Corresponding Author

Abdul-Rafiq Mohammed

mabdulrafiq@gmail.com

Wood Industry and Engineering

International Scientific Journal

LITERATURE REVIEW: THE ROLE OF EARTHWORMS IN BIOREMEDIATION OF TREATED WOOD

Abdul-Rafiq Mohammed[1], Süleyman Kuştaş[2], Turan Yüksek[3]

Abstract

In testing for the efficacy of earthworm's capability to remove heavy metals from wood protected with chromium, copper, and arsenate (CCA) chemical wood preservative, California red worm *Eisenia fetida* was exposed to a substrate made from a mixture of cow dung and wood sawdust made from yellow pine CCA-treated utility pole. The period of exposure of the experiment spanned twelve weeks. The study was done to ascertain whether earthworms bioremediating the substrate into their tissue would have any effect on their reproduction and total biomass development. As the new bioremediation methodology of using earthworm species to remove heavy metals from a medium in ecotoxicology study was also utilised to determine the number of heavy metals *Eisenia fetida* could extract from the mixture of cow dung and CCA-treated wood sawdust.

At the end of the study, the calculation of bioaccumulation factor (BAF) values for chromium, copper and arsenic revealed arsenic to have been significantly bioaccumulated in earthworm tissue than chromium and copper.

[1] Istanbul University-Cerrahpaşa, Department of Watershed management, Istanbul, TURKIYE
[2] Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Department of Materials and Material Processing & Paper Technologies, Sakarya, TURKIYE
[3] Recep Tayyip Erdoğan University, Department of Engineering and Landscape Architecture, Rize, TURKIYE

LITERATURE REVIEW: THE ROLE OF EARTHWORMS IN BIOREMEDIATION OF TREATED WOOD

Abdul-Rafiq Mohammed
mabdulrafiq@gmail.com
ORCID : 0000-0001-8503-0193

Süleyman Kuştaş
suleymankustas@subu.edu.tr
ORCID : 0000-0002-8358-603X

Turan Yüksek
turan.yuksek@erdogan.edu.tr
ORCID : 0000-0003-2964-1760

1. Introduction

The absolute reliance on steel and concrete in structural applications in recent times has been overwhelming. The use of wood for this purpose however cannot be overlooked. Wood is still and will continue to be a vital and versatile raw material element in our infrastructural applications. It is also a de facto renewable natural resource accessible for this function. Notwithstanding all the unique qualities of wood one of its fundamental hindrances is its vulnerability to lose its quality in an inimical situation (Morrell, 2006). Water is the greatest enemy of wood in putting its durability to test. In dealing with this deficiency of wood there have been many ways available to preserve wood for its durability. Some of the long-established known techniques of preserving wood entailed the utilization of naturally resistant heartwood species, supplementary preserved wood of non-durable species and devises to expel water from wood. Wood must either be naturally tenacious or be preserved to be able to prevail against watery prone conditions. The insufficient availability of naturally durable woods in the wood industry has influenced the rise in the use of non-durable species that are preserved with chemicals. These chemicals used in the preservation of wood are of different varieties and greater number of them are melted in water and applied which incorporate heavy metals such as copper, chromium and arsenic (CCA) into wood.

Discarding of CCA treated wood waste has been projected to rise globally as years pass by. The current traditional methods of disposing CCA treated wood products involve incineration which is practice more in Japan and Korea although studies have revealed how a gram of ashes remain from incineration of CCA treated wood material is lethal enough to kill 150 people (Hay et al., 2000). Landfill disposal, which is also common in Australia, and then recycle and reuse. However, recycle and reuse seem to be thwarted by complication in separating and sorting preserved and unpreserved wood waste materials (Hata et al., 2006) which unfortunately makes sorting unacceptable in practical and economical terms.

The information above is clear enough a reason on how serious the method of disposing obsolete treated wood materials pose threats to the environment and its inhabitants. The reprocessing of wood waste is vital for the efficient exploitation of natural resources. This benign emerging innovation that has already begun in other developed countries is new to the developing world. There is a critical necessity to come about with innovation for reusing out of-service CCA treated wood for other useful purposes owing to concerns raised about environmental pollution by disposed CCA treated wood products. In recent time, researchers have used different forms of strong acids which could remove and dissolve CCA compounds that had been fixed in CCA treated wood (Gezer et al., 2006). Using earthworms removing copper, chromium, and arsenic from CCA- treated wood materials could be a good choice and way. Therefore, in this paper, general information about earthworms and their role in bioremediation were reviewed.

2. General Concept About Earthworms

Earthworms are tube-shaped, segmented natural invertebrates of agroecosystem belonging to the class *Oligachaeta*, order *Megadrilacea* and in the phylum Annelida (Haokip and Singh, 2012). They are significant soil creatures making up a sizable proportion of the entire biomass of invertebrates prevailing in soils of temperate and tropical regions. Earthworms are the majority soil invertebrate organisms in tropical, temperate, and subtropical regions (Nainawat and Nagendra, 2001). They are the foremost multicellular organisms without backbone to have thrived in terrestrial locations (Kale and Karmegam, 2010). Earthworms are hermaphrodites however self-fertilization for reproduction does not take place within an organism. They are significant burrowing organisms that increase soil quality in the ecosystem. For this purpose and the significant work done by earthworms in soils they are referred to as ecosystem engineers (Lavelle, 1997). Soil quality, crop yield and plant growth are results of the important activities of

earthworm species owing to the relative portly stature and their behavioural feeding pattern. Earthworms are also popularly known as "night crawler", "anglerworm" (for fishing baits), "dew-worm", and "rainworm". Others are also known as megadriles and microdriles because of their literally bigger and smaller body size respectively. Earthworms are active and located in their numbers at places that are wettish as compared to distressed environments.

The burrowing activities of earthworms improve soil physical structure and increase the general qualities of soils. It increases plants moisture availability and uptake. Key processes such as dynamics of chemical processes, soil nutrients unleashing, dynamics of organic matter and microbial activities are also influenced by earthworms casting, channelling activities, feeding behaviour and physical characteristics like permeation and conglomeration. (Sharpley and Syers, 1976; Lavelle et al., 1983; Bostrom, 1987; Bouche et al., 1987; Scheu, 1987; Scheu, 1990; Lavelle and Martin, 1992; Pashanasi et al., 1992; Edward and Bohlen, 1996). The above processes all aid to provide plant growth catalysts and also facilitate the conversion of soil nutrients into absorbable state for plants use. Barois and Lavelle, (1986); Lavelle et al., (1995) has all reported on the existence of an interdependent relationship between earthworm's species and microorganisms which aid in the conservation of microbial diversity and soil productivity.

Earthworms are the main organisms in charge of blending and distribution of soil particles. They contribute to soil quality by taking halfway decayed litter from the topsoil, digesting it, and moving it to other locations in the soil. These traits of earthworms make them suitable creatures for monitoring the effects of soils pollution (Fischer and Koszorus, 1992). Their presence in soil is evidence of the calibre of the soil and its fertility. The impact of earthworms on soil activities varies between species and environmental types. Earthworms' activities such as casting, and burrowing can impact on the health and functionality of soil. Undecomposed organic materials are often seen on the topsoil of soils without earthworms which affects the soil structure. The availability of earthworms in their numbers in soils is a sign of the presence of other soil organisms that contribute to improve soil fertility.

Earthworms are significant source of food for different organisms of all types. The popular one among them is the use of earthworms as bait for fishing. They are also a source of medium through which contaminants are passed on to more advanced trophic levels. Earthworms are extensively used as pointers of soil contamination and health owing to the significant role they play in breaking down organic materials in soils which contribute to soil development and maintenance of soil structure (Fischer and Koszorus, 1992; Edwards and Bohlen, 1996). The earthworm family *Lumbricidae* are known for the enhancement of macro porosity and aggregation of soil (Lee, 1985). The casts and burrows produced by this group of earthworms contain a lot of macronutrients which improves the growth of plants roots in the soil (Edwards and Bater, 1992). They also improve the fertility of soils and coexist with other soil fauna organisms (Fragoso and Lavelle, 1992).

