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# Polynomial Moulton Planes 

Rüstem KAYA<br>Department of Mathematics, University of Ankara


#### Abstract

In this paper a family of affine planes is defined. Any plane in the family is determined by a triple ( $F, \varnothing, n$ ) consisting of a pseudo-ordered field $F$, a one-to-one and order reversing or order preserving function $\varnothing$ of $F$ onto itself, and an element $n$ of the set $N_{2}=\{2 x: x \in N$ the set of positive integers $\}$ if $\varnothing$ is order reversing or an element $n$ of either of the sets $N_{1}=\{2 x-1: x \in N\}$ and $N_{3}=\left\{(2 x-1)^{-1}: x \in N\right\}$ if $\varnothing$ is order preserving. In the case where $F$ is a finite field of order $q$ if $n \in N_{2}$ then $(q-1, n)=2$ and the elements $\alpha$ and $-\alpha$ are not both square or non-square elements in the field $F$; if $n \in N_{1}$ or $n \in N_{3}$ then ( $q-1, n$ ) $=1$ or $\left(q-1, n^{-1}\right)=1$ respectively. These planes are non-desarguesian for every $n$ and every $F$ unless $\varnothing(x)=\alpha x+\beta$, where $\alpha \in F$ but $\alpha \notin P \cup\{0\}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ according as $n \in N_{2}$ or $n \in N_{1} \cup N_{3} ; \beta \in F$, ( $P$ is the multiplicative subgroup of index 2 of $F$ ). For $\mathrm{n}=0$ the planes in the family are the so-called Moulton planes.


## 1. INTRODUCTION

Early in this century, Moulton [5] gave a construction of a non-desarguesian plane. The points of this plane are points of the euclidean plane, that is, ordered pairs of ( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}$ ) of the field of real numbers. Its lines are i) ordinary lines of the form $x=a$, or $\mathbf{y}=\mathrm{m} \mathbf{y x}+\mathrm{b}$ if $\mathrm{m} \leq 0$; ii) broken lines of the form $\mathrm{y}=\mathbf{m x}+\mathrm{b}$ or $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{mx}+\mathrm{b})$ depending on whether $\mathrm{y}<0$ or $\mathrm{y} \geq 0$, for all $\mathrm{m}>0$ and a constant $c, 1 \neq c>0$. Levenberg [4] has generalized the Moulton plane by consideration of the broken line geometries defined by an arbitrary function $\varnothing$ between the upper and lower half-plane angles. All of the Leverberg-Moulton planes have been constructed by means of broken lines of the euclidean plane. Pickert [6] has replaced the field of real numbers by an ordered skewfield and exchanged the roles of the $x$ and $y$ axes in the Moulton Construction for certain reasons. The following generalization of the Moulton plane is due to Pierce [7]: Let F be a pseudoordered field and $\varnothing$, a one-to-one and order preserving function on $F$
such that $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \varnothing(\mathrm{x})$ and $\mathrm{x} \rightarrow \varnothing(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} \in \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}}<0$, both carry $F$ onto itself. The points of any Pierce-Moulton plane are ordered pairs ( $x, y$ ), and its lines are given by the equations $x=a$ and $\mathbf{y}=\mathbf{m} \circ \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{b}$, where $\mathrm{m} \circ \mathrm{x}$ denotes $\varnothing(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{x}$ or mx according as $\mathrm{x}<0$ or $\mathbf{x} \geq 0$, for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathrm{m}, \mathbf{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{F}$. For additional references pertaining to pseudo-ordered fields see Pierce [7]. In [3], I have given another generalization for the Moulton plane by replacing the broken halflines with particularly chosen polynomial curves of odd degree. In such a plane a deformed line has the equation $y=m(x-a)$ or $y=\mathrm{cm}(x-a)^{n}$ depending on whether $y<0$ or $y \geq 0$, where all $a, c, m, x, y$ are real numbers with $c>0, m>0$ and $n$ is a positive odd integer; $c$ and $n$ are constants for a plane.

The present paper generalizes certain Pierce-Moultou planes [7] and the Levenberg-Moulton planes [4], and also generalizes the planes given in [3] in many ways. In both the Levenberg-Moulton planes and the Pierce-Moulton planes the half-lines refracted by an arbitrary function $\varnothing$ are replaced by certain curves over the pseudo-ordered field $F$, which are also refracted by the same function $\varnothing$. However, it is not possible to generalize some of the Pierce-Moulton planes in this way, for instance the plane on the field of rational numbers. Generalized desarguesian and nondesarguesian planes are determined by finding the functions $\varnothing$ which define them. The $y$-axis is taken as the refraction axis for all planes. In the last part of the paper the fields which are used to construct a $\operatorname{PM}(F, \varnothing, n)$ with $n \in N_{2}$ are determined. The connection between polynomial Moulton planes and the other planes known as Moulton planes are discussed.

