



On Some Operators Generated by G-Method and Stack

Esra Dalan Yıldırım¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Yaşar University, İzmir, Turkey

Abstract

In this paper, two operators $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}^G, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^G$ are introduced by using G -method and stack, and a strong generalized topology $\tau_{\mathcal{F}}^G$ which is finer than G -generalized topology is obtained via the operator $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^G$. In addition, two new operators $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}^G, \Psi_{\Gamma}^G$ are defined and a new strong generalized topology $\sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^G$ is attained by Ψ_{Γ}^G . Basic properties of these operators are investigated and the relationships between these two strong topologies obtained and G -generalized topology are examined.

Keywords: stack, G -method, G -closure, strong generalized topology

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54A05, 54A10, 54A20

1. Introduction

The concept of sequential convergence is an substantial research subject in many branches of mathematics. Many types of convergence as a generalization of convergence have been studied by many researchers in different spaces (see [1, 7, 17, 18, 21]). Connor and Grosse-Erdmann [2] introduced G -methods defined on a linear subspace of the vector space of all real sequences by using linear functional G . Also, they defined G -convergence and G -continuity with the help of G -method. Çakallı [5, 6] defined G -sequential compactness, G -sequential continuity and G -sequential connectedness by extending the concepts to topological groups which satisfy the first axiom of countability. Mucuk and Şahan [12] gave a further investigation of G -sequential continuity these groups. Recently, Lin and Liu [14] introduced G -method and G -convergence in arbitrary sets and investigated the operators of G -hull, G -closure, G -kernel and G -interior. They defined G -generalized topology induced by G -methods. Thus, they expanded and enhanced some results for G -method on first countable topological groups. After than many studies on the G -method have done by Liu and the others in the light of the given paper (see [8, 15, 16, 20]).

The concept of stack like the concept of sequential convergence play an important role in many branches of mathematics such as topology, logic, measure theory. Grimeisen [13] and Thron [19] introduced the stack on a set. Later, many researchers as Hosny [9], Hosny and Al-Kadi [10] and Min and Kim [11] have studied on stacks.

In this paper, we introduce two operators $\varphi_{\mathcal{F}}^G, \Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^G$ by using G -method and stack. Then, we investigate basic properties of them and generated a strong generalized topology which is finer than G -generalized topology via the operator $\Psi_{\mathcal{F}}^G$. Also, we define new two operators $\Gamma_{\mathcal{F}}^G, \Psi_{\Gamma}^G$ and characterize them. Then, we obtain another strong generalized topology with the help of Ψ_{Γ}^G . In particular, we investigated when these two strong generalized topologies could be equal to G -generalized topology. We also gave various counter examples for supporting the study.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a set and $s(X)$ denotes the set of all X -valued sequences, i.e. $\mathbf{x} \in s(X)$ if and only if $\mathbf{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence with each $x_n \in X$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping, then $f(\mathbf{x}) = \{f(x_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in s(X)$. If X is a topological space, $c(X)$ denotes the set of all X -valued convergent sequences. Throughout the paper, all topological spaces are assumed to satisfy the T_2 -separation property.

Definition 2.1. [14] Let X be a set.

1. A method on X is a function $G: c_G(X) \rightarrow X$ defined on a subset $c_G(X)$ of $s(X)$.
2. A sequence $\mathbf{x} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be G -convergent to $l \in X$ if $\mathbf{x} \in c_G(X)$ and $G(\mathbf{x}) = l$.

Definition 2.2. [14] Let X be a topological space.

1. A method $G: c_G(X) \rightarrow X$ is called regular if $c(X) \subseteq c_G(X)$ and $G(\mathbf{x}) = \lim \mathbf{x}$ for each $\mathbf{x} \in c(X)$.
2. A method $G: c_G(X) \rightarrow X$ is called subsequential if, whenever $\mathbf{x} \in c_G(X)$ is G -convergent to $l \in X$, then there exists a subsequence $\mathbf{x}' \in c(X)$ of \mathbf{x} with $\lim \mathbf{x}' = l$.

Definition 2.3. [14] Let X be a set, G be a method on X and $A \subseteq X$.

