



## Characterization of SrO samples produced at two different temperatures

Turan İnce <sup>1,\*</sup>, Tankut Ates <sup>2,\*</sup>, Niyazi Bulut <sup>1</sup>, Serhat Keser <sup>3</sup>, Omer Kaygili <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Firat University, 23119 Elazig, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Engineering Basic Sciences, Faculty of Engineering and Natural Sciences, Malatya Turgut Özal University, Battalgazi, Malatya, Turkey

<sup>3</sup> Firat University, EOSB Higher Vocational Scholl, Department of Chemical Technology, Elazig/Turkey

\* Corresponding author: Turan İnce ([trnince23@gmail.com](mailto:trnince23@gmail.com))

### ABSTRACT

In this study, two strontium oxide (SrO) samples were produced at two different temperatures of 950 °C and 1000 °C, and the characterization of these samples was carried out using X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The as-obtained results were compared with both each other and the available data in the literature, and all the as-observed results were reported in more detail. It was found that the temperature of the heat treatment affected significantly the crystallite size and morphology and SrO samples can be easily prepared in high purity via a wet chemical method as a facile route.

### ARTICLE INFO

**Keywords:**

*Strontium oxide (SrO);*

*X-ray diffraction (XRD);*

*Scanning electron microscopy (SEM)*

**Received:** 14-04-2021,

**Accepted:** 25-04-2021

**ISSN:** 2651-3080

### 1. INTRODUCTION

As strontium reacts with oxygen, it forms strontium oxide, or strontia, SrO. Strontium oxide is formed when strontium is burned in air. It is also formed when strontium carbonate SrCO<sub>3</sub> decomposes [1]. Because of their limited availability, strontium compounds have not been commonly used until recently. Strontium-containing frits are now popular, particularly where brilliant glaze finishes and low thermal expansion are desired. Since it is non-hazardous and non-poisonous, strontium is essential. SrO is useful in combination with other fluxes at lower temperatures, despite its high melting point [1]. SrO has been widely used in various technological applications owing to its eminent optical, thermal, mechanical, magnetic and electrical properties, as well as its high oxidation resistance and chemical inertness [2]. In the work of [1] the role of strontium oxide as modifier in silicate-based bioactivate classes have been studied. It was obtained a fixed 10 mol% of CaO was replaced with MgO or SrO or fifty-fifty MgO-SrO and obtained ad a good mechanical performance. There is no comprehensive

theoretical or experimental work on the title complexes in the literature as far as we know, and we have provided two strontium oxide samples at two separate temperatures of 950 °C and 1000 °C in this work. X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, and scanning electron microscopy were used to characterize these samples in detail.

### 2. MATERIALS AND METHOD

Strontium nitrate (Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>) was purchased from Merck and used without any further purification. Two of 0.1 M Sr(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> solutions were prepared in two different flasks with volumes of 100 mL using the distilled water as the solvent. These solutions were mixed in a multi-position magnetic stirrer at 75 °C for 3 h and then dried in an oven at 120 °C for 23 h. Finally, the samples were heat-treated at various temperatures of 950 °C and 1000 °C for the same time of 3h.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) data of the as-produced SrO samples were collected by using a Rigaku RadB-DMAX II model diffractometer with a step size of 0.02° using Cu K $\alpha$  radiation. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) data in the

mid-infrared range (400-4000  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ) were recorded by a PerkinElmer Spectrum One spectrophotometer using the KBr pellet method. The morphological investigations were carried out by using a LEO EVO 40xVP model scanning electron microscope equipped with an EDX detector (Röntech xflash).

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. XRD results

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis results of the samples are shown in Fig. 1. Both spectra are perfectly matched with the standard data for SrO (JCPDS pdf no: 48-1477) having the cubic crystal structure. For two samples, the diffraction peaks of (111), (200), (220), (311), and (400) were observed. No any notable shift in the peak


positions due to the heat treatment temperature was observed, but some changes in the intensities of these peaks were seen.

