COMMUNICATIONS

DE LA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES DE L'UNIVERSITÉ D'ANKARA

Série A₁: Mathématiques

TOME 27

ANNÉE 1978

Infinite Matrices and Generalized Boundedness

by

Mursaleen

6

Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara Ankara, Turquie

Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara

Comité de Rédaction de la Série A₁

B. Yurtsever, H. Hacısalihoğlu, M. Oruç

Secrétaire de Publication

A. Yalçıner

La Revue "Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara" est un organe de publication englobant toutes les disciplines scientifique représentées à la Faculté.

La Revue, Jusqu'à 1975 à l'exception des tomes I, II, JII, etait composé de trois séries

Série A: Mathématiques, Physique et Astronomie.

Série B: Chimie.

Série C: Sciences naturelles.

A partir de 1975 la Revue comprend sept séries:

Série A₁: Mathématiques

Série A₂: Physique

Série A.: Astronomie

Série B: Chimie

Série C,: Géologie

Série C₂: Botanique

Série C₁: Zoologie

En principe, la Revue est réservée aux mémoires originaux des membres de la Faculté. Elle accepte cependant, dans la mesure de la place disponible, les communications des auteurs étrangers. Les langues allemande, anglaise et française sont admises indifféremment. Les articles devront être accompagnés d'un bref sommaire en langue turque.

Adresse: Fen Fakültesi Tebliğler Dergisi, Fen Fakültesi, Ankara, Turquie.

Infinite Matrices and Generalized Boundedness

Mursaleen

Department of Mathematics Aligarh Muslim University Aligarh-202001, (India)
(Received 14 August 1978, and accepted 27 October 1978)

ABSTRACT

Quite recently, Nanda has introduced the concept \hat{l}_{∞} of almost boundedness. In the present paper author has defined the concept L_{∞}^{*} of generalized boundedness which is related to the concept of FB – convergence. The concept of FB – convergence was introuded by Stieglitz, which is a generalization of almost convergence. Author further extends the space L_{∞}^{*} to L_{∞}^{*} (p) just as l_{∞} , c, c_o and \hat{l}_{∞} were extended to l_{∞} (p), C(p), c_{o} (p) and \hat{l}_{∞} (p) respectively, and characterizes certain matrices in L_{∞}^{*} .

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1948, Lorentz [1] introduced the concept of almost convergence by an application of Banach limits and characterized the space f of almost convergent sequences by means of the following property:

The sequence $x = \{x_n\}$ is almost convergent to the value f-lim x, if

$$\lim_{n} \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=i}^{i+n} x_{k} = f - \lim x \quad \text{(Uniformly i = 0,1,...)}$$

This criterion can also be formulated: Let $\mathcal{B}_1 = (\beta_i^{(1)})$ be the sequence of matrices $B_i^{(1)} = (b_{nk}^{(1)})$ with

Thus x is almost convergent to the each value f - lim x, if

Stieglitz [9] further generalized this concept by means of a given matrix sequence $\mathcal{B}=(B_i)$ with $B_i=(b_{nk}\,(i))$, x of the space l_{∞} of bounded sequences is $F_{\slashed B}$ —convergent to the value ${\rm Lim}_{\slashed R}\,x$, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (B_i x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk} (i) x_k = \lim_{n\to\infty} x$$
(Uniformly $i = 0,1, \dots$)

Recently, Nanda [7] defined the concept \hat{l}_{∞} of almost boundedness in the following manner:

$$\hat{l}_{\infty} = \left\{ a \in s : \sup_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}} | \Phi(a) | < \infty \right\}$$

where

$$x_n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_n$$

 $\Phi_{n,i}(a) = t_{n,i}(x) - t_{n-1,i}(x)$

and

$$\mathbf{t_{n,i}}\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = \frac{1}{\mathbf{n+1}} \sum_{k=i}^{\mathbf{i+n}} \mathbf{x_k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_{nk}^{(1)} \quad (\mathbf{i}) \mathbf{x_k}$$

Therefore \hat{l}_{∞} can also be formulated as:

$$\hat{l}_{\infty} = \{ x \in s: \sup_{n,i} | (B_{i}^{(1)} x) - (B_{i}^{(1)} x) | < \infty \}$$