According to Fragoso and Lavelle, (1992) earthworm species in the tropics are predisposed to certain factors in their locations. These factors may include soil condition (pH, nutrients content), seasonal changes and temperature. Their population is also affected by the annual rainfall observed in a typical rainforest zone. Annual rainfall of 3000mm is ideal for their survival with an amount of 4000mm and 2000mm being too wet and dry for earthworms' existence respectively (Fragoso and Lavelle, 1992). Lavelle, (1988) also reported that earthworms are hardly seen in arid zones populated with termites and receive annual rainfall amount of 900mm or lower with temperatures above 35ºC and a longer dry season. Nevertheless, they are able to withstand dry seasons by moving vertically lower and deeper into the soil causing periodic perpendicular changes in earthworms' distribution in the soil (Fragoso and Lavelle, 1992). However, lateral circulation of earthworms in the soil according to Decaëns and Rossi, (2001) is haphazard and organized at different spaces. Earthworms have also been used in many waste managements and ecotoxicological studies in which they have been used as bioaccumulation factor of a medium (Langdon et al., 2003; Leduc et al., 2007; Nahmani et al., 2007; Mench and Bes, 2009; Pattnaik and Reddy, 2011). And in all the studies, the ecological characteristics of earthworms were considered for the remediation of contaminated soils or other forms of contaminated media. For instance, the earthworm species Eisenia fetida is widely known to be the approved and recognized earthworm species for research in ecotoxicological test (Langdon et al., 2005; Peijnenburg and Vijver, 2009). They are also bred as baits for fishing and for vermicompost purposes. They dwell only in organic-rich materials environs like composts and dung heaps and are barely found in soils (Edwards and Arancon, 2004). These ecological variations of earthworms have substantial outcomes on the accumulation, sensitivity and exposure of heavy metals to earthworms.

For example, some features of earthworms like their place of abode and preferred mode of feeding can impact on the extent to which they get into contact with contaminated soil particles which may result in variations of earthworms' exposure to heavy metals. Earthworms have special means of adjusting themselves to the exposure of heavy metal which aid them to dynamically control heavy metal bioconcentration in their body tissue (Hopkin, 1989). A report by Spurgeon and Hopkin (2000) confirmed

a steady amassing of Cu and Zn in bodies of Eisenia fetida which signified a substantial removal of these metals and showing their distinct capability to accommodate heavy metals. These earthworms also have the capacity to hold themselves against lethal impacts of heavy metals by storing excess heavy metal, removal and detoxification of heavy metals (Vijver et al., 2004). The type of heavy metals and earthworm species influences the attachment of heavy metals to proteins such as metallothionine just as reported in eco-physiological research (Spurgeon and Hopkin, 2000; Vijver et al., 2004). However, Spurgeon et al., (2000) and Nahmani et al., (2007) reported that the accrual of heavy metal levels is different regarding earthworm species but reactivity of Eisenia fetida to heavy metal was found lesser than other species.


Figure 1: A labelled diagram of an earthworm (Copyright C 2002 Pearson Education, Inc.,   publishing as Benjamin Cummings)

2.1. Categories of Earthworm

There are three types of recognized earthworms with regards to their environmental purposes, location of habitat and bodily characteristics such as body size, color and shape. In respect of the above three ecotypes of earthworms have been classified by researchers as follows;

- *Anecic* earthworms
- *Epigeic* earthworms
- *Endogeic* earthworms (Bouché, 1977).

Each of these earthworm categories constitutes earthworm ranges with distinctive features. The *epigeic* earthworms like other fragile soil organisms are principally responsible for soil litter modification. On the other hand, the *endogeics* and *anecics* earthworm species impact on ecosystem layer processes and activities. They also contribute significantly to soil health condition and their impact on soils is great and influences soil properties and processes at the ecosystem level (Lavelle, 1997). Also, all the three ecotypes of earthworms identified above are used in one way or the other for burrowing purposes. Whiles *endogeics* are suitable for horizontally deep burrowing, *anecics* are used for general burrowing purposes and *epigeics*

which are popularly known as ground dwellers are used for the purpose of tillage with little burrowing (Hickman and Reid 2008).

Epigeic earthworms are typically located in the topmost part of the soil while the *endogeic* earthworm species are found in the first 10 to 20 cm close to the surface of the soil. On the other hand, the *anecics* reside in the lowest alcove of the soil with a very scanty number in the tropics due to the location of their habitat (Barois et al., 1999). The Figure 2 illustrates categories of earthworms in their ecological habitats and location in the soil.


Figure 2: Earthworm ecotypes in their respective location in the soil. The figure was adapted from Qiu et al., (2013), and slightly modified.

The *Anecic* Earthworm

The *anecic* earthworms are mostly dominant in biomass of soils of many temperate regions (Lavelle, 1983). They are primarily vertical burrowing species and they carry organic matter from the upper part of the soil to their fixed abode deep down the soil (Butt, 1993; Domínguez, 2004). The transferred organic matter and surface litter are fed on by *anecics* at the basal part of the soil. *Anecics* are large in body form and burrows to the upper layer of the soil solely for feeding purposes (Paoletti, 1999). Their presence in an area can be noticed by a feature known as 'middens' which is mostly found at the openings of burrows. This mound ring-shaped trait is mostly a combination of leaves, soil and other organic matters. The activities of *anecics* earthworm species in soils may extremely alter soil essentials up to a depth of 1m or more. Earthworm species of *anecic* group may include *Lumbricus terrestris and Aporrectodea longa.*

The *Epigeic* Earthworms

The *epigeic* earthworm species are normally smallish in body form and dwell in, comminute and feed on materials found on the surface of the soil. These materials are mostly made of organic humus and litter devoid of soil particles (Lavelle, 1988). They are also found attached to plant root because they ingest leaf litter on the surface of the soil (Domínguez, 2004). The feeding manner and mechanism used by *epigeic* earthworm species to refine litter offers rich amount of nutrients to the soil. They have a short period of guts transfer which make them rely on other microorganisms to assist them in the disintegration of litter in their guts. Some of the earthworm species belonging to this group of earthworms' ecotypes include; *Lumbricus rubellus* and *Eisenia fetida*.

The *Endogeic* Earthworm

Endogeic earthworm species are commonly found in the tropics and the sole class of earthworm prevalence in biomass of the agroecosystems (Lavelle, 1983). They nourish themselves with soil minerals of the soil subsurface and dwell therein as their abode. They are geophagous species of earthworms that are

hardly found in the layer of the topsoil (Bouché, 1977). *Endogeics* feed on varied kinds of soil minerals and produce greater quality nutritious ground casts. These casts are mostly of two types; large and compacted cast and small but loose cast which contain some quantities of NH_3 and other substantial nutrients (Barois et al*.,* 1999) as compared to undigested soil organic minerals. *Murchieona muldali, Aporrectodea caliginosa, Pontoscolex corethrurus, Aporrectodea rosea, Allolobophora chlorotica, Lampito mauritii* and *Aporrectodea icterica* are all members of *endogeic* earthworm species.

2.2. Distribution of Earthworms

The earthworm ecotypes and categories discussed above are prevalent all over Europe and can also be found in other part of the world such as North America (Hendrix and Bohlen, 2002). There are about 6,000 species of earthworms discovered and about 120 of those species are widely distributed around the globe. Most of these earthworm species are of global and foreign origins. Different types of earthworm species have been discovered in different countries from different origins. The highest recognized earthworm species is reported to be in Australia. 650 indigenous species of earthworms with 75 other exotic species can be found in Australia. Also, a total of 182 earthworm species of 12 families have been reported in the North of Mexico, USA, and Canada sixty taxa out of them have been exotically introduced.

