A New Trout Species from Southern Marmara Sea Drainages (Teleostei: Salmonidae)
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Abstract: *Salmo duhani*, sp. n., a new species, is described from the southern Marmara Sea drainages, Turkey. It differs from the other *Salmo* species in the neighboring basins by having a shorter maxillary (8-10% SL); a shorter head (24-28% SL); 115-121 lateral line scales; 26-29 scale rows between lateral line and dorsal-fin origin; 20-23 scale rows between lateral line and anal-fin origin; 15-17 scales between lateral line and adipose-fin insertion; 17-19 gill rakers on the outer side of first gill arch; 10-13 large and oblong parr marks along the lateral line in all individuals.
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INTRODUCTION

The genus *Salmo* is widespread in cold streams and lakes of Turkey. In the last decade, Turan et al., (2010, 2011, 2012, 2014a, b, 2017, 2020) taxonomically evaluated native trouts in Turkey and recognized into fourteen species. Additionally, two established populations of the introduced *Salmo trutta* have been recently recorded in the upper Tigris River and drainages of Lake Van (Kaya, 2020).

The *Salmo* populations of northwestern Turkey had been previously reported as *Salmo cf. labrax* (Saç & Özuluğ, 2019) or *S. trutta macrostigma* (Geldiay & Bahk, 1999; Sarı et al., 2006, 2019), however, *S. labrax* is restricted to Northern Black Sea drainages and *S. macrostigma* is to Algeria (Kottelat, 1997; Delling & Doadrio, 2005; Kottelat & Freyhof, 2007). The other peri-Mediterranean populations referred to *S. macrostigma* belong to several species (*S. cettii* [Italy], *S. fario*...
After the European trouts summarized with recognition of 29 species by Kottelat & Freyhof (2007), in the last decade, many species were also described in Turkey (Turan et al., 2010, 2011, 2012, 2014a, b, 2017, 2020). However, they have always been controversial aspects about the taxonomy of the genus, and a group of geneticists has continued to negate this diversity within the genus Salmo (e.g. Tougard et al., 2018; Kalaycı et al., 2018).

Kalaycı et al., (2018) claimed that all Salmo species in Caspian and the Black Sea drainages in Turkey belong to Salmo trutta, based on their production of hybrid fertile individuals in the laboratory. On the other hand, Ninua et al., (2018) claimed that there is not a species level differentiation between a migratory population of Georgia and northeastern Turkey, and all belong to Salmo labrax. However, they did not examine or compare any specimens from the type locality of S. labrax.

Here, we examined some populations from the southern Marmara Sea basin, which have been formerly misidentified as S. macrostigma.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

Specimens are deposited in FFR, Zoology Museum of the Faculty of Fisheries, Recep Tayyip Erdogan University, Rize. Measurements and counts were all obtained on wild-caught specimens, well preserved, in a straight position. Specimens not fixed straight or damaged were excluded. All samples include both sexes, juveniles, and mature specimens. Most Salmo populations are small, geographically restricted and under great threat because of overfishing and habitat destruction, and it is not advisable to collect and preserve large series of individuals. Colour pattern and variation in shape were observed in the field on additional individuals, which were not preserved.

Measurements were taken with digital callipers (0.1 mm accuracy). Counts and measurements follow Turan et al. (2014a). Lateral line scale count includes scales on the base of the caudal fin. Sex was determined by examination of the gonads of at least 10 specimens. In the description of colour pattern, bands are the broad blackish vertical marks on the body, typically positioned behind the gill opening, below the dorsal-fin, above the anal-fin, and on the caudal peduncle. In some species, these bands are known in well-preserved specimens only, or in stressed individuals only, and in other species, they are visible in situ in undisturbed individuals. A spot is called ocellated when surrounded by a white or very palering.

