

Sigma Journal of Engineering and Natural Sciences Sigma Mühendislik ve Fen Bilimleri Dergisi sigma

Research Article

ON CYCLIC CODES AND CYCLIC LCD CODES FROM THE FAMILY OF GROUP RINGS \mathbb{Z}_4C_n

Mehmet Emin KÖROĞLU*¹, Bayram Ali ERSOY²

¹Dept. of Mathematics, Yıldız Technical University, Esenler-ISTANBUL;ORCID: 0000-0002-9173-4944 ²Dept. of Mathematics, Yıldız Technical University, Esenler-ISTANBUL;ORCID: 0000-0002-8307-9644

Received: 10.04.2019 Accepted: 19.05.2019

ABSTRACT

In this work, we study the structure of cyclic zero divisor codes over a family of group rings. We determine the number of elements of these codes and introduce the dual codes. Moreover, we show that there is no non-free cyclic LCD \mathbb{Z}_4 codes.

Keywords: Group rings, cyclic codes, zero divisors, linear complementary dual (LCD) codes.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [9], Hurley et al. described and presented a new construction technique for codes from group rings. This technique is essentially based on zero divisors in group rings. In addition to their general algebraic structure, group rings have a rich source of zero divisors and unit elements. Further, the well-known structural linear codes such as cyclic codes, quasi-cyclic codes are within the family of group ring codes. Thus, group rings offer a rich source for structural codes that may lead to linear codes with good properties.

Linear codes with complementary-duals (LCD codes) (see [16]) have many applications in communication systems, data storage, cryptography and consumer electronics. A linear code C is called an LCD code if $C^{\perp} \cap C = \{0\}$. In [16], it was shown that LCD codes provide an optimum linear coding solution for binary adder channel, and in [17], Massey showed that asymptotically good LCD codes exist. Moreover, in [20] Sendrier has proved that LCD codes meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound. In [22], Yang and Massey have given a necessary and sufficient condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual. All LCD constacyclic codes has been studied in [7]. In [6], Dougherty et al. gave a linear programming bound on the largest size of an LCD code of given length and minimum distance. In [12], Li constructed some non MDS cyclic Hermitian LCD codes of even length n|q-1 was given. Carlet and Guiley studied an application of LCD codes against side-channel attacks and presented particular constructions for LCD codes in [2]. In

^{*} Corresponding Author: e-mail: mkoroglu@yildiz.edu.tr, tel: (212) 383 43 27

[1], Beelen and Jin gave an explicit construction of several classes of LCD MDS codes. MDS LCD codes over finite field F_q with even q were completely solved in [10]. In [14], Li et al. explored two special families of LCD cyclic codes, which are both BCH codes. The authors of [13] constructed several families of reversible cyclic codes over finite fields and analyzed their parameters. Galvez et al. ([8]), have given exact values of dimension k and length n of a binary LCD code, where $1 \le k \le n \le 12$. In [5], Chen and Liu have proposed a different approach to obtain new LCD MDS codes from generalized Reed-Solomon codes. In [21], Sok et al. proved the existence of optimal LCD codes over finite fields and they have also given methods to generate orthogonal matrices over finite fields and then use them to construct LCD codes. In [3], Carlet et al. studied several constructions of new Euclidean and Hermitian LCD MDS codes. In [15],

Liu and Liu provided a necessary condition for an LCD linear code C over a finite chain ring. Under suitable conditions, they have given a sufficient condition under which a linear code C over a finite chain ring is LCD. Especially, they have derived a necessary and sufficient condition for free linear codes over a finite chain ring to be LCD. In [11], a condition for codes obtained from units of group rings to be LCD has been provided. It is also shown that a special decomposition of group rings meet the LCD condition and a construction of linear complementary pair (LCP) of codes has proposed.

In this study, we extend the encoding method originally given in [9], for group ring codes over fields to group ring codes on \mathbb{Z}_4C_n where *n* is an odd integer and further we explore their structures. We define the generators of these codes and their duals. We determine the cardinality of these codes. Further, we show that there is no non-free cyclic LCD \mathbb{Z}_4 codes.

