



RESEARCH ARTICLE

SA-EXTENDING MODULES

Figen TAKIL MUTLU^{1,*} , Edanur TAŞTAN¹ 

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Eskişehir Technical University, Eskişehir, Turkey

ABSTRACT

In this note we investigate modules with the *Ads* and the *SIP*-extending properties. Besides many results obtained, we show that the class of *SA*-extending modules is not closed under direct sums. Further we deal with direct summands of an *SA*-extending module. We give necessary and sufficient conditions for a module to be an *SA*-extending. It has been also shown that the concepts of *UC*, *SA* and *SA*-extending coincide when a module is distributive quasi continuous.

Keywords: *Ads*-module, *SIP*-extending module, *UC* module.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this article, all rings are associative with unity and R signifies such a ring. All modules are unital right R -modules unless indicated otherwise. Let M be a module. Thus $N \leq M$, $N \leq_e M$, $End(M)$, and $r(X)$ will stand for N is a submodule of M , N is an essential submodule of M , the ring of endomorphism of M , and the right annihilator of a subset X in M , respectively. For any other terminology or unexplained notions, we refer to [1, 2].

It is well known that a module is said to be *CS* or *extending* or said to satisfy the C_1 condition if every submodule is essential in a direct summand. Extending modules and their several generalizations have been worked out extensively by many authors, see for example [3-6].

After Fuchs [7] defined the absolute direct summand property (briefly, *Ads*) for abelian groups in 1970, Burgess and Raphael [8] defined the absolute direct summand property for modules and investigated these modules, A module M is said to have the *absolute direct summand property* (briefly, *Ads*) if for every decomposition $M = A \oplus B$ of M and every complement C of A in M we have $M = A \oplus C$. A ring R is said to have the right *Ads* if as a right R -module R has the *Ads*.

In 1986, Wilson [9] defined the summand intersection property (briefly, *SIP*) for modules. A module is said to be *SIP* or said to have *summand intersection property* (*SIP*), if the intersection of every pair of direct summands of M is a direct summand of M . Several generalizations of *SIP* modules have been studied to date (for example, [10, 11]). One of the generalizations of the *SIP* modules is *SIP*-extending module. A module M is said to be an *SIP*-extending module if the intersection of any two direct summands is essentially contained in a direct summand. A ring R is said to be an *SIP*-extending ring if as a right R -module R is an *SIP*-extending module.

Since the date which these concepts were defined, studies on these two module property have been increasingly continuing. In 2015, Takil Mutlu showed that these two concepts do not require each other, and the author called the modules with these two property as *SA*-modules and examined this module class in detail (see, [6]). In 2018, the author examined the matrix rings with both the *Ads*

and the *SIP* properties. In addition, some well-studied rings (such as semisimple rings, *V*-rings, regular rings) have been characterized in terms of *SA* modules in [12].

In this paper, *SIP*-extending modules with the *Ads* property (briefly, *SA*-extending module) which are a proper generalization of *SA*-modules, are examined. This module class has been studied specifically for direct sum and direct summand. A characterization of *SA*-extending modules is provided in Theorem 2.3. We give examples showing that the *SA*-extending condition is not carried over to direct sums. A necessary condition for the equivalence of *SA* and *SA*-extending conditions are given in Proposition 2.12 that if a module *M* is distributive quasi-continuous, then *M* is an *SA*-module if and only if *M* is an *SA*-extending module. We also proved that if *A* and *B* are both *SA*-extending *R*-modules with the property $r(A) + r(B) = R$, then $A \oplus B$ is an *SA*-extending module. Also, it is proved that for fully invariant submodules M_i of *M*, $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ is *SA*-extending module if and only if each M_i is *SA*-extending and M_i is $\bigoplus_{i \neq j}^n M_j$ -injective for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

2. RESULTS

We begin the following examples which show that *SA*-extending modules are proper generalization of *SA*-modules.