We generalize the space \hat{l}_{∞} by means of a given matrix sequence $\mathcal{B} = (B_i)$ with $B_i = (b_{nk} \ (i))$ as follows:

$$L_{\infty}^{*} \ = \left\{ \ x \in s \colon \sup_{n,i} \ \left| \ \Psi_{n,i} \left(x \right) \ \right| \ < \infty \ \right. \right\}$$

where

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{i}}(\mathbf{x}) = (\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \ \mathbf{x})_{\mathbf{n}} - (\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{i}} \ \mathbf{x})_{\mathbf{n}-\mathbf{i}}$$

$$= \sum\limits_{k} \left(b_{nk} \left(i \right) - b_{n_1,k} \left(i \right) \right) \, x_k.$$

We further extend L^*_{∞} to L^*_{∞} (p) just as l_{∞} , l, c and \hat{l}_{∞} were extended to l_{∞} (p), l(p), c (p) and \hat{l}_{∞} (p) respectively (see Maddox [4], Simons [8] and Nanda [7]).

If p_n is a real number such that $p_n>0$ and sup $p_n<\infty$, we write

$$\mathbf{L}_{\infty}^{\bullet} (\mathbf{p}) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{s} : \sup_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}} | \Psi_{\mathbf{n}, \mathbf{i}} (\mathbf{x}) |^{\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{n}}} < \infty \}$$

If
$$p_n = p \ V \ n$$
, then $L_{\infty}^* \ (p) = L_{\infty}^*$.

The space L^*_{∞} depends on the fixed chosen matrix $\mathcal{B}=(B_i)$. In case $\mathcal{B}=(I)$ (unit matrix) it is equal to l_{∞} . In case

$$\mathcal{B}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = (\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle (1)}{\mathrm{b}_{\scriptscriptstyle \mathbf{n}\mathbf{k}}}\,(\mathrm{i}))$$
 it is same as $\hat{\mathbf{l}}_{\scriptscriptstyle \infty}$.

2. Some Topological Results.

Theorem 2.1. If $\inf p_n > o$, then L_{∞}^* (p) is a complete linear topological space over the complex field (I) paranormed by g defined by

$$g\left(x\right)=\sup_{n,i}\;\left|\;\Psi_{i}(x)\;\right|^{p_{n}/M}\left(\;\forall\;x\in L_{\infty}^{*}\;\left(p\right)\right)$$

where $M = \max (1, \sup p_n)$.

Proof. Since $p_n/M \le 1$, we have (See Maddox [6], p. 31)

$$(2) \mid \lambda \mid \leq \max(1, \mid \lambda \mid).$$

Therefore linearity follows from (1) and (2).

 $\label{eq:definition} \begin{array}{l} \forall \ linear \ topological \ space \ X \ is \ called \ a \ paranormed \ space \ if \\ there \ exists \ a \ sub \ additive \ function \ g\colon X \ \rightarrow \ R^+ \ such \ that \\ g(0) = 0, g(x) = g(-x) \ and \ the \ multiplication \ is \ continuous, \ that \ is, \\ \lambda_n \ \rightarrow \ \lambda \ and \ g(x_n - x) \ \rightarrow \ 0 \ imply \ that \\ g(\lambda_n \ x_n - \lambda \ x) \ \rightarrow \ 0 \ for \ all \ \lambda \in \ (I \ and \ x \in X. \end{array}$

It is easy to see that g(0) = o and g(x) = g(-x) for every $x \in L_{\infty}^*$ (p). The subadditivity of g follows from (1) by taking supremum with respect to n and i. It follows from (2) that for $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}$ and $x \in L_{\infty}^*$ (p)

$$g(\lambda x) \leq max(1, |\lambda|) g(x)$$

Therefore $\lambda \to 0$, $x \to 0$, $\Longrightarrow \lambda x \to 0$ and if λ is fixed, $x \to 0$ $\Longrightarrow \lambda x \to 0$. Let inf $p_n = \beta > 0$. Then we have

$$g\;(\;\lambda\;x)\leq\;max\;(\;|\;\lambda\;|,\;|\;\lambda\;|\;)\;g\;(x).$$

Hence for fixed x, $\lambda \to 0 \implies \lambda x \to 0$.

