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#### Abstract

By transferring the judgment of convex functions of several variables into the judgment of convex functions of one variable, the authors discuss the convexity of some convex functions of several variables.
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## 1. Introduction

The theory of convex analysis plays an important role in almost all branches of mathematics, physics, dynamic systems theory, optimization, and so forth. Convex function theory is an important part of the general topic of convexity with a long history and full of application value.

Definition 1. Let $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
i) A set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be convex if $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ implies

$$
\alpha \boldsymbol{x}+(1-\alpha) \boldsymbol{y}=\left(\alpha x_{1}+(1-\alpha) y_{1}, \alpha x_{2}+(1-\alpha) y_{2}, \ldots, \alpha x_{n}+(1-\alpha) y_{n}\right) \in \Omega .
$$

[^0]ii) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be convex set. A function $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a convex function on $\Omega$ if
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\alpha \boldsymbol{x}+(1-\alpha) \boldsymbol{y}) \leq \alpha \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})+(1-\alpha) \varphi(\boldsymbol{y}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

holds for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega$ and for all $\alpha \in[0,1]$. If the strict inequality in (1) holds whenever $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y}$ and $\alpha \in[0,1]$, then $\varphi$ is said to be strictly convex. If $-\varphi$ is convex, then $\varphi$ is said to be concave; if $-\varphi$ is strictly convex, then $\varphi$ is said to be strictly concave.

There have been a number of literature on convex functions of one variable. But the literature on convex functions of several variables is relatively scarce. A primary problem is the judgment of convex functions.

The following proposition is a well-known criteria for the judgment of convex functions of one variable.
Proposition 1. Let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an open convex set (that is, an interval) and let $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable. Then

1) a function $g$ is convex on $I$ if and only if $g^{\prime \prime}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in I$;
2) if $g^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$ on $I$ for all $t \in I$, then $g$ is strictly convex on $I$.

As for the judgment criteria of convex functions of several variables, we have the following theorems.
Theorem 1 ([4, p. 644, B.3.d] and [10, p. 38, Proposition 4.3]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open convex set and let $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be twice differentiable. Then $\varphi$ is convex on $\Omega$ if and only if the Hessian matrix

$$
H(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})}{\partial x_{i} \partial x_{j}}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}
$$

is nonnegative definite on $\Omega$. If $H(\boldsymbol{x})$ is positive definite on $\Omega$, then $\varphi$ is strictly convex on $\Omega$.
In [12], Wu and Zhu proved the following judgment theorem for convex functions of several variables.
Theorem 2 ([12, p. 80, Theorem 1.3.1]). Let $\varphi$ be a continuously differentiable function on the convex set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $\varphi$ is a convex function on $\Omega$ if and only if

$$
\varphi(\boldsymbol{x}) \geq \varphi(\boldsymbol{y})+[\nabla \varphi(\boldsymbol{y})]^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}), \quad \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega
$$

where $\nabla \varphi(\boldsymbol{y})=\left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_{1}}, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_{2}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y_{n}}\right)$.
The following judgment theorem allows us to convert the convexity of functions of several variables into the convexity of functions of one variable to judge. We translate and recite its proof from [10] as follows.

Theorem 3 ([10, p. 38, Proposition 4.4]). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open convex set and $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega$, let $g(t)=\varphi(t \boldsymbol{x}+(1-t) \boldsymbol{y})$ on $(0,1)$. Then
(a) the function $\varphi$ is convex on $\Omega$ if and only if $g$ is convex on $(0,1)$ for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega$;
(b) the function $\varphi$ is strictly convex on $\Omega$ if and only if $g$ is strictly convex on $(0,1)$ for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega$ and $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y}$.

Proof. Let $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega$ and $r, s, \alpha \in(0,1)$. If the function $\varphi$ is convex on $\Omega$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s) & =\varphi((\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s) \boldsymbol{x}+(1-\alpha r-(1-\alpha) s) \boldsymbol{y}) \\
& =\varphi(\alpha(r \boldsymbol{x}+(1-r) \boldsymbol{y})+(1-\alpha)(s \boldsymbol{x}+(1-s) \boldsymbol{y})) \\
& \leq \alpha \varphi(r \boldsymbol{x}+(1-r) \boldsymbol{y})+(1-\alpha) \varphi(s \boldsymbol{x}+(1-s) \boldsymbol{y}) \\
& =\alpha g(r)+(1-\alpha) g(s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the function $g$ is convex on $(0,1)$.
For any $\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}} \in \Omega$, since $\Omega$ is an open convex set, for a sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}-\varepsilon(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}})}{1-2 \varepsilon} \in \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad \boldsymbol{y}=\frac{\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}-\varepsilon(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}+\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}})}{1-2 \varepsilon} \in \Omega .
$$

