

THE DISTRIBUTION COEFFICIENTS OF ACETIC ACID BETWEEN WATER AND SOLVENT SYSTEMS

Mehmet MAHRAMANLIOĞLU, Melda TUNCAY

İstanbul University, Engineering Faculty, Chemistry Department, Avcılar-34850 İstanbul

Geliş Tarihi : 06.04.2001

ABSTRACT

Distribution coefficients of acetic acid between aqueous phase and solvents (water- C_6 - C_{10} alcohols, butyl acetate, ether and benzene) were studied. Synergetic effect was obtained for alcohol and ester systems. A slightly positive deviation was obtained for benzene–ester mixtures. The best distribution coefficient was obtained for hexanol-butyl acetate systems. The coefficients of Redlisch-Kister equation were obtained for the deviations.

Key Words : Acetic acid, Distribution coefficient, Solvent mixtures, Alcohols, Esters

ASETİK ASİDİN SU VE SOLVENT SİSTEMLERİ ARASINDA DAĞILIM KATSAYILARI

ÖZET

Asetik asidin sulu çözelti ve solvent sistemleri (C_6 - C_{10} alkolleri-butil asetat, eter-benzen) arasında dağılım katsayısı incelendi. Alkol ester sistemleri için sinerjik etki elde edildi. Benzen–eter sistemleri için hafif pozitif sapma görüldü. En iyi dağılım katsayısı hegzanol-ester karışımı için elde edildi. Sapmalar için Redlisch-Kister eşitliği katsayıları elde edildi.

Anahtar Kelimeler : Asetik asit, Dağılım katsayısı, Solvent karışımları, Alkoller, Esterler

1. INTRODUCTION

Acetic acid is one of the most important organic substances in industry and presents in concentrations ranging from 0.1 to 5 % in effluents depending on the source (Helsel, 1977). Waste water contained acetic acid must be treated to meet quality standarts. In addition to treatment requirements, the discharge of acetic acid wastes represents the loss of a valuable resource. Removal and recovery of acetic acid from effluents is desirable from the pollution control as well as the product recovery point of view. The seperation of acetic acid from water has been industrially important for more than a century. There are many methods such as degradation, extraction, adsorption and stripping for treating waste waters (Earthart et al., 1977; Takatsuji and Yoshida, 1997). In degradation method, pollutants

are converted into substances that are satisfactory for release to the environment. But it is desired that the product in the effluent is not converted into other substances when valuable substances such as acetic acid are present in the effluents.

Liquid-liquid extraction, commonly known today as solvent extraction, has played a vital and powerful role in seperation technology (Naylor, 1992; Bora et al., 2000). Solvent extraction can be considered as an alternative to other methods and the key to suitable application to the treatment process lies in the selection and availability of a solvent with a suitable characteristics.

In solvent extraction processes, it is desired that the solvents used in the process has a high distribution coefficient to decrease the solvent circulation rate. In

addition, a high distribution coefficient lessens the extent of solute removal required during the regenaration of the solvent. It is also desired to minimize lost solvent and water contamination since the higher the concentration of solvent in water the greater the cost for recovering the solvent for recycle (Earthart et al., 1977; Kaullos et al., 1999) It is known that alcohols have high distribution coefficient for many organic acids since they have the dual nature of their hydrogen-bonding process. The low molecular weight alcohols have high solubilities in water as a result of hydrogen bonding with water molecules. In methanol, the hydroxyl group accounts for almost half of weight of the molecule and it is miscible with water in all proportions. As the size of hydrocarbon group of an alcohol increases, the hydroxyl group accounts for progressively less of the molecular weight, and hence water solubility decreases. Therefore hexanol, heptanol, octanol, nonanol and decanol were chosen as the solvent to remove acetic acid from aqueous solutions, whereas butyl acetate was chosen to prepare mixed solvent with alcohol. In addition benzene and ether were chosen to make a comparision with alcohols. There are some data reported that mixed solvent systems may be effective and indeed may be superior to the individual solvents (Mahramanlıoğlu, 1997a).

The aim of this study is to calculate the distribution coefficient of acetic acid between water and solvent systems and to investigate the synergetic effect for solvent systems.

2. MATERIAL AND METHOD

The distribution coefficient was determined between water and the following solvents: hexanol, heptanol, octanol, nonanol, decanol, benzene, ether and butyl acetate. The solvent systems used were butyl acetate-hexanol, butyl acetate-heptanol, butyl acetate-octanol, butyl acetate-nonanol, butyl acetatedecanol and benzene-ether.