Let $\{x^j\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in L_{∞}^* (p). Then $\{x_k^{\ j}\}$ for each k, is a Cauchy sequence in (J_0) and hence $x^j_k \to x_k$ for each k. Put $x = \{x_k\}$. Now it can be easily seen that $x \in L_{\infty}^*$ (p) and $g(x^j - x) \to 0$.

This terminates the proof.

Proposition 1. Let $\mathcal{B}=(B_i)$ be a family of matrices with N (\mathcal{B}) < ∞ . Then l_∞^* \subset L_∞^*

Proof. Let $x \in I_m$. We have

$$\underset{n,i}{\sup}\ \left|\ \Psi_{n,i}\left(x\right)\ \right\|\leq N\left(\mathcal{B}\right)\ \left|\ \left|x\right|\ \right|_{\infty}\ <\infty$$

Therefore $x \in L_{\infty}^*$ and hence $l_{\infty} \subset L_{\infty}^*$.

For r>0, a nonempty subset U of a linear space is said to be absolutely r-convex if $x,\,y\in U$ and

$$|\lambda|^r + |\mu|^r \le 1$$
 together imply that $\lambda x + \mu y \in U$.

A linear topological space X is said to be r-convex (See Maddox and Roles [5]) if every neighbourhood of $0 \in X$ contains as absolutely r-convex neighbourhood of $0 \in X$. We have.

Proposition 2. L_{∞}^{*} (p) is 1-convex

Proof. If $o < \delta < 1$, then

$$U = \{x : g(x) \le \delta\}$$

is an absolutely 1-convex set, for let x, y \in U and $|\lambda| + |\mu| \le 1$, then

$$g (\lambda x + \mu y) \le (|\lambda| + \mu|) \cdot \delta \le \delta.$$

This completes our proof.

Theorem 2.2. Let $o < p_n \le q_n$, then L_{∞}^* (q) is a closed subspace of $L_{\infty}^*(p)$.

Proof. Let $x \in L_{\infty}^*$ (q). Then there exists a constant K > 1 such that \forall n,i.

$$|\Psi_{n,i}(x)| \stackrel{q_n}{\leq} K.$$

implies that

$$|\Psi_{n,i}^{\cdot}(x)|^{p_n/M} \leq K.$$

Therefore $x \in L_{\infty}^*$ (p). Now suppose that $x^j \in L_{\infty}^*$ (q) and $x^j \to x \in L_{\infty}^*$ (p). Then for every $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists an integer N such that for every n,i

$$\mid \Psi_{\mathtt{n,i}} \left(x^{\mathtt{j}} - x \right) \mid^{p_{\mathtt{n}} / M} < \in \quad \ \left(\forall \ \mathtt{j} > N \right)$$

implies that

$$\left\| \left. \Psi_{n,i}^{-}\left(x^{j}-x\right) \right. \right|^{q_{n}/M} < \left. \left| \left. \Psi_{n,i}^{-}\left(x^{j}-x\right) \right. \right|^{p_{n}/M} < \in$$

Therefore $x \in L_{\infty}^*$ (q). And hence the proof is complete.

3. In this section we consider matrix transformations between some class of sequences. We write

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{n,i} (Ax) &= (B_i (Ax))_n - (B_i (Ax))_{n_{-1}} \\ &= \sum_{m} \sum_{k} (b_{nk} (i) - b_{n-1},_k (i)) a_{km} x_m \\ &= \sum_{m} \alpha (i, m, n) x_m \end{split}$$

where

$$\alpha \left(i,\, m,\, n \right) \; = \; \underset{k}{\Sigma} \left(b_{nk} \left(i \right) - b_{n-1,k} \left(i \right) \right) \, a_{km}. \label{eq:alpha_km}$$

Theorem 3.1. $A \in (l_{\infty}^{*} \; , \; L_{\infty}^{*} \,)$ if and only if

$$\sup_{n,i} \, \underset{m}{\Sigma} \, \mid \alpha \, (i,\, m,\, n) \, \mid \, < \, \infty$$

Proof. Necessity. Let $A \in (l_{\infty}, L_{\infty}^{*})$. Put $f_{i}(x) = \sup | \Psi_{n,i}(Ax) |$.