Morphometric and meristic data for S. abanticus and S. labrax as conspecific, and used the priority name S. labrax. However, their study material lacked specimens of S. labrax from its type locality, and some of their S. labrax locations overlapped with S. coruhensis distribution range. Therefore, we concluded that Ninua et al., (2018) might have misidentified S. coruhensis as S. labrax, and we treated S. coruhensis as a valid name here.

Kalaycı et al., (2018) claimed that all Salmo species in Caspian and Black Sea drainages in Turkey belong to Salmo trutta, based on their reproduction of hybrid fertile individuals among Salmo trutta abanticus, S.t. labrax, S.t. caspius and S.t. fario in the laboratory. Firstly, it was reported that the subspecies category, which constitutes the simplified form of the systematic classification, couldn’t actually be accepted (Kottelat, 1997). Salmo fario was described from rivers of Sweden and Switzerland; however, it is not a valid species anymore (e.g. Berg, 1948; Kottelat, 1997). Salmo labrax was described from Crimea (Ukraine), and distributed in northern and western tributaries of the Black Sea basin, which has not found in Turkey (Turan et al., 2010; Latiu et al., 2020). Salmo caspius was described from the Kura River near Bozhii in Azerbaijan as a migratory species, and may the Turkish resident population does not belong to this species. Moreover, the fish samples that fertile hybrids reproduced by Kalaycı et al., (2018) were not collected from type localities of the species, except for S. abanticus. Further, they did not take into account S. coruhensis and S. rizeensis, which were described a decade ago in the southeastern drainages of the Black Sea in Turkey. They collected S.t. labrax from Tektaş Stream and S.t. fario from Fırtına River. Tektaş Stream is inhabited by Salmo coruhensis, while the Fırtına River is inhabited by both sympatric S. coruhensis and S. rizeensis. It is likely that, these authors collected S. coruhensis instead of S. rizeensis in the Fırtına River. Therefore, it cannot be excluded that they only reproduced pure S. coruhensis individuals rather than hybrid individuals. Even if the correct species were used in the study, the study was limited to F1 and F2 offspring, and the species were produced under unnatural conditions. Thus, the results of the study are not reliable.
Apart from all these, there is another very important point: Kalaycı et al., (2018) claimed that all these mentioned species under the Danubian lineage are Salmo trutta, based on their results. However, Salmo trutta was described from Rhine River Germany, and it belongs to Atlantic lineage. Even, the Danube River population is formed by Salmo labrax.

Recently, Latiu et al., (2020) have recorded Salmo labrax in Romanian waters. Their specimens (Latiu et al., 2020, Figure 2; 14) resemble morphologically very similar to the figure presented from Crimea, Ukraine (around the type locality of the species, Kottelat and Freyhof 2007; 428). The differences between Salmo coruhensis and S. labrax were clearly demonstrated in the original description, and morphologic appearances of both species were obviously very different (Turan et al., 2010; Figures 5b and 7; 343, 346, respectively).

The trout population in stream Ayazma (an upper drainage of stream Kучукмenderes, North Aegean basin) shares some morphological diagnostic features with Salmo pelagonicus and has recently recorded as S. pelagonicus by Turan and Bay çe, (2020) (Figure 1).

Salmo duhani, new species (Figure 1): Salmo trutta macrostigma (non Duméril, 1858); Sari et al., 2006: 37 (Çanakkale province; Yenice county; Stream Çelebi, a drainage of Gönen River).

Figure 1. Salmo duhani, from top: FFR 3183, holotype, 228 mm SL, male; FFR 03184, paratypes, 160 mm SL, female, and 92 mm SL, juvenile; Turkey: stream Zeytini.

Holotype: FFR 3183, 228 mm SL, male; Turkey: Çanakkale Prov.: stream Zeytini about 9 km east of Kazdağ National Park, 39.750N 27.017E, 28.11.2006.