Let $r=1-\varepsilon$ and $s=\varepsilon$. Then $r, s \in(0,1), \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}=r \boldsymbol{x}+(1-r) \boldsymbol{y}$, and $\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}=s \boldsymbol{x}+(1-s) \boldsymbol{y}$. Since $g(t)=$ $\varphi(t \boldsymbol{x}+(1-t) \boldsymbol{y})$ is convex on $(0,1)$, for $\alpha \in(0,1)$, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\varphi(\alpha \tilde{\boldsymbol{x}}+(1-\alpha) \tilde{\boldsymbol{y}}=\varphi(\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s) \boldsymbol{x}+(1-\alpha r-(1-\alpha) s) \boldsymbol{y}) \\
=g(\alpha r+(1-\alpha) s) \leq \alpha g(r)+(1-\alpha) g(s)=\alpha \varphi(\tilde{\boldsymbol{x}})+(1-\alpha) \varphi(\tilde{\boldsymbol{y}})
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, the function $\varphi$ is convex on $\Omega$.
Following a similar argument as in the proof above and taking $\boldsymbol{x} \neq \boldsymbol{y}$ and $r \neq s$, one can verify the "strictly convex" case.

In general literature, Theorem 1 is usually applied to judge the convexity of functions of several variables. Such applications often increase the difficulty and complexity of proofs. Using Theorem 3 as a judgement criteria is sometimes more concise. In this paper, we will give some important examples to demonstrate the conciseness.

## 2. Applications of Theorem 3

In this section, we use the notation $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=\left\{\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$.
Theorem 4 ([15, pp. 1177-1179, Section 6, Theorem 6.1]). Let $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=1$. Then the function $l(\boldsymbol{a})=\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}^{x_{i}}$ is concave in $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.

Sketch of the proof of Theorem 6.1 in [15]. Directly computing shows that the Hessian matrix of $l(\boldsymbol{a})$ is

$$
H=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{x_{1}\left(x_{1}-1\right) l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{1}^{2}} & \frac{x_{1} x_{2} l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{1} a_{2}} & \ldots & \frac{x_{1} x_{n} l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{1} a_{n}}  \tag{2}\\
\frac{x_{1} x_{2} l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{1} a_{2}} & \frac{x_{2}\left(x_{2}-1\right) l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{2}^{2}} & \ldots & \frac{x_{2} x_{n} l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{1} a_{n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{x_{1} x_{n} l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{1} a_{n}} & \frac{x_{2} x_{n} l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{2} a_{n}} & \ldots & \frac{x_{n}\left(x_{n}-1\right) l(\boldsymbol{a})}{a_{n}^{2}}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then, for $1 \leq i \leq n$, the $i$-th leading principal minor determinant of the matrix 2 is

$$
l^{i}(\boldsymbol{a}) \prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(\frac{x_{i}}{a_{j}}\right)^{2}\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1-\frac{1}{x_{1}} & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\
1 & 1-\frac{1}{x_{2}} & \cdots & 1 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
1 & 1 & \cdots & 1-\frac{1}{x_{i}}
\end{array}\right|=(-1)^{i} l^{i}(\boldsymbol{a})\left(1-x_{1}-x_{2}-\cdots-x_{i}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{i} \frac{x_{j}}{a_{j}^{2}}
$$

The signs of these determinants are determined by $(-1)^{i}$. From the discriminant method of the semi-negative definite matrix (see [11], pp. 8-9), it follows that the Hessian matrix in (2) is nonnegative definite. As a result, from Theorem 1 , we conclude that the function $l(\boldsymbol{a})$ is concave in $\boldsymbol{a} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.

Alternative proof of Theorem 6.1 in [15] by Theorem 3. For $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $t \in[0,1]$, let

$$
\varphi(t)=f(t \boldsymbol{a}+(1-t) \boldsymbol{b})=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left[t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right]^{x_{i}}
$$

Then

$$
\varphi^{\prime}(t)=\varphi(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p_{i}
$$

and

$$
\varphi^{\prime \prime}(t)=\varphi^{\prime}(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p_{i}-\varphi(t) \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p_{i}^{2}=\varphi(t)\left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p_{i}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p_{i}^{2}\right]
$$

where

$$
p_{i}=\frac{a_{i}-b_{i}}{t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n .
$$

By virtue of monotonicity of the weighted power mean, we acquire

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p_{i} \leq\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} p_{i}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Therefore, we see that $\varphi^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$. According to Theorem 3, we obtain that the function $f(\boldsymbol{a})=l(\boldsymbol{a})$ is concave on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. The proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

Remark 1. After carefully comparing the above two proofs, we think that the second proof of Theorem 4 by using Theorem 3 is technically simpler.