2.3. The California Red Worm (*Eisenia fetida)*

There are two recognized families of *Eisenia fetida* available and these have been divided into two species. These two species include; *Eisenia foetida* and *Eisenia foetida andrei*. They are morphologically similar, but the former has an intersecting and crosswise stripping on their segment whereas the later has a variegated reddish color (O.E.C.D., 2016).

The California red worm is an earthworm species that belongs to the *epigeic* earthworm ecotype and lives in the upper layer of the soil or in 10inches of the topsoil under the litter layer. It has a dark red color skin with external structures of 35-130mm in length which is greater than 70mm, 3-5mm in diameter, 80-120 segments and weighs about 1.4g. California red worm just like any other species of worm does not tolerate solar light because exposure to solar light results in their death within few minutes. *Eisenia fetida* is one of the earthworm species that has demonstrated a lot of prospects for the purposes of vermicompost. The environmental requirements and biology of *Eisenia fetida* have been broadly studied and reported in different forms (Kaplan et al*.,* 1980; Hartenstein et al*.,* 1981; Reinecke and Venter, 1987; Edwards, 1988).

It is an earthworm species that multiplies massively (Hartenstein et al*.,* 1979) and develops very fast (Neuhauser et al*.,* 1980). It has also shown an inherent sign and promising mechanism for waste management (Hartenstein, 1981). It lives approximately four and a half years and is able to multiply and reproduce about 1,300 new earthworms annually under favorable conditions (vermiculture manual). Any medium that harbors *Eisenia fetida* earthworm species must have an adequate climatic condition. Severe heat or cold impedes the activities of *Eisenia fetida* species. However, the water content of the soil harboring them is very significant for the burrowing purpose of the earthworm to commute easily through the soil (Kooijman and Cammeraat 2010; Owojori and Reinecke 2010). With optimum temperatures at a particular place, it is possible to raise this type of earthworm species at any location. They are strained under 30^0C and can easily die under temperatures above 40^0C. They are also not responsive when the temperature is below 7^0C though still generating humus in smaller amount. They reach their maximum reproduction ability when they live between temperatures 14^0C and 27^0C. Their reproductive activeness for a significant longer period than it had been considered possible is a wide spread phenomenon (Venter and Reinecke, 1988).

Normally matured California red worm produces humus of quantity of their weight and consume an equivalent quantity of food every day. This implies that their activeness is dependent on the amount of food available to them (Klok, 2007). They are able to live through well-defined soil conditions. The multiplication of earthworm population was reported to have been as a result of the application of manure and waste water sludge at a polluted area (Tejada 2009; Eijsackers 2010; Tejada and Masciandaro, 2011). California red worm also avoids extreme soil conditions. Their activities and survival are impeded by conditions such as high content of salt, organic contamination, high concentration of heavy metals and severe pH which also changes the formation of their habitat (Lapied et al*.,* 2001; Eijsackers, 2010; Irmler, 2010; Kooijman and Cammeraat, 2010; Owojori and Reinecke, 2010). They die in extreme alkaline or acidic conditions. For this reason, their food and environmental pH is between 6.2 and 7.8 but a pH of 7 is ideal.

Eisenia fetida simultaneously advances, eats, and makes tunnels in soils. It is during this process it leaves the excreta behind and turns the soil in its terrain much more fertile than the fertile soil from any artificial fertilizer. The excretes of California red worm are many times richer in nitrogen, potassium, phosphorus and calcium than artificial fertilizer.

Figure 3: Earthworms at different stages of their life cycle; hatchling cocoon, juvenile, clitellum-matured and bunch of earthworms

2.4 The Life Circle of California Red Worm (*Eisenia fetida*)

California red worms are hermaphrodites with both male and female reproductive organs in a single organism. A matured *Eisenia fetida* has a thick, light-colored pigment and saddle-like ring found in the skin of the worm known as clitellum. For the purposes of copulation earthworms place themselves in a horizontal position on the ground with their head pointed in corresponding directions. In this position they secrete mucus that sticks their bodies together. Earthworms then interchange sperms which are placed onto their skin. These sperms are later moved into an opening slightly apart from the clitellum where they are stored for a short while. During this process earthworm also expels their own eggs separately into openings near the openings of the sperms on their skin.

After this process they move away from each other and produce extra gummy mucus all over their clitellum which desiccates into a solidified band to strengthen their bodies. Afterwards the earthworms withdraw from the solid band of mucus by sagging themselves out of it. The sperms and eggs pores are picked up from the gluey base as the solid mucus band moves over the opening keeping them. After earthworms have successfully withdrawn from the mucus band the end closes and it becomes a minute rigid cocoon with egg and sperm in it. The sperms obtained during the exchange period of sperms are utilized to produce more cocoons till it finishes. The fertilization of earthworms' eggs and sperms happens within the cocoon for juvenile worms to be reproduced. *Eisenia fetida* has an incubation period ranging from 32 to 73 days. It takes about 32 to 109 weeks for freshly hatched juveniles of worm mature and reproduces cocoons. They are able to produce cocoons in substantial numbers annually. However, this will depend on environmental conditions such as; moisture, temperature, and availability of food. When earthworms are fed well with three times of their weight of food, at least 75% of moisture availability and available temperatures between 20 and 25, their biomass double up within 3 to 4 months.

According to Klok (2007), earthworms need enough food to be active. However, Neuhauser et al., (1980), demonstrated the available relationship between earthworms' rate of weight gain and particle size of the food they consume; a tinier particle size of food leads to a higher growth of earthworms. Also, promising signs of population build-up and reproduction was observed in earthworms after several weeks of experimentation especially in the abundance of earthworm cocoons (Morgan, 2011).

Figure 4: The life cycle California red worm *Eisenia fetida* (Morgan, 2011)

Figure 5: *Eisenia fetida* laying side by side in exchange of sperms for reproduction

2.5 Metal Accumulation by California Red worm *(Eisenia fetida)*

There is no doubt about the substantial evidence available on the potential of the three eco-physiological categories of earthworms accumulating several essential and non-essential metals from plant growth media. The *epigeic* ecotype of vermicomposting earthworm species like *Eisenia fetida* and *Dendrobaena veneta* are the most popular species that have been used for metal accumulation purposes. They are able to accumulate heavy metals from different contaminated soils. Many studies have reported the ability of this ecotype of earthworms to accumulate heavy metals from soils of metallic polluted and unpolluted soils used as control due to anthropogenic activities (Morgan et al., 1993; Peijnenburg, 2002;

Peijnenburg and Vijver, 2009). The fragile and highly permeable body walls of earthworms coupled with their bio-metal accumulation characteristics reflect their detritivores lifestyle. However, earthworms bioaccumulate heavy metals and store it in a non-lethal form but die of it when it reaches a critical concentration (Morgan and Morgan, 1988).

Mountouris et al., (2002) defined bioaccumulation as the mechanism by which organisms concentrate chemicals from their immediate habitat or environment into their body tissues. There are oodles of factors that regulate the accumulation of heavy metals into tissues of earthworm. These factors are known to be the characteristics of its habitat. Some of these factors include; oxides of manganese, aluminum, iron (Shea, 1988; Young and Harvey, 1991; Bryan and Langston, 1992; Bendell-Young et al., 1994; Janssen et al., 1997), acid volatile sulphide (Chapman et al., 1998) and organic carbon content (Mahony et al., 1996). According to Luoma and Rainbow (2005), bioaccumulation by earthworms is mostly driven by physiological and physicochemical parameters. The environmental conditions, characteristics of metalloid and heavy metals and the intended species all put together regulate bioaccumulation dynamically. Vermeulen et al., (2009), have demonstrated in their study the influence of environmental factors on accumulation of Pb, Cu and As in earthworms' tissues. However, pH level and organic matter content has also been reported to be a significant facilitator of concentration of the heavy metals Cd and Pb in tissues of organisms (Peijnenburg, 2002).