Diagnosis: Salmo duhani differs from all other species of Salmo in adjacent waters by having a slenderer caudal peduncle in males (depth 8-10% SL, vs. 10-13, except S. abanticus), the size of adipose-fin not sexually dimorphic (the adipose-fin of male is approximately equal to that of female, vs. markedly larger in male) and numerous black spots on back and flank in adult females (vs. few black spots on back and flank in females). Salmo duhani is further distinguished from S. abanticus by having red spots on the body in specimens larger than 200 mm SL (vs. absent), circular black spots on the flank (vs. polygonal), circular rings around the black spots (vs. polygonal) and black spots approximately equal size or smaller than pupil (vs. markedly larger than pupil). Salmo duhani is further distinguished from S. pelagonicus from Stream Ayazma (a tributary of Karamenderes River, Aegean basin) by having grayish background color in life (vs. brownish), having fewer lateral line scales (115-121, vs. 109-115), a shorter maxillary in males (8-10% SL, vs. 10-11) and a slenderer body (body depth at dorsal-fin origin (20-23% SL, vs. 23-27). Salmo duhani is further distinguished from S. labrax by having a slenderer body in males (depth at dorsal-fin origin 20-23% SL, mean 21.3, vs. 23-27, mean 25) and a smaller adipose-fin in males (depth 4-6% SL, mean 5.1, vs. 7-9, mean 7.6). It further differs from S. coruhensis by the number of the red spots which does not increase with increasing size in males (vs. increasing with increasing size). Salmo duhani further differs from S. rizeensis by having grayish background color in life (vs. brownish or greenish). It is also distinguished from S. rizeensis by maxilla slightly reaching beyond eye in males and females (vs. reaching markedly beyond eye in males larger than approximately 200 mm SL) and the snout pointed (vs. slightly rounded).

Description: General appearance of Salmo duhani is shown in Figure 1, morphometric and meristic data are presented in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Body slender, dorsal profile behind head slightly convex, ventral profile less arched than dorsal profile. Head short, its length not sexually dimorphic but its shape sexually dimorphic (the upper profile slightly convex in interorbital area and slightly concave at the level of nostrils in adult males, convex in the interorbital area and slightly convex on snout in females, markedly convex in juveniles). Mouth small, terminal or slightly subterminal in adult males, subterminal in females, and conspicuously subterminal in juveniles.
Upper jaw equal or slightly longer than lower jaw in adult males, but clearly projecting lower jaw in females and juveniles. Tip of lower jaw slightly curved upward in males longer than over 220 mm SL, not curved in females. Maxilla short in both sexes, reaching slightly beyond eye in specimens larger than about 100 mm SL, and not sexually dimorphic; upper edge convex below the eye in males and females, straight or slightly convex in juveniles. Snout pointed in males, slightly pointed in females, and rounded in juveniles. Adipose-fin small, not reaching the base of caudal-fin, its upper edge straight anteriorly and slightly convex posteriorly in males and females, and convex in juveniles.

Lateral line with 115-121 scales; 20-23 scale rows between lateral line and anal-fin origin; 26-29 scale rows between lateral line and dorsal-fin origin; 15-17 scales between lateral line and the adipose-fin insertion (Tab. 2). Pectoral fin with one simple and 11-12 branched rays, outer margin straight or slightly convex. Pelvic-fin with one simple and 8-9 branched rays, outer margin slightly convex. Anal-fin with three simple and 7½-8½ branched rays, outer margin convex anteriorly, concave posteriorly.

Dorsal-fin with 3-4 simple and 9½-10½ branched rays, outer margin straight or slightly convex. Caudal-fin forked and lobes pointed or slightly rounded in specimens smaller than approximately 180 mm SL, slightly forked and lobes rounded in specimens larger than about 190 mm SL. Gill rakers 6-7 + 11-12 = 17-19 on the outer side of first gill-arch.

