The effect of gender differences on the use of valproic acid for migraine prophylaxis
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ABSTRACT

Aim: The efficacy of the drugs used for migraine prophylaxis remains unclear. At our headache polyclinic, when we observed the difference in prophylactic response especially in valproic acid (VA) treatment between genders, the response of male was found to be better than that of females so we wanted to document this difference by collecting data retrospectively

Material and Method: Forty-six chronic migraine without aura patients with VA who were enrolled in our headache outpatient clinic between 2015-2018 were included in the study (29 male, 17 female). In these patients, after VA the frequency of monthly attacks between sexes, changes in visual analogue scale (VAS) scores, single VA prophylaxis and the addition of a second prophylaxis drug were evaluated.

Results: None of the men needed an additional second drug while 11 of 17 women needed the addition of a second drug. There was no gender difference in monthly attack frequency before treatment, whereas monthly attack frequency after treatment with VA was found to be significantly lower in men (before treatment; female 20.9±11.3 male 17.7±11.5, p>0.05) (after treatment; male 1.2±5.5 female 12.0±12.2, p<0.05). There was no difference between sexes in the pre-treatment VAS scores, but the VAS score was significantly lower in men after treatment with VA (before treatment; female 7.8±0.8 male 8.1±1.2, p>0.05)(after treatment; female 4.4±2.8 male 2.3±2.8, p<0.05). 75.9% of men had no post-treatment migraine attacks, while this rate was 5.9% in women (p<0.05). The dose range of VA treatment wasn’t found to be different between genders.

Conclusion: Better response of men with migraine to valproic acid treatment is important in clinical practice, and the reason for this situation may be clarified with further studies.
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INTRODUCTION

Migraine is a multifactorial neurovascular syndrome characterized by episodes of moderate to severe, unilateral, pulsating headaches with nausea and/or vomiting, worsened by light and sound, pain associated with triggering factors in genetically susceptible individuals (1). Diagnostic criteria established by the International Headache Society (IHS) are used in the diagnosis of migraine (2). The most frequent migraine episodes are encountered in the second and third decades, with the highest incidence of episodes occurring between the ages of 35 and 45 years. The course of the disease is usually with attacks, but migraine is chronic in about 4% of the patients. Migraine treatment is given acutely and/or prophylactically. Recurring migraine that significantly interferes with the patient’s daily routine despite acute treatment. For example, two or more migraine attacks/month impairing function for ≥3 days or infrequent migraine attacks that produce profound disability during each attack/ failure of, contraindication to, or intolerable side effects to acute medications/ acute medication overuse or the risk of developing acute medication overuse prophylactic treatment should be given (3). Effective prophylactic treatment should reduce at least 50% of the monthly episode frequency within three months (3). Among beta blockers, especially propranolol, valproate and topiramate from antiepileptics, flunarizine from calcium channel blockers, and amitriptyline from tricyclic antidepressants are used for migraine prophylaxis. Topiramate and valproate are antiepileptics approved by the FDA for migraine treatment. Valproic acid can be given in a single dose 500-1800 mg/day. Valproate has effects like providing neuronal
RESULTS

The mean age of female patients included in the study was 54.7±10.2 years, while the mean age of male migraine patients was 46.3±11.5 years. 75.9% of men had no migraine attacks post-treatment, while this rate was 5.9% in women (p=0.001). There was no gender difference in the monthly attack frequency before treatment, whereas the monthly attack frequency after treatment with valproic acid was found to be significantly lower in men (before treatment; female 20.9±11.3 male 17.7±11.5, p=0.38) (after treatment; male 1.2±5.5 female 12.0±12.2, p=0.001) (Figure 1). There was no difference between genders in the pre-treatment VAS scores, but the VAS score was significantly lower in men after treatment with valproic acid (before treatment; female 7.8±0.8 male 8.1±1.2, p=0.31) (after treatment; female 4.4±2.8 male 2.3±2.8, p=0.02) (Figure 2). The median dose of valproic acid was found to be 1000 mg in women and 750 mg in men; there was no statistically significant difference. None of the men needed the addition of a second drug while 11 of 17 women needed the addition of a second prophylaxis drug (p=0.001) (Figure 3).