Now $\{f_i\}$ is a sequence of continuous seminorms on l_{∞} such that sup f_i (x) is finite. Therefore by Banach-Steinhaus theorem (See

Maddox [6], p. 114) there exists a constant K such that for every $i, x \in I_m$

$$f_i(x) \leq K \|x\|_{\infty}$$

Now by putting $x = \operatorname{sgn} \alpha$ (i, m, n) in this above inequality necessity follows immediately.

Sufficiency. Suppose that our condition holds and x ϵ l_{∞} . Then

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \sup_{n,i} \; \mid \Psi_{n,i} \; (Ax) \; \mid \; \leq \sup_{n,i} \; \sum_{m} \; \mid \alpha \; (i,\, m,\, n) \; x_m \; \mid \\ \leq \; \parallel x \parallel_{\infty} \; \sup_{n,i} \; \sum_{m} \; \mid \alpha \; (i,\, m,\, n) \; \mid \end{array}$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. $A \in (1_{\infty} (p), L_{\infty}^{*})$ if and only if for every integer N > 1

$$(3.2.1) \sup_{n,i} \sum_{m} \mid \alpha (i, m, n) \mid N^{1/p_m} < \infty.$$

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that $A \in (l_{\infty} \ (p), \ L_{\infty}^*)$, and let there exists an integer N > 1 such that (3.2.1) does not hold. Therefore by Theorem (3.1), the matrix

$$\begin{array}{l} C \,=\, (C_{nk}) \,=\, (a_{nk} \stackrel{1/p_k}{N}) \notin (l_{\,_{\infty}},\, L_{\,_{\infty}}^{\star}\,),\, i.e.,\, there\,\, exists\,\, x \,\,\epsilon\,\, l_{\,_{\infty}} \,\, such \\ \\ that\,\, Cx \notin\,\, L_{\,_{\infty}}^{\star}\,.\,\, Now\,\, y \,\,=\,\, \{y_k\} \,=\, \{x_k \stackrel{1/p_k}{N}\} \,\,\in l_{\,_{\infty}} \,\,\, (p). \ \, But\,\, we \end{array}$$

that $Cx \notin L_{\infty}^*$. Now $y = \{y_k\} = \{x_k \mid N \} \in I_{\infty}$ (p). But we have $Ay = Cx \notin L_{\infty}^*$, which is a contradiction to the fact that $A \in (I_{\infty}(p), L_{\infty}^*)$.

Sufficiency. Let us suppose that our condition (3.2.1) holds.

If we take N > max (1, $\sup_{m} |x_{m}|^{p_{m}}$), then for every n and i

$$\mid \Psi_{n,i} \; (Ax) \mid \; \leq \underset{m}{\Sigma} \; \mid \alpha \; (i, \, m, \, n) \; \mid \overset{1/p_m}{N^{1/p_m}}$$

Therefore taking supremum over n and i, sufficiency follows immediately. Hence this completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3. $A \in (l (p), L_{\infty}^*)$ if and only if

(i) There exists and integer N > 1 such that

$$\sup_{n,i} \sum_{m} \mid \alpha \; (i,\,m,\,n) \mid \overset{q_m}{N} \overset{q_m}{N} < \infty \; , \; (1 < p_m < \omega \; , \overset{p^{-1}}{m} + \overset{q^{-1}}{m} = 1)$$

(ii)
$$\sup_{n > 2m} |\alpha(i,m,n)|^{p_m} < \infty (0 < p_m \le 1).$$

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that $A \in ((p), L_{\infty}^{*})$ and put

$$T_{n,i}(x) = \Psi_{n,i}(Ax)$$

and

$$f_{i}(x) = \sup_{n} | \Psi_{n,i}(Ax) |$$

we see that $\{T_{n,i}\}$ being a sequence of continuous real functions on l(p) for each n, and $\{f_i\}$ is also a sequence of continuous real functions on l(p) and $\sup_i f_i(x) < \infty$, then the result follows immediately by uniform boundedness principle (see Lascarides and Maddox [2], Theorem 1). This proves the necessity.

