



## Advances in the Theory of Nonlinear Analysis and its Applications

ISSN: 2587-2648

Peer-Reviewed Scientific Journal

# A simple proof for Kazmi et al.'s iterative scheme

Ebrahim Soori<sup>a</sup>, Ravi P. Agarwal<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Mathematics, Lorestan University, P.O. Box 465, Khoramabad, Lorestan, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Mathematics, Texas A and M University-Kingsville, Texas 78363, USA

---

### Abstract

In this paper, a simple proof for the existence iterative scheme using two Hilbert spaces due to Kazmi et al. [K.R. Kazmi, R. Ali, M. Furkan, Hybrid iterative method for split monotone variational inclusion problem and hierarchical fixed point problem for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, Numer. Algor., 2017] is provided.

*Keywords:* Weak convergence; Strongly convergence; Hilbert space.

*2010 MSC:* 47H09, 47H10

---

### 1. Introduction

To see the definitions of maximal monotone operators and  $\alpha$ -inverse strongly monotone mappings one can refer to for example [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8]. The following theorem have been proved in [5, Theorem 3.1].

**Theorem 1.1.** [5, Theorem 3.1] Let  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  be two real Hilbert spaces and  $C \subseteq H_1$ ,  $Q \subseteq H_2$  two nonempty, closed and convex sets,  $A : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$  a bounded linear operator with its adjoint operator  $A^*$ ,  $M_1 : H_1 \rightarrow 2^{H_1}$  and  $M_2 : H_2 \rightarrow 2^{H_2}$  two multi-valued maximal monotone operators,  $f : C \rightarrow H_1$  and  $g : Q \rightarrow H_2$  two  $\theta_1$ - and  $\theta_2$ -inverse strongly monotone mappings, respectively,  $S : C \rightarrow H_1$  a nonexpansive mapping,  $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^N : C \rightarrow C$  a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, and  $W_n$  a  $W$ -mapping generated by  $T_1, \dots, T_N$  and  $\lambda_{n,1}, \dots, \lambda_{n,N}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Assume that  $\Gamma = \Omega \cap \Phi \neq \emptyset$ . Suppose that the iterative

---

Email addresses: [sori.e@lu.ac.ir](mailto:sori.e@lu.ac.ir) (Ebrahim Soori), [Ravi.Agarwal@tamuk.edu](mailto:Ravi.Agarwal@tamuk.edu) ( Ravi P. Agarwal)

sequences  $\{u_n\}$ ,  $\{y_n\}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  are generated by the following hybrid iterative algorithm:

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &\in C, \quad C_0 = C \\ u_n &= (1 - \alpha_n)x_n + \alpha_n P_C(\sigma_n Sx_n + (1 - \sigma_n)W_n x_n); \\ z_n &= U(u_n); \quad w_n = V(Az_n); \quad y_n = z_n + \gamma A^*(w_n - Az_n); \\ C_n &= \{z \in C : \|y_n - z\|^2 \leq (1 - \alpha_n \sigma_n)\|x_n - z\|^2 + \alpha_n \sigma_n \|Sx_n - z\|^2\}; \\ Q_n &= \{z \in C : \langle x_n - z, x_0 - x_n \rangle \geq 0\}; \\ x_{n+1} &= P_{C_n \cap Q_n} x_0, n \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where  $U := J_\lambda^{M_1}(I - \lambda f)$ ,  $V := J_\lambda^{M_2}(I - \lambda g)$ ,  $A(\text{Range}(U)) \subseteq Q$ ,  $\gamma \in (0, \frac{1}{\|A\|^2})$ . Let  $\{\lambda_{n,i}\}_{i=1}^N$  be a sequence in  $[0, 1]$  such that  $\lambda_{n,i} \rightarrow \lambda_i$ , ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ),  $\lambda \in (0, \alpha)$  with  $\alpha = 2 \min\{\theta_1, \theta_2\}$ , and  $\{\alpha_n\}$ ,  $\{\sigma_n\}$  two real sequences in  $(0, 1)$  satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|x_n - u_n\|}{\alpha_n \sigma_n} = 0$ .

Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $z \in \Gamma$ , where  $z = P_\Gamma x_0$ .

