



EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF ORGANIC AGRICULTURE APPLICATIONS ON ECO-TOURISM AND ENVIRONMENT AT THE SCOPE OF ÇANDIR ECOLOGICAL VILLAGE PROJECT BY TOURISM OPERATORS

Selma ATABEY^{1*}, İbrahim YOKAŞ¹

¹Ortaca Vocational School, Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University 48600, Muğla, Türkiye
s.atabey@mu.edu.tr, iyokas@mu.edu.tr

Received: 22.10.2015, Accepted: 27.10.2015

*Corresponding author

Abstract

Rapid increase in the world population and the developments in the industrialization gave birth to many problems relating human health and the environment. One of the core reasons is the intensive use of chemical inputs in agriculture in order to increase production dramatically. Organic farming is a form of agriculture in which chemical inputs are strictly excluded. Eco-villages are models of sustainable settlements in cultural, ecological and economic context. Çandır was preferred for the case study because of its rich natural, historical and cultural resources which were seen as main parts of eco-tourism supply. The aim of the study should be noted this study methodology includes literature search and questionnaires with tourism manager. Besides, inquiry papers (survey) has been applied to the tourism operators. Findings indicate that residents' attitudes about the economic, social and environmental impacts of rural tourism are in general favorable and that they want to participate in rural tourism activity

Keywords: Organic Farming, Ecotourism, Environmental, Ecological Village

ÇANDIR EKOLOJİK KÖYÜ PROJESİ KAPSAMINDA ORGANİK TARIM UYGULAMALARININ ÇEVREYE VE EKOTURİZME ETKİLERİNİN TURİZM İŞLETMELERİ TARAFINDAN DEĞERLENDİRİLMESİ

Özet

Dünya nüfusundaki hızlı artış ve sanayileşmede yaşanan gelişmeler çevre ve insan sağlığı konularında pek çok sorunu da beraberinde getirmiştir. Sorunların başında tarımda üretim artışı sağlamak için yoğun olarak kimyasal girdi kullanılması gelmektedir. Organik tarım insana ve çevreye dost bir üretim şeklidir. . Eko-köyler, ekolojik, ekonomik ve sosyo-kültürel anlamda sürdürülebilir yerleşim modelleridir. Çandır, eko-turizm arzının temel parçalarını oluşturan zengin doğal yapısı, tarihi ve kültürel kaynakları nedeniyle örnek çalışma alanı olarak seçilmiştir. Çalışma kapsamında, alanla ilgili kaynakça taraması, turizm işletmeleri yetkilileri ile yüz yüze görüşmeler yapılmıştır. Bunun yanında, sorgulama kâğıdı (anket) hazırlanmış, turizm işletmecilerine uygulanmıştır. Araştırmada bulgular, yerel işletmecilerin genel olarak kırsal turizmin gelişiminin ekonomik sosyal ve çevresel açıdan olumlu sonuçlar yaratacağı görüşünde olduğunu ve katılmak istediğini göstermektedir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Organik tarım, Eko-turizm, Çevre, Ekolojik köy

1 Introduction

Like in most developed countries the uncontrolled synthetic production inputs are being used in Turkey. On the other hand, without thinking the results constituted by the processing techniques and technologies, intensive farming techniques are being used. At the present times, these kind of applications, which are characterise by negative effects on both damaging the natural balance and causing a vital danger by infecting not only human-beings but also all living organisms through food linkage, are become more prominent. Therefore, using the technics (methods) that have not lost its naturalness become a necessity, in sustainable agriculture. In this aspect, by avoiding the use of unnatural inputs like chemicals or synthetical fertilizers; preferring the organic agriculture technics (methods) for quality, health and environmental standards will be foundation factor [14]. Agricultural soils play a major role in

reducing the climate change impact [1]. Organic agricultural practices are underpinned by soil biological processes that are influential in the supply of nutrients to plants as well as to the creation of beneficial soil structural conditions for plant growth [4]. Although, Turkey has convenient ecological conditions and export potential for organic production, the slice of Turkish organic products in the world market is low [7]. Corruption of environmental resources has the potential for being more highly destructive of productive assets in developing countries. Consequently, agriculture, environment and economy has to be evaluated as complementary issues [9].