2. PREMILINARIES

Let *q* be a prime power and F_q be the finite field with *q* elements. An $[n,k]_q$ linear code C of length *n* over F_q is a *k*-dimensional subspace of the vector space F_q^n . The elements of C are of the form $(c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1})$ and called codewords. The Hamming weight of any $c \in C$ is the number of nonzero coordinates of *c* and denoted by w(c). The minimum distance of C is defined as $d = \min\{w(c)|0 \neq c \in C\}$. An $[n,k]_q$ linear code with minimum distance *d* is said to be MDS (maximum distance separable) if n+1=k+d. The Euclidean dual code of C is defined to be $C^{\perp} = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in F_q^n | \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x_i y_i = 0, \forall \mathbf{y} \in C \right\}$.

3. GROUP RINGS BASICS

In this subsection we present some basics about group rings. For further information reader may refer to [18].

Let *R* be a ring and *G* a group define the group ring *RG* to be the set of all elements of the form $u = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ where $\alpha_g \in R$ and only finitely many of the $\alpha_g \neq 0$. For given two elements $\alpha = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ and $\beta = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g g$ in *RG*, the binary operations addition and multiplication are

defined as below:

On Cyclic Codes and Cyclic LCD Codes from the ... / Sigma J Eng & Nat Sci 37 (2), 541-549, 2019

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha + \beta &= \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g + \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g g = \sum_{g \in G} \left(\alpha_g + \beta_g \right) g, \\ \alpha \beta &= \left(\sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g \right) \left(\sum_{h \in G} \beta_h h \right) = \sum_{g,h \in G} \alpha_g \beta_h g h. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.1. Let *R* be the integer ring $\Box_3 = \{0,1,2\}$ and *G* be the cyclic group $C_4 = \{1, g, g^2, g^4\}$ of order four with the generator element *g*. Then, the group ring $\Box_3 C_4$ is

$$\Box_{3}C_{4} = \Big\{ a_{0} + a_{1}g + a_{2}g^{2} + a_{3}g^{3} \Big| a_{i} \in \Box_{3}, g^{j} \in C_{4} \Big\}.$$

A non-zero element z in a commutative ring R is a zero-divisor if there exists a non-zero $r \in R$ such that zr = 0. The transpose of an element $u = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ in RG is $u^T = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g^{-1}$ or

$$u^{T} = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_{g^{-1}} g.$$

For example, the transpose of the element $w = 1 + g^2 \in \Box_2 C_3$, is $w^T = 1 + g$.

4. CODES FROM ZERO-DIVISORS

In this section we give a brief summary of zero divisor codes. For more detailed information the reader may consult the reference [9].

The following definition of zero-divisor codes is based on reference [9].

Definition 4.1. Let u, v be a zero-divisors in *RG* and *W* be a submodule of *RG* with basis of group elements $S \subseteq G$. A zero-divisor code is the set $C = \{ux | x \in W\} = uW$ or $C = \{xu | x \in W\}$ = *Wu*. Here, the element *u* is a generator element of the code C = Wu relative to the submodule *W*.

Let $T \subset RG$. *T* is linearly independent if for all $x \in T$ and for $\alpha_x \in R$, $\sum_{x \in T} \alpha_x x = 0$ only when $\alpha_x = 0$. Apart from that *T* is linearly dependent. The maximum number of linearly independent elements of *T* is called rank of *T* and denoted by rank(T). Therefore, rank(T) = |T| if and only if *T* is linearly independent. Notice that a zero-divisor code C = Wuis a submodule of *RG* and consists of all elements of the form $\sum_{x \in T} \alpha_x gu$.

Thus, the dimension of this code is the rank (Su) [9].