In this paper, some simple proof is introduced for the existence of the above Theorem.

## 2. A simple proof for Kazmi et al.’s iterative scheme

The following relations between the relations (3.25) in [5], i.e,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f u_n - f p\| = 0$$

and (3.26) in [5], i.e,

$$\|y_n - z_n\|^2 \leq L_1 \|x_n - y_n\| + 2\gamma K_1 \|w_n - Az_n\| + \alpha_n \sigma_n K,$$

have been proved to prove the relation (3.27) i.e.:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - z_n\| = 0,$$

as follows:

“Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|z_n + \gamma A^*(w_n - Az_n) - p\|^2 \\ &= \langle z_n + \gamma A^*(w_n - Az_n) - p, y_n - p \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \|(z_n - p) + \gamma A^*(w_n - Az_n)\|^2 + \|y_n - p\|^2 + \|(z_n - y_n) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \gamma A^*(w_n - Az_n)\|^2 \right] \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\quad - \|Az_n\| - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 - \|\gamma A^*(w_n - Az_n)\|^2 - 2\gamma \langle y_n - z_n, A^*(w_n - Az_n) \rangle \Big], \end{aligned}$$

which in turn yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\|^2 &\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 + 2\gamma \|Az_n - Ap\| \|w_n - Az_n\| \\ &\quad + 2\gamma \|y_n - z_n\| \|A^*\| \|w_n - Az_n\| \\ &\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - z_n\|^2 + 2\gamma \|w_n - Az_n\| (\|Az_n - Ap\| + \|A^*\| \|y_n - z_n\|), \end{aligned}$$

and this together with (3.3) and (3.5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - z_n\|^2 &\leq \|z_n - p\|^2 - \|y_n - p\|^2 + 2\gamma \|Az_n - Ap\| \|w_n - Az_n\| \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq L_1 \|x_n - y_n\| + 2\gamma K_1 \|w_n - Az_n\| + \alpha_n \sigma_n K, \end{aligned}$$

Now, a simple proof to prove the relation (3.27) i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - z_n\| = 0,$$

instead of the above relations is proved in the following remark:

**Remark 1. Simple proof:**

Using the relation  $y_n = z_n + \gamma A^*(w_n - Az_n)$  in the algorithm (3.1) in [5, Theorem 3.1], obviously, it is concluded that

$$\|y_n - z_n\| \leq \gamma \|A^*\| \|w_n - Az_n\|, \tag{2.1}$$

then from the relation (3.21) in [5] i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n - Az_n\| = 0$ , it is implied that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - z_n\| = 0$ .

Also the following relations between the relations (3.42) and (3.43) in [5] have been proved:

$$\begin{aligned} &|\langle Sx_n, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \rangle - \langle Sx^*, x - x^* \rangle| \\ &= |\langle Sx_n, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \rangle - \langle Sx^*, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle Sx^*, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \rangle - \langle Sx^*, x - x^* \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle Sx_n - Sx^*, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \rangle| \\ &\quad + |\langle Sx^*, x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \rangle| \\ &\leq \|Sx_n - Sx^*\| \|x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n\| + \|Sx^*\| \|x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n\|. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

**Remark 2.** Note that the weak convergence

$$\frac{\|u_n - x_n\|}{\alpha_n} + x_n \rightharpoonup x^*, \tag{2.3}$$

have been claimed in [5](see page 15, line 8), but this is not valid since a real number can't be added with a member of a Hilbert space in general.

**Remark 3.** In [5, line 8 in page 15],  $\frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} + x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  must be replaced instead of the conclusion  $\frac{\|u_n - x_n\|}{\alpha_n} + x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$ . Indeed, from the fact that  $x_n \rightharpoonup x^*$  ([5, line 10 page 14]) and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u_n - x_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0$  ([5, line 6 page 15]), it is implied that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} + x_n - x^*, y \rangle &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n}, y \rangle + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - x^*, y \rangle \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u_n - x_n\|}{\alpha_n} \|y\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle x_n - x^*, y \rangle = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for each  $y \in H$  ( $H$  is a real Hilbert space). Now, line 3 in page 16 in [5] in the above of the equation (3.43) should be changed by:

$$\leq \|Sx_n - Sx^*\| \|x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n\| + |\langle Sx^*, x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \rangle|.$$

