

$$
y = ax + b \tag{1}
$$

$$
y = axn + bxn-1 + ... + c
$$
 (2)

$$
y = ax^b \tag{3}
$$

The analyses were carried out separately for two following cases: 1) all 3315 data set obtained from field and laboratory, 2) data grouped into two parts with EC≤3 and $EC > 3$ dSm⁻¹ which below 3 dSm⁻¹, salinity has no effect on crop growth (Johnson et al., 1957), and 3) data for EC<18.7 and EC<21 were used. These thresholds are the crop tolerance to salinity for wheat and barley which can grow and yield satisfactory (Ayers and Westcot 1985). Above these thresholds, there won't be any economical yield. These two crops are the major cultivations in two studied provinces.

Results and Discussion

Three models, linear, polynomial, and power function, were fitted on all three data categories. Models coefficients and regression coefficients $(R²)$ between EC and Cl are presented in Table 2. Figure 3 showes the developed models using all data between 0.15 to 39.9 dSm-1. The polynomial equation could better predict the Cl from measured EC but all models were within 1% significance. Figure 3 also shows the fitted models using data collected from field and laboratory experiment, separately. Again, polynomial model had better results for both cases.

- Figure 1. Sketch of the flow container for studying chloride movement. In this figure: 1) Flow tank 2) By pass 3) Foot valve 4) pump 5) Volume control 6) Porous tubes $7)$ Box $8)$ Dividing plates 9) Soil sampling port and water outlet
	- 10) Water flow inlet 11) Filter layer
	- 12) Manometer 13) Water flow outlet

Soil No.	Soil Texture	υ ₁₀ mm	U_{30} mm	U_{60} Mm	$N_{\rm S}$ mday	EC_e dSm	EС dSm	Mgm	SP %
	Sand	0.14	0.18	0.185	.402	0.226	0.59	1.568	43.62
	Sand	0.12	0.185	0.21	15.163	0.107	.39	1.696	37.59

Table 1. Physical characteristics of soils used in experiment

 D_z = The particle diameter which z percent of the particles have diameters lower than that

 K_s = Saturated hydraulic conductivity ρ_h = Dry Bulk density SP = Saturation Percentage

 EC_e = Electrical conductivity of the soil saturation extract, from extract 1:10 (Soil: Water)

EC = Electrical conductivity of saturated zone of the model

Figure 2. Particle size distribution curves for two sandy soils

In second attempt of finding the relationship between EC and CI, data were grouped into EC≤3 and EC>3 dSm⁻¹. When data obtained from laboratory experiment were used, for EC≤3 all three models failed to show a good

results. Maximum R^2 in this case was 0.25. But when all data were used, the R^2 for polynomial model reached 0.87. For data with EC above 3 dSm^{-1} , in all cases models could produce relatively good relationships (Table 3).

For third case, data with EC>18.7 were removed and three models were fitted on remaining data. Then, based on barley tolerance to salinity, data with EC<21 were considered for new model fitting. In these case, all three models had about the same *r*-value, irrespective of the data source but power function had the highest value of R^2 (Figure 4 and Table 3).

Table 2. Maximum and minimum EC and Cl concentration and regression coefficients in different cases.

Water sample	EC (dSm ⁻¹)		$Cl (molm-3)$		\mathbf{P}^{2*}			
Source	Max	Min	Max	Min	Lin.	Polv.	Power	
Wells	28.0	0.15	225.0	0.01	0 81	0.84	0.80	
Physical Model	39.9	0.55	350.3	0.35	0.93	0.93	0.86	
All Sources	39.9	0.15	350.3	0 01	0.98	0.98	0.93	

* Significant at *P = 0.01*

Figure 3. Cl concentration of the wells and physical model versus EC for 0.15≤EC≤39.9

Figure 4. Chloride concentration versus EC for EC<21(wheat) and EC<18.7 (barley)

Conclusion

Variety of models and data were used to develop reliable relationship between EC and Chloride. \mathbf{a} Referring to table 3 and Fig.4, it is evident that at high ranges of salinity (EC>3 dSm⁻¹), the most accurate estimate of CI from the EC can be made by either using a linear or polynomial model with r value of 0.99. At $EC \leq 3$ dSm⁻¹ particularly from the irrigation water
quality aspect, only power regression model would led to a confident estimate of CI. Overall, for a wide range of EC (0.15 to 40 dSm⁻¹) encountered in this study with 3315 pair wise of EC and CI data, the power function may be recommended. Therefore, with a relatively accurate and inexpensive way, it is possible to estimate the chloride ion in ground waters in western Iran.

References

- Anonumous, 2003a. Groundwater Quality Analyses Bulletin, East Azarbaijan Regional Water Authority, Tabriz.
- Anonymous. 2003b. Hamadan Groundwater Quality Analyses Bulletin, Hamadan Provincial Water Authority, Hamadan.
- Ayers, R. S., D. W. Westcot, 1985. Water quality for agriculture, FAO Irrigation and Drainage Paper, No. 29. Rev. 1, FAO, Rome, 174 pp.
- Hajrasuliha, S., D. K. Cassel and Y. Rezainejad, 1991. Estimation of chloride ion concentration in saline soils from measurement of electrical conductivity of saturated soil extracts. Geoderma, 49: 117-127.
- Johnson, C. M., P. R. Stout, T. C. Brover and A. B. Carlton, 1957. Comparative chloride requirements of different plant species. Plant Soil, 8: 337-353.

Kinzelbach, W. 1986. Groundwater Modeling. Elsevier Publishers.

- Maas, E. V. 1986. Physiological response of plants to chloride. In: Chloride and crop production. (Eds.: T.L. Jackson). Potash
and Phosphate Institute. Atlanta, GA, pp.4-20.
- Marion, G. M., K. L. Babcock, 1977. The solubilities of carbonates and phosphates in calcareous soil suspensions. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 41: 724-728.
- Ursina, N., T. Gimmi and H. Fluhler, 2001. Combined effects of heterogeneity, anisotropy, and saturation on steady state flow and transport: A laboratory sand tank experiment. J. Water Resour. Res., 37 (2): 201-208.
- Volker, R. E., Q. Zhang and D. A. Lockington, 2002. Numerical modeling of contaminant transport in coastal aquifers. J. Mathematics and Computers in Simulating 59: 35-44.

Correspendence address:

Hamid Zare ABYANEH University of Bu-Ali Sina College of Agriculture Department of Soil Science Hamadan 65174-Iran