## 2. POLYNOMIAL MOULTON PLANES

Our terminology will follow in part Pierce [7]. Let $N$ denote the set of all positive integers. For the sake of brevity the following sets will be used very often: $\mathrm{N}_{1}=\{2 \mathrm{x}-1: \mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{N}\}, \mathrm{N}_{2}=\{2 \mathrm{x}$ : $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{N}\}, \mathbf{N}_{3}=\left\{(2 x-1)^{-1}: \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{N}\right\}$ and $S=\mathbf{N}_{1} \cup \mathbf{N}_{2} \cup \mathbf{N}_{3}$. Throughout the paper $F$ stands for both the field $o$ freal numbers $R$ and the finite field $G F(q)$ of order $q$, where $q=p^{r}, p$ an odd prime and $r \in N$. Unless otherwise stated, $P$ denotes the multiplicative subgroup of index 2 of F , and $\overline{\mathrm{P}}=\mathrm{F}-(\mathrm{P} \cup\{0\})$. Whenever a finite field
is being considered it is assumed that there is a pseudo-order on it, while $R$ ise ordered in the usual way. Let $x, y \in F$. It is said that $x$ and $y$ have the same sing if $x, y \in P$ or $x, y \in \widetilde{P}$ and opposite sings if $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{y} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ or $\mathrm{y} \in \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{x} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$. A single-valued function $\varnothing$ on a field $F$ is said to be order preserving if and only if $(\varnothing(\mathrm{x})-\varnothing(\mathrm{y})) /(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) \in \mathrm{P}$; and order reversing if and only if $(\varnothing(\mathrm{x})-\phi(\mathrm{y})) /(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y}) \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$, for all $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$.

DEFINITIONS: Let $\varnothing$ be a one-to-one function of the field F onto itself and $n$ be an element of the set S.A Polynomial Moulton construction is a collection of points and lines, in which (i) each point is an ordered pair ( $x, y$ ), $x, y \in F$, and (ii) each line is any one of the following sets of the points:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[\mathrm{a}]=\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}): \mathrm{x}=\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y} \in \mathrm{~F}\}} \\
& {[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~b}]=\left\{(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}): \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{m} * \mathrm{x}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{~m} * \mathrm{x}=\varnothing(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}} \text { if } \mathrm{x} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}\right. \text { and }} \\
& m * x=m x \text { if } x \in P \smile\{0\} ; b, m, x, y \in F\} \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Hereafter $m$ and $b$ will be called the slope and the $y$-intercept of the line [ $\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{b}$ ], respectively. Lines having the form [a] will be called vertical lines. A Polynomial Moulton construction will be denoted by the symbol $\operatorname{PC}(F, \varnothing, n)$.

A construction $\mathrm{PC}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ is called a Polynomial Moulton plane if and only if its points and lines form an affine plane. If $\operatorname{PC}(F, \varnothing, n)$ is such a construction, it will be denoted by the symbol $\operatorname{PM}(F, \varnothing, n)$.

Let us now give the following preliminary lemmas.
LEMMA 1. If $\varnothing$ is a one-to-one and order reversing function of the field F onto itself then $\varphi: \mathrm{x} \rightarrow \varnothing(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{p}_{0} \in \mathrm{P}$, is a one-toone and onto function of F .

If $\varphi(\mathrm{x})=\varphi(\mathrm{y})$ then $\varnothing(\mathrm{x})-\varnothing(\mathrm{y})=\mathbf{p}_{\mathrm{o}}(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})$, which is impossible unless $x=y$ because $p_{o} \in P$ and $\varnothing$ is order reversing. Hence, $\varphi$ is one-to-one. If $F$ is finite then the "onto" property of $\varphi$ follows from the finiteness; if $F=R$ then it can be easily shown that $\varphi$ is "onto".

LEMMA 2. If $\varnothing$ is one-to-one and order preserving function of the field F onto itself then $\Psi: \mathrm{x} \rightarrow \varnothing(\mathrm{x})-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{o}} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$, is a one-toone and onto function of F .

This lemma is indirectly proven for the finite case in Pierce [7], and is obviously valid for $F=R$.

LEMMA 3. Let P be the multiplicative subgroup of index 2 of the field $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q}), \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{p}$ an odd prime number, $\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{N}$, and let $\overline{\mathbf{P}}=\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})-(\mathrm{P} \cup\{0\})$. Then the binomial equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{x}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{a}=\mathbf{0} \mathbf{a} \in \mathrm{P}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{2} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a unique solution in $\overline{\mathrm{P}}$ if and only if i) the greatest common divisor of n and $\mathrm{q}-1$ is 2, that is, $(\mathrm{q}-1, \mathrm{n})=2$, and ii) each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P}$ implies -x $\in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$.