The targeted metal of concern in the usage of CCA wood preservative is widely known to be arsenic. However, its availability to earthworm species also varies with respect to earthworm's location in the soil. According to Langdon et al., (2003), arsenic is more available to earthworm species that are not found deep in the soil due to the strong bond between arsenic and both organic and mineral matter in the soil.

Bioaccumulation factor (BAF; also cited as uptake factor, UF, Concentration factors, CF, and bioconcentration factor, BCF depending on whichever context) is the ratio of the total concentration of heavy metal in tissue of an organism to the total concentration of heavy metal in its immediate environment of habitat (substrate or medium used for a study). As used in many studies (Mountouris et al., 2002; Leduc et al., 2008; Pattnaik and Reddy, 2011; Singh et al., 2017) to ascertain the inherent concentration of heavy metals in an organism, the following formula was used to extrapolate the proportion of these metals' allocation in organism and its environment;

$$\textbf{BAF} = \textbf{C}_{organism}/\textbf{C}_{habitat} \tag{1}$$

Where;
$\textbf{C}_{organism}$ means the total metal concentration in the tissues of the organism (ppm; mg/kg).
$\textbf{C}_{habitat}$ means the total metal concentration in the organism's habitat (ppm; mg/kg).

Pattnaik and Reddy, (2011), also interpreted the results calculated from the above formula as the comparison of the obtained answer to a unit (i.e., one); stating that when heavy metal concentration in the organism (earthworm tissues) is higher than that of its habitat (substrate), the bioaccumulation factor (BAF) will be more than one whiles it's less than one when the metal concentration in the organism's habitat is higher than that of the organism.

2.6 Bioaccumulation of Heavy Metals by Eisenia fetida in the current study

Heavy metals are also known to display different bioaccumulation behaviors in earthworms (Sample et al., 1998). Unfortunately, the bioaccumulation factor of several metals in organisms of water prone area (McGeer et al., 2003; DeForest et al., 2007) and earthworms (Neuhauser et al., 1995) have been reported to show strong inclinations in the direction of inverse relationships with extrinsic metal accumulation. Nieboer and Richardson, (1980), also stated that the bioaccumulation capacity of a given metal is not described by its bonding attractiveness or metabolic significance.

As reported by Morgan (2011), in relation to the utilization of bioaccumulation factor for risk evaluation, it is important for the calculated value to imply the integral characteristics of the chemical of concern. And it should not also be variegated with the variations in environmental conditions. It is also important for differences between bioconcentration, and accumulation be known prior to analysis and interpretation of data from toxicity study. Bioconcentration or bioavailability in toxicity testing is not a broad feature in ecotoxicity studies as it can be species-inclined and/or organism-inclined (Giller et al., 1998). The accumulation of metals by an organism does not necessarily suggest bioconcentration (Morgan, 2011) due to the analytical approaches employed, the variations in lifestyle between species and the mechanism as well as duration of exposure to contaminants. In relation to this, bioaccumulation information on water prone organisms is used with vigilance in risk evaluation on the basis of specific cases (McGeer et al., 2002).

California red worm, Eisenia fetida has been the international number one earthworm species for chemical toxicity tests (OECD, 2016). Although Eisenia fetida is not an inbred deep soil-inhabit organism (Booth and O'Halloran, 2001), it is considered as a representative of other earthworm species due to its sensitivity to heavy metals and other chemicals. Little information is available on how Eisenia fetida responds to heterogenous metal contaminants like CCA even though this group of earthworms has been employed in standard ecotoxicity chemical trials (OECD, 2016). Many studies have also concentred on earthworms' toxicity and single metal accrual (Weltje, 1998). However, specific growth and reproductive outcomes were achieved in a study where earthworms exposed to leachate from wood preservative made of multiple metal (Cu, Cr, As-CCA) as compared to single metal leachate (Cu-ACQ) (Leduc et al,. 2008).

The reactions of Eisenia fetida to heavy metals in studies are available in large quantities of data. Eisenia fetida has also been the choice and a point of reference in the international toxicity test (ISO 1993; 1998; OECD 2004). It is strong and can easily be grown in copious numbers in the laboratory as it develops within eight weeks, profusely reproduces and has lesser generation period as compared to other species and it is receptive to an extensive array of toxicants (Nahmani et al,. 2007). Nonetheless, Eisenia fetida has been a source of criticism in accumulation and toxicity studies, predominantly for the reason that it is not an inbred soil-inhabitant earthworm species. It resides mostly in organic rich environments like manure piles and dung (Bouche, 1972).

Eisenia fetida has also shown the capacity to tolerate heavy metals which are non-lethal to its body through results of many studies (Leduc et al., 2008). They tend to accommodate more nutrients for a lengthier period without any adverse influence on the environment. While consuming soil and organic substance they absorb heavy metals with the help of their fragile skin and intestine thereupon accumulating these metals in their body tissues (Hand et al., 1988; Singh and Sharma, 2002). Moreover, Cortet et al., (1999), reported that copper, zinc, lead and cadmium are accumulated and bioconcentrated in earthworms within certain environmental conditions. However, Spurgeon and Hopkin (2000) stated in their work that zinc in many cases was the only grave toxic metal to these organisms.

Many studies have reported variations in heavy metals accumulation by different species of earthworms. To date there are records of increases, decreases and no changes in heavy metals accumulated in earthworms' tissues. The three heavy metals, arsenic (As), chromium (Cr) and copper (Cu) which were investigated in this study revealed varying results of bioaccumulation in California red worm (*Eisenia fetida)* after 12 weeks of exposure. There is sufficient evidence on earthworms' high capacity to accrue lethal chemicals from variety of medium substrate such as metal contaminated soils, sludges, kitchen waste, farm waste and thermal power plant fly ash.

Nonetheless, the extent and scale of accrual depends on the type of metal or chemical and physiognomies of the substrate (Langdon et al*.,* 2003). In this study arsenic showed the highest and significant bioaccumulation in earthworms' tissues at all treatment levels and particle sizes of sawdust used. This might be that earthworms bioaccumulated arsenic in their tissues and sequestrated them in a form that could not be easily eradicated from their system as suggested by Meharg et al*.,* (1998). Langdon et al*.,* (2003), also reported that the closeness of earthworms to organic and mineral matters allows it to easily accumulate arsenic in both solid and aqueous state because organic and mineral matters are arsenic bound. Also, the effect of organic matter was reported by Ezemonye et al., (2006) to have increased copper bioaccumulation in soil amended with organic substrates like poultry, pig and cow manure. Although cow dung was used in this study a significant bioaccumulation of copper was not achieved as in a study by Iordache and Borza, (2012). Relatively the results on high arsenic bioaccumulation by *Eisenia fetida* in the present study could be associated to the feeding preference of earthworms on arsenic bound sawdust and cow dung thereby accumulating more arsenic via their feeding habit and fragile skin. This also attested to the fact that earthworms are prone to specific contaminants based on features such as ecological properties, location, mobility behaviour and food preference (Tomlin, 1992).

