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**Abstract**

This study was conducted to explain the karyological analysis and morphological characteristics of blind mole rat samples collected from Ceyhan, Adana in Turkey. The chromosomes of the blind mole rat samples were stained and analysed using conventional methods. The chromosomes were defined according to centromere positions by processing photographs of metaphase cells. The karyotype of *Nannospalax ehrenbergi* showed 53 chromosomes (2n=53) and fundamental number of chromosomal arms NF=66 and the number of autosomal arms NFa= 62. The karyotype showed a hybrid individual. X and Y chromosomes were determined as metacentric and acrocentric, respectively. The autosomal set had 5 (+1 single homologous) pairs of metacentric/submetacentric and 20 pairs of acrocentric. Morphological studies were carried out on two male *Nannospalax ehrenbergi* skulls from Adana province. Lengths of three external (height, hindfoot length and weights (gr)) and 24 cranial morphological points on skulls were measured using an electronic caliper. This was the first study in which 2n=53 NF=66 population was determined from Ceyhan. This form was described as a hybrid form. The portion behind the palate (os palatinum) has no a sharply defined styloid process.
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**Introduction**

Blind mole rats are well-adapted to living underground. They spend their entire lives in the underground galleries they build, barely go above ground. They feed on plants such as roots, rhizomes, bulbs, and tubers that they find in the galleries. These species dig the soil by their incisors and at the same time throw excavated soil in the gallery using their flattened head like a bulldozer. As a result, the presence of soil mounds lined up at regular intervals along with the gallery created by the blind mole rats helps to identify the regions where these animals may be located (Figure 1). Therefore, behind the blind mole rat, a pile of soil forms, which are constructed at irregular intervals along with the gallery (Savic and Nevo, 1990; Sözen, 2005; Selvi et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2021).

The eyes of blind mole rats have evolved anatomical regression and are totally submerged under the skin, so they do not respond to light stimuli. Their head has approximately equal thickness with their body, and the shape of their body is cylindrical (Figure 2) (Harrison and Bates, 1991; Burda, 2006; Keleş et al., 2020).

Fig. 2. A photograph of *Nannospalax ehrenbergi*.

The genus *Nannospalax* has extreme karyological variation, with more than 50 different cytotypes characterized by diploid chromosome number and other karyotypic characteristics compared to other rodents (*Savc* and Nevo, 1990, Topachevskii, 1969). Turkey blind mole rats have been represented by three species (*N. xanthodon*, *N. ehrenbergi* and *N. leucodon*). The differences in Anatolian blind mole rat cytotypes have been defined both between morphologically similar sibling species and distinct populations within each species (Arslan et al., 2011, Arslan et al., 2013, Kankılıç et
al., 2017, Matur et al., 2013). In the Nannospalax ehrenbergi species, 12 different cytotypes were defined in terms of diploid chromosome numbers (2n = 48, 52, 54, 56, 58) and the number of autosomal arms (NFa = 62, 64, 68, 70, 72) (Coşkun et al., 2006, Ivanitskaya et al., 1997, Nevo et al., 1995, Yüksel, 1984, Yüksel and Gülkaç, 1990). To date, some studies were conducted on Nannospalax ehrenbergi with 2n=52 NF=74 chromosome number in Osmaniye locality which was close to Ceyhan province (Coşkun, 2004, Coşkun et al., 2006). As a result, the number of defined cytotypes available in 3 species in Turkey has reached almost fifty. Since the cytotype abundance of listed blind mole rat species of Turkey and morphologically very close characteristics of cytotype within each species, it is significant to determine these cytotypes whether a separate taxon or not.

For this reason, this study is significant as it is the first research in which the 2n=53 NF=66 population from Ceyhan, Adana was determined and this form was designated as a hybrid form.

Materials and Methods

This research was conducted in two phases, in the field and in the laboratory. A total of three blind mole rat specimens were obtained from Ceyhan, Adana province in Turkey. The morphological characteristics of two blind mole rats were investigated, because one of them was juvenile.

Cytogenetic Studies

According to Ford and Hamerton, the "Colechicine Hypotonic Citrate" method was used to study the karyotypes of the animals captured in the field (Ford and Hamerton, 1956). In addition, blood and tissue samples were collected from the samples and stored in a -80 °C deep freezer until DNA isolations. Karyotypes were prepared from bone marrow, approximately 25-30 metaphase cells were fully stained. Especially the cells were selected that were separate and distinct chromosomes to examine the animal. The diploid number of chromosomes (2n), fundamental number of autosomal arms of NFa, and the total number of chromosomal arms (NF), metacentric (m), acrocentric (a), submetacentric (sm), and sex chromosomes were defined. Then, the karyotypes were prepared by matching the chromosomes using image processing software and arranged them in groups.