Theorem 5. Let $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=1$. Then the weighted harmonic mean of $n$ variables

$$
h(\boldsymbol{a})=f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{a_{i}}\right)^{-1}
$$

is a convex function in $\boldsymbol{a}$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.
Proof. For $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $t \in[0,1]$, let

$$
\phi(t)=h(t \boldsymbol{a}+(1-t) \boldsymbol{b})=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}}\right]^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
\phi^{\prime}(t)=-\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}}\right]^{-2}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-x_{i}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)}{\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)^{2}}\right]
$$

and

$$
\phi^{\prime \prime}(t)\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}}\right]^{2}=2\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}}\right]^{-1}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-x_{i}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)}{\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)^{2}}\right]^{2}-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2 x_{i}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)^{3}}
$$

To prove $\phi^{\prime \prime}(t) \leq 0$, we only need to prove

$$
\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{-x_{i}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)}{\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)^{2}}\right]^{2} \leq\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}}{t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}}\right]\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)^{2}}{\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)^{3}}\right]
$$

this is,

$$
\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x_{i}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)}{\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)^{2}}\right]^{2} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\sqrt{x_{i}}}{\sqrt{t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}}}\right]^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[\frac{\sqrt{x_{i}}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)}{\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)^{3 / 2}}\right]^{2}
$$

From the Cauchy inequality, we see that this inequality holds. The proof of of Theorem 5 is complete.

Theorem 6. Let $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{x}=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}=1$. Then the function

$$
g(\boldsymbol{a})=f\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)=\ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} a_{i}\right)
$$

is concave on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$.
Proof. For $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$, and $t \in[0,1]$, let

$$
r(t)=g(t \boldsymbol{a}+(1-t) \boldsymbol{b})=\ln \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right) x_{i}\right]
$$

Then

$$
r^{\prime}(t)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right) x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right] x_{i}}
$$

and

$$
r^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right) x_{i}\right]^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right] x_{i}\right)^{2}} \leq 0
$$

By Theorem 3, we see that $g(\boldsymbol{a})$ is a concave function on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}$. The proof of Theorem 6 is complete.
Proposition $2\left(\left[12\right.\right.$, p. 83]). Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x})=f\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+x_{1} x_{n}+x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots+x_{2} x_{n}+\cdots+x_{n-1} x_{n}
$$

Then $f$ is a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Proof. We write

$$
f(\boldsymbol{x})=x_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}+x_{2} \sum_{i=2}^{n} x_{i}+\cdots+x_{n-1} \sum_{i=n-1}^{n} x_{i}+x_{n} \sum_{i=n}^{n} x_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(x_{j} \sum_{i=j}^{n} x_{i}\right)
$$

For $\boldsymbol{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), \boldsymbol{b}=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $t \in[0,1]$, let

$$
r(t)=g(t \boldsymbol{a}+(1-t) \boldsymbol{b})=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\left(t a_{j}+(1-t) b_{j}\right) \sum_{i=j}^{n}\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)\right]
$$

Then

$$
r^{\prime}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\left(a_{j}-b_{j}\right) \sum_{i=j}^{n}\left(t a_{i}+(1-t) b_{i}\right)+\left(t a_{j}+(1-t) b_{j}\right) \sum_{i=j}^{n}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)\right]
$$

and

$$
r^{\prime \prime}(t)=2 \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[\left(a_{j}-b_{j}\right) \sum_{i=j}^{n}\left(a_{i}-b_{i}\right)\right]=2 \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(y_{j} \sum_{i=j}^{n} y_{i}\right)=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}\right)^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j}^{2} \geq 0
$$

where $y_{j}=a_{j}-b_{j}$. Applying Theorem 3 reveals that $f(\boldsymbol{x})$ is a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Remark 2. In [12, p. 83], making use of Theorem 2, Wu and Zhu discussed Proposition 2 as follows. For $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \Omega$, it is valid that