Higher initial concentrations for both Cr and Cu than As was observed in the current study. Heavy metals percentage removal in substrate after weeks of exposure also showed a slightly high percentage values for Cr and Cu at all treatment levels and particle sizes of the sawdust used than for As. However, computation of *bioaccumulation factors* in earthworms' tissues showed higher values for arsenic as compared to Cr and Cu which implied the intrinsic amount of As in earthworm tissue and also contrasted the suggestion that high level of Cr and Cu contaminants affect the absorption and metabolism of As (Meharg et al., 1998). This could be an indication that substantial number of heavy metals is still in the substrate after the period of exposure. This can be that earthworms had reached their threshold for heavy metals bioaccumulation or the time of exposure was not enough to absorb all the heavy metals from the substrate used for the study. Also, if bioaccumulation dependence upon the degree of contamination and the characteristics of substrate is anything to be considered then the low BAF values recorded for Cr and Cu in this study could be associated to the pH and the content of heavy metals in the substrate used. Heavy metals

are known to be mobile under acidic conditions (Ekperusi et al., 2016). The pH value of the substrate used in this study was 9.30 which represented an alkaline nature of the substrate before the exposure and this may have contributed to the mobility of some heavy metals thereby making the metals bioavailable for uptake by *Eisenia fetida.* Earlier studies like Leduc et al., (2008), have also confirmed the influence of pH values specifically on Cu bioaccumulation in earthworms' tissues. They said higher pH values led to lower Cu bioaccumulation in *Eisenia fetida* which was same for this study.

On the other hand, findings from the current study supported the account of Langdon et al*.,* (2003); detection of metals in earthworms' tissues may necessarily not insinuate metal biomagnification in tissues of earthworm species. Numerous studies have shown varying values of bioaccumulation factor in earthworm species. In all these studies alike the same category (epigeic) of earthworms but different species (*Aporrectodea rosea, Eisenia fetida* and *Lumbricus rubellus*) of earthworms were used in heavy metal accumulation test. Studies involving species like *Aporrectodea rosea* and *Lumbricus rubellus,* showed no biomagnification of arsenic in their tissues (Yeates et al*.,* 1994; Geizinger et al., 1998) but studies with species like *Eisenia fetida* showed higher bioaccumulation for arsenic in earthworms' tissues (Fischer and Koszorus, 1992). And this was verified in the outcome of this study. In spite of the fact that same category of earthworm species was used in different studies for heavy metals accumulation test, it can be deduced from the above findings that bioaccumulation for Cr, Cu and As in this study were species-driven (Suthar et al*.,* 2008). The lower values of bioaccumulation factor and the level of absorptions of Cu and Cr in tissues of earthworms in relation to this study also confirmed the fact alluded by Hopkin (1989) that earthworms might have the latitude to control metals in their bodies but the mechanism and bioaccumulation for metals like Cu may be species-specific. A metal accumulation and toxicity study in different earthworm species under the same exposure concentrations also revealed *Eisenia fetida* accumulation of Cu and Ni being lower as compared to *Lumbricus rubellus* (Qiu et al*.,* 2013).

The span and duration of exposure in heavy metals bioremediation studies have proved to be a major factor that influenced differences in heavy metals concentrations in earthworms (Hopkin, 1989; Pattnaik and Reddy, 2011). Variations in bioaccumulation of As, Cr and, Cu in tissues of earthworms were noticed in this study and these differences in metal accumulation in *Eisenia fetida* tissues could among other reasons be ascribe to the duration of the study as described in other studies of heavy metal toxicity test by earthworms (Jamaludin and Mahmood, 2010; and Pattnaik and Reddy, 2011). Even though the continuous bioaccumulation factor of heavy metals in this study was not monitored. The consistency in the observed decrease in As, Cr and Cu concentration in substrates within the experimental time intervals could equally be predicted for BAF values of heavy metals in earthworms' tissues along the same time intervals. However, BAF values for As increased along all the substrate levels except at substrate level T_4 where the trend was decreased while fluctuations were observed for Cr and Cu. The high value of bioaccumulation factor for As in most of the substrate levels and particle sizes of the sawdust used in this study signified the concentrations of As in the tissues of *Eisenia fetida* surpassing the concentrations in the substrates on many occurrences.

The reduction of metal availability to earthworms due to metal attachment to organic matter has been reported by Lukkari (2006). In the current study, Cr and Cu were the least accumulated heavy metals in earthworms' tissues according to the values of BAF relative to both treatment levels of substrates and particle sizes of the sawdust used. The low bioaccumulation of Cr and Cu in earthworms' tissues may be that Cr and Cu were clinched to organic matter in the experimental substrates and were not bioavailable in absorbable form for earthworm's uptake. Furthermore, findings from the present study showed an independent relationship between metal concentrations in substrate used for the experiment and metal concentrations in earthworms' tissues as compared to the findings by Pattnaik and Reddy (2011). The heavy metals concentrations in substrate especially for Cr and Cu manifested not in the tissues of *Eisenia fetida* after 12 weeks of exposure. However, Gupta et al*.,* (2005); Suthar et al*.,* (2008) and Pattnaik and Reddy, (2011) reported direct dependent relationship between metal concentrations in substrate and metal concentrations in earthworms' tissues. If the above evidence is anything to go by then results and findings from the current study differ because Cr and Cu had the highest concentration in substrate as compared to As but metal bioaccumulation in earthworms was higher for As than Cr and Cu. This further defies the hypothesis that metal availability in substrate influences tissue-metal levels. The metals of interest and of great concern in this study followed a similar bioaccumulation pattern for other studies especially for the results of As and Cr which are related in terms of metal accumulation in remediation studies.

3. Conclusion

Recent studies and investigations related to CCA chemical wood preservative have focused on chemical remediation to get rid of Cu, As and Cr heavy metals out of CCA contaminated media of different forms (Kartal and Kose, 2003; Clausen, 2004; Kazi and Cooper, 2006; Kakitani et al., 2006; Gezer et al.,

2006). Chemical remediation is the most common method used in ecotoxicological studies. However, it's implementation has not been safe and cost effective. In other words, chemical remediation method has not been a panacea for the very important problem at stake. In scope of this, it is quite unique to state that, this is one of the rare studies where California red worm (Eisenia fetida) may be used to explore the likelihoods of extracting chromium, arsenic and copper from CCA preserved wood. In line with this, the current study investigated with the aim of assessing possibilities of Eisenia fetida extracting and accumulating heavy metals from a substrate mixture of cow dung and sawdust from CCA-treated wood. And as a matter of fact, CCA-treated sawdust has not been popular in ecotoxicology research as a medium for earthworm exposure.

However, on the account given above, Eisenia fetida earthworm species has shown with reasons and evidence on how they are capable of bioaccumulating heavy metals especially the heavy metal (Arsenic) of utmost concern in our environment. This shows that earthworms can aid in utilizing out-service utility poles and other wood product that have been preserved with lethal preservatives by remediating them of the lethal content for other important purposes. On the other hand, earthworm production for the purposes of fertilizer and manure for amending soil fertility has gained much attention and has become important in recent years. Earthworms are known to produce manure that is highly rich in nutrients for agricultural purposes and for that matter they are easily accessible from dealers in fertilizers (Nahmani et al., 2007). The transformation of biowaste by means of earthworms' species into manure for agricultural use is also fast becoming popular around the globe (Rajkhowa et al., 2015). For these reasons, the use of earthworms for heavy metals extraction has been predicted to be an antidote to ecotoxicology as economically viable, socially acceptable and ecologically sound technology (Sharma et al., 2005).

Finally, arsenic from CCA-treated wood products is a known heavy metal of concern in most ecotoxicity test. In this study earthworms *Eisenia fetida* showed a significant bioaccumulation of arsenic in their tissues which presents a clear indication of the importance of *Eisenia fetida* in bioremediation of arsenic-contaminated environments. The findings from this study could also be suitable for the wide range of various results from similar topics with different kinds of substrates and methodologies.

