

# Effects of *Tanacetum cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* (Compositae)' EtOAc Extract Fractions on Feeding Behaviour of *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)<sup>\*</sup>

## Hilal Susurluk<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Mehmet Oktay Gürkan<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Ankara, Dışkapı–06100, Ankara, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Bursa Province Directorate of Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs, Kadızade Rumi Str. 16170, Hürriyet, Bursa, Turkey \*e-mail: aydinhilal@yahoo.co.uk

**Abstract:** The antifeedant activity of *Tanacetum cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* (Compositae) against third instars larvae of *Spodoptera littoralis* Boisd. (Lep: Noctuidae) was evaluated using lettuce leaf disk bioassay. In the experiments, ethyl acetate (EtOAc) extract fractions of aerial parts of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* showed limited antifeedant activity with 24 h values of 17.64, 23.62, 6.97, 5.69 and 7.8% for fractions **1**, **2+3**, **4**, **5** and **7**, respectively. Any fraction did not deter feeding by the larvae. Similarly, no statistical differences were observed on body weight between the treated and control larvae after the experiment. In two cases, there was no significant decrease in growth with the short-term dipping of the fractions to the lettuce disks.

Key Words: Tanacetum, Compositae, Spodoptera littoralis, antifeedant activity

## *Tanacetum cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* (Compositae)'nin EtOAc Ekstrakt Fraksiyonlarının *Spodoptera littoralis* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)'in Beslenme Davranışı Üzerindeki Etkileri

**Özet:** *Tanacetum cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* (Compositae)'nin beslenme engelleyici etkisi *Spodoptera littoralis* Boisd. (Lep: Noctuidae)'in 3. dönem larvalarına karşı marul yaprak disk bioassay yöntemi kullanılarak değerlendirilmiştir. Denemelerde 24 saat sonunda *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale*'nin toprak üstü aksamının etil asetat (EtOAc) fraksiyonları olan 1, 2+3, 4, 5 ve 7 sırasıyla % 17.64, % 23.62, % 6.97, % 5.69 ve % 7.8 ile sınırlı düzeyde beslenme engelleyici etkiler göstermiştir. Hiçbir fraksiyon larvaların beslenmesinde engelleyici etki göstermemiştir. Benzer şekilde, deneme sonrası uygulama ve kontrol larvaları arasında vücut ağırlıkları açısından istatistiki farklılıklar gözlenmemiştir. Her iki durumda da, marul disklere fraksiyonların kısa süreli daldırılması ile büyümede önemli azalma olmamıştır.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Tanacetum, Compositae, Spodoptera littoralis, beslenme engelleyici etki

<sup>\*</sup> This study is a part of the *M Sc* thesis

## Introduction

Plants have been suggested as alternative sources of materials for insect control because they contain a range of bioactive chemicals (Wink, 1993) and are potentially suitable for use in integrated pest management (Schmutterer, 1992). Members of the genus Tanacetum L. (Asteraceae) comprise several medicinally important taxa. This genus is represented in Turkey by 44 species and altogether 59 taxa Gören et al. (2001). Tanacetum species have been used in insect control for centuries (Duke, 1985). Essential oils and extracts of members of the genus Tanacetum exhibit insecticidal and antifeedant effects (Panasiuk, 1984; Suomi et al., 1986; Nottingham et al., 1991; Hough-Golstein and Hahn, 1992; Susurluk et al., 2007). The genus is found to contain acetylenes and sesquiterpene lactones (Mahmood 2002; Susurluk et al. 2007) which are thought to associated with the biological activity of Tanacetum. Recently, we demonstrated the antifeedant effects of Tanacetum cadmeum ssp. cadmeum, T. parthenium, T. corymbosum ssp. cinereum, T. chiliophyllum var. chiliophyllum, T. kotschyi and T. cadmeum ssp. orientale extracts on larvae of S. littoralis. Among the extracts tested, T. cadmeum ssp. cadmeum' total methanol extract and T. corymbosum ssp. cinereum' total methanol extracts showed significant antifeedant effects against larvae of Spodoptera littoralis (Susurluk et al. 2007). This paper is a part of the study which was carried out by Susurluk et al. (2007) that described with a laboratory study that assessed the antifeedant effects on S. littoralis larvae of the fractions of T. cadmeum ssp. orientale total EtOAc extract.