Example 4.1. Let *RG* be the group ring given in Example 3.1 Suppose that $u=1+g^2$ and $v=2+g^2$ be two zero-divisors in \Box_3C_4 and let *W* be the submodule of \Box_3C_4 generated by the set $S = \{1,g\}$, i.e. $W = \langle S \rangle = \{0,1,2,g,2g,1+g,1+2g,2+g,2+2g\}$. Since $(Su) = \{1,g\}(1+g^2) = \{1+g,g+g^2\}$, the rank of *Su* is 2. Moreover, the zero-divisor code generated by the element *u* is

$$C = \begin{cases} 0, g^{2} + 1, 2g^{2} + 2, g^{3} + g, g^{3} + g^{2} + g + 1, g^{3} + 2g^{2} + g + 2, \\ 2g^{3} + 2g, 2g^{3} + g^{2} + 2g + 1, 2g^{3} + 2g^{2} + 2g + 2 \end{cases} = Wu.$$

Definition 4.2. [9] Let *u* be a zero-divisor and the rank of *u* be rank (Su) = r. Then we called *u* as a principal zero-divisor if there exists a $v \in RG$ such that uv = 0 and rank((G-S)v) = n-r.

For example, the elements u = 1 + g and $v = 2 + g^2$ in $\Box_3 C_4$ are principal zero-divisors.

Theorem 4.1. [9] Let $C = \{xu | x \in W\}$ zero-divisor code, where $W = \langle S \rangle$ and rank (Su) = r. Assume that uv = 0 in the group ring RG so that rank((G-S)v) = n - r. Then y is a codeword if and only if yv = 0.

The element $v \in RG$ is called the check element of the code C. For example, the zerodivisor element $v = 2 + g^2$ is the check element of the code C given in Example 4.1.

Corollary 4.1. [9] The zero-divisor code $C = \{xu | x \in W\}$ has a single check element if and only if *u* is a principal zero-divisor.

The inner product of any two elements x, y in RG is given by term-by-term multiplication of the ring elements, that is to say $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \beta_g$ where $x = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g g$ and $y = \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g g$. Hence, the dual code of a zero-divisor code is defined as $C^{\perp} = \{ y \in RG | \langle ux, y \rangle = 0, \forall x \in W \}$.

Theorem 4.2. [9] Let $u, v \in RG$ be two principal zero-divisors such that for $S \subset G$, rank(Su) = r and rank((G-S)v) = n-r. Let $W = \langle S \rangle$ be a submodule of dimension r and $W^{\perp} = \langle G \setminus S \rangle$ be the submodule of rank n-r. Then the dual code of the zero-divisor code $C = \{xu | x \in W\}$ is $C^{\perp} = \{xv^T | x \in W^{\perp}\} = \{y \in RG | yu^T = 0\}$.

Example 4.2. The dual code of the zero-divisor code C given in the Example 4.1 } is

$$C^{\perp} = \left\{ xv^{T} \middle| x \in W^{\perp} \right\}$$

=
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} 0, g^{2} + 2, 2g^{2} + 1, g^{3} + 2g, g^{3} + g^{2} + 2g + 2, \\ g^{3} + 2g^{2} + 2g + 1, 2g^{3} + g, 2g^{3} + g^{2} + g + 2, 2g^{3} + 2g^{2} + g + 1 \right\},$$

where

$$W^{\perp} = \langle G \setminus S \rangle = \left\langle \left\{ g^2, g^3 \right\} \right\rangle$$

= $\{0, g, 2g, g^2, 2g^2, g^2 + g, g^2 + 2g, 2g^2 + g, 2g^2 + 2g \},$

and $v^T = 1 + g + g^2$. Note that, rank((G-S)v) = 2.

5. CYCLIC CODES FROM ZERO-DIVISORS IN GROUP RINGS $\Box_4 C_n$

In this section, we determine necessary and sufficient conditions to zero divisor generators of \Box_4 -cyclic linear group ring codes. Further, we describe the structure of \Box_4 -cyclic linear group ring codes with odd length and their duals. This section presents an extension to the results given by Hurley et al. in [9].