Example 2.1. Let $R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_4 & 2\mathbb{Z}_4 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbb{Z}_4 \end{bmatrix}$. Then all idempotents of R_R are $e_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$, $e_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$, $e_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$, $e_4 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$, $e_5 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$, $e_6 = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix}$ and hence all direct summands of R_R are $e_1R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$, $e_2R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbb{Z}_4 \end{bmatrix}$, $e_3R = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 2c \\ \mathbf{0} & c \end{bmatrix} : c \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$, $e_4R = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_4 & 2\mathbb{Z}_4 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$ and R . Then we have $R_R = e_2R \oplus e_4R = e_3R \oplus e_4R$. All complements of e_2R are e_4R and $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2a & 2b \\ \mathbf{0} & 2b \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$, all complements of e_3R are e_4R and $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2a & 2b \\ \mathbf{0} & 2b \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$ and all complements of e_4R are e_2R, e_3R and $\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & 2b \\ \mathbf{0} & 2b \end{bmatrix} : b \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\}$. Since $e_2R \oplus \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 2a & 2b \\ \mathbf{0} & 2b \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_4 \right\} \neq R_R$, then R_R is not an *Ads* module. However, since $e_2R \cap e_3R$ is not a direct summand of R_R , R_R is not an *SIP* module. But $e_2R \cap e_3R \leq_e e_2R$, then R_R is an *SIP*-extending module.

The following example appears in [14, Example 1.5].

Example 2.2. Let T the following matrix ring over a field F

$$T = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & c & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & c & d \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & a \end{bmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in F \right\}.$$

Then all idempotent elements of T are the followings:

$$0, I_{4 \times 4}, e = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } f = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & x & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for some $x, y \in F$. All complements of the direct summand eT are $I = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} T$ and

$J = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} \end{bmatrix} T$. On the other hand, all complements of the direct summand fT are

$$K = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T \text{ and } L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} T.$$

Also

$$T = eT \oplus I = eT \oplus J = fT \oplus K = fT \oplus L.$$

Hence T is an **Ads** module. However, if we take

$$g^2 = g = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } h^2 = h = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then $gT \cap hT$ is not a direct summand of T . So T is not an **SIP** module. But routine calculations show that T is an **SIP**-extending module.

The following theorem is useful for checking whether a module is **SA**-extending module. The first part of this theorem was proved by Takıl Mutlu [6] and the second part of its was proved by Karabacak and Tercan [10].

Theorem 2.3. A module M is an **SA**-extending module if and only if the following statements are satisfied:

- (i) for any decomposition $M = A \oplus B$, any complement C of A in M and the projection map $\pi: M \rightarrow B$, the restricted map $\pi|_C: C \rightarrow B$ is an isomorphism.
- (ii) for any two direct summands M_1 and M_2 , if $\pi: M \rightarrow M_1$ is the projection map, then the kernel of the restricted map $\pi|_{M_2}: M_2 \rightarrow M_1$ is essential in a direct summand of M .

It is well known that both of the extending property and the **SA** property are inherited by direct summands (see [6, Lemma 2.7]). These results bring to mind the following natural question:

Is a direct summand of an **SA**-extending modules again an **SA**-extending module?

In [10], the authors gave a positive answer to this question under the condition that the direct summand is the unique closure for each of its essential submodules. So we can directly give the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4. Let M be an **SA**-extending module. If a direct summand K of M is the unique closure in M for each of its essential submodules, then K is also an **SA**-extending module.

The following example shows that the direct sum of two **SA**-extending modules is not an **SA**-extending module in general.

Example 2.5. (i) Let $M = \mathbb{Z}$ as a right \mathbb{Z} -module. Since M is indecomposable, it is an **SA**-extending module. But, M is not quasi-injective and hence $M \oplus M$ is not an **SA**-extending module.

(ii) Consider $M = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_8$ as a right \mathbb{Z} -module. It is clear that \mathbb{Z}_2 and \mathbb{Z}_8 are uniform right \mathbb{Z} -modules and hence both of them are **SA**-extending modules. All direct decomposition of M are

$$\begin{aligned} M &= \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{0}) \rangle \oplus \langle (\bar{0}, \bar{1}) \rangle = \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{0}) \rangle \oplus \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{1}) \rangle \\ &= \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{1}) \rangle \oplus \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{4}) \rangle = \langle (\bar{0}, \bar{1}) \rangle \oplus \langle (\bar{1}, \bar{4}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It can be shown that $\langle (\bar{1}, \bar{2}) \rangle$ is one of the complement of $\langle (\bar{1}, \bar{0}) \rangle$. But $\langle (\bar{1}, \bar{2}) \rangle$ is not a direct summand of M . Hence M is not an **SA**-extending module.