**Remark 4.** Note that the authors have taken limit on  $n$  on both side of the equation (2.2) to get the equation (3.43) in [5] as follows:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle Sx_n, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle = \langle Sx^*, x - x^* \rangle, \quad (2.4)$$

this means that the strong convergence  $x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n$  have been used instead of the weak convergence. But if  $x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n$  converges strongly to 0, since moreover,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u_n - x_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0$  [5, line 6 in page 15], it is implied that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^* - x_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|u_n - x_n\|}{\alpha_n} = 0,$$

then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$  while the aim of Theorem 3.1 is to prove that  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to  $x^*$  in the step VI. Hence this is a scientific error in the article.

Now, the following proof instead of (2.2) is given in the following remark:

**Remark 5.**

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \left\langle Sx_n, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle - \langle Sx^*, x - x^* \rangle \right| \\ &= \left| \left\langle Sx_n, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle - \left\langle Sx^*, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left\langle Sx^*, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle - \langle Sx^*, x - x^* \rangle \right| \\ & \leq \left| \left\langle Sx_n - Sx^*, x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle \right| \\ & \quad + \left| \left\langle Sx^*, x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle \right| \\ & \leq \|Sx_n - Sx^*\| \left\| x - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\| + \left| \left\langle Sx^*, x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n \right\rangle \right|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

then from the weak convergence of  $x^* - \frac{u_n - x_n}{\alpha_n} - x_n$ , we conclude the relation (3.43) in [5].

**Remark 6.** Another problem in theorem 3.1 in [5] is that the authors have used from the continuity of  $S$  from the weak topology to the norm topology in [5](see page 16 line 4) while this can not be valid in general. For example, let  $H = L^2(\mathbb{R})$  equipped with the standard inner product. In  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ , the strong convergence and the weak convergence is not equivalent. Indeed, define a sequence  $\{f_n\}$  by  $f_n(x) = \chi_{(n, n+1)}(x)$  where  $\chi$  is the characteristic function. Then one can check that  $\{f_n\}$  converges weakly, but not strongly, to zero in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ . Suppose  $S : L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$  be the identity mapping that is nonexpansive, too. But, if  $S$  is continuous from the weak topology to the norm topology, then the strong convergence and the weak convergence in  $L^2(\mathbb{R})$  are equivalent which is a contradiction. Then we should to consider  $S$  as a continuous mapping from the weak topology to the norm topology in theorem 3.1.

## References

- [1] R.P. Agarwal, D. O'Regan and D.R. Sahu, Fixed point theory for Lipschitzian-type mappings with applications, in: Topological Fixed Point Theory and its Applications, vol. 6, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [2] C.E. Chidume, O.M. Romanus and U.V. Nnyaba, An iterative algorithm for solving split equality fixed point problems for a class of nonexpansive-type mappings in Banach spaces, Numer Algor, 82 (2019), 987-1007.
- [3] Z. Jouymandi, F. Moradlou, Extragradient Methods for Solving Equilibrium Problems, Variational Inequalities, and Fixed Point Problems, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim., 38:11, (2017), 1391-1409.
- [4] Z. Jouymandi and F. Moradlou, Extragradient methods for split feasibility problems and generalized equilibrium problems in Banach spaces, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., (2017), DOI: 10.1002/mma.4647.
- [5] K.R. Kazmi, R. Ali, M. Furkan, Hybrid iterative method for split monotone variational inclusion problem and hierarchical fixed point problem for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings, Numer Algor, (2017), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-017-0448-0>.

- [6] A.E. Ofem and D.I. Igbokwe, A New Faster Four step Iterative Algorithm for Suzuki Generalized Nonexpansive Mappings with an Application, Adv. Theory Nonlinear Anal. Appl. 5 (2021), 482-506.
- [7] K. Shimoji and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications, Taiwanese J. Math., 5 (2001),387-404.
- [8] L. Wangwe and S. Kumara, Some common fixed-point theorems for a pair of  $p$ -hybrid mappings via common limit range property in  $G$ -metric space, Results in Nonlinear Anal. 4 (2021), 87-104.