4. Acknowledgments

References

Barois, I., Lavelle, P., Brossard, M., Tondoh, J., Martinez, M., A., Rossi, J., P., Senapati, B., K., Angeles, A., Fragoso, C., Jimenez, J., J., Decaëns, T., Lattaud, C., Kanyonyo, K., K., Blanchart, E., Chapuis, L., Brown, G., G., and Moreno, A., (1999). Ecology of earthworm species with large environmental tolerance and/or extended distributions. In P. B. Lavelle, Earthworm Management in Tropical Agroecosystems. (pp. 57-86.). Wallingford, U.K.: CAB International.

Barois, L., and Lavelle, P., (1986). Changes in respiration rate and some physicochemical properties of a tropical soil during transit through Pontoscolex corethrurus (*Glossoscolecidae, Oligochaeta*). Soil Biol. Biochem., 18, 539-541.

Bendell-Young, L., and Harvey, H., H., (1991). Metal concentrations in chironomids in relation to the geochemical characteristics of surficial sediments. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 21, 202–211.

Bendell-Young, L., Chouinard, J., and Pick, F., R., (1994). Metal concentrations in chironomids in relation to peatland geochemistry. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology 27, 186–194.

Booth, L., H., and O'Halloran, K., (2001). A comparison of biomarker responses in the earthworm Aporrectodea caliginosa to the organophosphorus insecticides diazinon and chlorpyrifos. Environ Toxicol Chem., 2494-502.

Bostrom, U., (1987). Growth of earthworms (Allolobophora caliginosa) in soil mixed with either barley, lucerne or meadow fescue at various stages of decomposition. Pedobiologia., 30:311-321.

Bouché, M., B., (1977). Strategies Lombriciennes. Ecological Bulletin, 25: 122-132.

Bouché, M., B., (1987). Emergence and development of vermiculture and vermicomposting: From a hobby to an industry, from marketing to a biotechnology, from irrational to credible practices. In A. B. Omodeo., On Earthworms, ed. (pp. 519-532). Mucchi, Modena, Italy.

Bouché, M.B., (1972). Lombriciens de France. Ecologie et systématique (Vol. 72, No. HS, pp. 671-p). INRA Editions.

Bryan, G., W., and Langston, W., J., (1992). Bioavailability, accumulation and effects of heavy metals in sediments with special reference to United Kingdom estuaries: A review. Environmental Pollution 76, 89-131.

Butt, K., R., (1993). Reproduction and growth of three deep burrowing earthworms (Lumbricidae) in laboratory culture in order to assess production for soil restoration. Biol. Fertil. Soils, 16:135-138.

Chapman, M., P., Wang, F., Janssen, C., Persoone, G., and Allen, E., H., (1998). Ecotoxicology of metals in aquatic sediments: binding and release, bioavailability, risk assessment, and remediation. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 55, 2221–2243.

Clausen, C., A., (2004). Improving the two-step remediation process for CCA-treated wood: part I. Evaluating oxalic acid extraction. Waste management, 24: 401-405.

Cortet, J., Vauflery, A., G-D., Balaguer, N., P., Gomot, L., Texier, C., and Cluzeau, D., (1999). The use of invertebrate soil fauna in monitoring pollutant effects. European Journal of Soil Biology., 35, 115–134.

Decaëns, T., and Rossi, J., 2001. Spatial and temporal structure of earthworm community and soil heterogeneity in a tropical pasture. Ecography., 24: 671-682.

DeForest, D., K., Brix, K., V., and Adams, W., J., (2007). Assessing metal bioaccumulation in aquatic environments: The inverse relationship between bioaccumulation factors, trophic transfer factors and exposure concentration. Aquat. Toxicol., 84: 236-246.

Dominguez, J., (2004). State of the art and new perspectives on vermicomposting research. In C. Edwards., Earthworm Ecology, ed. 401-424. 2nd ed. CRC Press.

Edwards, C., A., (1988). Breakdown of animal, vegetable and industrial organic wastes by earthworms. In C. E. (ed.), Earthworms in waste and environmental management (pp. 21-31). The Hague, the Netherlands: SPB Academic Publishing.

Edwards, C., A., and Arancon, N., Q., (2004). The use of earthworms in the breakdown of organic wastes to produce vermicompost and animal feed protein. In C. Edwards, Earthworm Ecology (2nd ed.) (pp. 345-381). Boca Raton, FL.: CRC Press.

Edwards, C., A., and Bater, J., E., (1992). The use of earthworms in environmental management. Soil Boil. Biochem, 24, 1683-1689.

Edwards, C., A., and Bohlen, P., J., (1996). Biology and Ecology of Earthworms. Third ed. London: Chapman and Hall.

Eijsackers, H., (2010). Earthworms as colonizers: primary colonization of contaminated land and sediment and soil waste deposits. Sci. Total Environ., 408: 1759-1769.

Ekperusi, O., A., Aigbodion, I., F., Iloba, B., N., and Okorefe, S., 2016. Assessment and bioremediation of heavy metal from crude oil contaminated soil by earthworms. Ethiopian Journal of Environmental Studies and Management. 9, 2, 1036-1046.

Ezemonye, L., I., N., Agbedia, C., U., and Illechie, I., 2006. The effect of organic matter on the toxicity of Cu to earthworms (Aporrectodea long), Afri. J. Env. Pollut. Publ. Hlth. 5, 1, 59-67.

Fischer, E., and Koszorus, L., (1992). Sublethal effects, accumulation capacities and elimination rates of As, Hg and Se in the manure worm, Eisenia fetida (Oligochaeta Lumbricidae). Pedobiologia, 36, 172-178.

Fragoso, C., and Lavelle, P., (1992). Earthworm communities of tropical rain forests. Soil Biol. Biochem., 24 (12): 1397-1408.

Geiszinger, A., Goessler, W., Kuehnelt, D., Francesconi, K., and Kosmos, W., 1998. Determination of arsenic compounds in earthworms. Environmental Science and Technology. 32, 2238-2243.

Gezer, E., D., Yildiz, U., C., Yildiz, S., B., Dizman, E., and Temiz, A., (2006). Removal of copper, chromium and arsenate from CCA-treated Yellow Pine by Oleic acid. Building and Environment, 41: 380-385.

Giller, K., E., Witter, E., and McGrath, S., (1998). Toxicity of heavy metals to microorganisms and microbial processes in agricultural soils: a review. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 11: 1389-1414.

Gupta, S., K., Tewari, A., Srivastava, R., Murthy, R., C., and Chandra, S., 2005. Potential of *Eisenia fetida* for sustainable and efficient vermicomposting of fly ash. Water, Air and Soil Pollution, 293–30.

Hand, P., Hayes, W., A., Frankland, J., C., and Satchell, J., E., (1988). Vermicomposting of cow slurry. Pedobiologia., 31. 199-209.

Haokip, S., L., and Singh, T., B., (2012). Diversity and distribution of earthworms in a natural reserved and disturbed subtropical forest ecosystem of Imphal-West. Int. Multidisciplinary Res. J., 2: 28-34.

Hartenstein, F., Hartenstein, E., and Hartenstein, R., (1981). Gut load and transit time in the earthworm Eisenia fetida. Pedobiol, 22: 5-20.

Hartenstein, R., Neuhauser, F., F., and Kaplan, D., L., (1979). A progress report on the potential use of earthworms in sludge management. Proc. 8th Nat Conf. on Sludge Composting. (pp. 238-241). Florida: Information Transfer Inc. FL.

Hata, T., Cookson, L., Jang, Y., C., Tarakanadha, B., Imanura, Y., Kartal, S., N., and Shibata, T., (2006). The Production and Management of Wood Treated with Chromated Copper Arsenate in Asia and Oceania with Emphasis on Australia, India, Japan and Korea. In T. G. Solo-Gabriele, Environmental Impacts of Treated Wood.

Hay, E., Derazon, H., Eisenberg, Y., and Natalia, B., (2000). Suicide by Ingestion of a CCA wood preservative. The journal of Emergency Medicine., 19 (2): 159-163.

