

# Phylogenetic Analysis of Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) Species with Mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase Subunit 1 Gene Distributed in Kocaeli

Fikriye Polat<sup>1</sup>\* (D), Serkan Dede<sup>2</sup> (D)

<sup>1</sup> Kocaeli University, Faculty of Education, Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Kocaeli, Türkiye
<sup>2</sup> Kocaeli University, Faculty of Arts and Science, Department of Biology, Kocaeli, Türkiye

<u>\* fikriyepolat@gmail.com</u>

\* Orcid: 0000-0002-5414-2501

Received: 8 October 2021 Accepted: 22 May 2022 DOI: 10.18466/cbayarfbe.1007398

#### Abstract

Mosquitoes, which are in the Culicidae family and have blood-sucking properties, infect humans and animals with many diseases. The present study, it was aimed to detect the mosquito species spreading in Kocaeli province based on the DNA barcoding method. DNA isolation was performed using the samples of mosquitoes collected in the Kocaeli province between June 2017 and September 2018. Then, Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) and DNA sequence analysis were performed using universal primers of the mitochondrial COI gene. The sequences in FASTA format obtained with the Chromas program were compared with those of other mosquito species in the world through the NCBI-BLAST database. For phylogenetic analysis, the sequences were uploaded into the MEGA X program, and phylogenetic trees were created in the Maximum Likelihood method, Tamura-Nei Model (Tamura & Nei, 1993), Bootstrap 1000. Among mosquitoes collected in this study, 7 species belonging to *Aedes, Anopheles, Culiseta* and *Culex* genera were identified and characterized as *Aedes geniculatus* (n = 10), *Aedes albopictus* (n = 7), *Anopheles funestus* (n = 1), *Anopheles plumbeus* (n = 3). Within them, *Culex pipiens* complex *sp.* was found as the dominant species in Kocaeli. In conclusion, this study is the first molecular research of mosquito species spreading in Kocaeli which provides records to GenBank.

Keywords: Aedes, Anopheles, Culex, Culiseta, COI

#### 1. Introduction

Mosquitoes are in the Culicidae family in the Nematocera suborder belonging to the Diptera order, and they are the only member within the family that haveblood-sucking properties [1]. Pathogens transmitted by mosquitoes to animals and humans are arbovirus, helminths, and protozoa.

63 mosquito species have been found so far in Turkey. 12 of these species belong to Anopheles (An.), 16 to Culex (Cx.), 5 to Culiseta (Cs.), 26 to Aedes (Ae.), 2 to Coquillettidia, 1 to Orthopodomyia and 1 to Uranotenia Turkey, studies (2). In two revealing the characterization of Cx. pipiens complex members by genotyping have drawn attention [2, 3]. Günay (2) accomplished the characterization and barcoding of mitochondrial COI gene region of species including Cx. (barraudius) modestus, Cx. laticinctus, Cx. mimeticus,

Cx. perexiguus, Cx. pipiens, Cx. pipiens form molestus, Cx. quinquefasciatus, Cx. theileri, Cx. torrent, Cx. tritaeniorhynchus, and Cx. hortensis which had been determined that they belonged to the Culex genus by morphological analysis and distributed in the border of Turkey. In addition, Sahingöz Demirpolat et al. [4] investigated the Cx. pipiens complex and Cx. torrentium species based on the COI sequences in mosquito samples collected from the province of Kayseri. In the study performed by Öter and Tüzer [5], in total 992 of 1085 female mosquitoes were determined as Cx. Pipiens, 32 were Cs. longiareolata, An. maculipennis (22), An. claviger (18), Cs. annulata (13), Ae. vexans (3), Cx. hortensis (3), and Ochlerotatus (2) based on morphological identification [5]. In the study performed by Cetin and Yanıkoğlu (2004), six mosquito species were identified based on morphological characters in Antalya [6]. These were Cx. pipiens Linnaeus, 1758, 1930, *Cx*. Cx. martini Medschid, deserticola

Kirkpatrick, 1924, *Ochleratatus caspius* Pallas, 1771, *An. superpictus* Grassi, 1899, and *Cs. longiareolata* Macquart, 1838. They pointed out *Cx. pipiens* as the dominant species. Demirci et al. [7] made a study of SNP for 11 different gene regions belonging *Cx. theileri* from northeastern Turkey and created the first record for that type.