Responsive travel to natural areas which protect the environment and improves the level of prosperity the local people is known as Ecotourism [12]. Expressly with the impact of global warming, in the search for alternative tourism sea, sand and entertainment concept pushed people to mountain, lake, and rafting tourism [5]. Ecotourism supports environmental protection as well as generating economic

opportunities. This also emphasizes benefits to the local community and suggests that the involvement of the residents is also important for the effective management of tourism [18]. Agritourism activities comprise; accommodation in small cottages, pensions and camping areas, breakfast, picnic, fruit harvest, animal feeding, honey tasting, riding, fruit and production festivals, fishing, walking to nature. These activities could be realizable in family base or by tours, student groups and agricultural education and nature education groups [16].

The aim of the study is the evaluation of the effect of organic agriculture applications on ecotourism and environment at the scope of Çandır ecologic willage project by tourism operators.

2 Materials and Methods

Eco-villages are models of sustainable settlements in cultural, ecological and economic context. Çandır was preferred for the case study because of its rich natural, historical and cultural resources which were seen as main parts of eco-tourism supply. Because Çandır as natural values, a pristine environment, the feasibility climate of tourism, thermal springs, prosperous history, cultural heritage, the tourism possibilities in four seasons, the great potential of alternative tourism types, its increasing magnetism, and its accessibility easily present great advantages for the county's tourism development. To be able to pan out of targeted tourism development, one of the important factors are businesses with workers. Resident's attitudes toward tourism's development, activities, enterprises and tourists can produce positive or negative effects. Therefore resident's attitudes towards tourism development should be known and in the light of this information plans should be done. Within this study methodology includes literature review and questionnaires with tourism operators and managers in Dalyan at april 2015. Survey was prepared by the 5's Likert scale. Data was analysed by using the statistical program (SPSS 20 IBM) and, research findings and discussions were given in this part of the study. The result is increased reliability analysis Cronbach's Alpha 0.979. As a result of reliability analysis Cronbach 'Alpha value $0,80 \leq \alpha < 1,00$ that out of the range scale is highly reliable [10].

The survey questions were created from four sizes. These are environmental awareness scale [8], scale consumption of environmentally friendly products [11], the development of eco-tourism impact scale [15] and contribute content to the global marketing of open-ended questions [2] and all have been created by adapting the scale. The scale consists of 41 questions and 8 demographic questions. Total number of 47 tourism operators answered the questionnaire.

3 Results

This study includes 8 demographic questions which were answered by 47 participants.

Table 1. Frequency and percentage distributions of demographic questions.

| Demographic Characteristics | Categories | Frequency | Percent |
|-----------------------------|-------------------|-----------|---------|
| Gender | Women | 14 | 30 |
| | Men | 33 | 70 |
| Marital Status | Maried | 31 | 66 |
| | Single | 16 | 34 |
| Age | 25 and smaller | 2 | 4 |
| | 25-34 | 8 | 17 |
| | 35-44 | 12 | 26 |
| | 45-54 | 12 | 26 |
| | 55-64 | 9 | 20 |
| | 65 and older | 4 | 9 |
| Child | Yes | 33 | 70 |
| | No | 14 | 30 |
| How many kids | one child | 9 | 19 |
| | two child | 22 | 47 |
| | three child | 2 | 3 |
| Graduation status | Primary Education | 6 | 13 |
| | High School | 21 | 45 |
| | University | 13 | 28 |
| | Master | 6 | 13 |
| | PhD | - | - |
| Professional experience | 0-3 year | 2 | 4 |
| | 4-6 year | 7 | 15 |
| | 7-9 year | 4 | 9 |
| | 10-12 year | 4 | 9 |
| | 13-15 year | 3 | 6 |
| | 16 years and over | 27 | 57 |
| Annual revenue | Less than 10.000 | 10 | 21 |
| | 10.000-15.000 | 8 | 17 |
| | 15.000-20.000 | 6 | 13 |
| | 20.000-25.000 | 6 | 13 |
| | 30.000-35.000 | 2 | 4 |
| | More than 35.000 | 8 | 17 |