Let $x^{n}-a=0$ with $a \in P$ and $n \in N_{2}$ has a unique solution $\alpha \in \bar{P}$. Then each $a \in P$ is necessarily an $n$-th power in the GF (q), which implies that ( $q-1, n)=2$, see Dickson [2, §. 63]. Hence, equation (I) has exactly two roots in the $G F(q)$, and the second root is $-\alpha$ which can be in $\overline{\mathrm{P}}$ unless ii) is satisfied.

Conversely, if ( $q-1, n$ ) $=2$ then ( $q-1$ )/2 elements in the GF( $q$ ) are $n$-th powers, which are elements of $P$ because of $n \in N_{2}$ and $P$ consists of the even powers of a primitive root of the GF(q). It follows from ii) that only one of the two roots of equation (I) is in $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$.

THEOREM 1. A construction $\operatorname{PC}(F, \varnothing, \mathbf{n})$ with $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{2}$ forms a Polynomial Moulton plane $\operatorname{PM}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ if and only if i) the function $\varnothing$ is order reversing ; and for $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ additionally ii) $(\mathrm{q}-1, \mathrm{n})=2$ and iii) each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P}$ implies $\cdot \mathrm{x} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$.

A construction $\operatorname{PC}(\mathbf{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ with $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{1}$ or $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{3}$ forms a Polynomial Moulton plane if and only if $\mathrm{i}_{1}$ ) the function $\varnothing$ is order preserving; and for $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ additionally $\left.\mathrm{ii}_{2}\right)(\mathrm{q}-1, \mathrm{n})=1$ if $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{1}$, or $\left(\mathrm{q}^{-1}, \mathrm{n}^{-1}\right)=1$ if $n \in \mathrm{~N}_{3}$.

The first axiom for an affine plane is that for any two distinct points there exists exactly one line which is on both points. Let ( $\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{u}_{1}, \mathrm{v}_{1}$ ) be two distinct points of a given PC(F, $\left.\varnothing, \mathrm{n}\right)$. Suppose $u_{0} \in \bar{P}, u_{1} \in P \cup\{0\}$, then we have $v_{0}=\varnothing(m) u_{0}{ }^{n}+b$ and $\mathrm{v}_{1}=\mathrm{mu}_{\mathbf{1}}+\mathrm{b}$. By eliminating $b$ between these two equations we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varnothing(\mathrm{m})-\left(\mathrm{u}_{1} / \mathrm{u}_{0}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right) \mathrm{m}+\left(\mathrm{v}_{1}-\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}\right) / \mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{n}}=0 . \tag{II}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u_{1}=0$ then as $\varnothing$ is one-to-one and onto, $m=\varnothing^{-1}\left(\left(v_{0}-v_{1}\right) / u_{0}{ }^{n}\right)$. Let $u_{1} \neq 0$. If $n \in N_{2}$ and $\varnothing$ is order reversing, by lemma 1 , (and if $n \in N_{1}$ and $\varnothing$ is order preserving, by lemma 2) there exists a unique solution $m$ in $F$ for equation (II). Therefore, if $n \in \mathbf{N}_{2}$, then the order reversing (or, if $n \in N_{1}$, the order preserving) property of $\varnothing$ is sufficient to prove that only one line joins any two distinct points. In the case where $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{o}} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathbf{u}_{1} \in \mathbf{P} \smile\{0\}$. Suppose $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{o}} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}, \mathbf{u}_{1} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ with $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{o}} \neq \mathbf{u}_{1}$. Then we have $\varnothing(\mathbf{m})\left(\mathbf{u}_{0}{ }^{n}-\mathbf{u}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}\right)=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}}-\mathrm{v}_{1}$. Since $\mathbf{u}_{0} \neq \mathbf{u}_{1}$, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{u}_{1}{ }^{\mathrm{n}} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow\left(\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{u}_{1}{ }^{-1}\right)^{\mathrm{n}} \neq 1$ for $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{u}_{1}{ }^{-1} \neq 1 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{a}^{\mathrm{n}} \neq 1$ for every $a \in P, a \neq 1$. If $n \in N_{2}$ and $(q-1, n)=2$ then there exist integers $h$ and $k$ such that $(q-1) h+n k=2$. Hence $\left.a^{2}=a^{(q-1}\right)^{\mathbf{q}^{\mathbf{h}+n k}}=\left(a^{\mathrm{n}}\right)^{\mathrm{k}}$. Clearyly $a^{2} \neq 1$ for $a \neq 1$ if and only if $a \neq-1$. But $a \neq-1$ is always true since $a \in P, 1 \in P$ and by iii) $-1 \in \bar{P}$. It follows that $1 \neq \mathbf{a}^{2}=$ $\left(a^{n}\right)^{k}$, that is, $\left(a^{n}\right)^{k} \neq 1$. Therefore we have $a^{n} \neq 1$. If $n \in N_{1}$ and $(q-1, n)=1$ then there exist integers $s$ and $t$ such that $1=(q-1) s+n t$. Therefore $1 \neq a=a^{(q-1) s+n t}=\left(a^{n}\right)^{t}$. But $1 \neq\left(a^{n}\right)^{t} \Rightarrow a^{n} \neq 1$ Consequently, in this case ii) and iii) or the first part of $i_{1}$ ) are sufficient for the the first axiom of an affine plane to be satisfied according as $n \in N_{2}$ or $n \in N_{1}$, respectively. Clearly, in all other possible cases the axiom is satisfied without any extra condition for $\varnothing, F$ and $n$.

