

## Comparison of the Motoric Features of 10-12 Years Age Female Volleyball

## Players with Their Technical Capacities

Nebahat ELER<sup>1</sup>, Erdal ZORBA<sup>2</sup>, Seyfi SAVAS<sup>2</sup><sup>1</sup>Physical Education Teacher<sup>2</sup>Gazi University, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport, Ankara/Turkey.**Abstract**

**Objectives:** The target of this study is the determination of the effect of 8 week strength exercise upon the technical and the motoric capacities of 11-12 years of age female players and elucidates the correlation between the technical and the motoric features if these are any.

**Design:** This study was conducted by 36 female volleyball players who played volleyball actively in school and club competitions in 2011-2012 seasons on voluntary basis. The participants were separated as 18 member experimental group with an average age of  $11.6 \pm 0.5$  years, an average height of  $163.5 \pm 9.6$  cm, an average body length of  $53.5 \pm 8.8$  kg and an average experience of  $18.1 \pm 5.1$  months and a 18 member control with an average age of  $11.6 \pm 0.5$  years, an average length of  $163.8 \pm 6.8$  cm, an average body weight of  $54.0 \pm 5.07$  kg and an average experience of  $18.0 \pm 10.0$  months.

**Methods:** The motoric features were measured by the shuttle moves, push-ups, vertical and long jumps from the static situation and the technical capacities were found by the systems measuring the accuracy of the finger passes, digging passes and serves. After the determination of the initial values, the experimental group was subjected to a 1 hour fifteen minutes a day, three days a week 8 week strength exercise.

**Results:** There was a statistically significant increase between all the motoric and technical capacities of the experimental group after eight week power program ( $p < 0.05$ ). However there was not a statistically significant change in the control group ( $p > 0.05$ ). There was a statistically significant correlation between the pre and post test evaluation of the motoric and the technical features of the experimental group ( $p < 0.05$ ). However there was no statistically significant difference between the values of the control group ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Conclusion:** The experimental group in this study which was subjected to both technical and strength program showed statistically significant improvements in both their technical and motoric capacities.

**Keywords:** Mini Volleyball, Motoric, Technique, Vertical Jump.

## **Introduction**

As well as being one of the most popular sports of Turkey, volleyball contains highest number of licensed female players compared to other sports. Volleyball is a sport which requires highest degree of motoric features (Marques et al., 2004; Borrás et al., 2011). It is an activity which requires utmost level of anaerobic power, together with jumping and swiftness and the combination of technical movements in a very rapid manner (Fontani et al., 2005). The success of the new starters in the basic movements such as finger pass, digging pass and serves is highly dependent on the power of their fingers, arms and the legs. Apart from that the basic movements, volleyball require the proper use of strength, speed and the coordination. In other words although the techniques specific to volleyball differ as in all sports, the motoric features are the most important factors in the development and the application of these techniques (Borrás et al., 2011). The determination of the motoric capacities of the new starters is of great importance for the sporting level they can reach (Hakkinen, 1989). The targets of this study is the determination of the effect of 8 week strength exercise upon the technical and the motoric capacities of 11-12 years of age female players and elucidate the correlation between the technical and the motoric features if these is any.

Volleyball is a sport which has the child gain the virtue of the habit of life-long physical activity and help to develop his/her muscle strength, endurance, flexibility, coordination and the agility (Çelenk, 2009). Since volleyball requires the muscle type known as the elongated muscle, the shape bodies of the players develop accordingly. Ultimately the body takes the ideal shape (neither without muscles nor with extensive muscles). Their body shapes were neither very thin nor very thick. For instance the volleyball players cannot have the body shape known as the “*the zero size*”. The body strength of the volleyball players increases as their bodies get thicker. Because as the body gets thicker the skeleton system of the body cannot lift it properly that is why the volleyball players must maintain some very delicate balances. The body development of the volleyball players are not as that of football or basketball players which requires man to man struggle. Since volleyball makes the use of whole parts of the body possible the body develops in a balanced manner. Since it requires of many movements both on ground and in air the body mass develops in a very ideal way. That is why the models which have an athletic background mainly come from the volleyball players. The modern volleyball, which passed through so many changes throughout its

history, is a sport which demands well balanced motoric features and mental coordination (Kenny and Gregory, 2006; Günay, 2005). The distribution of the motor features in volleyball is given as power 45%, endurance 10%, speed 15% and flexibility and coordination 30% (Gündüz, 1995).