All the solvents and acetic acid, sodium hydroxide were obtained from Merck and were used without purification.

The distribution coefficient can be defined as

$$K_{d} = \frac{C_{o}}{C_{w}}$$
(1)

Where C_o is the concentration of solute in the organic phase, C_w ic the concentration of the solute in aqueous phase. Several methods have been used to determine the distribution coefficient

(Haelst et al., 1994; Wu et all, 1998; Wang and Liug, 1998; Treszczanowicz et all., 1999). In our study, in order to determine the distribution coefficient of acetic acid between water and solvents and solvent mixtures, the following procedure was carried out (Mahramanlıoğlu et al., 1997a). 25 mL of aqueous acetic acid solution and solvent or binary solvents was shaken in a 250 mL erlenmayer flasks on a water bath at 25 °C. After equilibration the samples were allowed to stand for two hours to allow phase separation at the same temperature. The acetic acid concentration in aqueous phase was determined using alkali titration The concentration of acetic acid in the organic phase was found from the difference of the initial concentration of acetic acid and the concentration in the aquous phase after equilibration.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Figures 1a, b, c, d, e show that the distribution coefficients of acetic acid vs volume percent of alcohol in alcohol-ester systems. It is seen that the highest distribution coefficient obtained is for hexanol–butyl acetate systems





Figure 1. f

Figure 1. Distribution coefficient of acetic acid between water and a) butyl acetate-hexanol, b) butyl acetate-heptanol, c) butyl acetate-octanol, d) butyl acetate-nonanol, f) butyl acetate-decanol g) benzene- ether systems

This value decreases when the carbon number of alcohol incrasses. It is known that butyl acetate has the great capacity to reduce the self association of the alcohol and the increases the concentration of free hydroxyl groups (Medir and Mackay, 1974). Therefore synergetic effect was seen in alcohol-ester mixtures.

The value of distribution coefficient for individual solvents decreased with the increase in carbon number. This can be explained by the physical properties of higher molecular weight alcohols are very similar to hydrocarbons (Mahramanlıoğlu, 1997b).

Figure 1. f shows the distribution of acetic acid for benzene-ether systems. It is seen that a slightly positive deviation but, there is not a synergetic effect.

The distribution coeficient of acetic acid for toluene, carbon tetrachloride and chloroform was also obtained in another study (Mahramanlıoğlu, 1997b). When the distribution coefficient obtained in this study was compared with another study the distribution coeficient of acetic acid for alcohols, butyl acetate and ether is greater than toluene, carbon tetrachloride and chloroform since alcohols. esters and ethers are the hydrogen bonding solvents. The highest distribution coefficient in the study was obtained hexanol-butylacetate for system (K=1.47). In the literature there are also some data on the distribution coefficient of acetic acid, between water and alamine-sorbent systems. However, although the temperature was the same, the experiments were performed under different conditions (Senol, 2001). The values of distribution coefficient from this study is as follows : K = 0.017for Xylene, K = 0.048 for Xylene + Alamine 336, K = 0.925 for methylcyclohexanol, K = 1.957 for methylcyclohexanol +Alamine.It is seen that when a long-chain, aliphatic tertiary amine such as Alamine 336 was disssolved in organic solvent it causes to increase the distribution coefficient of acetic acid since it forms acid-amine complex with acetic acid. On the other hand, in our system acetic acid does not form a complex. Thefore it is more suitable to recover acetic acid.

It is known that excess values for the systems are very useful in design calculations. Therefore Redlisch-Kister type equation was used to calculate excess distribution coefficients. The deviations were expressed as a function of volume percent of solvents (Fermeglia and Torriano, 1999; Weng et all., 1999). Redlisch-Kister type equation can be expressed as follows:

$$\frac{\Delta K = x_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot \Sigma^{n-1} a j (x_1 - x_2)}{j = 0}$$
(2)

Where the a_j are the adjustable parameters, n is the order of the polynominal, and x_1 and x_2 are the volume percent of components 1 and 2 and ΔK is

Table 1. Redlich-Kister Parameters for Acetic Acid

Organic phase a_1 a_3 a_0 a_2 hexanol-butyl acetate 0.988 0.245 0.170 0.024 heptanol-butyl acetate 0.453 0.191 0.637 0.655 octanol-butyl acetate 0.198 0.297 0.276 -0.118 nonanol-butyl acetate -0.323 0.187 -0.192 0.002 decanol-butyl acetate 0.027 -0.618 0.358 0.879

4. CONCLUSION

Distribution coefficients of acetic acid for individual solvents and solvent systems were studied. In alcohol-butyl acetate system, synergetic effect was obtained. Synergetic effect was not seen in ether-benzene systems. The highest distribution coefficient was obtained for the lowest carbon number of alcohol (hexanol). However, the decrease in the carbon number causes the increase in the water solubility of alcohols. It is seen that the highest distribution coefficient is obtained at the expense of greater solubilities in water and toxicity. The results obtained from this study present useful data for recovering process in chemical industry.