$$
\begin{gathered}
f(\boldsymbol{x}) \geq f(\boldsymbol{y})+[\nabla f(\boldsymbol{y})]^{T}(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) \Longleftrightarrow \\
x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+x_{1} x_{n}+x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots+x_{2} x_{n}+\cdots+x_{n-1} x_{n} \\
\geq y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}+\cdots+y_{n}^{2}+y_{1} y_{2}+\cdots+y_{1} y_{n}+y_{2} y_{3}+\cdots+y_{2} y_{n}+\cdots+y_{n-1} y_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
+\left(\begin{array}{c}
2 y_{1}+y_{2}+\cdots+y_{n} \\
2 y_{2}+y_{3}+\cdots+y_{n} \\
\vdots \\
2 y_{n}+y_{n-1}
\end{array}\right)^{T}\left(x_{1}-y_{1}, x_{2}-y_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}-y_{n}\right)^{T} \Longleftrightarrow \\
x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+x_{1} x_{n}+x_{2} x_{3}+\cdots+x_{2} x_{n}+\cdots+x_{n-1} x_{n} \\
\geq-y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2}-\cdots-y_{n}^{2}+2 x_{1} y_{1}+x_{1} y_{2}+\cdots+x_{1} y_{n}+2 x_{2} y_{2}+x_{2} y_{3}+\cdots+x_{2} y_{n}+\cdots+2 x_{n} y_{n}+x_{n} y_{n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Wu and Zhu claimed that, if taking $x_{1}=-1, y_{1}=0, x_{2}=0, y_{2}=0, \ldots, x_{n-1}=0, y_{n-1}=0, x_{n}=3$, and $y_{n}=2$, then the above inequalities do not hold, and then $f$ is a non-convex function. In fact, the above inequality does hold. Hence, it is wrong to assert that $f$ is a non-convex function in [12, p. 83].

Proposition 3 ([1, p. 39]). The function

$$
q(x, y)=\frac{1}{(x+y)^{2}}
$$

is convex on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Proof. For $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right),\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $t \in[0,1]$, let

$$
\psi(t)=q\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) y_{1}, t x_{2}+(1-t) y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{\left[t x_{1}+(1-t) y_{1}+t x_{2}+(1-t) y_{2}\right]^{2}}
$$

Then

$$
\psi^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{6\left[\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)-\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)\right]^{2}}{\left[t\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right)+(1-t)\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right)\right]^{4}} \geq 0
$$

Employing Theorem 3 reveals that $q(x, y)$ is a convex function on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The required proof is complete.
Remark 3. In [1, p. 39], with the help of Theorem 1, Chen considered the convexity of $q(x, y)$ only in the square region $D=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: 1 \leq x \leq 2,1 \leq y \leq 2\right\}$. In fact, as showed by Proposition 3 , the function $q(x, y)$ is convex on the whole plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

## 3. Convexity of arithmetic mean of integral form

In this section, we discuss the convexity of the arithmetic mean of integral form.
Theorem 7 ([3, p. 854, Theorem 1]). Let I be an interval with nonempty interior on $\mathbb{R}$ and $f$ be a continuous function on $I$. Then

$$
F(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{y-x} \int_{x}^{y} f(s) \mathrm{d} s, & x, y \in I, x \neq y  \tag{3}\\ f(x), & x=y\end{cases}
$$

is Schur-convex (or Schur-concave, respectively) on $I \times I$ if and only if $f$ is convex (or concave, respectively) on $I$.

By virtue of Theorem 1, Zhang and Chu proved in [14, p. 1063, Theorem] the following proposition.
Proposition 4. Under the condition of Theorem 7, if $f$ is a convex function, then the function $F(x, y)$ in (3) is a convex function.

Wulbert [13, Lemma 2.4] gave an alternative proof of Proposition 4
Applying Theorem 3, we now provide a new proof of Proposition 4

Proof. In order to apply Theorem 3, we need to show that the one-variable function

$$
g(t)=F(t \boldsymbol{\alpha}+(1-t) \boldsymbol{\beta})=F\left(t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2}, t y_{1}+(1-t) y_{2}\right)
$$

is convex on $(0,1)$, where $\boldsymbol{\alpha}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\beta}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right) \in \Omega$.
If letting $z_{1}=t y_{1}+(1-t) y_{2}$ and $z_{2}=t x_{1}+(1-t) x_{2}$, then

$$
g(t)=F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{z_{2}}^{z_{1}} f(s) \mathrm{d} s, & z_{1} \neq z_{2} \\ f\left(z_{1}\right), & z_{1}=z_{2}\end{cases}
$$