## **Methods**

### **The features of the participating groups**

The control group was consisted of 18 female players in the mini volleyball team of Gazi University Sport Club with an average age of  $11.6 \pm 0.5$  years and the experimental group was 18 female volleyball players chosen from the mini volleyball team of İller banks sport club with average age of  $11.6 \pm 0.5$  years. The participants and their trainers were briefed about the study in order to increase their motivations.

### **Data collection**

After completing the pre-test measurements of the both groups the experimental group was subjected to a 1 hour 15 minutes a day, three day's week 8- week strength training program to improve their motoric capacities. The control group at the other hand continued their normal volleyball techniques training during this period. The programs applied to the experimental group were based upon the related literature and the determinations of the motoric features were relied by the use of three different test systems. The literature surveys revealed that there were no study related to the determination of the technical skills of the mini volleyball players and there were three test systems established after consulting the experts in the area.

### **Data collection tool**

There was an “*athlete personal and performance information card* “, prepared for each participant which contains the section for the personal information (name and surname, age and sporting experience), physical features (height and body weight), motoric measurements (vertical jump, long jump from the static position, 30 seconds push –ups and 20 seconds shuttle moves (Sevim, 2010; Zorba and Saygin, 2009) and the information about the test systems mentioned above.

### **The motoric test measurements of the players**

#### **Vertical jump test**

The vertical jump test is determines the maximum jumping height by both feet in front of the platform mounted upon the wall. The normal arm each of the player who was going to be tested was determined in front of the platform and the difference between the jumping

height and the arm reach was taken as the vertical jump length, The test was repeated in duplicate and the best results were recorded (Ellis et al., 2000).

#### **Long jump from the static position**

The participants tried to jump the highest distance away from a line marked on the floor with both feet. The distance between the starting line and the mark which the participant left on the floor nearest to the starting line is recorded in centimeters (cm).

#### **Shuttle move**

The participants carried out a shuttle moves for 30 seconds. They lay out on their backs with their knees were folded 30 cm from the hip and the hands were bonded at the neck tries to get from the laying downward position to the sitting position. The number of shuttle moves was recorded for each participant in a specified time (Zorba and Saygin, 2009)

#### **Push-up**

The participants were asked to make push-ups in 20 seconds. They lay down facing the floor the hands were placed at the level of the shoulders keeping thumbs in the same when the body was in fully stretched position and tries to lift the body as many times as possible within a specified time. The number of push-ups in 20 s was recorded (Sevim, 2010).

#### **Technical test of the participants**

##### **Finger passes to the target on the wall:**

A circle with a radius of 25 cm is placed at the middle of a rectangular with the dimensions of 1.50 x 1.20 cm placed at height of 2.05 m from floor which is the height of the mini volleyball net. The participants were required to hit the target using a finger pass technique 50 away from the wall for 30 s recording to the number of shoots on the target by the use of the proper technique.

##### **The digging passes at the target on the wall.**

Using the same target for the finger pass the participants were asked to hit the target with digging pass 1 m away from the wall for 30 s. The number of passes on target with the use of a proper technique is recorded.

##### **Downward serves to the pre-determined regions**

The mini volleyball court is divided into four regions and each player is asked to send five services to each region by the use of downward technique. Each proper serves on the target is counted.