MATERIAL AND METHOD

This study is a retrospective study and the study was approved by the Clinical Researches Ethics Committee of Balıkesir University, Faculty of Medicine (Date: 20.02.2019, Decision No: 2019/34). All procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical rules and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Forty-six chronic migraine without aura patients who received valproic acid between 2015 and 2018 were enrolled in our headache outpatient clinic (29 men, 17 women). International Headache Institute (IHS) diagnostic criteria were used to diagnose migraine without aura in our outpatient clinic (2). The VAS pain scale, age, sex, prophylactic drugs used, presence of comorbid systemic diseases, frequency of monthly migraine headaches and time of migraine attack onset were recorded. Patients with systemic diseases, psychiatric comorbidities, >65 years of age were not included. After valproic acid, monthly attack frequency and severity, VAS score, drug dose results in the 1st, 3rd and 6th months were also recorded. We usually start valproic acid treatment with 250 mg dosage in a single dose and give information to patients about 250 mg increments weekly to reduce the adverse effects, and advise on how to decide to increase the dosage by weekly increments. Some patients have responded to a 500 mg controlled release valproic acid tablet dosage, while some others required a dose of 750 mg or 1000 mg.

Statistical Analysis

The data from this research was electronically transferred to the SPSS 20.0 statistics program, and data control and analysis were performed. Descriptive statistics (frequency, percentage distribution, mean, median) were used as statistical analysis. ManWhitney-U was used in the comparison of quantitative data as inferential statistics method test, and chi-square test was used to compare qualitative data. P<0.05 was considered to be a statistically significant value.
DISCUSSION

The response to prophylactic therapy varies among migraine patients. Various studies have shown that polymorphic changes in drug metabolism genes, which display differences among individuals, are responsible for 20–40% of the individuals’ responses to the drugs and 50% of their side effects (8). The association between antiepileptic drugs and genetic polymorphisms has been evaluated in many studies (9-11). In the current study, no statistical significance was detected between the response to other drugs used in the prophylactic treatment of migraine and the related gene polymorphisms (except for topiramate). The results suggest that migraine patients who receive topiramate prophylactic treatment should be assessed for the presence of the MDR1 C3435T polymorphism. However, there was no significant relationship between the treatment response to topiramate and either the CYP2D6 or CYP2C19 polymorphism, and there were no significant correlation between the treatment responses to amitriptyline, propranolol, and valproate and the MDR1, CYP2D6 and CYP2C19 gene polymorphisms (12). In one study, no significant or nominal association with genetic load was found for any of the prophylactic treatments, either in combination or separately (13). There is currently no solid evidence that pharmacogenomics should be used in migraine prophylaxis. Variability of the dose-response mainly depends on three factors: 1- factors associated with the disease mechanism, which are unknown for prophylactic migraine drugs; 2- pharmacodynamics, also unknown for such drugs; 3- pharmacokinetic factors. Metoprolol, propranolol, and amitriptyline have high pharmacokinetic variability, whereas valproate, topiramate, atenolol, naproxen, and candesartan have low pharmacokinetic variability; however, factors determining this variability are poorly understood (14). Another study shows that age and gender significantly influence valproate serum concentrations but several publications have reported no effects of age on total valproate serum concentrations (15,16). In our study, the frequency of attacks was independent of age in male patients who responded to valproate therapy. Ibarra et al. have shown increased reabsorbed fraction and bioavailability of valproate doses in females compared to males, hence indicating gender differences in hepatobiliary output, which emerge as higher bioavailability of valproate in females than males (17). These studies cannot help to explain why valproate is more effective in male patients.

An examination of recent studies revealed no evaluations on the response to valproate prophylaxis for migraine headache in both genders. After observing that valproate decreases the frequency and severity of migraine headache, especially in men, the results of the present retrospective study showed a decrease in attack frequency and VAS score, which was statistically significant. In addition, the effect that valproic acid alone is sufficient for prophylaxis in men and the need for adding a second drug in women are high and statistically significant, supports the gender differences in the response of valproic acid. Moreover, while valproate prophylaxis alone was sufficient in men, the rate of addition of the second drug was high and statistically significant in women and these data support the differences in valproic acid responses between genders. It still remains unclear why valproate has a different effect on migraine depending on gender. As a continuation of this study, we will search new polymorphisms for valproate with the drug blood level combination for the difference in migraine prophylaxis responses in both sexes.

The present study had several potential limitations. Firstly, this study was designed as a retrospective study. Secondly, since our study was retrospective, we could not look at the valproic acid blood levels of our patients. Thirdly our number patients were small.

CONCLUSION

We wanted to share our clinical observation with this study. Monotherapy is primarily the basis for migraine prophylaxis, and the fact that valproic acid is more effective in men than women will contribute to clinical practice.
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