Hendrix PF, Bohlen P., (2002). Ecological assessment of exotic earthworm invasions in North America. Bioscience., 52:801—811

Hickman, Z., A., and Reid, B., J., (2008). The co-application of earthworms (Dendrobaena veneta) and compost to increase hydrocarbon losses from diesel contaminated soil. Environ. Int., 34: 1016-1022

Hopkin, S., P., (1989). Ecophysiology of Metals in Terrestrial Invertebrates. Elsevier Applied Science.

Iordache, M., I., and Borza, I., N., 2012. Earthworms' response (Oligochaete Lumbricidae) to the physical properties of soil under condition of organic fertilization. Food Agriculture and Environment. 10, 2, 1051-1055.

I.S.O., International Standard Organization., (1993). Soil Quality-Effects of Pollutants on Earthworms (*Eisenia fetida*)- Part I: Determination of Acute Toxicity Using Artificial Soil Substrate. Geneva: ISO.

I.S.O., International Standard Organization., (1998). Soil Quality-Effects of Pollutants on Earthworms (Eisenia fetida)-Part II: Method for the Determination of Effect on Reproduction. Geneva: ISO.

Irmler, U., (2010). Changes in earthworm populations during conversion from conventional to organic farming. Agric. Ecosyst. Environ., 156: 1041-1045.

Jamaludin, A., A., and Mahmood, N., Z., (2010). Effects of vermicomposting duration to   macronutrient elements and heavy metals concentrations in vermicompost. Sains Malaysiana. 39, 5, 711-715.

Janssen, R., P., T., Posthuma, L., Baerselman, R., den Holander, H., A., van Veen, R., P., M., and Peijnenburg, W., J., G., M., (1997). Equilibrium partitioning of heavy metals in Dutch soils. II. Prediction of metal accumulation in earthworms. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry 16, 2479–2488.

Kakitani, T., Hata, T., Kajimoto, T., and Imamura, Y., (2006). Designing a purification process for chromium, copper, and arsenic contaminated wood. Waste Manage., 26:453–458.

Kale, R., D., and Karmegam, N., (2010). The role of earthworms in tropics with emphasis on Indian ecosystems. Appl. Environ. Soil Sci., 56: 41-43.

Kaplan, D., L., Hartenstein, R., Neuhauser, E., F., and Maleck, M., R., (1980). Physiological requirement in the environment of earthworms, Eisenia fetida. Soil Biol. Biochem., 12:347-352.

Kartal, S., N., and Köse, C., (2003). Remediation of CCA-C Treated Wood using chelating Agent. Holz Als Roh- Und Werkstoff, 61: 382-387.

Kazi, F., K., M., and Cooper, P., A., (2006). Method to Recover and Reuse Chromated Copper Arsenate Wood Preservative from Spent Treated Wood. Waste Management, 26 (2), 182-188.

Klok, C., (2007). Effects of earthworm density on growth, development and reproduction is Lumbricus rubellus (Hoffm.) and possible consequences for the intrinsic rate of population increase. Soil Biol. Biochem., 39: 2401-2407.

Kooijman, A., M., and Cammeraat, E., (2010). Biological control of beech and hornbeam affects species richness via changes in the organic layer, pH and soil moisture characteristics. Funct. Ecol., 24: 469-477.

Langdon, C., J., Piearce, T., G., Meharg, A., A., and Semple, K., T., (2003). A review Studies on the Interactions between Earthworms and Arsenic in the Soil Environment. Environmental Pollution, 361-373.

Langdon, C., J., Piearce, T., G., Meharg, A., A., and Semple, K., T., (2003). Interactions Between Earthworms and Arsenic in the Soil Environment: A Review. Environmental Pollution, 361-373.

Lapied, E., Nahmani, J., and Rousseau, G., X., (2001). Influence of texture and amendments on soil properties and earthworm communities. Appl. Soil Ecol., 43:241-249.

Lavelle, P., (1988). Earthworm activities and the soil system. Biology and Fertility of Soils., 6:237-251.

Lavelle, P., (1997). Faunal activities and soil processes: adaptive strategies that determine ecosystem function. Advances in Ecological Research., V. 27:93-132.

Lavelle, P., and Martin, A., (1992). Small-scale and large-scale effects of endogeic earthworms on soil organic matter dynamics in soils of the humid tropics. Soil Biol. Biochem., 24:1491-1498.

Lavelle, P., Zaidi, Z., and Schaefer, R., (1983). Interactions between earthworms, soil organic matter and microflora in an African savanna soil. In P. d.-W. Lebrun A.A.M., New Trends in Soil Biology. (pp. 253-259.). Dieu-Brichard, Louvain-la-Neuve.

Leduc, F., Whalen, J., K., and Sunahara, G., I., (2008). Growth and reproduction of the earthworm Eisenia fetida after exposure to leachate from wood preservatives.

Lee, K., E., (1985). Earthworms - their ecology and relationship with soil and land use. Sydney: Academic Press.

Lukkari, T., Teno, S., Vaisanen, A., and Haimi, J., 2006. Effect of earthworms on decomposition and metal availability in contaminated soil: Microcosm studies of populations with different exposure histories. <u>Soil Biol. Biochem</u>. 38, 359-370.

Luoma, S., N., and Rainbow, P., S., (2005). Why is metal bioaccumulation so variable? Biodynamics as a unifying concept. Environ. Sci. Technol., 39:1921-1931.

Mahony, D., J., Di Toro, M., D., Gonzalez, M., A., Curto, M., Dilg, M., De Rosa, D., L., and Sparrow, L., A., (1996). Partitioning of metals to sediment organic carbon. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry. 15 (12), 2187–2197.

McGeer, J., C., Brix, K., V., Skeaff, J., M., DeForest, D., K., Brigham, S., I., Adams, W., J., and Green, A., (2003). Inverse relationship between bioaccumulation factors and exposure concentration for metals: Implications for hazard assessment of metals in the aquatic environment. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 22: 1017-1037.

McGeer, J., C., Hennengsen, G., Lanno, R., Fisher, N., Sappington, K., and Drexler, J., (2002). Issue paper on the bioavailability and bioaccumulation of metal.

Meharg, A., A., Shore, R., F., and Broadgate, K., 1998. Edaphic factors affecting the toxicity and accumulation of arsenate in the earthworm Lumbricus terrestris. Environmental Toxicity and Chemistry.17, 1124-1131.

Mench, M., and Bes, C., (2009). Assessment of Ecotoxicity of Top soils from a Wood Treatment Site. Pedosphere, 143-155.

Morgan, A., J., (2011). Heavy metals, earthworms and vermicomposts. In N. Q. Clive A. Edwards, Vermiculture Technology: Earthworms, organic wastes, and environmental management. (pp. 17:263-285.). Boca Raton, London, New York.: CRC Press-Taylor and Francis Group.

Morgan, A., J., Morgan, J., E., Turner, M., Winters, C., and Yarwood, A., (1993). Heavy metal relationships of earthworms. In R. D. Rainbow, Ecotoxicology of metals in invertebrates (ed.) (pp. 333-358). Boca Raton, FL: Lewis.

Morgan, J., E., and Morgan, J., A., (1988). Earthworms as biological monitors of cadmium, copper, lead, and zinc in metalliferous soils. Environ. Pollut., 54:123-138.

Morrell, J., J., (2006). Chromated Copper Arsenate as Wood Preservative. Environmental Impact.

Mountouris, A., Voutsas, E., and Tassios, D., (2002). Bioconcentration of heavy metals in aquatic environment: the importance of bioavailability. Mar. Pollut. Bull., 44:1136-1141.

Nahmani, J. Hodson, M., E., and Black, S., (2007). A review of Studies Performed to Assess Metal Uptake by Earthworms. Environmental Pollution, 402-424.