### **Analyses of the data**

The comparison of the pre and post-test data of the technical and the motoric capacities of the experimental and the control groups were carried Wilcoxon Signed Rank test used for the comparison of the dependent non-parametric groups. The comparison of the pre-test and post-test data of the technical and the motoric tests of the experimental and the control groups were realized by the use independent groups. Also the correlation analysis of the technical and the motoric features of the experimental and the control groups were carried out employing the of the Spearman Rank Order correlation test of the Sigma Plot 11.0 statistical (Systat Software Inc, USA) software. The significance level was taken as  $p < 0.05$  for all statistical analyses.

### **Results**

There was a statistically significant increase in the motoric features of the experimental group after 8 week training program ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 1.** The demographic features of the experimental and the control group.

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Experimental group (n=18)</b>	<b>Control group (n=18)</b>	<b><i>p</i></b>
<b>Age</b> (years)	11.6 ± 0.5	11.6 ± 0.5	0.985
<b>Height</b> (cm)	163.5 ± 9.6	163.8 ± 6.8	0.921
<b>Body weight</b> (kg)	53.5 ± 8.8	54.0 ± 5.7	0.864

**Table 2.** The motoric features of the experimental group before and after the training period (n=18).

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Pre-test</b>	<b>Post-test</b>	<b><i>p</i></b>
<b>Shuttle</b> (times)	2.0 ± 2.3	3.6 ± 3.7	<0.001*
<b>Push-ups</b> (times)	10.1 ± 3.3	16.0 ± 4.9	<0.001*
<b>vertical jump</b> (cm)	28.7 ± 5.2	32.1 ± 5.3	<0.001*
<b>Long jump</b> (cm)	145.7 ± 21.5	153.9 ± 23.1	<0.001*

(Statistically significant difference,  $p < 0.05$ ).

There was not a statistically significant difference between the pre and post results of the control group after the application of 8 week technical program ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Table 3.** The motoric feature so the control group (n=18)

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Pre -test</b>	<b>Post-test</b>	<b><i>p</i></b>
<b>Push-ups</b> (times)	3.1 ± 2.1	3.7 ± 1.8	0.094
<b>Shuttle</b> (times)	12.6 ± 4.2	13.9 ± 4.9	0.064
<b>vertical jump</b> (cm)	29.2 ± 6.9	28.9 ± 6.3	0.641
<b>Long-jump</b> (cm)	147.3 ± 14.9	147.5 ± 15.0	0.786

(There is no statistically significant difference,  $p > 0.05$ ).

There was a statistically significant increase in all the technical capacity features of the experimental group after an 8 week strength exercise ( $p < 0.05$ ).

**Table 4. The technical features of the experimental group (n=18)**

Parameters	Pre-test	Post-test	<i>p</i>
<b>Finger Pass</b>	26.1 ± 7.1	30.7 ± 7.6	<0.001*
<b>Digging Pass</b>	8.9 ± 5.5	13.7 ± 5.3	<0.001*
<b>Service</b>	2.5 ± 0.9	4.1 ± 0.7	0.029*

(There is no statistically significant difference,  $p < 0.05$ ).

There was not a statistically significant difference in the technical capacity features of the control group after an 8 week strength exercise ( $p > 0.05$ ).

**Table 5. The technical capacity features of the control group (n=18)**

	Pre-test	Post-test	<i>p</i>
<b>Finger pass</b>	29.6 ± 3.6	30.7 ± 7.6	0.946
<b>Digging Pas</b>	15.2 ± 4.5	15.5 ± 4.5	0.438
<b>Service</b>	3.2 ± 0.9	3.3 ± 0.7	0.646

(There is not a statistically significant difference,  $p > 0.05$ )

**Table 6.** The correlation between the pre-test results of the motoric and the technical capacity features of the control group.