1. A is called a G -closed set of X if whenever $x \in s(A) \cap c_G(X)$, then $G(x) \in A$. $X \setminus A$ is a G -open set if A is a G -closed set.
2. The G -closure of A is defined as the intersection of all G -closed sets containing A , and the G -closure of A is denoted by \bar{A}^G . The G -interior of A is defined as the union of all G -open sets contained in A , and the G -interior of A is denoted by $A^{\circ G}$.

Lemma 2.4. [14] Let X be a topological space.

1. If G is a regular method on X , then every G -closed set of X is sequentially closed.
2. If G is a subsequential method on X , then every sequentially closed set of X is G -closed.

Definition 2.5. [14] Let G be a method on a topological space X . X is said to be a G -sequential space if every G -closed set in X is closed.

Proposition 2.6. [14] Let G be a method on a set X and $A \subseteq X$. Then $x \in \bar{A}^G$ if and only if every subset U of X with $x \in U^{\circ G}$ intersects A .

Definition 2.7. [14] Let X be a set, G be a method on X and $Y \subseteq X$. Put $c_{G|Y}(Y) = \{x \in s(Y) \cap c_G(X) : G(x) \in Y\}$. The function $G|_Y : c_{G|Y}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ is called the submethod of G on Y .

Definition 2.8. [14] Let G be a method on a set X . The family $\tau_G = \{U \subseteq X : U \text{ is } G\text{-open in } X\}$ is a generalized topology on X and it is called the G -generalized topology on X .

Definition 2.9. [13, 19] A collection \mathcal{S} of the subsets of a set X is called a stack if $A \in \mathcal{S}$ whenever $B \in \mathcal{S}$ and $B \subseteq A$. A stack is proper if $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{S}$.

Definition 2.10. [3, 4] Let X be a nonempty set and μ be a collection of subsets of X . μ is called a generalized topology on X if and only if $\emptyset \in \mu$ and μ is closed under arbitrary unions. Moreover, if $X \in \mu$ then μ is called a strong generalized topology.

3. The Operator $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G$

Definition 3.1. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, we define the following operator:

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{x \in X : A \cap U \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for every } G\text{-open set } U \text{ containing } x\}.$$

Proposition 3.2. Let G be a method and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ be three stacks on a set X . For $A, B \subseteq X$, the following statements hold:

1. $A \subseteq B$ implies $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$.
2. $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2$ implies $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}_1}^G(A) \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}_2}^G(A)$.
3. If $T \notin \mathcal{S}$, then $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(T) = \emptyset$.

Proof.

1. Let $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$. Then, there exists a G -open set U containing x such that $B \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Since $A \subseteq B$, we have $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.
2. Assume that $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2$. Let $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}_2}^G(A)$. Then, there is a G -open set U containing x such that $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}_2$. By hypothesis, we get $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}_1$. Hence $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}_1}^G(A)$.
3. Since $T \cap U \subseteq T$ and $T \notin \mathcal{S}$ for each G -open set U containing $x \in X$, we obtain $T \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(T) = \emptyset$. □

Proposition 3.3. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, the following statements hold:

1. $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq \bar{A}^G$.
2. $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.

Proof.

1. Let $x \notin \bar{A}^G$. Then, there exists a subset U of X with $x \in U^{\circ G}$ such that $U \cap A = \emptyset \notin \mathcal{S}$. Hence, we get $U^{\circ G} \cap A \notin \mathcal{S}$. Since $U^{\circ G}$ is G -open and $x \in U^{\circ G}$, we say $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.
2. Suppose that $x \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A))$. Then, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \in \mathcal{S}$ for every G -open set U containing x . That is, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Thus, there exists an element $y \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U$. Since U is G -open set containing y and $y \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$, we get $A \cap U \in \mathcal{S}$. Hence, $x \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. □

The following examples show that the converse implications of Proposition 3.2(1) and the equalities in Proposition 3.3(1) and (2) are not true in general.