According to the results of frequency analysis, 70% of participants were male, 30% female seems to be (Table 1). As shown in Table 1. most of the participants were married, has 2 children, graduated from high school, long professional experience and most of them have less than 10,000 € annual revenue. Independent Samples t-test was used in this study to carry out the differences between variables [13]. P values of variables is greater than 0.05 in survey. Therefore the participants' marital status, gender did not affect the ecotourism perspective.

According to ANOVA test, education status of the participants, environmental perspectives (0.041 < 0.05) influence. It said that among the factors with other variables were significant

differences for the value of 0.05 to be large and there was no significant difference.

Take account of the independent or dependent variables to be used to determine the degree and direction of the relationship between them is called the correlation of statistical methods. According to above mentioned detail, below 0,50 weak correlation, the correlation between 0.50 and 0.70 medium and above 0.70 shows a strong correlation [13].

According to Table 2. There is no difference between variables and std. deviation is (0.763> 0.05), (0.382> 0.05), (0,499>0.05)

Table 2. t-test results for organic farming

| Factor | Variables | n | Mean | Std.Deviation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Organic Farming | Woman | 14 | 4,10 | ,732 | ,763 |
| | Men | 27 | 4,17 | ,731 | |
| | Maried | 27 | 4,08 | ,782 | ,382 |
| | Single | 14 | 4,27 | ,598 | |
| | Have children | 28 | 4,10 | ,779 | ,499 |
| | Haven't children | 13 | 4,25 | ,601 | |

Table 3. t-test results for ecotourism

| Factor | Variables | n | Mean | Std.Deviation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Ecotourism | Woman | 14 | 4,27 | ,535 | ,982 |
| | Men | 32 | 4,27 | ,740 | |
| | Married | 30 | 4,19 | ,734 | ,193 |
| | Single | 16 | 4,44 | ,542 | |
| | Have children | 32 | 4,18 | ,708 | ,151 |
| | Haven't children | 14 | 4,48 | ,576 | |

Table 4. t-test results for environment

| Factor | Variables | n | Mean | Std.Deviation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-------------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Ecotourism | Woman | 11 | 4,54 | 1,002 | ,965 |
| | Men | 31 | 4,52 | ,755 | |
| | Maried | 29 | 4,41 | ,931 | ,060 |
| | Single | 13 | 4,79 | ,355 | |
| | Have children | 30 | 4,42 | ,923 | ,056 |
| | Haven't children | 12 | 4,80 | ,327 | |

According to Table 4. Variables effected the ecotourism because the values are greater than 0.05.

According to Table 5. between organic agriculture and ecotourism (0.805> 0.70), there is a striking positive correlation. According to the results of correlation analysis between organic agriculture and the environment (0,825>0,70), It has a positive and striking relationship. The correlation between eco-tourism based on the findings of the environmental analysis between eco-tourism and environment (0,820> 0,70), It said to be that there are positive and striking relationship, too.

respectively. According to Table 3. Variables effected the ecotourism because the values are greater than 0.05.

Eco-tourism; such as wetlands and rare species or areas where endangered species especially birds and other wildlife, natural areas, cliffs, caves, fossil sites, archaeological sites is tourism based on natural and archaeological resources [6]. This definition is consistent with the result. All correlation results as cited in the study, Organic farming is a production system which are friendly to people and the environment. Organic agriculture, has the very important advantage in terms of food safety. Organic agriculture protects the environment and human health, ecological systems and natural resources and also organic agriculture is not exploite, harm and pollute [3].