Parameters	Finger pass		Digging pass		Ser and s	
	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i>	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i>	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i>
<b>Push-ups (times)</b>	0.121	0.0121	0.0228	0.928	0.188	0.460
<b>Shuttles (times)</b>	0.0235	0.921	0.0593	0.817	0.319	0.207
<b>Vertical J. (cm)</b>	0.110	0.666	0.285	0.262	-0.117	0.646
<b>Long jump</b>	0.0197	0.936	0.189	0.460	0.137	0.592

There was not a statistically significant difference between pre-test results of the motoric and technical skills.

**Table 7.** The comparison of the post test results of the historic and technical capacities of the control group.

Parameters	Finger pass		Digging pass		Ser and s	
	Corr.	<i>p</i>	Corr.	<i>p</i>	Corr.	<i>p</i>
<b>Push-ups (times)</b>	0.233	0.361	0.250	0.326	0.455	0.854
<b>Shuttles (times)</b>	0.0751	0.766	0.363	0.148	0.347	0.166
<b>Vertical jump (cm)</b>	0.0179	0.943	0.217	0.393	0.182	0.478
<b>Long jump (cm)</b>	0.170	0.509	0.534	0.266	-0.191	0.454

When we look at the post test results of the motoric and technical capacity values of the control group we observe no relations between them.

**Table 8.** The comparison of the pre-test results of the historic and technical capacities of the control group.

Parameters	Finger pass		Digging pass		Ser and s	
	Corr.	<i>p</i>	Corr.	<i>p</i>	Corr.	<i>p</i>
<b>Push-ups</b> (times)	0.0942	0.705	0.361	0.138	0.651	0.113
<b>Shuttles</b> (times)	0.108	0.662	-0.140	0.574	0.566	0.141
<b>vertical jump</b> (cm)	0.328	0.181	0.283	0.252	0.690	0.135
<b>Long jump</b> (cm)	-0.192	0.436	-0.263	0.285	0.288	0.241

There was not any statistically significant correlation between the technical capacities and the motoric features between the post test results of the control group and experimental group.

**Table 9.** The post test results of the technical capacities and the motoric feature of the control group.

Parameters	Finger pass		Digging pass		Service	
	Corr.	<i>p</i>	Corr.	<i>p</i>	Corr.	<i>p</i>
<b>Push-ups</b> (times)	0.269	0.274	0.503	0.053	0.570	0.133
<b>Shuttles</b> (times)	0.328	0.178	0.413	0.856	0.509	0.306
<b>Vertical jump</b> (cm)	0.364	0.134	0.171	0.492	0.501	0.338
<b>Long jump</b> (cm)	-0.302	0.217	-0.295	0.227	0.0251	0.915

The post test results of the technical capacities and motoric features of the control group revealed no significant correlation between them.

### **Discussion**

The physical compatibility parameter such as height and the body weight of the participants generally showed parallelism with the literature and the small difference observed in this study was attributed to the difference in the sporting branches. Fleck et al. (1985) state that in their study where they investigated the effect of volleyball upon the physical and the physiological development of the girls with an average age of  $12.37 \pm 1.13$  years found that the mean height and weight of the participants were  $155 \pm 8.93$  cm and  $42.58 \pm 6.68$  kg. Pekel et al. (2006) found that the corresponding values as  $148.7 \pm 7.8$  cm and  $35.7 \pm 8.0$  kg in their study where they evaluated the relation between the physical compatibility test results and the anthropometric features of the sporting girls with an average age of  $11.5 \pm 0.8$  years. Yazarer et al. (2004) reported that the average heights and the body weights of the girls with an average age of  $12.76 \pm 1.42$  years who attended a two month basketball course as  $152.360 \pm 9.844$  cm and  $43.252 \pm 9.498$  kg respectively. The average age of the experimental