Let us now verify the second axion, that is, existence of a unique line which is on a given point and parallel to a given line. Two lines are considered parallel if and only if they coincide or have no point in common. It is easily seen that the lines which pass through the given point ( $u_{0}, v_{0}$ ) and parallel to the lines [a] and [ $m, b$ ] are [ $u_{0}$ ] and [ $m, v_{0}-m * u_{0}$ ], respectively. Further, every pair of the lines [a] and [ $m, b$ ] have the common point $(a, m * a+b) . I \mathrm{fm}_{1} \neq \mathrm{m}_{2}$ then the lines [ $\left.\mathrm{m}_{1}, \mathrm{~b}_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\mathrm{m}_{2}, \mathrm{~b}_{2}\right]$ meet at the point $\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{o}}, \mathrm{m}_{1} * \mathrm{x}_{\mathbf{0}}+\mathrm{b}_{1}\right)$ with $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{o}}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}-\mathrm{b}_{2}\right) /\left(\mathrm{m}_{2}-\mathrm{m}_{1}\right)$ or $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{o}}^{\mathrm{n}}=\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}-\mathrm{b}_{2}\right) /\left(\varnothing\left(\mathrm{m}_{2}\right)-\varnothing\left(\mathrm{m}_{1}\right)\right.$ according as $x_{0} \in P \cup\{0\}$ or $x_{0} \in P$. In the case where $n \in N_{2}$ and $\varnothing$ is order reversing, by using lemma 3 and also the fact that no element in $\overline{\mathrm{P}}$ is an even power in the $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$, it is seen that the unique existence of $x_{o}$ is equivalent to the conditions ii) and iii) of the theorem; in the case where $n \in N_{1}$ and $\varnothing$ is order preserving, $\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}-\mathrm{b}_{2}\right) /\left(\mathrm{m}_{2}-\mathrm{m}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\left(\mathrm{b}_{1}-\mathrm{b}_{2}\right)\right) /\left(\varnothing\left(\mathrm{m}_{2}\right)-\varnothing\left(\mathrm{m}_{1}\right)\right)^{1 / \mathrm{n}}$ have the same sign and therefore if $F=G F(q)$, then the existence and uniqueness of
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{q}-1$ and n being relatively prime, see Dickson $[2, \S 63]$.

Conversely if $n \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ but $\varnothing$ fails to reverse the order for some $m_{1}, m_{2}$ in $F, m_{1} \neq m_{2}$, then $\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\varnothing\left(m_{2}\right)-\varnothing\left(m_{1}\right)\right)$ could have the same sign and therefore [ $m_{1}, b_{1}$ ] and [ $m_{2}, b_{2}$ ] could have had either no point or two points in common depending on whether $\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) /\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right)$ is in $\overline{\mathbf{P}}$ or $P$. It follows that the order reversing property of $\varnothing$ is necessary in order that any two non-parallel lines meet on a unique point. Similarly, if $n \in N_{1}$ but $\varnothing$ fails to preserve the order for some $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ in $F, m_{1} \neq m_{2}$, then $\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right)$ and $\varnothing\left(m_{2}\right)-\varnothing\left(m_{1}\right)$ could have different signs and therefore the lines [ $m_{1}, b_{1}$ ] and [ $m_{2}, b_{2}$ ] couldn't meet on exactly one point, that is, order preserving property is necessary for the second axiom to be satisfied.

In the above proof the case where $n \in N_{3}$ was skipped. In fact, it is more or less the same with the case where $n \in \mathbf{N}_{1}$. However, the condition ( $\mathrm{q}-1, \mathrm{n}^{-1}$ ) $=1$ is also needed for the first axiom to be satisfied, as well as for the binary operation ${ }_{*}$ to be a single valued symbol. The presence of three noncollinear points is obvious so the proof of the theorem is now complete.

Polynomial Moulton planes are generally non-desarguesian. However, it is notable that a subclass of the family of these planes satisfies the most important theorems of projective plane geometry such as the Pappus theorem and the Desargues theorem. The following theorem provides us with some such planes for any $n$ in the set $S$.