Consequently, it is sufficient to show that the twice derivative $g^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$.
By assumption, the case $z_{1}=z_{2}$ holds trivially. For the case of $z_{1} \neq z_{2}$, letting $a=y_{1}-y_{2}$ and $b=x_{1}-x_{2}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
g^{\prime \prime}(t)= & \frac{\frac{2(a-b)^{2}}{z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{z_{2}}^{z_{1}} f(s) \mathrm{d} s-(a-b)^{2}\left[f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)\right]}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{4}\\
& +\frac{a^{2}\left[f\left(z_{2}\right)-f\left(z_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)\right]+b^{2}\left[f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)\right]}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Due to the Hermite-Hadamard integral inequality, see the paper [9], the first term in (4) is negative. On the other hand, by Taylor's formula, the second term in (4) is equal to

$$
\frac{a^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{1}+\theta_{1}\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)\right)+b^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(z_{2}+\theta_{2}\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)\right)}{2}
$$

with $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} \in(0,1)$, which, due to $f^{\prime \prime}(x)>0$, is positive. Accordingly, to show $g^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$, it is sufficient to prove the second term is bigger than the absolute value of the first term in (4).

Corollary 15 in [2, p. 49] reads that, if $f$ is a twice differentiable convex function on an open interval $I$ and $[a, b] \subset I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left[(b-a) f^{\prime}(b)-(f(b)-f(a))\right]\left[f(b)-f(a)-(b-a) f^{\prime}(a)\right]}{(b-a)\left[f^{\prime}(b)-f^{\prime}(a)\right]} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that $f^{\prime}(b) \neq f^{\prime}(a)$. Utilizing the inequality (5), we arrive at

$$
\left[f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)\right]-\frac{2}{z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{z_{2}}^{z_{1}} f(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{\left[f\left(z_{2}\right)-f\left(z_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)\right]\left[f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)\right]}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)\left[f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]} .
$$

Further setting

$$
X=f\left(z_{2}\right)-f\left(z_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)
$$

and

$$
Y=f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)
$$

and noticing that

$$
X+Y=\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)\left[f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)-f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)\right]
$$

we figure out

$$
\left.\left[f\left(z_{1}\right)-f\left(z_{2}\right)\right)\right]-\frac{2}{z_{1}-z_{2}} \int_{z_{2}}^{z_{1}} f(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{X Y}{X+Y} .
$$

Consequently, the second term in (4) is equal to $\frac{a^{2} X+b^{2} Y}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)^{2}}$. From the inequality

$$
(a-b)^{2} \frac{X Y}{X+Y} \leq a^{2} X+b^{2} Y
$$

we derive $g^{\prime \prime}(t)>0$. This finishes the proof.

Remark 4. In [7, Theorem 1.1] and [8, Lemma 3], Theorem 7] was generalized as follows.
For a continuous function $f$ and a positive continuous weight on $I$, the weighted arithmetic mean

$$
Q(x, y)= \begin{cases}\frac{\int_{x}^{y} p(t) f(t) \mathrm{d} t}{\int_{x}^{y} p(t) \mathrm{d} t}, & x \neq y  \tag{6}\\ f(x), & x=y\end{cases}
$$

of $f$ with the weight $p$ is Schur-convex (or Schur-concave, respectively) on $I^{2}$ if and only if the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{x}^{y} p(t) f(t) \mathrm{d} t}{\int_{x}^{y} p(t) \mathrm{d} t} \leq \frac{p(x) f(x)+p(y) f(y)}{p(x)+p(y)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds (or reverses, respectively) for $(x, y) \in I^{2}$.
Under the condition (7), is the function $Q(x, y)$ defined in (6) convex on $I^{2}$ ?
Remark 5. Proposition 2 in [5, p. 377] states that, if $f(x)$ is not a constant in $[a, b]$, is continuous in $[a, b]$, and satisfies $m \leq f^{\prime}(x) \leq M$ for $a<x<b$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{m M(b-a)^{2}+2(b-a)[m f(a)-M f(b)]+[f(a)-f(b)]^{2}}{2(M-m)} \leq \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq-\frac{m M(b-a)^{2}+2(b-a)[m f(a)-M f(b)]+[f(a)-f(b)]^{2}}{2(M-m)} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

The double inequality (8) was reformulated in [6, pp. 28-29, Theorem 5.2] as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{f(a)+f(b)}{2}-\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} f(x) \mathrm{d} x\right| \leq \frac{[f(b)-f(a)-m(b-a)][M(b-a)-f(b)+f(a)]}{2(M-m)(b-a)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $f(x)$ is a twice differentiable convex function on $[a, b]$, we obtain $m=f^{\prime}(a)$ and $M=f^{\prime}(b)$. As a result, the inequality (9) becomes the inequality (5) in [2, p. 49, Corollary 15]. Consequently, the inequality (5) in [2, p. 49, Corollary 15] is a special case of [5, p. 377, Proposition 2] and [6, pp. 28-29, Theorem 5.2].
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