The definition in the following is a revised version of the notion rank of an element in a group ring given in [9].

Definition 5.1. Let *n* be an odd integer and C_n be the cyclic group of order *n*. Then the rank of $u \in \Box_4 C_n$ is defined to be $rank(u) = n - \dim_F((\Box_4 C_n)u)$ where $\dim_F((\Box_4 C_n)u)$ is the free dimension of the module $(\Box_4 C_n)u$.

Example 5.1. Let $u = g^2 + 3g \in \Box_4 C_3$. Then

$$\left(\Box_{4}C_{3} \right) u = \begin{cases} 0,3+g,2+2g,1+3g,3+g^{2},2+g+g^{2},1+2g+g^{2},\\ 3g+g^{2},2+2g^{2},1+g+2g^{2},2g+2g^{2},3+3g+2g^{2},\\ 1+3g^{2},g+3g^{2},3+2g+3g^{2},2+3g+3g^{2} \end{cases} \right\}.$$

It can be easily seen that $|(\Box_4 C_3)u| = 4^2$ and $\dim_F((\Box_4 C_3)u) = 2$ and so we have $rank(u) = 3 - dim_F((\Box_4 C_3)u) = 3 - 2 = 1.$

In order to define \Box_4 -cyclic linear group ring codes and their duals, we need to following restrictions and definitions.

Let *n* be an odd integer and $\{g_1, g_2, ..., g_n\}$ be a fixed list of the elements of cyclic group C_n . Assume that $W = \langle S \rangle$ and $W^{\perp} = \langle G - S \rangle$ are submodules of $\Box_4 C_n$, where $S \subset C_n$ and S(uw), S(2uv) are linearly independent. Further, assume uvw = 0, such that rank(u) + rank(v) + rank(w) = n and $u, v, w \in \Box_4 C_n$.

Definition 5.2. Let u,v,w be zero-divisors in \Box_4C_n , such that uvw = 0, and rank(u) + rank(v) + rank(w) = n. Let W be a submodule of \Box_4C_n with basis of group elements $S \subseteq C_n$. The code $C = \{xuw + y2uv | x, y \in W\} = W(uw) + W(2uv)$ is called a zero divisor group ring code. This code can be viewed as a \Box_4 -cyclic linear code.

In Theorem 5.1, we give the number of the elements of zero-divisor code C = W(uw) + W(2uv).

Theorem 5.1. Let u, v, w be zero-divisors in $\Box_4 C_n$, such that uvw = 0, and rank(u) + rank(v) + rank(w) = n, where *n* is an odd integer. Let *W* be a submodule of $\Box_4 C_n$ generated by $S \subset C_n$ such that S(uw) and S(2uv) are linearly independent. The number of elements of the code $C = \{xuw + y2uv | x, y \in W\} = W(uw) + W(2uv)$ is $4^{rank(v)}2^{rank(w)}$.

Proof. Notice that the zero-divisor code C = Wuw + W2uv is a submodule of *RG* and consists of all elements of the form $\sum_{g \in S} \alpha_g g(uw) + \sum_{g \in S} \alpha_g g(2uv)$. Also we know that $W(uw) \cap W(2uv) = W(2uvw) = \{0\}$, because S(uw) and S(2uv) are linearly independent. Thus, the dimension of this submodule is the rank (S(uw) + S(2uv)). Therefore, we have that $|C| = |W(uw)||W(2uv)| = |S(uw)||S(2uv)| = 4^{rank(v)}2^{rank(w)}$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 5.2. The dual code of the \Box_4 -zero divisor code $C = \{xuw + y2uv | x, y \in W\}$ $= W(uw) + W(2uv) \text{ given in Theorem 5.1 is } C^{\perp} = \left\{ x(v^T w^T) + y(2u^T v^T) \middle| x, y \in W^{\perp} \right\}$ $=W^{\perp}(v^Tw^T)+W^{\perp}(2u^Tv^T)$, where W^{\perp} is the \Box_4 submodule of \Box_4C_n generated by C_n-S . Proof. By the definition of a zero divisor code from Theorem 4.2, it can be written that $(Wu)^{\perp} = W^{\perp} (v^T w^T).$ Since W(uw) $W(2uv) \subset W(u)$ we and have $C = W(uw) + W(2uv) \subset W(u)$. This proves that $(W(u))^{\perp} \subset C^{\perp}$. So, $W^{\perp}(v^T w^T) \subseteq C^{\perp}$. Similarly, we have $W^{\perp}(2u^Tv^T) \subseteq W^{\perp}(v^T) = (W(uw))^{\perp}$ and $W^{\perp}(2u^Tv^T) \subseteq (W(2uv))^{\perp}$. Hence, $W^{\perp}(2u^{T}v^{T}) \subseteq (W(uw))^{\perp} \cap (W(2uv))^{\perp} = \mathbf{C}^{\perp}.$ Consequently, we get $W^{\perp}(v^T w^T) + W^{\perp}(2u^T v^T) \subset \mathbf{C}^{\perp}.$