Topluoğlu et.al. [8], in their study conducted in Sanlıurfa, collected the larvae and determined species as *Ansacharovi* (84%), which is a vector for malaria, and *Anopheles superpictus* (41%) based on morphological features. As of today, in the world, there are 531 *Anopheles* species of mosquitoes, 481 of them areknown officially while 50 have not been named yet [9]. So far, 10 species belonging to that group have been identified in Turkey [8]. Öter et al. [5] made the molecular identification of *Ae. albopictus* using the COI barcode gene in Thrace region. In a study on morphological species identification of mosquitoes seen prevalently in the Felahiye district of Kayseri, 32.1% of 305 mosquitoes were found to be *Ae. vexans* and 67.9% were *Cx. pipiens* [10].

Kuçlu and Dik (2018) determined the mosquito fauna in the Western Black Sea Region (Bartin, Bolu, Karabük, Düzce, Zonguldak, Kastamonu) and identified 13 mosquito species belonging to the genera Aedes, Culex and Culiseta. They also Anopheles, identified morphologically Ae. caspius, An maculipennis, Cx. theileri and Cx. pipiens which were dominant species. In a study conducted by Sarıkaya et al. [12] on refugee migration routes involving 17 provinces, 6 genera and 22 species were identified namely Anopheles, Aedes, Coquillettidia, Culex. Culiseta and Uranotaenia.

When we investigated previous research in the northern region of Turkey, there were some considerable studies such as the molecular analysis of *Ae. albopictus* in the Western Thrace and the Eastern Black Sea region (Artvin, Hopa, Rize, Trabzon, Beğendik and İğneada) [5, 13], the detection of mosquito species seen in Istanbul [14], and the morphological determination of the mosquito species distributed in the Western Black Sea [11]. The distribution and species of mosquitoes have been determined from the study of these regions, from Western Thrace to the Eastern Black Sea Region, however, among these studies, there has been no study specifically focusing on Kocaeli situated in the Marmara region of Turkey.

The present study, has aimed to determine the species of mosquitoes at the molecular level based on the COI gene barkoding method in Kocaeli, where no detailed research has been conducted so far. We believe that this study would contribute additional information to the literature which is valuable for effective vector control management.

# Materials and Methods Collection of Samples

Mosquitoes were collected from 4 different locations in the Kocaeli province (Table 1) between June 2017 and September 2017 by selecting forest areas, resting places, and water edges with the help of mouth aspirators. The altitude values for the locations are 0-260 m for Izmit, 78-314 m for Derince, 223-232 m for Başiskele, and 464-823 m for Kartepe, respectively. The collected 54 adult mosquitoes were kept at -20°C in 70% ethyl alcohol until DNA isolation.

#### 2.2. DNA Isolation-Polymerase Chain Reaction-DNA Sequencing

DNA isolation was performed using the Macherey-Nagel (Genomic DNA from insects, NucleoSpin DNA Insect, Catalog number 740470.50) following the procedures recommended by the company. DNA concentrations of the samples were measured using the Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer kit (Invitrogen, America). Primers for COI gene were as follows: 5'-GGTCAACAAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3' (forward) 5'-TAAACTTCAGGGTGACCAAAAAATCA-3' and [15]. 5x FIREPol Master Mix (Solis BioDyne) was used to prepare the PCR reaction mixture. The PCR reaction mix consisted of 6µl 5x Master Mix, 0.5 µl 10 µM primer (sense), 0.5 µl 10 µM primer (antisense), 2 µl mold DNA. Bidistillated water was added to 30 µl for the PCR mixture of the gene region. PCR steps were involved: 5 min at 94°C (pre-denaturation), 35 cycles at 95°C for 60 s (denaturation), 60 s at 55 °C (annealing), 60 s at 72°C (extension), and 7 min at 72°C (final extension). The amplified COI gene PCR products were then for 30 minutes at 100 volts in 1,5% agarose gel electrophoresis and visualized with UV а transilluminator by using Safe-T staining (ethidium bromide alternative).

PCR products were purified by BM Lab using ExoSAP-ITTM PCR Product Cleanup Reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) kit procedures. Sequence analysis was performed in the Macrogen Netherlands laboratory using the ABI 3730XL Sanger Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and the forward and reverse primers of the COI gene.