Example 3.4. Let's take the example of the G -method in [[14], Example 2.13(1)]. Let X be the set of all integers. Put $c_G(X) = s(X)$ and $G : c_G(X) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $G(x) = 0$ for each $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_G(X)$. Let $\mathcal{S} = \{S \subseteq X : 1 \in S\}$ be a stack on X . For $A = \{1, 2\}$ and $B = \{3\}$, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{0, 1\}$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) = \emptyset$. Also, we get $\bar{A}^G = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

Example 3.5. Let's take the example of G -method in [[14], Example 7.4(1)]. Let X be the set of all integers with the discrete topology. Put $c_{G_1}(X) = \{\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in S(X) : \text{there exists } m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ such that } \{x_n - x_{n-1}\}_{n > m} \text{ is a constant sequence}\}$. $G_1 : c_{G_1}(X) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $G_1(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n+1} - x_n)$ for each $x = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in c_{G_1}(X)$. Let $Y = 2\mathbb{N}$ be a space of X with $G_2 = G_1|_Y$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{S \subseteq Y : \{2, 4\} \subseteq S\}$ be a stack on Y . For $A = \{0, 2, 4\}$, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) = \emptyset \neq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{0\}$.

Remark 3.6. Note that $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X) \subseteq X$ but the equality is not true in general. Moreover, there is no relationship between $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ and A for $A \subseteq X$. We can easily see that in Example 3.4 because $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X) = \{0, 1\} \neq X$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\{1, 2\}) = \{0, 1\}$. Also, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ for a proper stack \mathcal{S} .

Proposition 3.7. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . \mathcal{S} is the superset of all G -open sets other than empty set if and only if $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X) = X$.

Proof. It is clear. □

Lemma 3.8. Let G be a method, \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X and $A \subseteq X$. If $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$ for some G -open set U containing x , then $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let U be a G -open set containing x such that $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Assume that $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \in \mathcal{S}$. From here, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists an element $y \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U$. Since $y \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ and U is G -open containing y , we have $A \cap U \in \mathcal{S}$. This contradicts our hypothesis. Thus, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Similarly, it is shown that $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U = \emptyset$. □

Proposition 3.9. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, the following statements hold:

1. $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ is G -closed.
2. If A is G -closed then $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq A$.

Proof.

1. Let $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. Then, there exists a G -open set U containing x such that $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. From Lemma 3.8, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U = \emptyset$. Since U is G -open, we get $x \notin \overline{\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)}^G$. This implies that $\overline{\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)}^G \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. Thus, we get $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \overline{\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)}^G$. That is, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ is G -closed.
2. Assume that A is G -closed and $x \notin A$. Then, $X \setminus A$ is G -open set containing x . Since $(X \setminus A) \cap A = \emptyset \notin \mathcal{S}$, we have $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. □

Proposition 3.10. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.2(1), we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A))$. Let's show that the converse inclusion and $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. Then, there exists a G -open set U containing x such that $A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. By Lemma 3.8, we get $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U = \emptyset$. Then, $(A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) \cap U = A \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A))$. □

Theorem 3.11. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X .

$$A \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \text{ if and only if } \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \overline{A}^G.$$

Proof. Assume that $A \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. Since $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ is G -closed, we have $\overline{A}^G \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. Also, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq \overline{A}^G$ from Proposition 3.3(1). Thus, $\overline{A}^G = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. The converse implication is clear from the definition of \overline{A}^G . □

Definition 3.12. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, we define the following operator:

$$\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A).$$

Proposition 3.13. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . For $A, B \subseteq X$, the following statements hold:

1. $A \subseteq B$ implies $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$.
2. $A \subseteq \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.
3. $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cap B) \subseteq \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$ and $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cup \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) \subseteq \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup B)$.

Proof.

1. It is clear from the Proposition 3.2(1).
2. It is obvious.
3. The proofs are obvious from Proposition 3.2(1). □

Proposition 3.14. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) = \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.

Proof. $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) = \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) = (A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A))$. From Proposition 3.10, we have $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)) = A \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. □

The following examples show that the equalities in Proposition 3.13 are not true in general.

Example 3.15. Consider Example 3.5. For $A = \{2\}$ and $B = \{4\}$, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \emptyset = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup B) = \{0\}$. Thus, $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cup \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) = \{2, 4\} \neq \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup B) = \{0, 2, 4\}$.

Example 3.16. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{\{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, X\}$ be a stack on X . Put $c_G(X) = s(X)$ and $G : c_G(X) \rightarrow X$ is defined by $G(x) = d$ for each $x \in c_G(X)$. For $A = \{a, c\}$ and $B = \{c, d\}$, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{d\} = \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$. Hence, $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) = \{c, d\} \neq \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cap B) = \{c\}$.