Sufficiency. Here we only consider the case $1 < p_m < \infty$. Suppose that the conditions (i) and (ii) hold and $x \in I$ (p). Since we know the following inequality (See Lascarides and Maddox [2], p. 100): If $x, y \in \mathcal{J}$ and N > 0 then

$$|xy| \leq N \, (\left|x\right|^{q_m} \, N^{-q_m} + \left|\, y \right|^{p_m})$$

wbere

$$1 < p_m < \infty \ \ \text{and} \ p_m^{-1} + q_m^{-1} = 1.$$

Hence

$$\mid \Psi_{n,i} \; \left(Ax \right) \mid \; \leq \underset{m}{\Sigma} \; \; N \; (\mid \alpha \left(i,m,n \right) \mid \stackrel{q_{m}}{N}^{-q_{m}} + \mid x_{m} \mid \stackrel{p_{m}}{\mid}).$$

taking the supremum over n and i, we observe $A \in (l(p), L_{\infty}^*)$. And hence our theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.4. A ϵ (C₀ (p), L^{*}_{\infty} (p)) if and only if there exists an integer N > 1 such that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{n},\mathbf{i}} \left\{ \sum_{\mathbf{m}} \left| \alpha(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{m},\mathbf{n}) \right| N^{-1/P_{\mathbf{m}}} \right\}^{P_{\mathbf{n}}} < \infty.$$

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that $A\in (C_o$ (p), L_∞^* (p)) and $x\in C_o$ (p). Put

 $T_{n,i}(x) = |\Psi_{n,i}(Ax)|^{\frac{p}{n}}$

and

$$T_i(x) = \sup_n T_{n,i}(x).$$

Since $\{T_{n,i}\}$ and $\{T_i\}$ are the sequences of continuous real function on c_o (p) and $\sup_i T_i$ (x) is finite. Therefore by uniform boundedness necessity is proved.

Sufficiency. There is no need to prove this part. Since it can be easily obtained by an analysis similar to Lascarides [3], Theorem 10. Hence the proof is complete.

Finally, the author is grateful to Dr. Z. U. Ahmad for suggestions and guidance.

ÖZET

Yakın bir zamanda Nanda; [7] de hemen hemen sınırlılık, l_{∞}^{*} , kavramını tanımlamıştı. Bu çalışmamızda, F_{∞}^{*} — yakınsaklıkla ilişkili L_{∞}^{*} genelleştirilmiş sınırlılık kavramını tanımladık. Hemen hemen yakınsaklığın bir genelleştirilmesi olan F_{∞}^{*} — yakınsaklığı Stieglitz tarafından verilmiştir. Ayrıca çalışmamızda; l_{∞}^{*} , c, c, uzaylarının sırasıyle l_{∞}^{*} (p), c (p) ve c_o (p) ye genişletilmesi gibi, L_{∞}^{*} uzayını da L_{∞}^{*} (p) ye genişleterek, L_{∞}^{*} üzerinde bazı matrisleri karekterize ettik.

REFERENCES

- [1]. G.G. Lorentz, A contribution to the theory of divergent sequences, Acta Math. 80 (1948), 167 190.
- [2]. G.G. Lascarides and I.J. Maddox, Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences, Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc., 68 (1970), 199 204.
- [3]. G.G. Lascarides, A study of certain sequences spaces of Maddox and a generalization of a theorem of Iyer, Pacific Jour. Math., 38 (2) (1971), 487 500.
- [4]. I.J. Maddox, Spaces of strongly summable sequences, Quart. Journ. Math., 2 (18) (1967) 345 - 365.
- [5]. I.J. Maddox and J.W. Roles, Absolute Convexity in certain topological linear spaces, Proc. Camb. Philo. Soc., 66 (1969), 541 545.
 - [6]. I.J. Maddox, Elements of functional analysis, Camb. Univ. Press, (1970).
- [7]. S. Nanda, Some sequences spaces and almost boundedness, MATEMATNYK BECHNK, 13 (28) (1976), 179 186.
- [8]. S. Simons, The sequences spaces $l(p_y)$ and $m(p_y)$, Proc. London. Math. Soc., 3 (15) (1965), 422 436.
- [9]. M. Stieglitz, Eine Verallgemeinerung des Begriffs des Fastkonvergenz, Math. Japon, 18 (1973), 53 - 70.

Prix de l'abonnement annuel

Turquie: 15 TL; Étranger: 30 TL.

Prix de ce numéro: 5 TL (pour la vente en Turquie).

Prière de s'adresser pour l'abonnement à: Fen Fakültesi

Dekanlığı Ankara, Turquie.