# 2.3. Phylogenetic Analysis

The DNA sequences were visualized using the Chromas (Version: 2.6.5) program, and the sequences were recorded separately in the FASTA format with the Chromas program. The forward and reverse complement readings of the sequences were compared by aligning them with the ClustalW program [16]. The COI gene regions for all mosquito samples were deposited into the Genbank.

The similarities of the COI sequences of the same mosquito species with the sequences registered in the NCBI database (Table 2) were compared and used in



the phylogenetic tree. For evolutionary analysis, MEGA X [17] program was executed and modeling methods, genetic distance matrices, nucleotide compositions, nucleotide pair frequencies, substitution matrices for DNA barcode gene sequences of the samples were determined. Phylogenetic trees were created in the Maximum Likelihood method, Tamura-Nei Model [18], Bootstrap 1000.

#### 3. Results

In this study, the coordinate and altitude information of the four districts in Kocaeli where mosquito samples were collected are shown in Table 1. The COI fragments belonging to the collected mosquitoes were analyzed by amplifying them three times, to obtain the highest quality sequence, and deposited in GenBank.

Phylogenetic analysis of the COI fragments for the sequences obtained from both the 4 populations of this study and other genera and mosquito species of Genbank enabled us to identify 7 species belonging to the genera *Culex*, *Aedes*, *Anopheles*, and *Culiseta* (Table 2). Findings for each species will be discussed separately.

#### 3.1. Aedes geniculatus

9 out of 10 samples were obtained from Kartepe (MH392201, MH392202, MH392203, MH392204, MH392205, MH463069, MK713997, MK713999, MK714000), and 1 of them was from Izmit (MK713998). When the G-C ratios of *Ae. geniculatus* 

were examined, it was found that the values varied between 31.2% and 32.3%. When considered together with the outgroups, the average G-C ratio was determined as 31.6% (17, 18). The average genetic distance among mosquitoes belonging to the genus *Aedes* was 0.017 (1.7%) (Data not shown here).

These results showed the values within the appropriate range of variation that could be seen among individuals representing the same species. The phylogenetic tree created at 0.0100 scale for *Ae. geniculatus* species is shown in Figure 1.

#### 3.2. Aedes albopictus

Seven out of 17 Aedes genera mosquitoes were identified as Ae. albopictus as a result of the COI barcode gene examination (MK714010, MK714007, MK714003, MK713991, MK714006, MK714008, MK714009). When the G-C ratios of the samples were examined, it was determined that the values of Ae. albopictus varied between 30.7% and 32.5%. When considered together with the outgroups, the average G-C ratio was found as 32.3% (17, 18). The intra-species variation rate was determined as 0.16%. The lowest genetic distance was found to be 0, and the highest genetic distance was 0.0016. It is seen that different branches and clades are also separated from the 1000repetitive bootstrap phylogenetic tree constructed with the sequences of other Aedes species obtained from GenBank (Figure 2).

**Table 1.** Mosquito species distributed in four different districts of Kocaeli (n: frequency, m: meter, Lon.: Longitude, Lat.: Latitude).

Districts		İzmit	Derince	Başiskele	Kartepe
Geo. Details (Lon., Lat.) Altitude (m)		40.760071,29.928734	40.771936,29.816591	40.640383,29.938674	40.682336,30.136046
		40.822020,29.924783	40.834515,29.903672	40.639618,29.938382	40.656733,30.146763
		0-375	78-315	223-232	464-823
Genus	species				
Culex	pipiens complex sp (n=33)	26	5	2	-
	torrentium (n=1)	-	-	1	-
Aedes	geniculatus (n=10)	1	-	-	9
	albopictus (n=7)	2	3	2	-
Anopheles	funestus (n= 1)	-	-	1	-
	plumbeus (n=1)	1	-	-	-
Culiseta	longiareolata (n=1	1	-	-	-
Total (n=54)		31	8	6	9

**Table 2.** Accession numbers of mosquito species obtained from NCBI for use in phylogenetic trees for the COI DNA barcode gene.