Table 1. Morphometry of Salmo dahanu (holotype, FFR 3183; paratypes FFR 3184, n=15; paratypes FFR 3195, n=15) and Salmo trutta from Rhine River, Germany. The calculations include the holotype.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Holotype &amp; Paratypes</th>
<th>Salmo trutta</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>male</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of specimens</td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td>n=15</td>
<td>n=7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard length (mm)</td>
<td>95-184</td>
<td>97-287</td>
<td>111-149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In percentage of standard length</td>
<td>Range (mean)</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>Range (mean)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sexual dimorphism:** In males, the mouth is terminal or slightly subterminal in adult specimens, the upper profile head is slightly convex in the interorbital area and slightly concave at the level of nostrils. In females, the mouth is subterminal, the upper profile head is convex in the interorbital area.

**Coloration:** General body color greyish in life. General color of freshly preserved specimens: dark grey on the back and greyish on flank, belly yellowish. One to four black spots behind the eye and on the cheek (usually larger than pupil) and 1-6 black spots on top of the head (smaller than pupil). Few, ocellated, medium to large (equal to or smaller than pupil) black spots scattered on the back and upper flank in males and females, smaller than about 160 mm SL, and back, the upper part of flank and middle part of the body in females larger than about 170 mm SL (Figure 1). The number of spots not increasing with sizes. Dorsal fin greyish, with 3-5 rows of black spots, and 3-4 rows of red spots posteriorly on the lower part. Caudal fin grey or dark grey. Anal, pectoral and pelvic fins yellowish. Adipose fin greyish, without any black and red spot along the distal margin. Ten to 13 parr marks distinct, vertically oblong, broad and large in all specimens examined.
Table 2. Frequency distribution of meristic features of five Salmo species from the Marmara Sea and adjacent basins.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lateral line scales</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>110</th>
<th>111</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>113</th>
<th>114</th>
<th>115</th>
<th>116</th>
<th>117</th>
<th>118</th>
<th>119</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>121</th>
<th>122</th>
<th>123</th>
<th>124</th>
<th>125</th>
<th>126</th>
<th>127</th>
<th>128</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. duhani</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. abatumcicus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. fabrosus</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. ryzonisimus</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. trutta</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transverse line scales</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>29</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>33</th>
<th>34</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. duhani</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. abatumcicus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. fabrosus</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. ryzonisimus</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. trutta</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gill rakers</th>
<th>Scales between adipose-fin insertion and lateral line</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S. duhani</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. abatumcicus</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. fabrosus</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. ryzonisimus</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>15.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. trutta</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Distribution and habitat:** Salmo duhani is known from the upper tributaries of streams Gönen in the southern Marmara Sea basin (Figure 2). It prefers clear and moderately swift flowing streams and rivers, with a substrate of pebbles and sand.

![Figure 2. Distributions of Salmo duhani.](image)

**Etymology:** Salmo duhani is named after Duhan Turan, son of the first author. A noun in genitive.

**DISCUSSION**

Trout biodiversity has long been an international debate particularly between morphologists and geneticists (Ferguson, 2004). Initially, phenotypic plasticity of species was not well-understood. Furthermore, several species concepts with no consensus decision has resulted in inflated taxonomic identifications (23 species according to IUCN, 2020; 30-35 species according to Kottelat & Freyhof, 2007; Sanz, 2018 and up to 60 species according to Behnke, 1986; Jonsson & Jonsson, 2011; Froese & Pauly, 2019). However, the most recent opinion paper by Guinand, Oral & Tougaard, (2021), discussed thoroughly that phenotypic and genotypic diversity of brown trout is best explained in the concept of species complex and indicates multiple trout species.

Molecular studies demonstrated that the populations of previously recorded S. trutta form belongs to a number of distinct haplotype lineages, thus, several lineages were proposed by using mtDNA: North African, Atlantic, Adriatic, Mediterranean, Marbled, Danubian, Tigris, Dades, Duero (Bernatchez 2001; Bardakçı et al., 2006; Sušnik et al., 2005; Tougaard et al., 2018). Later, many species have been described under these lineages. However, there have always been researchers of the opposite view, and the diversity in the genus Salmo has been neglected (Tougaard et al., 2018; Kalaycı et al., 2018). Even, interestingly, Tougaard et al., (2018) listed all these lineages under the Salmo trutta. Nevertheless, especially in studies conducted in the last decade, there are serious differences in diagnostically important features (e.g. head length, length and height of maxilla, scale counts, body color and pattern, length and height of adipose-fin) among several trout populations. Pure molecular studies conducted without considering these diagnostic differences have made some researchers mistakenly deny the diversity in trouts.