In Corollary 5.1, we give the number of elements of dual of a \Box_4 -cyclic zero divisor code.

Corollary 5.1. The number of elements of the code $C^{\perp} = \left\{ x \left(v^T w^T \right) + y \left(2u^T v^T \right) \middle| x, y \in W^{\perp} \right\}$ = $W^{\perp} \left(v^T w^T \right) + W^{\perp} \left(2u^T v^T \right)$ given in Theorem 5.2 is $4^{rank(u)} 2^{rank(w)}$.

Proof. From Theorem 5.1, we know that $|\mathbf{C}| = 4^{rank(v)}2^{rank(w)}$. Further, we have $|W^{\perp}(v^Tw^T) + W^{\perp}(2u^Tv^T)| = 4^{rank(u)}2^{rank(w)} = |\mathbf{C}^{\perp}|$. Observe that $|\mathbf{C}^{\perp}| \cdot |\mathbf{C}| = 4^n$. This is the desired result.

Example 5.2. Let $u, v, w \in \square_4 C_7$ be zero divisors in the following with property uvw = 0, and rank(u) + rank(v) + rank(w) = 1 + 3 + 3 = 7.

$$u = g^{2} + 3g \qquad u^{T} = 3g^{6} + g^{5}$$

$$v = g^{5} + 2g^{4} + g^{3} + 3g^{2} \qquad v^{T} = 3g^{5} + g^{4} + 2g^{3} + g^{2}$$

$$w = g^{6} + 3g^{5} + 2g^{4} + 3g^{3} \qquad w^{T} = 3g^{4} + 2g^{3} + 3g^{2} + g$$

Then we have the submodules given in below:

 $uw = 2 + g + g^{4} + g^{5} + 3g^{6}$ $2uv = 2 + 2g^{3} + 2g^{5} + 2g^{6}$ $v^{T}w^{T} = 1 + g + g^{2} + g^{3} + g^{4} + g^{5} + g^{6}$ $2u^{T}v^{T} = 2 + 2g + 2g^{2} + 2g^{4}$ $ww + 2uv = g + 2g^{3} + g^{4} + 3g^{5} + g^{6}$ $v^{T}w^{T} = 3 + 3g + 3g^{2} + g^{3} + 3g^{4} + g^{5} + g^{6}$

Sub moduleDimensionSub moduleDimension
$$W(uw)$$
 4^3 $W(uw) + W(2uv)$ 4^32^3 $W(2uv)$ 2^3 $W(uw) + W(2uv)$ 4^32^3 $W^{\perp}(v^T w^T)$ 4^1 $W^{\perp}(v^T w^T) + W^{\perp}(2u^T v^T)$ $4^{1}2^3$

W and W^{\perp} are \Box_4 -submodules of $\Box_4 C_7$ spanned by $S = \{1, g, g^2, g^3\}$ and $S^{\perp} = \{g^4, g^5, g^6\} \subset C_7$ respectively. The submodule C = W(uw) + W(2uv) is a \Box_4 -cyclic linear code generated by the matrix