Remark 3.17. Note that $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X) = X$. Also, for a proper stack, $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\emptyset) = \emptyset$ since $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

Theorem 3.18. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X .

$$\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G = \{H \subseteq X : \Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus H) = X \setminus H\}$$

is a strong generalized topology on X with $\tau_G \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$.

Proof. From Remark 3.17, we have $\emptyset, X \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$. Let $H_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ for $i \in I$. $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus \cup_{i \in I} H_i) = (X \setminus \cup_{i \in I} H_i) \cup \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus \cup_{i \in I} H_i) = X \setminus \cup_{i \in I} H_i$ since $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus \cup_{i \in I} H_i) \subseteq \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus H_i) \subseteq X \setminus H_i$. From here, $\cup_{i \in I} H_i \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$. Thus, $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ is a strong generalized topology on X . Also, let $U \in \tau_G$. Then, $X \setminus U$ is G -closed. From Proposition 3.9(2), $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus U) \subseteq X \setminus U$. Thus, $\Psi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus U) = X \setminus U$. That is, $U \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ and $\tau_G \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$. \square

Example 3.19. Consider Example 3.4, we obtain $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G = \{X\} \cup \{U \subseteq X : 0 \notin U\} \cup \{H \subseteq X : 1 \in H\}$ such that $\tau_G \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$.

The following example shows that $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ is not a topology in general.

Example 3.20. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and $\mathcal{S} = \{\{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, c, d\}, X\}$ be a stack on X . Consider the G -method in Example 3.16. For $A = \{a, d\}$ and $B = \{c, d\}$, we have $A, B \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ but $A \cap B \notin \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$.

Theorem 3.21. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . For $H \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$, $H = \cup(V \setminus T)$ for G -open set V and $T \notin \mathcal{S}$.

Proof. Firstly, we must show that $V \setminus T \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ for G -open set V and $T \notin \mathcal{S}$. For this, let's prove $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus (V \setminus T)) \subseteq (X \setminus (V \setminus T))$. Let $x \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G((X \setminus V) \cup T)$. Then, for each G -open set U containing x such that $((X \setminus V) \cup T) \cap U = ((X \setminus V) \cap U) \cup (T \cap U) \in \mathcal{S}$. If $(X \setminus V) \cap U = \emptyset$ then $T \cap U \in \mathcal{S}$. That is, $T \in \mathcal{S}$. This is a contradiction. In that case, $(X \setminus V) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Since U is G -open, $x \in U = U \circ G$. From here, $x \in \overline{(X \setminus V)}^G = (X \setminus V) \subseteq (X \setminus V) \cup T$. Thus, $V \setminus T \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ for G -open set V and $T \notin \mathcal{S}$. Let $x \in H \in \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$. Then, $x \notin \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus H)$ and there exists G -open set V containing x such that $V \cap (X \setminus H) \notin \mathcal{S}$. Say $T = V \cap (X \setminus H)$. Then, $x \in V \setminus T \subseteq H$. \square

Corollary 3.22. Let G be a method and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ be a stack on a set X . Then, $\tau_G = \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$.

Corollary 3.23. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a topological space (X, τ) .

1. If G is a subsequential method, then every sequentially closed set is $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ -closed. In addition, if X is first countable space, then every closed set is $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ -closed.
2. If G is regular method and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, then every $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ -closed is sequentially closed. In addition, if X is first countable space, then every $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ -closed set is closed.
3. If G is regular subsequential method and $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{P}(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}$, then sequentially closed sets coincide with $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ -closed sets. In addition, if X is first countable space then closed sets coincide with $\tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ -closed sets.

4. The Operator $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G$

Definition 4.1. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, we define the following operator:

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{x \in X : A \cap \overline{U}^G \in \mathcal{S} \text{ for each } G\text{-open set } U \text{ containing } x\}.$$

Lemma 4.2. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. Then, for each G -open set U containing x , we have $A \cap U \in \mathcal{S}$. Since $A \cap U \subseteq A \cap \overline{U}^G$, we have $A \cap \overline{U}^G \in \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $x \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. \square

The equality in Lemma 4.2 may not hold in general.