(iii) Let K be a field and $R = \begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$. Then $N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K \\ 0 & K \end{bmatrix}$ and $L = \begin{bmatrix} K & K \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are right R -modules. Let $M=R/L$ and $U = M \oplus N$. Although U is not *Ads* module from [6, Example 2.3], it is not an *SIP*-extending module from [14, Example 13]. Hence U is not an *SA*-extending module. On the other hand, since $M = R/L$ is a field and N is indecomposable, M and N are both *SA*-extending.

We give a condition under which the direct sum of *SA*-extending modules is an *SA*-extending module.

Theorem 2.6. Let M and N be two *SA*-extending modules such that $r(M) + r(N) = R$. Then $M \oplus N$ is an *SA*-extending module.

Proof. $M \oplus N$ is an *Ads* module by [6, Theorem 2.10]. We show that $M \oplus N$ is an *SIP*-extending module. Let A and B two direct summands of $M \oplus N$. By [13, Proposition 3.9], $A = M_1 \oplus N_1$ and $B = M_2 \oplus N_2$, where M_1 and M_2 are submodules of M , N_1 and N_2 are submodules of N . Since A and B are direct summands of $M \oplus N$, there exists two submodules, namely A' and B' , such that $M \oplus N = A \oplus A' = B \oplus B'$. By the modular law

$$\begin{aligned} M = M \cap (M \oplus N) &= M_1 \oplus (M \cap (N_1 \oplus A')) \\ &= M_2 \oplus (M \cap (N_2 \oplus B')). \end{aligned}$$

Thus M_1 and M_2 are direct summands of M . Similarly, N_1 and N_2 are direct summands of N . By assumption, there exist a direct summand M' of M and a direct summand N' of N such that $M_1 \cap M_2 \leq_e M'$ and $N_1 \cap N_2 \leq_e N'$. Now

$$(M_1 \cap M_2) \oplus (N_1 \cap N_2) = (M_1 \oplus N_1) \cap (M_2 \oplus N_2) = A \cap B.$$

Thus

$$A \cap B = (M_1 \cap M_2) \oplus (N_1 \cap N_2) \leq_e M' \oplus N'$$

and hence $M \oplus N$ is an *SA*-extending module.

Theorem 2.7. Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_i$ be a direct sum of fully invariant submodules M_i . Then M is an *SA*-extending module if and only if each M_i is an *SA*-extending and M_i is $\bigoplus_{i \neq j} M_j$ -injective for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. By [15, Theorem 9] and [16, Proposition 2.16].

Recall that a ring R is called *abelian* if every idempotent of it is central. Now, we give the following result for *SA*-extending abelian rings.

Corollary 2.8. Let M_R be an *SA*-extending module with the abelian endomorphism ring $End(M_R)$. Then any direct summand of M is an *SA*-extending module.

Proof. Let M_R be an *SA*-extending module and N a direct summand of M_R . From the assumption that $End(M_R)$ is abelian, N is a fully invariant direct summand of M_R . By Theorem 2.7, N is an *SA*-extending module.

Proposition 2.9. An abelian ring R is an *SA*-extending module if and only if the polynomial ring over R , $R[x]$ is an *SA*-extending module.

Proof. Assume that R is an *SA*-extending module. Then every idempotent of $R[x]$ is in R by [17, Lemma 8]. Then $R[x]$ is an *SA*-extending module. The converse is clear.

Definition 2.10. A right R -module M is called a *multiplication module* if for each submodule N of M , $N = MI$ for some ideal I in R .

Corollary 2.11. Let M be an SA -extending module and N a direct summand of M . Then N is an SA -extending module if M satisfies one of the following conditions:

- (i) $M_R = R_R$ and R is an abelian ring.
- (ii) M is a multiplication module and R is a commutative ring.

Proof. (i) It is clear from Corollary 2.8.

(ii) Note that in a multiplication module, every submodule is fully invariant. Then, Theorem 2.7. yields the result.

Recall that a module M is called a *UC-module* if every submodule has a unique closure in M (see, [18]).