THEOREM 2. A Polynomial Moulton plane PM (F, $\varnothing, \mathrm{n}$ ) is isomorphic to $\pi_{\mathrm{F}}$, the classic affine plane over the field F , if and only if $\varnothing(\mathrm{m})=\alpha \mathrm{m}+\beta$, where $\beta \in \mathrm{F}$, and $\alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$ or $\alpha \in \mathrm{P}$ according as $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{2}$ or $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{1} \cup \mathbf{N}_{3}$.

Assume that $\pi_{F}$ and PM ( $\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n}$ ) are isomorphic and T denotes the isomorphism between them. We can without loss of generality assume that $T$ maps 1) the point ( $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}$ ) of $\pi_{F}$ for $x^{\prime} \in P \cup$ $\{0\}$ onto ( $x, y$ ) of $\operatorname{PM}(F, \varnothing, n)$, where $x=x^{\prime}, y=y^{\prime}$, and 2) the line $\left\{\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathrm{mx}^{\prime}+\mathrm{b}\right\}$ of $\pi_{\mathrm{F}}$ onto the line $[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{b}]$ of $\mathbf{P M}(F, \varnothing, n)$. Let $\left\{y^{\prime}=m_{i} x^{\prime}+b_{i}\right\}, m_{i} \neq m_{j}, b_{i} \neq b_{j}, i, j=1,2,3$, be three concurrent
lines of $\pi_{F}$. As $T$ is an isomorphism it has to preserve concurrency. The above three lines are concurrent if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{i}}=\lambda+\mu \mathbf{b}_{\mathbf{i}} \text { for some } \lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{F}, \mu \neq 0, \mathbf{i}=1,2,3 \tag{III}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the images of these lines under $T$ are concurrent if and only if

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=\lambda+\mu b_{i} \text { for some } \lambda, \mu \in \mathrm{F}, \mu \neq 0, i=1,2,3  \tag{IV}\\
\text { or } \\
\varnothing\left(\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)=\nu+\eta b_{\mathrm{i}} \text { for some } \nu, \eta \in \mathrm{F}, \eta \neq 0, i=1,2,3
\end{array}\right.
$$

according as the first coordinate $x$ of the intersection point of the lines is $x \in P \cup\{0\}$ or $x \in \bar{P}$. By eliminating $b_{i}$ between (III) and (IV) and replacing $m_{1}$ with $m$ we get $\varnothing(m)=\alpha m+\beta$, where $\alpha=\eta \mu^{-1}, \beta=v-\eta \lambda \mu^{-1}$. Then $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ follows from the order reversing or order preserving property of $\varnothing$ according as $n \in N_{2}$ or $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}} \cup \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{3}}$.

Conversely, let PM (F, $\varnothing, n$ ) be a Polynomial Moulton plane with $\varnothing(\mathbf{m})=\alpha \mathbf{m}+\beta, \beta \in \mathbf{F}$ and $\alpha \in \bar{P}$ if $n \in \mathbf{N}_{2}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ if $n \in \mathbf{N}_{1} \smile$ $\mathbf{N}_{3}$. Then, define the transformation $T$ from $\pi_{F}$ to $\operatorname{PM}(F, \varnothing, n)$ such that $T\left(\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)\right)=(x, y)$, where $(x, y)=\left(\left(\alpha^{-1} x^{\prime}\right)^{1 / n}, y^{\prime}+\beta \alpha^{-1} x^{\prime}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right)$ according as $\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ or $\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P} \smile\{0\}$, provided that if $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{2}$ then $\left(\alpha^{-1} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / n} \in \ddot{\mathrm{P}}$. It can be easily verified that T is a one-toone correspondence between the points of $\pi_{F}$ and $\operatorname{PM}(F, \varnothing, n)$, and maps the line $\left\{\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathbf{m} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}+\mathrm{b}\right\}$ onto $[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{b}]$; and the line $\left\{\mathrm{x}^{\prime}=\mathrm{a}\right\}$ onto [a] or $\left[\left(\alpha^{-1} a\right)^{1 / n}\right]$ according as $a \in P \smile\{0\}$ or $a \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$, provided that $\left(\alpha^{-1} \mathfrak{a}\right)^{1 / \mathrm{n}} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$. Hence it is an isomorphism between $\pi_{F}$ and $\operatorname{PM}(\mathbf{F}, \varnothing, \mathbf{n})$.

COROLLARY 1. Any $\operatorname{PM}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ with $\varnothing(\mathrm{m})=\alpha \mathrm{m}+\beta$ is a pappian plane, where $\beta \in \mathrm{F}, \alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$ if $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{2}$ and $\alpha \in \mathrm{P}$ if $\mathrm{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{1} \cup \mathbf{N}_{3}$.