Species	Accession numbers	Species	Accession numbers	Species	Accession numbers
Ae. geniculatus	KM258304.1	An. funestus	MK300232.1	Cx. quinquefasciatus	KF407473.1
Ae. japonicus	FJ641869.1	An. funestus	MH299888.1	Cx. pipiens molestus	FN395171.1
Ae. notoscriptus	MG242508.1	An. eiseni	MF172271.1	Cx. torrentium	KJ401313.1
Ae. albopictus	MH817529.1	An. darlingi	JF923693.1	Cx. pipiens pallens	KC407754.1
An. funestus	KJ522832.1	An. annulipes	MG712534.1	Cx. hortensis	KJ012068.1
An. funestus	MK300231.1	An. plumbeus	KM258215.1	Cx. pipiens	KM258167.1



Figure 1. Molecular phylogenetic tree created by ML method for the COI gene region of Aedes geniculatus.



Figure 2. Molecular phylogenetic tree created by ML method for the COI gene region of Aedes albopictus





**Figure 3.** Molecular phylogenetic tree created by ML method for the COI gene region of *Anopheles funestus* (S19 MH463063) (upper) and *Anopheles plumbeus* (S9 MH463068) (below).



Figure 4. Molecular phylogenetic tree created by ML method for the COI gene region of *Culiseta longiareolata*.



Figure 5. Molecular phylogenetic tree created by ML method for the COI gene region of Cx. pipiens complex sp.



#### 3.3. Anopheles funestus and Anopheles plumbeus

One of the samples in Izmit was identified as *An. plumbeus* while in Başiskele *An. funestus* was found. When the G-C rates of *An. plumbeus* were examined, its ranged varied between 29.79% and 32.37%. When considered together with the outgroups, the average G-C ratio was determined as 31.09% [17, 19]. On the other hand, *An. funestus* was determined to vary between 29.86% and 34.99% in terms of G-C content [17, 18]. Phylogenetic trees obtained at 1000 bootstrap values are shown in Figure 3.

#### 3.4. Culiseta longiareolata

As a result of the COI gene analysis one sample from Izmit was determined as Cs. longiareolata (MK713984). When the sequences belonging to other Cs. longiareolata species of the world were obtained from GenBank to be compared with the samples of our study, it was determined that the conserved region in the COI gene region was 99.69% and the variable region was 0.31%. In the pairwise genetic distance matrix created based on the Tamura 3-parameter model, the average genetic distance between mosquitoes belonging to the genus Culiseta was found to be 0.0554 (5.54%). The lowest genetic distance of Cs. longiareolata species was found to be 0, and the highest genetic distance was 0.0031 (0.31%). The phylogenetic tree created in 0.010 scale is shown in Figure 4.

# 3.5. Culex species complex

As a result of COI analysis, from mosquito species collected, 26 in Izmit, 5 in Derince, and 2 in Başiskele were determined as *Cx. pipiens* complexes. In addition,

1 sample in Başiskele was identified as Cx. torrentium. When the G-C rates of 33 Cx. pipiens complex sp. samples were examined, it was found that they varied between 30.70% and 32.25%. When considered together with the outgroups, the average G-C ratio was determined as 31.25% (Tamura & Nei, 1993; Kumar et al., 2018). Sequence data were depozited in GenBank (MH463070, MK713980, MH463067, MH463066, MH463065, MH463071, MH463059, MH463064, MH463072, MH463073, MH463061, MH463060, MK713981, MK713982, MK713983, MK713985, MK13983, MK713985. MK13983, MK713993, MK713994, MK713995, MK713996, MK714001, MK714005, MK714002, MK714004, MK714011, MK714012, MK714013). KM258167.1 (Cx. pipiens), KF407473.1 (Cx. quinquefasciatus), FN395171.1 (Cx. pipiens molestus), KJ401313.1 (Cx. torrentium), KC407754.1 (Cx. pipiens pallens), KJ012068.1 (Cx. hortensis) and KJ012174.1 (Cx. theileri) were chosen as the outgroups to the phylogenetic tree. The average genetic distance between mosquitoes belonging to the genus Culex was found to be 0.0137 (1.37%). The phylogenetic tree created at 0.020 scale is shown in Figure 5.

### 3.6. Culex torrentium

When 1 *Cx. torrentium* (MH463062) obtained from Başiskele was evaluated together with the outgroups selected from GenBank, it was found that there were differences in 2 nucleotides (0.31%) on a region with 634 base pairs long, and the genetic distance between mosquitoes of the same breed was 0.018 (1.8%). The phylogenetic tree created at 0.0050 scale is shown in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Molecular phylogenetic tree created by ML method for the COI gene region of *Cx. torrentium*.