Example 4.3. Consider Example 3.16. For $A = \{c, d\}$, we have $\varphi_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{d\} \neq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{c, d\}$.

Proposition 4.4. Let G be a method and $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2$ be three stacks on a set X . For $A, B \subseteq X$, the following statements hold:

1. $A \subseteq B$ implies $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$.
2. $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2$ implies $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_1}^G(A) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_2}^G(A)$.
3. If $T \notin \mathcal{S}$, then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(T) = \emptyset$.

Proof.

1. Let $x \notin \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$. Then, there exists a G -open set U containing x such that $B \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}$. Since $A \cap \overline{U}^G \subseteq B \cap \overline{U}^G$, we have $A \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $x \notin \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$.
2. Assume that $\mathcal{S}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}_2$. Let $x \notin \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_2}^G(A)$. Then, there is a G -open set U containing x such that $A \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}_2$. By hypothesis, we get $A \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}_1$. Hence $x \notin \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}_1}^G(A)$.
3. Since $T \notin \mathcal{S}$ and $T \cap \overline{U}^G \subseteq T$ for each G -open set U containing $x \in X$, we have $T \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}$. Thus, $x \notin \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(T)$ i.e. $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(T) = \emptyset$. \square

The following example shows that the converse implication of Proposition 4.4(1) is not true in general.

Example 4.5. Consider Example 3.16. For $A = \{a, c\}$ and $B = \{c, d\}$, we have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = \{d\} \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) = \{c, d\}$ but $A \not\subseteq B$.

Remark 4.6. Note that, there is no relationship between $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ and A . For instance, if we take the stack $\mathcal{S} = \{S \subseteq X : 0 \in S\}$ in Example 3.4, we have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) = X$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) = \emptyset$ for $A = \{0, 1\}$ and $B = \{2\}$. Moreover, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X) \subseteq X$ but the equality is not true in general. We can see that in Example 3.4, since $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X) = \{0, 1\} \neq X$. Also, for a proper stack, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

Lemma 4.7. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X and let $A \subseteq X$. If $A \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}$ for some G -open set U containing x , then $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U = \emptyset$.

Proof. Let U be a G -open set containing x such that $A \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}$. Assume that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \in \mathcal{S}$. From here, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Then, there exists an element $y \in U$ and $y \in \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. From here, $A \cap \overline{U}^G \in \mathcal{S}$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U \notin \mathcal{S}$. Analogously, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U = \emptyset$. \square

Proposition 4.8. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ is G -closed.

Proof. Let $x \notin \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. Then, there exists a G -open set U containing x such that $A \cap \overline{U}^G \notin \mathcal{S}$. From Lemma 4.7, we have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap U = \emptyset$. Since $x \in U = U \circ G$, we get $x \notin \overline{\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)}^G$. Thus, $\overline{\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)}^G \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$. That is, $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A)$ is G -closed. \square

Remark 4.9. Note that $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup B)$ and $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cap B) \subseteq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B)$ from Proposition 4.4(1). But the equalities may not hold in general. Consider Example 3.16. For $A = \{c\}$ and $B = \{d\}$, we have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cup \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) = \emptyset \neq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cup B) = \{c, d\}$. Also, for $A = \{a, c\}$ and $B = \{c, d\}$, we have $\Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A) \cap \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(B) = \{d\} \neq \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(A \cap B) = \emptyset$.

Definition 4.10. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, we define the following operator:

$$\Psi_{\Gamma}^G(A) = X \setminus \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus A).$$

Proposition 4.11. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a stack on a set X . For $A, B \subseteq X$, $A \subseteq B$ implies $\Psi_{\Gamma}^G(A) \subseteq \Psi_{\Gamma}^G(B)$.

Proof. It is clear from Proposition 4.4(1). \square

Proposition 4.12. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . For $A \subseteq X$, $\Psi_{\Gamma}^G(A)$ is G -open.

Proof. By Proposition 4.8, it is obvious. \square

Theorem 4.13. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X .

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G = \{H \subseteq X : H \subseteq \Psi_{\Gamma}^G(H)\}$$

is a strong generalized topology on X with $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$.