The Corollary follows immediately from theorem 2.
There exist affine transformations between the planes $\pi_{F}$ and $\operatorname{PM}(\mathbf{F}, \varnothing, \mathbf{n})$ with $\varnothing(\mathrm{m})=\alpha \mathrm{m}+\beta, \beta \in \mathbf{F}, \alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ if $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{2}, \alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ if $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{1}} \cup \mathbf{N}_{3}$, which map any line $\left\{\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\mathbf{m}^{\prime} \mathrm{x}^{\prime}+\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right\}$ of $\pi_{\mathrm{F}}$ onto any line $[\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{b}]$ of $\operatorname{PM}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ such that $\mathrm{T}\left(\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$, where $(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})=$ $\left(k x^{\prime}, h_{1} x,+h_{2} y^{\prime}+h_{3}\right)$ or $\left(\left(k \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)^{1 / n},\left(k \beta \alpha^{-1}+h_{1}\right) x^{\prime}+h_{2} y^{\prime}+h_{3}\right)$ depending on whether $\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{P}_{\smile\{0\}}$ or $\mathrm{x}^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$, provided that $\left.\left(k \alpha^{-1} \mathbf{x}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{1 / n} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}} ; \mathbf{m}=\mathrm{k}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{h}_{1}+\mathbf{h}_{2} \mathrm{~m}^{\prime}\right), \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{h}_{2} \mathrm{~b}^{\prime}+\mathrm{h}_{3}, \mathbf{h} \neq \mathbf{0}, \mathrm{k} \in \mathbf{P}$, $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} \in F$. The vertical lines are mapped among themselves.

Although the Polynomial Moulton planes have been presented as affine planes, they can be regarded as projective planes by adding ideal elements. Let V and (m) be the ideal points on the lines [a] and [m,b] respectively. Denote $U=(0)$, and let $P M(\bar{F}, \varnothing, n)$ denote the extended polynomial plane.

THEOREM 3. Every $\operatorname{PM}(\overline{\mathrm{F}}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ is $a(\mathrm{~V}, \mathrm{UV})$-Desargues plane ${ }^{1}$.

Let $A=(a, x), A^{\prime}=\left(a, x^{\prime}\right)$ on $[a]$ and $B=(b, y), B^{\prime}=\left(b, y^{\prime}\right)$ on $[b], a \neq b$. First let us examine when $A B, A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ and $U V$ are concurrent.

Suppose $a, b \in \bar{P}$. Then the slope of $A B$ is
$m=\varnothing^{-1}\left((x-y) /\left(a^{n}-b^{n}\right)\right)$ and the slope of $A^{\prime} B^{\prime}$ is
$\mathrm{m}^{\prime}=\varnothing^{-1}\left(\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}-\mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right) /\left(\mathrm{a}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)\right)$. By theorem 1 , if $\mathrm{AB}, A^{\prime} \mathbf{B}^{\prime}$, UV are concurrent then $m=m^{\prime}$. As $\varnothing$ is one-to-one and onto, $m=m^{\prime}$ if and only if $x-y=x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}$.

Suppose $a \in P, b \in \bar{P}$. Then $m$ satisfies $\varnothing(m)-\left(a / b^{n}\right) m=(x-y) / b^{n}$, and similarly $m^{\prime}$ satisfies $\varnothing\left(m^{\prime}\right)-\left(a / b^{n}\right) m^{\prime}=\left(x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right) / b^{n}$. It follows from lemma 1 and lemma 2 that $m=m^{\prime}$ if and only if $x-y=x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}$.

The case where $a \in \bar{P}, b \in P$ is the same as the preceding one; and obviously if $a, b \in P \smile\{0\}$ then $m=m^{\prime}$ if and only if $x-y=x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}$.

Let $\mathrm{C}=(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{z})$ and $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}=\left(\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{z}^{\prime}\right)$ on $[\mathrm{c}], \mathrm{b} \neq \mathrm{c} \neq \mathrm{a}$. Then the triangles $A B C$ and $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}$ are perspective from $V$. Since any two of the equalities $x-y=x^{\prime}-y^{\prime}, x-z=x^{\prime}-z^{\prime}, y-z=y^{\prime}-z^{\prime}$ imply the third the triangles are also perspective from the line UV. Thus, $\operatorname{PM}(\bar{F}, \varnothing, n)$ is a (V, UV) -Desargues plane.

LEMMA 4. Any $\operatorname{PM}(\mathbf{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ is isomorphic to a $\operatorname{PM}(\mathbf{F}, \Psi, \mathbf{n})$ with $\Psi(0)$.