#### 4. Discussion and Conclusion

Considering that *Aedes*, *Anopheles*, and *Culex* mosquitoes are vectors for many important diseases, detailed information is needed on distribution and epidemiology of these mosquito species [4]. Studies on mosquitoes in Turkey have been generally based on morphology. Despite this, there have also been studies at the molecular level on the detection of mosquito species in recent years [2, 5, 6, 10, 20].

Mosquitoes belonging to the Culex genus are common in all climate types in our country. In our study, 34 out of 54 mosquitoes consist of two species belonging to the genus Culex. One of them belonged to Cx. torrentium and the others to the Cx. pipiens complex mosquitoes. Mosquitoes of the Cx. pipiens complex include species named as Cx. pipiens form molestus, Cx. pipiens form pipiens and Cx. quinquefasciatus. Unfortunately, these sibling species, whose identifications were difficult regarding morphology, could not be detected separately with the COI barcode gene in our study either. In the BLAST application of the NCBI genome database, the % similarity rates of these sibling species were almost the same. In our opinion, the COI barcode gene is not strong in distinguishing Cx. pipiens complex species. Thus, in the study by Laurito et al. [21] on Culex species in Argentina and Brazil using the COI gene, they pointed out that they were able to define species at the rate of 69%, but the remaning could be misidentified or not be identified. Şahingöz Demirpolat et al. [4] sampled 1052 female mosquitoes in their field study and analyzed 315 of them morphologically with diagnostic keys. As a result, they determined that 311 samples showed Cx. pipiens band profiles with ACE-2 and CQ11 microsatellite analysis. The remaining 4 samples were found to be hybrids of Cx. pipiens form pipiens and Cx. pipiens form molestus by microsatellite analysis (4).

In a study for molecular identification of the *Cx. pipiens* subspecies, the number of TG dinucleotide repeats in the microsatellite CQ11 region were compared [22]. Since there is no *Cx. torrentium* species in North America, the technique used in the study was effective in separating for *Cx. pipiens* s.s., *Cx. pipiens* form *molestus*, and *Cx. quinquefasciatus*. However, another study conducted in England reported that it would be insufficient to diagnose the above-mentioned species in the genus *Culex* with the COI DNA barcode gene and CQ11 region, and therefore it was shown that these genes could not be used for screening in Europe [23].

According to Morçiçek et al. [24], although Cx. *pipiens* and Cx. *quinquefasciatus* are two different species in terms of physiology and behavior, they found the interspecific genetic sequence difference was 0.2%. However, it has been suggested that in most Diptera species, greater than 2% sequence divergence in the

COI gene is a threshold level for species limitation [25, 26].

Although there are some specific limitations in the use of DNA-based methods in the identification and classification of species, it has significant advantages compared to the use of conventional taxonomic methods. This sensetive method can be used in routine labs, and can make a more accurate and powerful diagnosis. The COI is the slowest changing region of all mitochondrial protein-encoding genes and is generally a good molecular tool in evolutionary genetic and interspecific and intraspecific variability studies [24]. However, as can be seen in this study, the COI gene was not effective in distinguishing *Cx. pipiens* sibling species.

Cx. pipiens, and Cx. torrentium are two morphologically similar sister species. When the Cx. torrentium (S25-MH463062) from our study was compared with the Cx. torrentium species from the study by Günay et al. [27] (KJ012236, KJ012242, and KJ012238) the similarity rate was found to be 100%. Likewise with Cx. torrentium samples recorded in data banks from different countries (KJ401313.1 (Denmark), HF562557.1 (Germany), KU756484.1 (Austria), and MH807265.1 (Austria)) the similarity rates were found to be quite distinctive and between 99.84% and 100%. With the present study, the first records for the Cx. pipiens complex and Cx. torrentium species in Kocaeli were created with the COI barcode gene.

*Ae. geniculatus*, which we recorded in the NCBI genome database, showed a similarity between 99-100% compared with the those from other countries. For *Ae. geniculatus*, which can be adapted to the waters accumulating in tree hollows during pre-adult periods [2] and has been registered previously in the Antalya, Bursa, Rize, Samsun, Edirne and Kırklareli provinces in Turkey [2, 28, 29], our study also determined it at the molecular level based on the COI gene region in Kocaeli and stored to NCBI-Nucleotide.

