JOURNAL OF UNIVERSAL MATHEMATICS Vol.5 No.1 pp.43-50 (2022) ISSN-2618-5660 DOI:10.33773/jum.1021095

VERSIONS OF FUGLEDE-PUTNAM THEOREM ON *p-w*-HYPONORMAL OPERATORS

AISSA NASLI BAKIR

0000-0001-6906-3307

ABSTRACT. The aim of the article is the presentation of certain extensions of the famous Fuglede-Putnam Theorem on the class of p-w-hyponormal operators, which generalize some results proved by authors in [10].

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this work, B(H) denotes the Banach algebra of bounded linear operators on a complex separable Hilbert space H. By ker(T) and ran(T) respectively, we mean the null space and the range of an operator $T \in B(H)$. Given $T, S \in B(H)$, the generalized derivation $\delta_{T,S}$ induced by T and S is defined for all $X \in B(H)$ by $\delta_{T,S}(X) = TX - XS$. Recall that T is said to be normal if T commutes with its adjoint T^{\star} . The well-known Fuglede-Putnam Theorem states that $\ker(\delta_{T,S}) \subset \ker(\delta_{T^{\star},S^{\star}})$ whenever T and S are normal operators, see [5, 6, 7] and [15] where several generalizations of this result are given for operators T and S belonging to some classes of non normal operators. For $0 , an operator <math>T \in B(H)$ is said to be p-hyponormal if $|T|^{2p} - |T^{\star}|^{2p} \ge 0$, where $|T| = (T^{\star}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the module of T. A 1-hyponormal operator is hyponormal and $\frac{1}{2}$ -hyponormal is semi-hyponormal. Reader can find many interesting spectral properties of this class in [1, 2, 12, 15]. In [1], it is defined the Aluthge transform of an operator T = U |T| by $\widetilde{T} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and in [2], it is shown that if T is p-hyponormal, then \widetilde{T} is $(p+\frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal for $0 and hyponormal for <math>\frac{1}{2} \leq p \leq 1$. Also, T is said to be log-hyponormal if T is invertible and $\log(T^*T) \geq \log(TT^*)$. The operator $T \in B(H)$ is said to be dominant if $ran(T-\lambda) \subset ran(T-\lambda)^*$ for each λ in the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of T. Also, if there exists M > 0 such that $(T - \lambda)(T - \lambda)^* \leq M(T - \lambda)^*(T - \lambda)$ for each $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$, then T is said to be M-hyponormal. Clearly,

Hyponormal $\subset M$ -hyponormal \subset dominant

Date: Received: 2021-11-09; Accepted: 2022-03-01.

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 47A30, 47B20; 47B47.

Key words and phrases. Fugle de-Putnam Theorem, $w\mbox{-hyponormal}$ operators, $p\mbox{-w-hyponormal}$ operators.

In [15], it is presented an example of an *M*-hyponormal operator that is not hyponormal. An operator *T* is said to be *w*-hyponormal if $\left|\widetilde{T}\right| \geq |T| \geq \left|\widetilde{T}^{\star}\right|$ [2, 4, 8]. Useful results of the class of *w*-hyponormal operators are presented in [2, 8, 9], and it was proved that it contains the class of *p*-hyponormal operators. The following inclusions hold

Hyponormal $\subset p$ -hyponormal $\subset w$ -hyponormal

The operator T is said to be p-w-hyponormal for certain 0 , if

$$\left|\widetilde{T}\right|^{p} \ge \left|T\right|^{p} \ge \left|\widetilde{T}^{\star}\right|^{p}$$

[10, 16]. A 1-w-hyponormal is w-hyponormal, and w-hyponormal operators are evidently p-w-hyponormal. In this article, we'll extend the Fuglede-Putnam theorem for p-w-hyponormal with p-hyponormal operators or with log-hyponormal operators. Other spectral related results are also added.

2. KNOWN RESULTS

The following known results will be needed for the rest of the paper.

Lemma 2.1. [13] Let T be in B(H) and S be in B(K). The following assertions are equivalent

- 1. The pair (T, S) satisfies the Fuglede-Putnam theorem.
- 2. If TX = XS for some X in B(K, H), then ran(X) reduces T, $(ker(X))^{\perp}$ reduces S, and restrictions $T \left| \overline{ran(X)}, S \right| (ker(X))^{\perp}$ are unitarily equivalent normal operators.

Lemma 2.2. [2] Let $T \in B(H)$ be a w-hyponormal operator and let $M \subset H$ be an invariant subspace under T. Then T | M is w-hyponormal.

Lemma 2.3. [2] Let $T \in B(H)$ be a w-hyponormal operator. Then \widetilde{T} is semi-hyponormal.

Lemma 2.4. [1] If T is a p-hyponormal operator, then \widetilde{T} is $(p + \frac{1}{2})$ -hyponormal for $0 and hyponormal for <math>\frac{1}{2} \le p \le 1$.