## **Material and Methods**

## Plant material and preparation of the extracts

Aerial parts of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* were collected and identified in the previous study (Susurluk et al. 2007). The fractions of the total EtOAc extract of the aerial parts of the plant were prepared and then tested at 1000 ppm concentrations. The preparing of the concentration is well documented in Susurluk et al. (2007).

#### Insects

Pupae of *S. littoralis* were brought from Israel Volcani Center. Cultures of *S. littoralis* were maintained at  $25\pm3^{\circ}$ C,  $65\pm5^{\circ}$  RH, and a light regime of 16 h L: 8 h D on lettuce leaves in plastic cages in a culture room. All assays were conducted under the same conditions.

## **Antifeedant Activity**

The fractions of the total EtOAc extract of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* were assayed by using lettuce leaf disks (3.8 cm in diameter ) for antifeedant activities. Laboratory bioassays were performed using the third instar larvae of *S. littoralis* with the concentration of 1000 ppm of the extracts. The disks were dipped into the 1000 ppm of the extract solution for 5 seconds then left to dry for 1 h. Assays were performed in a plastic assay tray. The leaf disks and third instars *S. littoralis* larvae were randomly placed in the holes of the plastic tray. They were allowed to feed for 24 h. After this period, larvae were removed from the disks. Then the area of the leaf disks consumed by larvae was assessed and compared with control disks by using a LM 2000 software programme to determine antifeedant activity.

No mortality was observed in both treatment and control groups. The antifeedant activity was calculated as  $[1-(\% \text{ of treated food consumed}/\% \text{ of non-treated food consumed})]\times100$  (Schmidt et al., 1997). For each fraction there were sixteen replicates each with 1 larva. In addition to this work, weights of control and treated larvae were measured to support to this experiment results after the experiment.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of variance and comparison of the remaining leaf disk area means after being fed (24 h) were calculated by ANOVA (Breakdown and one-way Anova) and followed by Least Significant Difference (LSD) test (P < 0.05) as post hoc comparison of means of the remaining leaf area (Statistica, 1991), considering each larva as a replicate. Each experiment was carried out at different times. As a result, means were compared with only its control.

#### **Results and Discussion**

In order to assess their potential as natural pesticides against insects, we tested *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* EtOAc extract' fractions. Antifeedant effects in bioassays of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* EtOAc fractions on *S. littoralis* larvae are given in Table 1. In the previous study, the total EtOAc extract of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* aerial parts showed antifeedant activity 19.12% (Table 1, Susurluk et al. 2007). But, its fractions 1, 2+3, 4, 5 and 7 showed antifeedant activity 17.64, 23.62, 6.97, 5.69 and 7.8%, respectively (Table 1). Among the extracts, the mixture of fractions 2+3 (23.62% AA) was more active than the total EtOAc extract. But, no fractions of EtOAc extract of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* aerial parts affected leaf consumption (P>0.05). These results support the results of larval weight measurement (Table 2). Thus, the all fractions of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* were not statistically different from its control (Table 1).

