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#### Abstract

We suggest a new bound for the eigenvalues of a matrix. For matrices which are "close" to triangular ones that bound is sharper than the well-known results, such as the Ostrowski theorem.
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## 1. Introduction and statement of the main result

Let $A=\left(a_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1}^{n}$ be a complex $n \times n$-matrix and

$$
U(a ; r)=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|a-z| \leq r\} \quad(a \in \mathbb{C}, r>0) .
$$

In the paper [10], Ostrowski has proved that each eigenvalue of $A$ is contained in the set $\cup_{j=1}^{n} U\left(a_{j j} ; \min \left\{R_{j}, Q_{j}\right\}\right)$, where

$$
R_{j}=\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| \text { and } Q_{j}=\sum_{i=1, i \neq j}^{n}\left|a_{j i}\right| .
$$

That result refines the Gershgorin theorem [3]. In [9] and [1], Ostrowski and Brauer independently have obtained an estimate for the eigenvalues by means of the Cassini ovals. For more details about the Gershgorin, Ostrowski and Brauer theorems see [7, Sections III.2.2, III.2.4 and III.2.5 ]. These theorems have been refined and extended in many works, cf. $[2,5,6,8]$ and the references given therein.

As is well known, the diagonal entries of a triangular matrix are its eigenvalues. At the same time, in the case of triangular matrices the above pointed results are not attained. Namely, for a triangular matrix $A$ they do not give us the equality $\lambda(A)=a_{k k}$ for each eigenvalue $\lambda(A)$ of $A$ and a positive integer $k \leq n$. In this paper we suggest a bound for the eigenvalues which is attained for triangular matrices. To this end introduce the notations.

$$
q_{\mathrm{up}}:=\max _{k=1, \ldots, n-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{n}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$
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and

$$
M_{k}=1+\left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad(k=1, \ldots, n)
$$

Now we are in a position to formulate the main result of the paper.
Theorem 1.1. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\mathrm{up}}<1 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then any eigenvalue of matrix $A=\left(a_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1}^{n}$ is located in the set

$$
\cup_{k=1}^{n} U\left(a_{k k} ; \psi_{\mathrm{up}}(k)\right), \text { where } \psi_{\mathrm{up}}(k):=\frac{\sqrt[n]{q_{\mathrm{up}}} M_{k}}{1-\sqrt[n]{q_{\mathrm{up}}}}
$$

The proof of this theorem is presented in the next section. Theorem 1.1 is sharp: if $A$ is upper triangular, then $\psi_{\mathrm{up}}(k)=0$ and it implies that any eigenvalue coincides with some diagonal entry.

Combining the Ostrowski theorem and Theorem 1.1, we arrive at
Corollary 1.2. Let condition (1.1) hold. Then any eigenvalue of $A=\left(a_{j k}\right)_{j, k=1}^{n}$ is located in the set

$$
\cup_{j=1}^{n} U\left(a_{j j} ; \eta_{\mathrm{up}}(j)\right), \text { where } \eta_{\mathrm{up}}(j):=\min \left\{\psi_{\mathrm{up}}(j), R_{j}, Q_{j}\right\} .
$$

Under condition (1.1) Corollary 1.2 refines the Ostrowski theorem in the case

$$
\psi_{\mathrm{up}}(j)<\min \left\{R_{j}, Q_{j}\right\}
$$

for at least one $j \leq n$.
Now put

$$
q_{\text {low }}:=\max _{k=1, \ldots, n-1}\left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{n}\left|a_{k j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
L_{k}=1+\left(\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n}\left|a_{k j}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}(k=1, \ldots, n)
$$

Let $\sigma(A)$ denote the spectrum of $A$ and $A^{*}$ be the matrix adjoint to $A$. Take into account that for any $\lambda(A) \in \sigma(A)$ we have $\bar{\lambda}(A) \in \sigma\left(A^{*}\right)$ and

$$
\left|\lambda\left(A^{*}\right)-\bar{a}_{k k}\right|=\left|\lambda(A)-a_{k k}\right|
$$

Then, replacing in Theorem $1.1 A$ by $A^{*}$, we get
Corollary 1.3. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
q_{\text {low }}<1 \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\sigma(A)$ is located in the set

$$
\cup_{k=1}^{n} U\left(a_{k k} ; \psi_{\mathrm{low}}(k)\right), \text { where } \psi_{\mathrm{low}}(k):=\frac{\sqrt[n]{q_{\mathrm{low}}} L_{k}}{1-\sqrt[n]{q_{\mathrm{low}}}}
$$