Let T be a coordinate transformation on $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ such that $T((x, y))=\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$, where $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=(x, y-(0 * x))$ if $x \in \bar{P}$ and $\left(\mathrm{x}^{\prime}, \mathrm{y}^{\prime}\right)=(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y})$ if $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P} \cup\{0\}$. Then $\mathrm{T}([\mathrm{a}])=[\mathrm{a}]$, and $\mathbf{y}=\varnothing(\mathbf{m}) \mathrm{x}^{\mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{x} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$ is mapped onto $\mathrm{y}^{\prime}=\Psi(\mathrm{m}) \mathrm{x}^{\prime \mathrm{n}}+\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{x}^{\prime} \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$, where $\Psi(\mathbf{m})=\varnothing(\mathbf{m})-\varnothing(0)$. The function $\Psi$ is one-to-one, onto and roder reversing (or order preserving) on $F$ if and only if $\varnothing$ has the

[^0]same properties. Further $\Psi(0)=0$. Hence, $\Psi \Psi^{c}$ can be used to define a Polynomial Moulton plane PM ( $\overline{\mathrm{F}}, \Psi, \mathrm{n})$ isomorphic to $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$.

THEOREM 4. Any PM ( $\overline{\mathrm{F}}, \Psi, \mathrm{n}$ ) with $\Psi(0)=0$ is $a(\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{UV} ; \mathrm{V}$, OU)-Desargues plane ${ }^{2}$ if and only if $\Psi(\mathrm{m})=\alpha \mathrm{m}, \alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ according as $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ or $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~N}_{3}$, where $\mathbf{0}=(0,0)$.

If $\Psi(\mathbf{m})=\alpha \mathrm{m}$ with $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{2}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{1}} \cup \mathbf{N}_{3}$, by Corollary 1 , the plane $\mathbf{P M}(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \Psi, \mathbf{n})$ is desarguesian. Thus, the condition is sufficient. Let $\Psi(0)=0$. Then PM $(\overline{\mathbf{F}}, \Psi, \mathbf{n})$ has lines of the form $\{(x, y): y=b ; x, y \in F\} \cup\{(0)\}$. The following special case of the ( $\mathbf{U}, \mathrm{UV} ; \mathrm{V}, \mathrm{OU}$ ) -Desargues configuration will provide us with the necessity of the condition. Let $A=(u, o), A^{\prime}=\left(u^{\prime}, o\right)$, $B=(v, b), B^{\prime}=\left(v^{\prime}, b\right), C=\left(v, b^{\prime}\right)$ and $C^{\prime}=\left(v^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ such that $u, v \in \bar{P}$, $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in \mathbf{P}, \mathrm{b}, \mathbf{b}^{\prime} \in \mathrm{F}, \mathbf{u} \neq \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}^{\prime} \neq \mathrm{v}^{\prime}$ and $\mathrm{b} \neq \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$. Clearly $B C \cap B^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}=\mathrm{V}$. The lines $\mathrm{AB}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime}, \mathrm{UV}$ are concurrent if and only if $\Psi\left(b /\left(v^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mathrm{b} /\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{n}}\right) ; \mathrm{AC}, \mathrm{A}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}^{\prime}, \mathrm{UV}$ are concurrent if and only if $\Psi\left(b^{\prime} /\left(v^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right)\right)=b^{\prime} /\left(\mathrm{v}^{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{u}^{\mathrm{n}}\right)$. Either of these two equalities implies the other for all $b, b^{\prime}, u, u^{\prime}, v, v^{\prime}$ with the above conditions if and only if $\mathrm{x} / \Psi(\mathrm{x})=\mathrm{y} / \Psi(\mathrm{y})$, where $\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{b} /\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}-\mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\mathrm{y}=\mathrm{b}^{\prime} /\left(\mathrm{v}^{\prime}-\mathrm{u}^{\prime}\right)$. Since always $\mathrm{x} \neq \mathrm{y}$, then $\Psi(\mathrm{m})=\alpha \mathrm{m}, \alpha \in \mathrm{F}-\{0\}$. The function $\Psi$ reverse or preserve the order if $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$ or $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ respectively.

COROLLARY 2. Any $\mathrm{PM}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ is desarguesian ${ }^{3}$ if and only if $\varnothing(\mathrm{m})=\alpha \mathbf{m}+\beta, \beta \in \mathbf{F}, \alpha \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$ if $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbf{P}$ if $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{1} \cup \mathrm{~N}_{3}$.

The corollary is an immediate consequence of Corollary 1 , Lemma 4 and Theorem 4. Clearly if $\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ we assume that $\mathrm{q} \geq$ 9 for this corollary and the preceding theorem.

Our last theorem will be about determination of the field $\mathrm{F}=$ $\operatorname{GF}(\mathrm{q})$ which was used to construct a $\operatorname{PM}(\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n})$ in the case where $\mathrm{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{2}$.

THEOREM 5. Let $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{2}$. The field $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q}), \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}}, \mathrm{p}$ an odd prime number and $\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{N}$, can be used to construct a PM ( $\mathrm{F}, \varnothing, \mathrm{n}$ ) if and only if i) $(\mathrm{q}-1, \mathrm{n})=2$, ii) $\mathrm{p} \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, iii) $\mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{N}_{1}$.