Table 1.	Antifeedant activity against <i>S. littoralis</i> of the EtOAc extract and fractions isolated from <i>T</i> .
	cadmeum ssp. orientale aerial parts. Antifeedant activity [1-(% of treated food consumed /
	% of non tretaed food consumed)] x100] of the extracts obtained from <i>T. cadmeum</i> ssp. <i>orientale</i> (n=16)

E-turn of		The remaining leaf are	ea	- AA
Extract (1000 ppm)	Fractions	Treated (mm <sup>2</sup> ±S.E.)	Control (mm <sup>2</sup> ±S.E.)	- AA (%)
T. cadmeum ssp.	Total <sup>1</sup>	1347±60 a	1094.8±81 a	19.12
orientale <sup>2</sup>	$1^{1}$	1614.4± 66 a	1442.4± 67 a	17.64
	$(2+3)^1$	1496.5± 88 a	1336.5±74 a	23.62
	$4^{1}$	1640.3± 60 a	1583±74 a	6.97
	5 <sup>1</sup>	1555.5±83 a	1550.4± 63 a	5.69
	$7^1$	1553.4± 65 a	1509.4 $\pm$ 80 a	7.8

AA: Antifeedant Activity. Each extract was statistically compared with its control. <sup>1</sup> Ethyl control extract <sup>2</sup> Agrical Party, \*(B < 0.05)

<sup>1</sup>Ethyl acetate extract; <sup>2</sup>Aerial Parts; <sup>\*</sup>(*P*<0.05)

Extract (1000 ppm)		Average body weight of larvae	
	Fractions	Treated (g)	Control (g)
<i>T. cadmium</i> ssp. orientale <sup>2</sup>	Total <sup>1</sup>	0.043±0.002 a	0.044±0.0032 a
	$1^1$	$0.040 \pm 0.00275$ a	0.035±0.003 a
	$(2+3)^1$	0.036± 0.00325 a	0.038±0.004 a
	$4^{1}$	0.036± 0.00275 a	0.036±0.0035 a
	5 <sup>1</sup>	0.031± 0.00225 a	$0.032 \pm 0.0035$ a
	7 <sup>1</sup>	$0.026 \pm 0.00186$ a	$0.026 \pm 0.0026$ a

Table 2. Average body weights of control and treated S. littoralis larvae after the experiment

Each extract was statistically compared with its control.

<sup>1</sup> Ethyl acetate extract; <sup>2</sup> Aerial Parts; <sup>\*</sup> (*P*<0.05)

Previous chemical investigations on different species of *Tanacetum* have shown the presence of acetylenes (Bohlman et al. 1965), flavonoides (Harborne et al. 1970), sesquiterpene lactones (Rustaiyan et al. 1990) and essential oils (Rustaiyan et al. 1999; Monfared et al. 2002; Majed-Jabari et al. 2002). In our previous study, the MeOH extract of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *cadmeum* aerial parts (62% AA) and the MeOH extract of *T. corymbosum* ssp. *cinereum* flowers (55.05% AA) showed antifeedant activity against *S. littoralis* larvae by lowering the consumption of the treated lettuce leaf disks. Artesin, taurin, artemin, tavulin, tanachin, tamirin, scopoletin, tanetin (6-hydroxykaempferol 3,7,4-trimethylether), 6-hydroxykaempferol 3,6-dimethylether were isolated from *T. cadmeum* ssp. *cadmeum* (Susurluk et al. 2007). The results showed that this group of compounds is responsible for the observed antifeedant effects. On the contrary, in this work, because the fractions of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale* have low rates of antifeedant activity levels at concentration of 1000 ppm, chemical analysis of the fractions were not made.

The results of this study do not suggest promising potential for the use of *T. cadmeum* ssp. *orientale*' the fractions as antifeedants against third instar larvae of *S. littoralis*.

### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by TUBITAK grant no. TARP–2422 and by the Research Fund of Yıldız Technical University, Grant No. 20–01–07–01.

### References

Bohlman, F., L. Fanglänel, K. M. Kleine, H. D. Kramer, H. Mönch and J. Schuber. 1965. Über neue polyine der Gattung Chrysanthemum L. Chemische Berichte, Volumen 98: 2596-2597.

Duke, J. A. 1985. Handbook of Medicinal Herbs, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 704 pp.

Gören, N., B. Demirci and K. H. C. Başer. 2001. Composition of the essential oils of *Tanacetum* spp. from Turkey. Flavour and Fragrance Journal, Vol. 16: 191-194.