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

C has length 7, and cardinality $4^{3}2^{3}$. Moreover, $C^{\perp} = W^{\perp}(v^{T}w^{T}) + W^{\perp}(2u^{T}v^{T})$ is a \Box_{4} - cyclic linear code generated by the matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Clearly $|C^{\perp}| = 4^{rank(u)}2^{rank(w)} = 4^{1}2^{3}$. It can be easily seen that $\forall c \in W(uw) + W(2uv)$ and $\forall c' \in W^{\perp}(v^{T}w^{T}) + W^{\perp}(2u^{T}v^{T})$ we have $\langle c, c' \rangle = 0$. We conclude that the dual code of C is C^{\perp} .

6. LCD CODES FROM $\square_4 C_n$

In [15], Liu and Liu provided a necessary condition for an LCD linear code C over a finite chain ring. Under suitable conditions, they have given a sufficient condition under which a linear code C over a finite chain ring is LCD. Especially, they have derived a necessary and sufficient condition for free linear codes over a finite chain ring to be LCD. In this section, we have showed that there doesn't exist non-free cyclic LCD \square_4 codes.

Theorem 6.1. Let
$$C = \{xuw + y2uv | x, y \in W\} = W(uw) + W(2uv)$$
 and

 $C^{\perp} = \left\{ x \left(v^{T} w^{T} \right) + y \left(2u^{T} v^{T} \right) \middle| x, y \in W^{\perp} \right\} = W^{\perp} \left(v^{T} w^{T} \right) + W^{\perp} \left(2u^{T} v^{T} \right), \text{ be zero divisor codes given}$ in Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.2. If $C \cap C^{\perp} = \{0\}$, then w = 1 and $u = u^{T}$ or $u = v^{T}$.

Proof. Observe that

$$C \cap C^{\perp} = \left\langle \frac{lcm(uw, v^{T}w^{T}), 2lcm(uv, u^{T}v^{T}),}{2lcm(uw, u^{T}v^{T}), 2lcm(uv, v^{T}w^{T})} \right\rangle$$
$$= \left\langle \frac{lcm(uw, v^{T}w^{T}),}{2\gcd(lcm(uv, u^{T}v^{T}), lcm(uw, u^{T}v^{T}), lcm(uv, v^{T}w^{T}))} \right\rangle$$

$$C \cap C^{\perp} = \{0\} \text{ if}$$

$$uvw | lcm (uw, v^{T}w^{T})$$
(6.1)

and

$$uvw|2gcd\left(lcm\left(uv,u^{T}v^{T}\right),lcm\left(uw,u^{T}v^{T}\right),lcm\left(uv,v^{T}w^{T}\right)\right)$$
or
$$(6.2)$$

$$u^T v^T w^T | lcm(uw, v^T w^T)$$
 and $u^T v^T w^T | 2gcd(lcm(uv, u^T v^T), lcm(uw, u^T v^T), lcm(uv, v^T w^T))$.

We need to consider two cases separately.

Case 1: If $u = u^T$, then from Equation (6.1), we have $v|v^Tw^T$, $w|u^Tv^T = uv$, $v|u^Tv^T$ and $u^T|uv$. When we consider all these results together, we conclude that $v = v^T$ and w = 1. This means that if $C \cap C^{\perp} = \{0\}$, then C is a free \Box_4 -cyclic zero divisor code.

Case 2: If $u \neq u^T$, then from Equation (6.1), we have $v | v^T w^T$, $w | u^T v^T = uv$, $v | u^T v^T$ and $u^T | uv$. Here, we conclude that $v = u^T$ and $u = v^T$. This requires that w = 1. We conclude that if $C \cap C^L = \{0\}$, then C is a free \Box_4 -cyclic zero divisor code.

The following result is immediate from Theorem 6.1.

Corollary 6.1. There is no non-free cyclic LCD \square_4 codes.