Proof will be obtained by combining some theorems. The ondition ( $q-1, n$ ) $=2$ appeared in Th.l. Clearly the condition that

[^1]each $\mathrm{x} \in \mathrm{P}$ implies $-\mathrm{x} \in \overline{\mathbf{P}}$, in the same theorem, is equivalent to $-1 \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$. But $-1 \in \overline{\mathrm{P}}$ shows that -1 is not a quadratic residue of p in $\mathbf{G F}(\mathrm{p})$, and therefore $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, see Hardy $[9, p .69$, Th. 82$]$. On the other hand -l is also a non-square element in GF ( $p^{\mathrm{r}}$ ) if and only if $r \in \mathbf{N}_{1}$, see Dickson [2, §. 62].

The connection between the plynomial Moulton planes and the other Moulton planes can be summarized as follows:

1. Any plane $P M(F, \varnothing, n)$ with $F=R, n=1$ and $\varnothing$ an order preserving function is a Levenberg-Moulton plane [4] under the coodition that the roles of the coordinate axes are exchanged.
2. Any plane PM (F, $\varnothing, n$ ) with $n=1$ and $\varnothing$ an order preserving function is a Pierce-Moulton plane [7].
3. The plane originally given by Moulton (see Pickert [ $6, \mathrm{p}$. 93]) is a $\mathbf{P M}(F, \varnothing, n)$ with $F=R, n=1$ and $\varnothing(m)=k m$ or $m$ according as $m<0$ or $m \geq 0$. Where $k$ is a positive constant.
4. Each generalized Moulton plane given in Kaya [3] is a $\operatorname{PM}(\mathbf{F}, \varnothing, \mathbf{n})$ with $F=R, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{1}$ and $\varnothing(\mathrm{m})=\mathrm{cm}$ or m according as $\mathbf{m}<0$ or $m \geq 0$ under the condition that the roles of coordinate axes are exchanged. Where $c$ is a positive constant.

Furthermore, a $\operatorname{PM}(F, \varnothing, n)$ with $n=1$ and $\varnothing(m)=m$ is the classic affine plane defined over the field $F$.
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## ÖZET

Bu makalede klasik Moulton düzleminin K.Leverberg, W.A.Pierce ve R.Kaya tarafindan verilen çeşitli genelleştirilmişleri yeniden daha da genelleştirilmektedir. Bunun için verilen pseudo-sralı bir $F$ cismi ile bu cisim üzerinde birebir örten bir $\varnothing$ fonksiyonu ve $N_{1}=\{2 x-1: x \in \mathbb{N}\}, N_{2}=\{2 x: x \in \mathbb{N}\}, N_{3}=\left\{(2 x-1)^{-1}: x \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ cümlelerinden herhangi birinin bir n elemanından meydana gelen her ( $F, \varnothing, n$ ) üçlüsü için bir afin düzlem tanmlanır: Böyle bir düzlem ic̣in.

1) $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ iken $\varnothing$ nin stralamayı ters çeviren bir fonksiyon olmasınn; ayrıca $\mathbf{F}=$ $\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q}), \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}}$, iken $(\mathrm{q}-1, \mathrm{n})=2, \mathrm{p} \equiv 3 \bmod 4, \mathrm{r} \in \mathrm{N}_{1} \quad$ şartlarmin sağlanmasınn gerek ve yeter olduğu,
 $\mathbf{F}=\mathrm{GF}(\mathrm{q}), \mathrm{q}=\mathrm{p}^{\mathrm{r}}$ iken $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{1}$ yada $\mathbf{n} \in \mathrm{N}_{3}$ olmasina göre sırayla ( $\mathrm{q}-1, \mathrm{n}$ ) $=1$ yada $\left(\Psi^{-1}, \mathbf{n}^{-1}\right)=1$ şartınin sağlanmasinın gerek ve yeter olduğu ispatlanır. Bu tip her afin düzlemin projektif düzleme genişletilmişinin daima (V, UV) -Desargues düzlemi olduğu gösterilir. $\alpha, n \in N_{2}$ iken $F$ nin tamkare olmayan bir elemanı ve fakat $n \in N_{1} \cup N_{3}$ iken $F$ nin bir tamkare elemanı olmak üzere $\varnothing$ fonksiyonu $\varnothing(\mathrm{x})=\alpha \mathrm{x}+\beta$ şeklinde ise bunun yardımiyle tanmlanan her dïzlemin Desargues düzlemi olduğu gösterilir.
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[^0]:    1 For the definition of the (V, UV) -Desargues plane see Pickert [6, p.74].

[^1]:    2 For the defiaition of the (U, UV; V, OU) -Desargues plane see Pickert [6, p.80].
    3 Carlitz showed in [10] that order preserving (or reversing) polynomials over a finite field should be of the form $\varnothing(m)=a m^{p^{j}}+b$ with $0 \leq j<r$. This shows that the set of non-Desarguesian polynomial planes is not empty.