- Harborne, J.B., V. H. Heywood and N. A. M. Saleh. 1970. Chemosystematics of the Compositae : flavonoid patterns in the Chrysanthemum complex of the tribe Anthemidae. Phytochemistry, Vol. 9: 2011-2016.
- Hough-Goldstein, J. and S.P. Hahn. 1992. Antifeedant and oviposition deterrent activity of an aqueous extract of *Tanacetum vulgare* L. on two cabbage pests. Environmental Entomology, Vol. 21: 837-844.
- Mahmood, U., V. K. Kaul and B. Singh. 2002. Sesquiterpene and long chain ester from *Tanacetum longifolium*. Phytochemistry, Vol. 61: 913-917.
- Majed-Jabari, T. Vatanpour, V. Rustaiyan and A. Monfared. 2002. Composition of the essential oil of *Tanacetum khorassanicum* (Krasch.) Parsa. a new species from Iran. Journal of Essential Oil Research, Vol. 14: 380-381.
- Monfared, A. Davarani, S. Rustaiyan and S. Masoudi. 2002. Composition of the essential oil of *Tanacetum balsamitoides* (Schultz Bip.) Grierson from Iran. Journal of Essential Oil Research, Vol. 14: 1-2.
- Nottingham, S. F., J. Hardie, G. W. Dawson, A. J. Hick, J. A. Pickett, L. J. Wadhams and C. M. Woodcock. 1991. Behavioral and electrophysiological responses of aphids to host and non host plant volatiles. Journal of Chemical Ecology, Vol. 17: 1231-1242.
- Panasiuk, O. 1984. Response of Colorado Potato Beetles *Leptinotarsa decemlineata*, (Say), to Volatile Components of Tansy, *Tanacetum vulgare*. Journal of Chemical Ecology, Vol. 10 (9): 1325-1333.
- Rustaiyan, A. Mojab, S. Salsali, M. Masoudi and M. Yari. 1999. Composition of the essential oil of *Tanacetum polycephalum* Schultz. Bip. Journal of Essential Oil Research, Vol. 11: 497-498.
- Rustaiyan, A. Zare, K. Habibi and Z. Haschemi, M. 1990. Germacrenolides from *Tanacetum polycephalum*. Phytochemistry, Vol. 29: 3022-3023.
- Schmidt, G. H., A. I. Ahmed and M. Breuer. 1997. Effect of Melia azedarach extract on larval development and reproduction parameters of Spodoptera littoralis (Boisd.) and Agrotis ipsilon (Hufn.) (Lep. Noctuidae). Anz. Schädlingskde., Pflanzenschutz, Umweltschutz Vol. 70:4-12.
- Schmutterer, H. 1992. Influence of azadirachtin, of an azadirachtin-free fraction of an alcoholic Neem seed kernel extract and of formulated extracts on pupation, adult emergence and adults of the Braconid *Apanteles glomeratus* L. (Hymenoptera: Braconidae). Journal of Applied Entomology, Vol. 113: 79-87.
- Statistica, 1991. Complete Statistical System by StatSoft, Inc. 2325 East 13th Street, Tulsa, OK 74104.
- Suomi, D., J.J. Brown and R.D. Akre. 1986. Responses to plant extracts of neonatal coding moth larvae, *Cydia pomonella* (L.), (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae: Olethreutinae). Journal of the Entomological Society of British Columbia, Vol. 83: 12-18.
- Susurluk, H., Z. Çalışkan, O. Gürkan, S. Kırmızıgül and N. Gören. 2007. Antifeedant activity of some *Tanacetum* species and bioassay guided isolation of the secondary metabolites of *Tanacetum cadmeum* ssp. *cadmeum* (Compositae). Industrial Crops and Products, Vol. 26: 220-228.

Wink, M. 1993. Production and application of phytochemicals from an agricultural perspective. In Phytochemistry and Agriculture, Vol. 34. ed. van Beek, T.A. and H. Breteler. pp. 171–213. Oxford: Clarendon.