Table 5 Correlation tables **0,01 (2 Way) *0,05 (2 Way)

| | Organic Farming | | Ecotourism | | Environment | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Dimensions | Pearson Correlation | Sig.2 tailed | Pearson Correlation | Sig.2 tailed | Pearson Correlation | Sig.2 tailed |
| Organic Farming | 1 | | ,805** | ,000 | ,825** | ,000 |
| Eco-tourism | ,805** | ,000 | 1 | ,000 | ,820** | ,000 |
| Environment | ,825** | ,000 | ,820** | ,000 | 1 | |

Regression analysis is an analysis method used to examine the relationship between a dependent variable with one or more independent variables. Regression analysis of the relationship is not functional. It is a statistical relationship. The most commonly used is confidence interval values of %95-%99 [17]. Organic farming is the independent variable in the study. The dependent variables ecotourism and environment. Regression analysis was performed by two measurements. These are;

1. The environmental impact of organic farming,
2. The ecotourism impact of organic farming

According to Table 6. organic farming, it appears to form part of the 65% of eco-tourism. $0.000 < 0.05$ for that may be called Organic farming effect to eco-tourism. Same way according to Table 7. organic farming, it appears to form part of the 65% of environmental. $0.000 < 0.05$ for that may be called Organic farming effect to environmental.

Table 6. Organic agriculture effect of ecotourism

| Factors | R | R2 | Adjusted R2 | Standard deviation | Statistical variable | | |
|---|---|------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|------|
| | | | | | R2 Change | F Change | Sig. |
| Organic Farming | | ,649 | ,640 | ,412 | ,649 | 72,048 | ,000 |
| independent variable a: Organic farming dependent variable: Ecotourism * $p < 0,05$ the level of meaningful | | | | | | | |

Table 7. Organic agriculture effect of environment

| Factors | R | R2 | Adjusted R2 | Standard deviation | Statistical variable | | |
|-----------------|-------|------|-------------|--------------------|----------------------|----------|------|
| | | | | | R2 Change | F Change | Sig. |
| Organic Farming | ,825a | ,680 | ,671 | ,500 | ,680 | 72,238 | ,000 |

4 Results and Discussion

Although the village is connected to Köyceğiz, The village is closer to Dalyan. As the Çandır village is an organic village in terms of eco-tourism it is more concerned to Dalyan tourism business. Therefore Dalyan tourism business survey work has done and assessment of the relevant operators were taken. According to the results obtained from the questionnaire;

- Most of the participants were married, has 2 children, graduated from high school, long professional experience and most of them have less than 10,000 € annual revenue.
- The participants' marital status, and gender did not affect the ecotourism perspective.
- Operators considered that the organic farming is an environmental approach.
- They think, organic farming would benefit the development of ecotourism in the area.

- The environmental awareness of the operators is high and the educational level rises the environmental awareness.
- Operators think that organic farming would benefit the development of organic village, Çandır.
- Operators believe that organic agriculture would contribute the promotion of the village.
- Operators believe that the services provided by organic products will be preferred by the tourists. It is proposed to increase the existing tourism activities.

5 Acknowledgement

This study is prepared from the outcome of the project (15/58) that was supported by Scientific Research Projects (BAP), and titled "Çandır Willage sample at Transition to Organic Agriculture and Ecotourism applications." (Organik Tarım ve Eko-turizm Uygulamalarına Geçiş Sürecinde Çandır Köyü Örneği.)