Morphometric Studies

The blind mole rat specimens collected from the field were transported alive to the lab in traps and placed in the animal care room for a while, external morphological measurements (body length, hindfoot length and weights (gr)) of the samples were recorded in accordance with the Animal Experiments Ethics Committee. Skulls of 2 adult male blind mole rats collected from Ceyhan, Adana province were used in the present study. This study was approved by the Animal Experiments Local Ethics Committee of Niğde Ömer Halisdemir University with permission number 2016/23.

Distances between 24 different points on skulls were measured with an electronic caliper and micrometer with 0.01 mm precision according to literature. The mean, standard deviation, and correlation values were calculated using SPSS 18.0 software for the collected measurements. Two-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to estimate the variation between the averages of the measurements derived from the individuals of the populations analysed in the morphometric tests.

Measurements of Cranial Characters

The following characters were taken from each specimen (see also Figure 3 for skull variables):


Fig. 3. Measuring Cranial Character Points on the Skull (Kankılıç et al., 2010).
cytotypes (2n = 48, 52, 56, and 58) have been described for *N. ehrenbergi* species based on the diploid chromosome number (Coşkun, 2004, Coşkun et al., 2006, Ivanitskaya et al., 1997, Sőzen et al., 2006).

2n=48 karyotype differs from the others because the number of metacentric autosomal chromosomes in this karyotype is higher than in the other *N. ehrenbergi* cytotypes. Szunyogh identified a sample captured from 50 kilometers east of Adana as *N. ehrenbergi var. ceyhanus* (Szunyogh, 1941). Coşkun and others examined the karyological and morphological characteristics of *N. ceyhanus* topotype samples and concluded that *N. ceyhanus* could be a valid taxon (Coşkun et al., 1999).

According to karyological analysis, this form had a value of 2n = 56 NF = 72, and morphologic studies revealed that this form had a spike on the back of the palate (palatal spike or styloid process) that was present in other *N. ehrenbergi* populations. It was concluded that the morphologic character was either very unclear or not present in individuals belonging to this form.

The skull morphologies of samples from Adana populations were analyzed and it was determined that there was no or very little protrusion in the back of the palate, particularly in Adana samples (Figure 5). However, these differences in these populations may not be sufficient to evaluate populations with this karyotype as a separate taxon. The topotype of the sample captured by Szunyogh from 50 kilometers east of Adana was uncertain. This situation removes some uncertainties as “Szunyogh captured that sample from the hybrid localities of Ceyhan?” (Szunyogh, 1941).
Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Skull Characters of Blind Mole Rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characters</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Avg.</th>
<th>Std. dev.</th>
<th>Std. error</th>
<th>Min.</th>
<th>Max.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>195.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>193.0</td>
<td>198.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hindfoot Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>152.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>140.0</td>
<td>165.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conyloonasal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>45.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conylobasal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>42.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipitonasal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>43.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zygomatic Width</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>33.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interorbital Constriction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal Height</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>17.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skull Height</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>20.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal+Parietal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parietal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back Part Width of Parietalia</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rostrum Width</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right-hand Foramen Infraorbital Len.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Incisor Alveolar W.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Palatal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hind Palatal Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastema Length</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>16.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foramen Incisivum Len.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median part width of upper incisor</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Molar Alveolar Len.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Molar Alveolar Len.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Articular L. of Lower Man.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandible height</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory Meatus Diameter</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As a consequence, this case should be carefully investigated in order to determine the distribution field of the hybrid form. It was admitted that this hybrid formed between 2n = 52 N. ehrenbergi cytotypes from the South East to Ceyhan borders and 2n = 54 cytotypes identified for N. ehrenbergi, according to morphological analysis and karyotype morphological structures (Nevo, 1969).

Nevo reported that rare natural hybrids among karyotypes occur along the contact zones. For example, in Israel, hybrid zones are available among the chromosomal forms, and these forms have been described as distinct species (Nevo, 1969). On the other hand, for this study, a hybrid population is most likely to occur with a population belonging to the 2n = 52 form found in Osmaniye and Hatay and the 2n = 54 form found in Tufanbeyli (Adana), the closest region to Ceyhan (Adana). Consequently, the hybrid zone where the 2n = 54 and 2n = 52 forms intersect is described as Ceyhan.

Accurate evaluation of species distribution and their taxonomic status is a fundamental need to address biological diversity in any geographical region. This is the most essential prerequisite to begin biodiversity management and conservation planning studies. Therefore, the determination of the geographical distribution of the diploid chromosome forms and available cytotypes of the populations that have never been studied before karyological blind mole rats will provide a correct estimation for the taxonomic classification of these species in future studies.
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