5. REFERENCES

Bora, M. M., Dutta, N. N., Tush, B., Bhatarcharya, K. G. 2000. Reactive Extraction of beta-lactum Antibiotics: IR Spectra Studies, (180), 107-126.

Earthart, J. P., Won, K. W., Wong, J. M., Prausnitz, J. M. and King, C. J. 1977. Recovery of Organic Pollutants Via Solvent Extraction.CEP. 67-73.

Fermeglia, M., Torriano, G. 1999. Density, Viscsity, and Refractive Index for Binary Systems of n-C16 and Four Nonlinear Alkanes at 298.15 K. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 44.965-969.

Haelst, A. G., Heesen, F. W. M., Wielen, V. D., Govers, H. A. J. 1994. Determsnation of noctanol/Water Partition Coefficients of Tetrachlorobenzyltoluenes Individually and in a Mixture by the Slow Stirring Method. Chemosphere 29.1651-1659.

Helsel, R. W. 1977. Removing Carboxyl lic Acids from Aqueous Wastes. CEP 42, 55-59.

the deviation of distribution coefficient. The values of the parameters of Redlisch-Kister type equation were calculated for all the systems except benzeneether system since it showed a rather slightly deviation. The values of the parameters are shown in Table 1.

Kaullos, D. S., Unealu, S., Kaukios, E. 1999. Solvent Selection for the Extraction of Ethanol from aqueous Solution. Sep. Science and Technol. 34 (11), 2153-2163.

Mahramanlıoğlu, M., Biçer, İ. Ö. and Tuncay, M. 1997a. The Extraction of Malachite Green from Water. II. Uluslararası boya,Vernik, Mürekkep ve Yardımcı Maddeler Sanayi Kongresi ve Sergisi. 27-29-Kasım 1997.

Mahramanlıoğlu, M. 1997b. Bazı Organik Maddelerin Yağ-Su Fazları Arasındaki Dağılımının İncelenmesi.Doktora Tezi İstanbul Üniveristesi.

Medir, M., and Mackay, D. 1974. Extraction of Phenol from Water with Mixed Solvents. The Can. J. of Chem Eng. 53, 274-277.

Naylor, A. 1992. Extended Summaries SCI Solvent Extraction and Ion Exchange Group Silver Jubile Celebration Meeting. J. Chem.Tech.Biotechnol. 55. 293-307.

Senol, A. 2001. Extraction Equilibria of Formic, Levulinik and Acetic Acids Using (Alamine 336/Diluent) and Conventional Solvent Systams:Modelling Considerations. J. of Chem.Eng.of Japan 32 (6), 717-731.

Takatsuji, W and Yoshida H. 1997. Adsorption of Organic Acids on Weakly Basic Ion Exchanger: Equiliria. J. of Chem. Eng. of Japan, 30. 396-405.

Treszczanowicz, T., Gutmann, T., Treszczanowicz, A. J. 1999. Distribution Coefficient of β -Carotene in Systems Composed of Hexane, Water, and 2-Propanone and Toluene, Water, and 2-Propanone. J. Chem. Eng. Data. 44, 970-973.

Wang, M. L. and Liu, L. 1998. Mass Transfer of Phenol Extraction Between Two Phases in a Constant Interfacial Area Cell and Stirring Tank. J. of Chinese Ins of Eng Science 21 (1), 1-9.

Weng, W. L., Chang, L. T. and Shiah, I. M. 1999. Viscosities and Densities for Binary Mixtures of Benzylamine With 1-Pentanol, 2-Pentanol, 2-Methyl-1-butanol, 2-Methyl-2-butanol, 3-Methyl-1-butanol, and 3-Methyl-2-butanol. J. Chem. Eng. Data. (44), 994-997

Wu, H. S., Uc. B. 1998. Kinetics and Extraction of Phenol in Phase Transfer Catalyzed Reactions. Can. J. of Chem. Eng. 76, 771-777.