Besides the differences of the new species listed in the diagnosis section, it also differs from the other congener listed below. Salmo duhani is distinguished from S. pelagonicus by having more par marks on the flank (10-13, vs. 9-10), a greyish body colour (vs. brownish), more red spots on the flank (vs. without or a few red spots on the flank), black spots scattered on the back and upper part of flank (vs. scattered on the flank, especially an anterior part of the body), a narrower ring around black and red spots (vs. very large ring), a smaller black spots (smaller than a pupil, vs. larger than pupil), the maxillary reaching to slightly beyond posterior margin of the eye (vs. markedly beyond posterior margin of the eye) and smaller adipose-fin (small size, vs. medium size) (Figure 1).

Salmo duhani differs from all other Salmo species in Turkey by having a slenderer caudal peduncle in males (depth 8-10% SL, vs. 10-13), the size of adipose-fin not sexually dimorphic (the adipose-fin of males is approximately equal to that of females, vs. markedly...
larger, except *S. chilo*) and numerous black spots on flank and back in adult females (vs. few black spots on flank and back in females, except *S. labecula*).

*Salmo duhani* also distinguished from *S. trutta* by having slightly fewer lateral line scales (115-121, vs. 117-128), fewer scale rows between lateral line and dorsal-fin origin (26-29, vs. 30-34), more scale rows between lateral line and anal-fin origin (20-23, vs. 17-20), more gill rakers first gill arch (17-19, vs. 14-17), a shorter head in males (25-28% SL, vs. 28-31), a shorter prepelvic length (52-55% SL, vs. 55-57), a slenderer caudal peduncle (8-10% SL, vs. 10-11), a smaller adipose fin (adipose-fin depth 4-6% SL, vs. 6-8), a smaller maxilla (length of maxilla 8-10% SL, vs. 10-12) and a smaller mouth gape in males (length of mouth gape 11-14% SL, vs. 14-16).

In this study, *Salmo coruhensis* is recorded for the first time from the streams Hisardere, drainage of the Lake Iznik, and Sultaniye eastern drainage of Izmıt bay, Marmara Sea basin. These records are the westernmost known distribution range of the species. There are record of resident *Salmo rizeensis* in Evrenye creek, Kastamonu (41.943N 33.893E) (Yoğurtçuoğlu et al., 2020), however, we could not find any *Salmo coruhensis* in the western Black Sea coasts of Turkey. The fact that there are many industrial factories that cause pollution in rivers may be the reason for this migratory species that cannot be found in the area.

Despite conservation status of some of the Salmonid species in Turkey were evaluated against IUCN criteria, there are still serious gaps about the ecology, threats, and exact number of independent populations of the species (IUCN, 2020). Kaya et al., (2019) reported several suggestions on the five species in the Turkish Mediterranean basin. They suggested that: *Salmo labecula* and *S. kottelati* should be evaluated as Critically Endangered because of their poorly known populations and invaded habitats by *Oncorhynchus mykiss*; *S. opimus* should be evaluated as Near Threatened because of its inhabitants at least five different locations with intensive populations; both *S. chilo* and *S. platyccephalus* should be evaluated as Endangered as each of them known to distribute only at a single location, even though their populations are intensive.

**Material used in morphologic comparison:** See Turan et al., (2010, 2017) and Turan & Bayçelebi (2020). The other material examined is listed below:

*S. trutta:* Germany, 7, 111-156; Rhine River, Plesibach Stream at Niederpleiss.
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