Proposition 2.12. Let M be a distributive quasi-continuous module. Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) M is a UC -module.
- (ii) M is an SA -module.
- (iii) M is an SA -extending module.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) This implication follows from [6, Theorem 2.20].

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) It is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) Let M be an SA -extending module and N a submodule of M . Suppose that $K \leq M$ and $L \leq M$ such that $N \leq_e K \leq_c M$ and $N \leq_e L \leq_c M$. Then there exist submodules K' and L' of M such that $M = K \oplus K' = L \oplus L'$. By assumption, there exist submodules T and T' of M such that $K \cap L \leq_e T$ and $M = T \oplus T'$. Since $N \leq_e K \cap L \leq_e T$, $N \cap T' \leq_e T \cap T' = 0$ and hence $N \cap T' = 0$. Therefore, $K \cap T' = 0$ and $L \cap T' = 0$. Thus

$$K = K \cap M = K \cap (T \oplus T') = K \cap T,$$

$$L = L \cap M = L \cap (T \oplus T') = L \cap T$$

and hence $K \leq T$ and $L \leq T$. Now, $N \leq_e K$, $N \leq_e L$, and $N \leq_e T$ imply $K \leq_e T$ and $L \leq_e T$. Since K and L are direct summands of M , $K = T = L$, as desired.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by Eskişehir Technical University Scientific Research Projects Commission under the grant no:19ADP169.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

There are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Dung NV, Huynh DV, Smith PF, Wisbauer R. Extending Modules. Pitman RN Mathematics 313. Harlow: Longman, 1994.
- [2] Tercan A, Yücel CC. Module Theory, Extending Modules and Generalizations. Birkhauser, Basel, 2016.
- [3] Birkenmeier GF, Tercan A, Yücel CC. The extending condition relative to sets of submodules. Comm Algebra 2014; 42: 764-778.

- [4] Smith PF, Tercan A. Generalizations of CS-modules. *Comm Algebra* 1993; 21(6):1809-1847.
- [5] Takıl F, Tercan A. Modules whose submodules are essentially embedded in direct summands. *Comm Algebra* 2009; 37(2): 460-469.
- [6] Takıl Mutlu F. On Ads-Modules with The SIP. *Bull Iran Math Soc* 2015; 41(6):1355-1363.
- [7] Fuchs L. Infinite Abelian Groups. vol. I. *Pure Appl Math, Ser monogr Textb.*, vol 36, Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1970.
- [8] Burgess WD, Raphael R. On Modules with The Absolute Direct Summand Property. in: *Ring Theory*, Granville, OH, 1992, World Sci Publ, River Edge NJ, 1993; pp. 137-148.
- [9] Wilson GV. Modules with the Direct Summand Intersection Property. *Comm Algebra* 1986; 14: 21-38.
- [10] Karabacak F, Tercan A. On Modules and Matrix Rings with SIP-Extending. *Taiwanese J Math* 2019; 11(4): 1137-1145.
- [11] Taştan Ö, Karabacak F. Generalized SIP-modules. *Hacettepe J Mathematics and Statistics* 2019; 48(4): 1037-1044.
- [12] Taştan Ö. Characterization of some well-known rings by using SA modules. *Eskişehir Technical University Journal of Science and Technology B-Theoretical Sciences* 2019; 7(1): 75-809.
- [13] Hamdouni A, Özcan AÇ, Harmancı A. Characterization of modules and rings by the summand intersection property and the summand sum property. *JP Jour. Algebra, Number Theory & Appl* 2005; 5(3): 469-490.
- [14] Birkenmeier GF, Kim JY, Park JK. When is the CS Condition Hereditary? *Comm Algebra* 1999; 27(8): 3875-3885.
- [15] Karabacak F. On Generalizations of Extending Modules. *Kyungpook Math J* 2009; 49: 557-562.
- [16] Quynh TC, Kosan MT. On Ads Modules and Rings. *Comm Algebra* 2014; 42(8): 3541-3551.
- [17] Kim NK, Lee Y. Armendariz Rings and Reduced Rings. *J Algebra* 2000; 223: 477-488.
- [18] Smith PF. Modules For Which Every Submodule Has A Unique Closure. *Ring Theory*, (S. K. Jain and S. T. Rizvi, eds.), New Jersey, World Scientific 1993, pp. 302-313.