7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE REMARKS

In this paper, we present the structure of cyclic zero divisor \Box_4 -codes over the class of group rings $\Box_4 C_n$. We determine the number of elements of these codes and we introduce the dual codes. Further, we show that there is no non-free cyclic LCD \Box_4 codes. To investigate the structure of zero divisor group ring codes in a non-commutative group ring can have interesting results. Also, the generalization of these codes to the group rings $\Box_{n^k} C_n$ awaits researchers.

REFERENCES

- Beelen P, Jin L., (2018). Explicit MDS codes with complementary duals: *IEEE Trans* Inform Theory, 64: 7188-7193.
- [2] Carlet C., Guilley S., (2014). Complementary dual codes for counter-measures to sidechannel attacks: In Coding Theory and Applications: CIM Series in Mathematical Sciences, 3: 97-105.
- [3] Carlet C., Mesnager S., Tang C., Qi Y., (2018). Euclidean and Hermitian LCD MDS codes: Design Code Cryptogr, 86: 2605-2618.
- [4] Chen B., Dinh H.Q., Liu H., (2015). Repeated-root constacyclic codes of length $2\ell^m p^n$: *Finite Fields Th App*, 33: 137-159.
- [5] Chen B., Liu H., (2017). New constructions of MDS codes with complementary duals: *IEEE Trans Inform Theory*, 64: 5776 5782.
- [6] Dougherty S.T., Kim J.L., Ozkaya B., Sok L., Solé P., (2017). The combinatorics of LCD codes: Linear Programming bound and orthogonal matrices: *Int J Inf Coding Theory*, 4: 116-128.

- [7] Esmaeili M., Yari S., (2009) On complementary-dual quasi-cyclic codes: *Finite Fields Th App*, 15: 375-386.
- [8] Galvez L., Kim J.L., Lee N., Roe Y.G., Won B.S., (2017). Some bounds on binary LCD codes: Cryptogr Commun, 10: 719-728.
- [9] Hurley P., Hurley T., (2009). Codes from zero-divisors and units in group rings: *Int J Inf Coding Theory*, 1: 57-87.
- [10] Jin L., (2017). Construction of MDS codes with complementary duals: IEEE Trans Inform Theory, 63: 2843-2847.
- [11] Köroğlu, M.E., (2019). LCD codes and LCP of codes from units of group rings: Sakarya University Journal of Science, 23: 486-492.
- [12] Li C., (2018). Hermitian LCD codes from cyclic codes: *Design Code Cryptogr*, 86: 2261-2278.
- [13] Li C., Ding C., Li S., (2017). LCD cyclic codes over finite fields: *IEEE Trans Inform Theory*, 63: 4344-4356.
- [14] Li S., Li C., Ding C., Liu H., (2017). Two families of LCD BCH codes: *IEEE Trans Inform Theory*, 63: 5699-5717.
- [15] Liu X., Liu H., (2015). LCD codes over finite chain rings: *Finite Fields Th App*, 34: 1-19.
- [16] Massey J.L., (1992). Linear codes with complementary duals: *Discrete Math*, 106: 337-342.
- [17] Massey J.L., (1964). Reversible codes: Inform and Control, 7: 369-380.
- [18] Milies C.P., Sehgal S.K., An introduction to group rings: Springer, 2002.
- [19] Pang B., Zhu S., Sun Z., (2018). On LCD negacyclic codes over finite fields: Syst Sci Complex, 31: 1065-1077.
- [20] Sendrier N., (2004). Linear codes with complementary duals meet the Gilbert-Varshamov bound: Discrete Math, 285: 345-347.
- [21] Sok L., Shi M., Solé P., (2018). Construction of optimal LCD codes over large finite fields: *Finite Fields Th App.*, 50: 138-153.
- [22] Yang X., Massey J.L., (1994). The condition for a cyclic code to have a complementary dual: *Discrete Math.*, 126: 391-393.

Geophysical Engineering Article