When the records in GenBank were compared, the similarity rate of the 7 Ae. albopictus we identified was between 99.8-100%. Ae. albopictus, known as the Asian Tiger Mosquito, has the potential to transmit approximately 32 viruses such as Dengue fever, Zika virus, Japanese encephalitis, Yellow fever, Western equine encephalitis, Venzuella equine encephalitis [29]. Öter et al. [30] was the first to identify the Ae. albopictus species based on the DNA barcoding method in Kashan and Ipsala from the Thrace Region in Turkey. It was also detected in the Eastern Black Sea [31], the Black Sea coasts of the Thrace region and some districts of the European side in Istanbul, and registered to GenBank [2, 29]. In a study based on morphology, it was reported that Ae. albopictus specimen was found for the first time in Izmit-Kocaeli in August 2018 [32].



In our study carried out between June 2017 and September 2018, we also detected the same type of mosquito sample by using the COI barcode gene in 3 different locations in Kocaeli and recorded its information in the gene database. In a study conducted by Tuna Türkozan [13] using mitochondrial ND5 and COI genes, this mosquito species was detected in a large region including the Eastern Black Sea and the Thrace region. Then, in our study, it was also detected in a small region between mentioned areas and its first record at the molecular level was created by us. Therefore, it can be stated that *Ae. albopictus* is a mosquito species spreading along the Black Sea coast based on the data obtained from both this study and a study reported by Türkozan (2020).

An. plumbeus specimen with accession number MH463068 was 100% similar to the Belgian specimen, An. plumbeus with access number KM258215.1. An. funestus specimen, accession number MH463063 was slightly weaker supported by NCBI-registered species, at approximately 88%. It showed 87.81% similarity with the Kenya sample with the access number MK300231 and 87.71% with the American sample with the access number KJ522832.1. Unfortunately, we could not find any sample registered in GenBank from Turkey for An. funestus, so we did not have the opportunity to make a comparison. An. plumbeus has been reported to be found in forest areas up to 1200 m above sea level [33]. In our study, An. plumbeus was obtained at 225 m above sea level, while An. funestus was at 341 m in the forest area.

Cs. longiareolata, a vector for brucellosis, avian influenza and West Nile encephalitis, can be found in many areas such as swamps, septic tanks, and drainage channels, although it is found in similar habitats to *Culex* mosquitoes. Although it is known to be zoophilic, it is rarely fed with human blood [34]. According to the analysis results of Cs. longiareolata from NCBI BLAST, it was found to be 99.69%-99.85% similar to with numbers JQ388785.1, samples access MK170087.1, and HG931139.1. In a thesis study conducted by Günay [2] in 2015, mosquitoes belonging to the Cs. longiareaolata species of the Allotheobaldia subgenus obtained from different cities were studied and 13 haplotypes within this species were determined. The first COI registration at Genbank for Cs. longiareolata, which was also found in Kocaeli, was achieved with this study.

In this study, the mitochondrial COI barcode gene was used. This barcode gene has high discriminatory power for *Aedes, Anopheles*, and *Culiseta* species, whereas it is effective for only one *Cx. torrentium* within *Culex* species. It was not possible to distinguish the sibling species belonging to the *Cx. pipiens* complex by using this DNA barcode gene. Knowing which barcode genes should be used for the definitive identification of vector mosquitoes at the molecular level and species basis will enable us to reach practical information more easily. For this reason, to researchers who wish to study the phylogenetics of mosquito species, we suggest that they can try the following nuclear genes: IGS (Intergenic Spacer), ITS1 (Internal Transcribed Spacer 1), mitochondrial protein-coding regions ND1 (NADH dehydrogenase 1), ND2 (NADH dehydrogenase 2), COII (Cytochrome Oxidase Subunit 2), and cytb (cytochrome b apoenzyme).

As a result, from a total of 54 mosquito samples collected in Kocaeli between June 2017 and September 2018, 7 species belonging to 4 genera were identified with a molecular analysis based on the mitochondrial COI barcode gene. With this study, the first molecular records for the mosquito species in Kocaeli were created. The limitation of the study might be sample size which was relatively small.

#### Acknowledgement

Additional thanks to Ela Gök for proofreading this article.