6 References

- [1] Abbott, L. K. ve Manning, D. A., "Soil health and related ecosystem services in organic agriculture" *Sustainable Agriculture Research*, 4(3), p116, 2015.
- [2] Albayrak, A., Özkul, E., İpar, M. S., ve Demirer, D. "Ekolojik köy projesinin yerel değerlerin pazarlanması kapsamında değerlendirilmesi: Örnek olay çalışması", 18. Ulusal Pazarlama Kongresi Bildiri Kitabı, 181-193, 2013.
- [3] Altındışlı, A. ve Aksoy, U. "Organik tarımın Dünya'da ve Türkiye'deki durumu". Türkiye Ziraat Mühendisliği VII. Teknik Kongresi, 2010.
- [4] Álvaro-Fuentes, J., Easter, M., ve Paustian, K., "Climate change effects on organic carbon storage in agricultural soils of northeastern Spain" *Agriculture, ecosystems & environment*, 155, 87-94, 2012.
- [5] Aydın, O., "AB'de Kırsal Turizmde ilk 5 Ülke ve Türkiye'de Kırsal Turizm", *KMÜ Sosyal Ve Ekonomik Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 14 (23), 39-46, 2012.
- [6] Caldicott, J. ve Fuller, D. "The Concept and relevance of Ecotourism to indigenous economic and human Development in remote Australian communities", *Centre For Regional Tourism Research, Occasional Paper N. 6: 1-1*, 2005.
- [7] Demiryürek, K., "Organik tarım kavramı ve organik tarımın dünya ve Türkiye'deki durumu" *GOÜ, Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, 28(1), 27-36, 2011.
- [8] Haron, S. A., Paim, L. ve Yahaya, N., "Towards sustainable consumption: an examination of environmental knowledge among Malaysians." *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 29(5), 426-436, (2005).
- [9] Karaer, F. Ve Gürlük, "Gelişmekte Olan Ülkelerde Tarım-Çevre- Ekonomi Etkileşimi", *Doğuş Üniversitesi Dergisi*, 4(2), 197-206, 2003.
- [10] Kayış, A. Parametrik Hipotez Testleri, Kalaycı, Ş., "SPSS Uygulamalı Çok Değişkenli İstatistik Teknikleri", Asil Yayın Dağıtım Ltd.Şti., Ankara, ss.403-419, 2005.
- [11] Kim, Y. ve Choi, S. M., "Antecedents of green purchase behavior: An examination of collectivism, environmental concern, and PCE" *Advances in Consumer Research*, 32, 592, 2005.
- [12] Mahanta, M. G. D."Ecotourism and Dibru-Saikhowa National Park" *Journal of Agriculture and Life Sciences Vol*, 1(1).91-94, 2014.
- [13] Sipahi, B., Yurtkoru, E.S. ve Çinko, M. "Sosyal Bilimlerde SPSS'le Veri Analizi", Beta Basım Yayım Dağıtım A.Ş., İstanbul, 2010.
- [14] Turhan, Ş., "Tarımda Sürdürülebilirlik ve Organik Tarım", *Tarım Ekonomisi Dergisi*, 11(1), 13-24, 2005.
- [15] Türker, F.M. ve Aydın, İ.Z., "Ekoturizmin Orman Köyleri Kalkınmaları Üzerindeki Sosyo- Ekonomik Etkilerinin Ölçümü (Camili Biyosfer Örneği)", III. Ulusal Karadeniz Ormancılık Kongresi, I: 115-129, 2010.
- [16] Yavuzaslanoğlu, E. Ve Yavuz, M., "Karaman'ın Tarım Turizmi Yönünden Potansiyeli", *KMÜ Sosyal ve Ekonomik Araştırmalar Dergisi* 14(22), 31-33, 2012.
- [17] Yazıcıoğlu, Y. ve Erdoğan, S., "Spss Uygulamalı Bilimsel Araştırma Yöntemleri", Detay Yayıncılık, Ankara, 2007.
- [18] Zhang, H., ve Lei, S. L., "A structural model of residents' intention to participate in ecotourism: The case of a wetland community", *Tourism Management*, 33(4), 916-925, 2012.