Combining Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.3 , we obtain our next result.
Corollary 1.4. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{q_{\text {low }}, q_{\text {up }}\right\}<1 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\sigma(A)$ is located in the set

$$
\cup_{k=1}^{n} U\left(a_{k k} ; \psi_{0}(k)\right), \text { where } \psi_{0}(k):=\min \left\{\psi_{\text {low }}(k), \psi_{\mathrm{up}}(k)\right\} .
$$

In Corollary 1.2 we can replace $\psi_{\mathrm{up}}(k)$ by $\psi_{\text {low }}(k)$, if instead of (1.1) condition (1.2) holds, and by $\psi_{0}(k)$, if condition (1.3) holds.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Let $A_{+}$be the upper triangular part of $A$, i.e. $A_{+}=\left(a_{j k}^{+}\right)_{j, k=1}^{n}$, where $a_{j k}^{+}=a_{j k}$ for $j \leq k$ and $a_{j k}^{+}=0$ for $j>k$. Clearly,

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(A_{+}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{j j} .
$$

Put

$$
t_{k}^{+}:=\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{j k}+a_{j k}^{+}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \quad(k=1, \ldots, n)
$$

and

$$
t_{k}^{-}:=\left(\sum_{j=k+1}^{n}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}(k=1, \ldots, n-1), t_{n}=0
$$

In this section for the brevity put $q_{\text {up }}=q$. We need the following result proved in [4, Corollary 3.2].
Corollary 2.1. One has

$$
\left|\operatorname{det} A-\prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{j j}\right| \leq \delta(A)
$$

where

$$
\delta(A):=q \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(t_{k}^{-}+t_{k}^{+}\right)\right) .
$$

Take into account that

$$
\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)^{2}=2\left|a_{k k}\right|^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}+\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)^{2} .
$$

Hence, due to the inequality $\left(c_{1}+c_{2}\right)^{2} \leq 2\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}^{2}\right)\left(c_{1}, c_{2}>0\right)$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(t_{k}^{+}+t_{k}^{-}\right)^{2} \leq 2\left(2\left|a_{k k}\right|^{2}+2 \sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}+\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)^{2}\right)+2\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)^{2}=4\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{k-1}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}+\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)^{2}\right) \\
=4\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}\right) \leq 4\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|+\left[\sum_{j=1, j \neq k}^{n}\left|a_{j k}\right|^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}\right)^{2} \quad(k=1, \ldots, n)
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\sum_{j=1}^{0}=0$. Now Corollary 2.1 implies the inequality

$$
\left|\operatorname{det} A-\prod_{j=1}^{n} a_{j j}\right| \leq q \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|+M_{k}\right)
$$

If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{j j}\right|>q \prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|+M_{k}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\operatorname{det}(A) \neq 0$, i.e. $A$ is invertible. Assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k k}\right|>\sqrt[n]{q}\left(\left|a_{k k}\right|+M_{k}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k=1, \ldots, n$. Then (2.1) holds and therefore $A$ is invertible.
Let condition (1.1) hold. Then (2.2) is equivalent to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{k k}\right|>\frac{\sqrt[n]{q_{\mathrm{up}}} M_{k}}{1-\sqrt[n]{q_{\mathrm{up}}}}=\psi_{\mathrm{up}}(k) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we arrive at the following result

Lemma 2.2. Matrix $A$ is invertible, provided conditions (1.1) and (2.3) hold for all $k=1, \ldots, n$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1: For a $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\left|a_{j j}-z\right|>\psi_{\text {up }}(k)$ for all $j=1,2, \ldots, n$. Then by Lemma 2.2 $A-z I$ is invertible, where $I$ is the unit matrix. So for any eigenvalue $\mu$ of $A$, there is at least one index $m \leq n$, such that $\left|a_{m m}-\mu\right| \leq \psi_{\text {up }}(m)$. This proves the theorem.

## 3. Example

Let

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2 & 6 & 3 \\
0 & 5 & 4 \\
0.008 & 0 & 7
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $q_{\text {up }}=0.008$. So condition (1.1) holds. Besides, $q_{\text {low }}>1, M_{1}=1.008, M_{2}=7, M_{3}=$ 6. On the other hand $R_{1}=0.008, R_{2}=6, R_{3}=7, Q_{1}=9, Q_{2}=4, Q_{3}=0.008$. Simple calculations show that $\min \left\{R_{1}, Q_{1}\right\}=0.008<\psi_{\text {up }}(1)$ and $\min \left\{R_{3}, Q_{3}\right\}=0.008<\psi_{\text {up }}(3)$, but $\min \left\{R_{2}, Q_{2}\right\}=4>\psi_{\text {up }}(2)=1.75$. Due to Corollary 1.2 , the following the discs contains the eigenvalues: $U(2 ; 0.008), U(5 ; 1.75)$ and $U(7 ; 0.008)$. So in the considered case Corollary 1.2 improves the Ostrowski theorem.
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