#### **Author's Contributions**

**Fikriye Polat:** Drafted and wrote the manuscript, performed the experiment and result analysis. **Serkan Dede:** Collection of mosquitoes, experimental study, DNA extraction, PCR.

#### Ethics

This study does not present any ethical concerns.

#### References

- 1. Kettle DS (1995). Medical and veterinary entomology. 2nd ed., CAB International, Wallingford, UK.
- Günay F (2015). Türkiye sivrisinek faunası üzerine DNA barkodlama yöntemiyle moleküler analizler. Doktora Tezi, Hacettepe Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Ankara.
- Ergunay K, Gunay F, Erisoz Kasap O, Oter K, Gargari S, Karaoglu T, Tezcan S, Cabalar M, Yildirim Y, Emekdas E, Alten B and Ozkul A (2014). Serological, molecular and entomological surveillance demonstrates widespread circulation of West Nile Virus in Turkey. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*; 8, e3028.
- Şahingöz Demirpolat G, İnci A, Yıldırım A, Düzlü Ö, Önder Z (2018). Kayseri yöresinde Culex pipiens biyotipleri ve Culex torrentium'un real time PCR ile araştırılması ve moleküler karakterizasyonu, Ankara Universitesi Veteterinerlik Fakültesi Dergisi; 65 (3), 253-259.
- Öter K, Tüzer E (2014). İstanbul'da sivrisinek türlerinin (Diptera: Culicidae) kompozisyonu. İstanbul Üniversitesi Veterinerlik Fakültesi Dergisi; 40 (2), 249-259.
- Çetin H, Yanıkoğlu A (2004). Antalya kentinde bulunan sivrisinek (Diptera: Culicidae) türleri, üreme alanları ve baskın tür Culex pipiens L.'in bazı özellikleri. *Türk Entemoloji Dergisi*; 28, 283-294.



- Demirci B, Lee Y, Lanzaro GC, Alten B (2012). Identification and characterization of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in Culex theileri (Diptera: Culicidae). *Journal of Medical Entomology*; 49(3), 581-588.
- Topluoğlu S, Karasartova D, Karaer ZK, Taylan-Özkan A (2020). Şanlıurfa yöresindeki anofel larvalarının morfolojik tanımlanması ve üreme alanlarının fiziksel ve ekolojik özelliklerinin araştırılması. *Türk Hijyen ve Deneysel Biyoloji Dergisi;* 77(2), 207-216.
- URL1-Harbach RE. Genus Anopheles Meigen, 1818. Mosquito Taxonomic Inventory, 2015. http://mosquito-taxonomicinventory.info/genus-anopheles-meigen-1818# (Acces Date: 02/08/2021)
- Bişkin Z, İnci A, Yıldırım A, Düzlü Ö (2010). Kayseri'nin Felahiye yöresinde yaygınlık gösteren sivsisinek (Diptera: Culicidae) türleri. Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi; 19(2), 133-139.
- Kuclu O, Dik B (2018). Mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) fauna of Western Black Sea Region of Turkey. *Türkiye Parazitoloji* Dergisi; 42(2), 138-43.
- 12. Sarıkaya Y, (2017). Türkiye-Suriye sınırında yer alan mülteci kampları çevresinde ve mülteci göç yolları üzerindeki sivrisinek (Diptera: Culicidae) türlerinin tespit edilmesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Hacettepe Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Ankara.
- 13. Tuna Türkozan S (2019). Türkiye'de Aedes (Stegomyia) albipictus (Scue, 1894)'un populasyon genetiği ve ekolojik niş modellemesi. Yüksek Lisans Tezi. Aydın Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Aydın.
- Öter K (2007). İstanbul'da görülen sivrisinek türlerinin tespiti. Doktora Tezi. İstanbul Üniversitesi, Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, İstanbul.
- Ortman BD, Bucklin A, Pages F, Youngbluth M (2010). DNA barcoding the medusozoa using mtCOI. *Deep-Sea Research II*; 57(24-26), 2148-2156.
- URL-2: https://www.genome.jp/tools-bin/clustalw (Acces Date: 10/31/2019)
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M, Knyaz C, Tamura K (2018). MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*; 35(6), 1547-1549.
- **18.** Tamura K (1992): Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions when there are strong transition-transversion and G + C-content biases. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*; 9(4), 678-687.
- Tamura K, Nei M (1993): Estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions in the control region of mitochondrial DNA in humans and chimpanzees. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*; 10(3), 512-526.
- 20. Korkmaz S, Yıldırım A, Düzlü Ö, Çiloğlu A, Önder Z, İnci A (2016). Kayseri yöresinden toplanmış *culex* pipiens komplekse ait sivrisinek (Diptera: Culicidae) örneklerinin kan beslenme identifikasyonu, *Türkiye Parazitol Derg*isi; 40, 199-204.
- 21. Laurito M, Oliveira TM, Almirón W.R, Sallum MAM, (2013). COI barcode versus morphological identification of Culex (Culex) (Diptera: Culicidae) species: a case study using samples from Argentina and Brazil. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz*; 108(suppl 1), 110-122.
- 22. Shaikevich EV, Vinogradova E., Bouattour A, Almeida APG (2016). Genetic diversity of Culex pipiens mosquitoes in distinct populations from Europe: contribution of Culex quinquefasciatus in Mediterranean populations. *Parasites Vectors*; 9, 47.