Proof. Since $\Psi_{\Gamma}^G(X) = X \setminus \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(X \setminus X) = X \setminus \Gamma_{\mathcal{S}}^G(\emptyset) = X \setminus \emptyset = X$ and $\emptyset \subseteq \Psi_{\Gamma}^G(\emptyset)$, we have $X, \emptyset \in \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G$. Let $H_i \in \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ for $i \in I$. From Proposition 4.11 and our hypothesis, $H_i \subseteq \Psi_{\Gamma}^G(H_i) \subseteq \Psi_{\Gamma}^G(\cup_{i \in I} H_i)$ for every $i \in I$. Hence, $\cup_{i \in I} H_i \subseteq \Psi_{\Gamma}^G(\cup_{i \in I} H_i)$. Thus, $\cup_{i \in I} H_i \in \sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G$. This shows that $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G$ is a strong generalized topology. Also, by Lemma 4.2, we have $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G \subseteq \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$. \square

Corollary 4.14. Let G be a method and \mathcal{S} be a proper stack on a set X . If each G -open set in X is also G -closed then $\sigma_{\mathcal{S}}^G = \tau_{\mathcal{S}}^G$.

References

- [1] J. Connor, The statistical and strong p-Cesáro convergence of sequences, Analysis, Vol: 8, No: 1-2, (1988), 47- 64.
- [2] J. Connor and K. Grosse-Erdmann, Sequential definitions of continuity for real functions, Rocky Mountain J. Math., Vol: 33, No: 1, (2003), 93- 122.
- [3] Á. Császár, Generalized topology, generalized continuity, Acta Math. Hungar., Vol: 96, (2002), 351- 357.
- [4] Á. Császár, Extremely disconnected generalized topologies, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest., Vol: 47, (2004), 91- 96.
- [5] H. Çakalli, Sequential definitions of compactness, App. Math. Lett., Vol: 21, No: 6, (2008), 594- 598.
- [6] H. Çakalli, Sequential definitions of connectedness, App. Math. Lett., Vol: 25, (2012), 461- 465.
- [7] G. Di Maio and Lj. D. R. Kočinac, Statistical convergence in topology, Topology Appl., Vol: 156, No: 1, (2008), 28-45.
- [8] F. Gürcan and A. Çaksu Güler, On connectedness via a G -method and a hereditary class, Konuralp Journal of Mathematics, Vol: 8, No: 2, (2020), 370-375.
- [9] R. A. Hosny, Modification of soft topology via soft stacks, Information, Vol: 19, No: 10, (2016), 4623- 4632.
- [10] R. A. Hosny and D. Al-Kadi, Supra soft topology generated from soft topology via soft stack, South Asian Journal of Mathematics, Vol: 7, No: 1, (2017), 25-33.
- [11] W. Min and Y. K. Kim, Operators induced by stacks on a topological space, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol: 78, No: 6, (2012), 887- 894.
- [12] O. Mucuk and T. Şahan, On G -sequential continuity, Filomat, Vol: 28, No: 6, (2014), 1181- 1189.
- [13] G. Grimeisen, Gefilterte summation von filtern und iterierte grenzproesse, Math. Annalen I, Vol: 141, (1960), 318- 342.
- [14] S. Lin and L. Liu, G -methods, G -sequential spaces and G -continuity in topological spaces, Topology Appl., Vol: 212, (2016), 29-48.
- [15] L. Liu, G -derived sets and G -boundary, J. Yangzhou Univ. Nat. Sci., Vol: 20, No: 1, (2017), 18- 22.
- [16] L. Liu, G -kernel-open sets, G -kernel-neighborhoods and G -kernel derived sets, Journal of Mathematical Research with Appl., Vol: 38, No: 3, (2018), 276- 286.
- [17] V. Renukadevi and B. Prakash, Some characterizations of spaces with weak form of cs-networks, J. Math. Res. Appl., Vol: 36, No: 3, (2016), 369- 378.
- [18] Z. Tang and F. Lin, Statistical versions of sequential and Fréchet-Urysohn spaces, Adv. Math., Vol: 44, No: 6, (2015), 945-954.
- [19] W.J. Thron, Proximity structures and grills, Math. Ann., Vol: 206, No: 4, (1973), 35- 62.
- [20] Y. Wu and F. Lin, The G -connected property and the G -topological groups, Filomat, Vol: 33, No: 14, (2019), 4441- 4450.
- [21] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, (1959).