and control groups found that attended this study were in  $11.6\pm 0.5$  years. The average height of the players was,  $163.5\pm 9.6$  and  $163.8\pm 6.8$  cm and their average body weight were  $53.5\pm 8.8$  and  $54.0\pm 5.7$  kg. There was a statistically significant increase in the post training values of long jump from a static position of the experimental group which were subjected to a strength exercises in addition to volleyball training. The corresponding values of the control group who were not subjected to any form of additional exercise on the other hand showed no statistical difference and. The pre and post training values of the control group remained almost the same. We can easily conclude that the additional power exercise applied to the experimental group had a synergistic effect upon the vertical and long jump from a static position values. Duncan et al. (2006) who investigated the effect of volleyball upon the physical and physiological features of the female children with an average age of  $12.37\pm 1.13$  years reported the average long jump from a static position as  $134\pm 9.97$  cm while Pekel et al. (2006) and found the corresponding value as  $170.8\pm 20.8$  cm in their study upon 43 three female players with an average age of  $11.5\pm 0.8$  years. Ayan and Mülazımoğlu (2008) reported that the average value for the long jump from a static position of the female players with an age of 8-10 years after the performance test they carried out to select the skillful players was  $94.72\pm 16.83$  cm. The pre- and post-test vertical jump values were  $28.7\pm 5.2$  cm and  $32.1\pm 5.3$  cm for the experimental and  $29.2\pm 6.9$  cm and  $28.9\pm 6.3$  cm for the control group in this study. Melrose et al. (2007) and reported the vertical jump performance of the adolescent female volleyball players as and  $35.5 \pm 6.2$  cm. Ayan and Mülazımoğlu (2008) determined the average vertical jump value for 8-10 years old girls as and  $17.27\pm 4.09$  cm. Kalkavan et al. (2006) reported that the vertical jump values of  $32.83\pm 5.69$  and  $37.28\pm 5.02$  cm for the child and pubertal female basketball players with an average age of  $11.08\pm 0.51$  and  $12.67\pm 0.51$  years.

The number of 30 s shuttle exercise pre and post-test values of the volleyball players participated in our study after were found to be and  $10.1\pm 3.3$  and  $16.0\pm 4.9$  for the experimental and  $12.63\pm 4.21$  and  $13.92\pm 4.95$  for the control group. The number of 30 s shutter exercise showed a statically significant increase of the experimental group who was subjected to an 8 week s exercise in addition to normal volleyball training. On the other hand there was not any increase with statistical importance in the number of 30 s shuttle exercise in the control group who was not subjected any form of strength exercise. Although the pre- test values of the 30 s shuttle test results of the experimental group was lower than the control group the power exercise applied together with the regular training made the post test results



of the experimental group higher than the control group. This result verifies the positive effect of the strength exercises. Melrose et al. (2007) found the 1 minute shuttle values of the adolescent female volleyball players  $47.0 \pm 6.7$ . Pekel et al. (2006) as a result of the study they carried out upon 43 female athletes with an average age of  $11.5 \pm 0.8$  found the same value as  $33.4 \pm 6.4$ . Öz et al. (2010) in their study which they investigated that the physiologic and bio-motoric features of the female basketball players at the an average age of 14-16 by the use of Euro-fit test system found the average 30 s shuttle move as  $20.0 \pm 0.53$ . The differences were attributed the difference between the sporting branches.

Millic et. Al (2012), investigated the bio-motoric condition and the kine-sociologic effect of the volleyball upon 242 female children at the age of 0-12 years reported that 42 of them were regularly attending the volleyball training sessions while the rest were not involved in any sports expect those they do in physical education courses in the school. According to the result of the study the volleyball training session have a positively effect in the development of the muscle mass and the strength of the player. Therefore the children who played volleyball showed a much better performance in the jumping skills which require explosive power than those who were not doing any regular sport. All these data proves that the volleyball training have a positive effect on the physical development of the children which supports the data obtained in our study. Noyes et al. (2005) had 31 female volleyball players with an average age of  $14.5 \pm 1.0$  years subjected to a 6 week training program of three days a week, 90-120 minutes jumping and strength program in order to prevent the crossed knee bond injuries. The participants were subjected to deep jumps, vertical jumps and shuttle moves and the players had 65% increases in their shuttle moves and vertical jumping values at the end of this period. The experimental group in this study which was subjected to both technical and strength program showed statistically significant improvements in both their technical and motoric capacities.