- 23. Danabalan R, Ponsonby DJ, Lintoni YM (2012). A critical assessment of available molecular identification tools for determining the status of Culex pipiens s.l. in the United Kingdom, *Journal of the American Mosquito Control Association*; 28(4 suppl), 68–75.
- 24. Morçiçek B, Gocmen Taskin B, Doğaç E, Doğaroğlu T, Taskin V (2018). Evidence of natural Wolbachia infections and molecular identification of field populations of Culex pipiens Complex (Diptera: Culicidae) mosquitoes in Western Turkey, *Journal of Vector Ecology*; 43(1), 44-51.
- Hebert PD, Cywinska A, Ball SL, deWaard JR (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. *Proceeding Biological Sciences/The Royal Society*; 270(1512): 313–321.
- Hebert, PD, Ratnasingham S, J.R. deWaard JT (2003). Barcoding animal life: COI divergences among closely allied species. Proceeding Biological Sciences/The Royal Society;270: S96-S99.
- 27. Günay F, Alten B, Simsek F, Aldemir A, Linton YM (2015). Barcoding Turkish Culex mosquitoes to facilitate Arbovirus Vector incrimination studies reveals hidden diversity and new potential vectors. *Acta Tropica*; 143, 112-120.
- 28. Şahin, İ (1984). Antalya ve çevresindeki sivrisinekler (Diptera: Culicidae) ve filariose vektörü olarak önemleri üzerinde araştırmalar. II. Sivrisinek Faunasını Belirlemek Amacıyla Yapılan Çalışmalar. *Doğa Bilimleri Dergisi* A2, 8: 385-396.
- 29. Şakacı Z (2018). Edirne merkez ilçesinde vektöriyel öneme sahip sivrisinek (Diptera: Culicidae) türlerinin araştırılması, Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Trakya Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Edirne.
- 30. Öter K, Günay F, Tüzer E, Linton YM, Bellini R, Alten B (2013). First record of Stegomyia albopicta in Turkey determined by active ovitrap surveillance and dna barcoding. *Vector Borne and Zoonotic Diseases*; 13(10), 753-761.
- 31. Akiner MM, Demirci B, Babuadze G, Robert V, Schaffner F (2016). Spread of the invasive mosquitoes Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus in the Black Sea Region increases risk of Chikungunya, Dengue, and Zika Outbreaks in Europe. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*; 10(5), e0004664.
- 32. Şakacı Z (2021). Contribution to mosquito (Diptera: Culicidae) fauna of Sakarya province and the first record of the invasive vector Aedes albopictus (Skuse, 1894) for Kocaeli province. BAUN Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi; 23(1), 10-21.
- 33. Schaffner F, Thiéry I, Kaufmann C, Zettor A, Lengeler C, Mathis A, Bourgoin C (2012). *Anopheles plumbeus* (Diptera: Culicidae) in Europe: a Mere Nuisance Mosquito or Potential Malaria Vector? Malaria Journal, 11, 393.
- 34. Muslu H (2009): Manisa bölgesinde saptanan sivrisinek türleri (Dipteria: Culicidae) ve bunların vektöryel önemleri. Yüksek Lisans Tezi, Celal Bayar Üniversitesi, Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü, Manisa.