Nainawat, R., and Nagendra, B., (2001). Density and distribution of earthworms in different localities of Jaipur. J. Eco-physiology., 4, 9-13.

Neuhauser, E., F., Cukic, Z., V., Malecki, M., R., Loehr, R., C., and Durkin, P., R., (1995). Bioconcentration and biokinetics of heavy metals in the earthworm. Environ Pollut., 89:293-301.

Neuhauser, E., F., Kalpan, D., L., Malecki, M., R., and Hartenstein, R., (1980). Materials supportive of weight gain by the earthworm Eisenia fetida in waste conservation system. Agric. Waste., 2:43-60.

Nieboer, E., and Richardson, D., H., S., 1980. The replacement of the nondescript term "Heavy metal" by biological and chemical significant classification of metal ions. Environ Pollut. (Series B)., 1:3-26.

O.E.C.D., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development., (2016), July 26. Earthworm Reproduction Tests (Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei). Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals.

O.E.C.D., Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development., (2004), April 13. Earthworm Reproduction Tests (Eisenia fetida/Eisenia andrei). Guidelines for the Testing of Chemicals.

Owojori, O., J., and Reinecke, A., J., (2010). Effects of natural (flooding and drought) and anthropogenic (copper and salinity) stressor on the earthworm Aporrectodea caliginosa under field conditions. Appl. Soil Ecol., 44:156-163.

Paoletti, M., G., (1999). The role of earthworm for assessment of sustainability and as bioindicators. Agri. Ecosys. Environ., 74:137-155.

Pashanasi, B., Melendez, G., Szott, L., and Lavelle, P., (1992). Effect of inoculation with the endogeic earthworm Pontoscolex corethrurus (Glossoscolecidae) on N availability, soil microbial biomass and the growth of three tropical fruit tree seedlings in a pot experiment. Soil Biology and Biochemistry., 24:1655-1659.

Pattnaik, S., and Reddy, M., V., (2010). Assessment of Municipal Solid Waste management in Puducherry (Pondicherry), India. Resource Conservation and Recycle., 512-520.

Pattnaik, S., and Reddy, M., V., (2011). Heavy metals remediation from urban wastes using three species of earthworm (*Eudrilus eugeniae, Eisenia fetida and Perionyx excavatus*). Journal of Environmental Chemistry and Ecotoxicology, 345-356. Pedobiologia, 36: 172-178.

Peijnenburg, W., J., G., M., (2002). Bioavailability of metals to soil invertebrates. In H. Allen., Bioavailability of metals in terrestrial ecosystem: Importance of partitioning for bioavailability to invertebrates, microbes and plants. (pp. 89-112). Pensacola, FL: Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC).

Peijnenburg, W., J., G., M., and Vijver, M., G., (2009). Earthworms and their use in ecotoxicological modelling. In J. Devillers, Emerging topics in ecotoxicology: Principles, Approaches, and Perspectives, vol. 2 ed. (pp. 177-204). New York.: Springer US. Pollut. (Series B)., 1:3-26.

Qiu, H., Peijnenburg, W., J., G., M., van Gestel, C., A., M., and Vijver, M., G., (2013). Can commonly measurable traits explain differences in metal accumulation and toxicity in earthworm species? Ecotoxicology. 23:21-32.

Rajkhowa, D., J., Bhattacharyya, P., N., Sarma, A., K., and Mahanta, K., (2015). Diversity and distribution of earthworms in different soil habitats of Assam, North-East India, an Indo-Burma biodiversity hotspot. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. India Sect. B. Biol. Sci., 85:389-396.

Reinecke, A., J., and Venter, J., M., (1987). Moisture preference, growth and reproduction of the compost worm Eisenia fetida (Oligachaeta). Biol. Fertil. Soil., 3:135-141.

Sample, B., E., Beauchamp, J., J., Efroymson, R., A., Suter, G., W., and Ashwood, T., L., (1998). Development and validation of bioaccumulation models in earthworms. Environmental Restoration Program. Lock weed Martin, Tennessee.

Scheu, S., (1987). Microbial activity and nutrient dynamics in earthworm casts (Lumbricidae). Biology and Fertility of Soils., 5:230-234.

Scheu, S., (1990). Changes in the microbial nutrients' status during secondary succession and its modification by earthworms. *Oecologia,* 84:351-358.

Sharma, S., Pradhan, K., and Vasudevan, P., (2005). Potentiality of earthworms for waste management and in other uses-a review. J. Am. Sci., 1:4-16.

Sharpley, A., N., and Syers, J., K., (1976). Potential role of earthworm casts for the phosphorus enrichment of runoff waters. Soil Biol. Biochem., 8:341-346.

Shea, D., (1988). Deriving sediment quality criteria. Environmental Science and Technology. 22:1256–1261.

Singh, A., and Sharma, S., (2002). Composting of a Crop Residue through Treatment with Microorganisms and Subsequent Vermicomposting. Bioresource technology, 85:107-111.

Singh, N., Kaur, M., and Katnoria, J., K., (2017). Analysis on bioaccumulation of metals in aquatic environment of Beas River Basin: A case study from Kanjli wetland. Geo Health, 1:93-105.

Spurgeon, D., J., and Hopkin, S., P., (2000). The development of genetically inherited resistance to Zinc in laboratory-selected generation of earthworm, Eisenia fetida. Environmental Pollution, 109: 193-201.

Spurgeon, D., J., Svendsen, C., Rimmer, V., R., Hopkin, S., P., and Weeks, J., M., (2000). Relative sensitivity of life-cycle and biomarker responses in four earthworm species exposed to zinc. Environ. Toxicol. Chem.,19:1800-1808.

Suthar, S., Singh, S., and Dhawan, S., 2008. Earthworm as bioindicators of metals (Zn, Fe Mn, Cu, Pb and Cd) in soils: Is metal bioaccumulation affected by their ecological categories? Ecol. Eng., 32, 99-107.

Tejada, M., (2009). Application of different organic wastes in a soil polluted by cadmium: effects on soil biological properties. Geoderma,153:254-268.

Tejada, M., and Masciandaro, G., (2011). Application of organic waste on a benzoapyrene polluted soil. Response of soil biochemical properties and role of Eisenia fetida. Ecotoxicology. Environ. Saf., 74:668-674.

Tomlin, A., D., 1992. Behaviour as a source of earthworm susceptibility to ecotoxicants. In: Greig-Smith, P., W., Becker, H., Edwards, P., J., Heimbach, F., (Eds), Ecotoxicity of earthworms. Intercept, Hants, 116-125.

Venter, J., M., and Reinecke, A., J., (1988). The life-cycle of the compost worm Eisenia fetida (Oligochaeta). S. Afr. J. Zool., 23(3):61-165.

Vermeulen, F., Van den Brink, N., W., D'Have, H., Mubiana, V., K., Blust, R., Bervoets, L., and De Coen, W., (2009). Habitat type-based bioaccumulation and risk assessment of metal and As contaminations in earthworms, beetles and woodlice. Environmental Pollution., 157:3098-3105.

Vijver, M., G., van Gestel, C., A., M., Lanno, R., P., van Straalen, N., M., and Peijnenburg, W., J., G., M., (2004). Internal metal sequestration and its ecotoxicological relevance: a review. Environ. Sci Technol., 38:4705-4712.

Weltje, L., (1998). Mixture toxicity and tissue interactions of Cd, Cu, Pb and Zn in earthworms (Oligochaeta) in laboratory and field soils: a critical evaluation of data. Chemosphere, 36:2643-2660.

Yeates., G., W., Orchard, V., A., Speir, T., W., and Hunt, J., L., 1994. Impact of pasture contamination by copper, chromium and arsenic timber preservative on soil biological activity. Biol. Fertil. Soils. 18, 200-208.