### **References**

- Armstrong, M. E., Lambert, G. V., Lambert, M. I. (2011). Physical fitness of South African primary school children, 6 To 13 Years of Age: Discovery vitality health of the nation study. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*. 113(3), 999-1016.
- Ayan, V., Mülazımoğlu, O. (2008). Talent Selection in Sports and Assessment of the Physical Characteristics and Some Performance Profiles of Male Children Between 8-10 Years Old in Guidance to Sports (Ankara Sample). *Firat University, Medical Journal of Health*. 23(3), 113-118.

- Çelenk, B. (2009). *Basic Fundamental in Volleyball* (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). Sport Publishing: Ankara.
- Duncan, M.J., Woodfield, L., Al-Nakeeb, Y. (2006). Anthropometric and physiological characteristics of junior elite volleyball players. *Br J Sports Med.* 40, 649–651.
- Ellis, K., Butterfield, S. A., Lehnhard, R. A. (2000). Grip-strength performances by 6-to 19-yr.-old children with and without hearing impairments. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 90(1), 279-282.
- Fleck, S.J., Case, S., Puhl, J., Van Handle, P. (1985). Physical and physiological characteristics of elite women volleyball players. *Can J Appl Sport Sci.* 10, 122–126.
- Günay, M. (2005). *Sport Physiology and Measurement of Performance* (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). Gazi Books: Ankara.
- Gündüz, N. (1995). *Information of Training* (1<sup>st</sup> ed.). Saray Medicine Bookhouses; İzmir.
- Kalkavan, A., Pınar, S., Kılınç, F., Yüksel, O. (2005). The research into the effects of child basketball players' physical structure on biomotoric and physiological features. *Erciyes University, Journal of Health Sciences.* 14(2), 111-118.
- Kenny, B., Gregory, C. (2006). *Volleyball—steps to success*. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics, United Kingdom.
- Melrose, D. R., Spaniol, F. J., Bohling, M. E., Bonnette, R. A. (2007). Physiological and performance characteristics of adolescent club volleyball players. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research.* 21(2),481-486
- Milić, M., Grgantov, Z., Katić, R. (2012). Biomotor status and kinesiological education of girls aged 10 to 12 years -example: Volleyball. *Coll Antropol.* 36(3), 959-66.
- Noyes, F. R., Barber-Westin, S. D., Fleckenstein, C., Walsh, C., & West, J. (2005). The drop-jump screening test difference in lower limb control by gender and effect of neuromuscular training in female athletes. *The American Journal of Sports Medicine*, 33(2), 197-207.
- Öz, E., Pekel, H. A., Altunsoy, M., Oz, E., Pekel, A. O. (2010). The effects of 4 month volleyball training on flexibility, jump, speed, and agility in preadolescent girls. *Ovidius University Annals, Series Physical Education and Sport/Science, Movement and Health.* 2(supp), 383-360.
- Pekel, H. A., Bağcı, E., Güzel, A. N., Onay, M., Balcı, Ş. S., Pepe, H. (2006). The evaluation relationship between some anthropometric characteristics and performance related physical fitness test result in children athletes. *Kastamonu Education Journal.* 14(1), 299-308.

Sevim, Y. (2010). *Information of Training* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Nobel Publishing: Ankara.

Yazarer, İ., Taşmektepligil, Y. M., Ağaoğlu, S. Y., Ağaoğlu, A. S., Albay, F., Eker, H. (2004). Evaluation of physical development of the participants in the summer basketball school in two months period. Ankara University, Spormetre Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences. 4(2), 163-170.

Zorba, E., Saygın, Ö. (2009). *Physical Activity and Physical Fitness*. İnceler Offset: İstanbul.