The crystallite size ( $t$ ), lattice parameter ( $a$ ) and unit cell volume ( $V$ ) of the samples having a cubic crystal system were calculated using the following relations, respectively [3]:

$$D_s = \frac{0.9\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{1}{d^2} = \frac{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}{a^2} \quad (2)$$

$$V = a^3 \quad (3)$$



**Fig. 1.** XRD patterns of both SrO samples produced at various temperatures

**Table 1.** The as-computed values of the crystallite size ( $t$ ), lattice parameter ( $a$ ) and unit cell volume ( $V$ ) of the samples compared with the standard data for SrO structure

Sample	$a$ (nm)	$V$ ( $\text{nm}^3$ )	$t$ (nm)
SrO (JCPDS 48-1477)	0.5160	0.1374	-
SrO-950	0.5161	0.1375	32.88
SrO-1000	0.5157	0.1372	36.10

For both samples, the as-calculated values of these parameters are listed in Table 1. As can be seen from Table 1, the lattice parameters and unit cell volume values of both samples are very close to those of the standard values belonging to SrO. The crystallite size was found high for the Sr-1000 produced at 1000 °C in comparison to the Sr-950 produced at 950 °C. This is due to the

expansion of the samples as expected theoretically at the high temperatures compared to the lower temperatures [4].

#### 3.2. FTIR results

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of the samples are given in Fig. 2. The bands at 601, 904, 1033, and 1445  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are associated with the vibrational mode of the Sr-O bond indicating the formation of SrO for both

samples [5-8]. The bands of 3476, 3614, and 3682  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are assigned to the hydroxyl and water-related groups, which are possibly adsorbed moisture from the atmosphere [2,9,10]. The as-observed band at 1056  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is related to

the vibration mode of the C-O bond, which is possibly adsorbed from air atmosphere [11]. The as-detected band at 2975  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is due to the C-H bond related vibrational modes of  $\text{CH}_2$  and/or  $\text{CH}_3$  [12,13].





Fig. 2. FTIR results of both SrO samples

### 3.3. SEM investigations

The morphological investigation results of both SrO samples are shown in Fig. 3. Both samples are composed of the randomly stacked particles with the cubic shapes. In comparison to the SrO-950 sample, the SrO-1000 has got

the bigger particles verifying the expansion at the higher temperature. The EDX results showed that each SrO sample contained only the elements of Sr and O, as expected. For both, the atomic percent of Sr and O is close to each other.



**Fig. 3.** Morphological analysis of both SrO samples

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, it was aimed to investigate the effects of the production temperature of SrO on its structural and morphological properties. In this context, two SrO samples were produced at different temperatures of 950 °C and 1000 °C by using a facile wet chemical route, and these samples were characterized by using the X-ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) techniques. As can be seen from the XRD and SEM results, the higher temperature caused an increase in the crystallite size and grain size of the SrO structure. The XRD and FTIR results also confirmed the formation of SrO structure for each sample. No impurity was detected in both XRD and SEM investigations of the samples. Briefly, all the as-investigated properties were affected by the production temperature.

#### References

- [1] F. Méar, P. Yot, M. Cambon and M. Ribes, *Waste Management*, 2006, **26**, 1468-1476.
- [2] T. Athar, *Materials Focus*, 2013, **2**, 450-453.
- [3] B.D. Cullity, *Elements of X-ray diffraction*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company; 1978.
- [4] M.H.M. Abdelrehman, Synthesis and characterization of bismuth doped strontium oxide powder and thin films, Master of Science Thesis, 2019, University of the Free State, Republic of South Africa.
- [5] M.M. Rahman, M.M. Hussain and A.M. Asiri, *RSC Advances*, 2016, **6**, 65338.
- [6] G. Apsana, P.P. George, N. Devanna and R. Yuvasravana, *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical and Clinical Research*, 2018, **11**, 384-389.
- [7] F. Granados-Correa and J. Bonifacio-Martinez, *Materials Science-Poland*, 2014, **32**, 682-687.
- [8] A.A. Gungor, H. Nadaroglu and D.D. Gultekin, *Chemical Science International Journal*, 2019, **26**, 1-7.
- [9] Alimuddin and M. Rafeeq, *Oriental Journal of Chemistry*, 2021, **37**, 177-180.
- [10] H. Li, F. Liu, X. Ma, Z. Wu, Y. Li, L. Zhang, S. Zhou and Y. Helian, *Energy Conversion and Management*, 2019, **180**, 401-410.
- [11] H. Li, F. Liu, X. Ma, P. Cui, M. Guo, Y. Li, Y. Gao, S. Zhou and M. Yu, *Renewable Energy*, 2020, **149**, 816-827.
- [12] S.S. Bhoware, M.S. Maubane, A. Shaikjee, I. Beas, A. Ziegler and N.J. Coville, *Materials Research Bulletin*, 2013, **48**, 2347-2350.
- [13] S. Harish, M. Sabarinathan, J. Archana, M. Navaneethan, K.D. Nisha, S. Ponnusamy, V. Gupta, C. Muthamizhchelvan, D.K. Aswal, H. Ikeda and Y. Hayakawa, *Applied Surface Science*, 2017, **418**, 147-155.