Lemma 2.5. [16] Let $T \in B(H)$ be p-w-hyponormal, and let $M \subset H$ be a T-invariant subspace. Then T | M is p-w-hyponormal.

Lemma 2.6. [16] Let $T \in B(H)$ be a p-w-hyponormal operator. Then \widetilde{T} is $\frac{p}{2}$ -hyponormal.

3. Main results

The familiar Fuglede-Putnam Theorem asserts that for normal operators T and S on H, equation $\delta_{T,S}(X) = 0$ implies $\delta_{T^{\star},S^{\star}}(X) = 0$ for all X in B(H). Extensions of this result for certain classes of non normal operators are presented in many papers, see [5, 6] and [7]. Authors in [11] showed that this result remains true for an M-hyponormal operator T and a dominant operator S.

The following result gives an extension of the Fuglede-Putnam property for M-hyponormal and p-hyponormal operators.

Proposition 1. For an *M*-hyponormal operator *T* and for a *p*-hyponormal operator S^* in B(H), ker $(\delta_{T,S}) \subset \text{ker}(\delta_{T^*,S^*})$.

Proof. Due to [7] and since an *M*-hyponormal operator is dominant, the pair (T, S) satisfies the Fuglede-Putnam property.

Theorem 3.1. Let T be M-hyponormal and let S^* be w-hyponormal operators in B(H). Then, $\delta_{T,S}(X) = 0$ entails $\delta_{T^*,S^*}(X) = 0$ for all X in B(H). Moreover, $\overline{ran(X)}$ reduces T, $(\ker(X))^{\perp}$ reduces S and restrictions T $|\overline{ran(X)}, S|(\ker(X))^{\perp}$ are unitarily equivalent normal operators.

Proof. Subspaces $\overline{ran(X)}$ and $(\ker(X))^{\perp}$ are invariant for T and S respectively since $\delta_{T,S}(X) = 0$. Then, we can write

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ 0 & T_3 \end{pmatrix}, S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ S_2 & S_3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : H_2 \longrightarrow H_1$$

under the decompositions

$$H = H_1 = \overline{ran(X)} \oplus ran(X)^{\perp}$$
$$H = H_2 = (\ker X)^{\perp} \oplus \ker X$$

From $\delta_{T,S}(X) = 0$ we get

$$(3.1) T_1 X_1 = X_1 S_1$$

where T_1 is *M*-hyponormal, and S_1 is *w*-hyponormal by Lemma 2.2. Let $S_1 = U |S_1|$ be the polar decomposition of T_1 . Since $U |S_1| = |S_1^*| U$, equality (3.1) can be written

(3.2)
$$T_1 X_1 = X_1 |S_1^{\star}| U$$

Multiplying the two sides of (3.2) at right by $|S_1^{\star}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$, we obtain

$$T_1(X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) = X_1 | S_1^{\star} | U | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}} = (X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) \widetilde{S_1^{\star}}$$

The Aluthge transform \widetilde{S}_1^{\star} of S_1^{\star} is semi-hyponormal by Lemma 2.3. Hence, the pair $(T_1, \widetilde{S}_1^{\star})$ satisfies the Fuglede-Putnam property by Proposition 1. Thus, restric-

tions $T_1 \left| \frac{1}{ran(X_1 | S_1^{\star} | ^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right|_{(\ker((X_1 | S_1^{\star} | ^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\perp})}$ are equivalent normal operators by

Lemma 2.1. Since X_1 is quasiaffinity, i.e., one-to-one with dense range, and $|S_1^*|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is injective,

$$ran(X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \overline{ranX_1} = \overline{ranX}$$

and

$$\ker(X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) = \ker X_1 = \ker X$$

Thus, $\widetilde{S_1^{\star}}$ is normal and then S_1 is normal by [15]. The operator S^{\star} is *M*-hyponormal and its restriction S_1^{\star} on $(\ker X)^{\perp}$ is normal. Consequently, $\ker X$ reduces S^{\star} . Hence $S_2 = 0$.

Similarly, T is M hyponormal, and its restriction T_1 on \overline{ranX} is normal. Then, \overline{ranX} reduces T. Thus $T_2 = 0$. Since the pair (T_1, S_1) satisfies the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, $T_1^*X_1 = X_1S_1^*$. Finally $T^*X = XS^*$. **Theorem 3.2.** Let T be a p-w-hyponormal operator in B(H). If |T| is invertible, then for all $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$

i.
$$\left\| \left| \widetilde{\widetilde{T}} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{T} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| T \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| T \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(T - \lambda \right)^{-1} \left| T \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{T} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{\widetilde{T}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \le \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(\lambda, \sigma(T))}$$
ii.
$$\left\| T^{-1} \right\| \le \frac{1}{\min(|\lambda|, \lambda \in \sigma(T))}$$

Proof. i. \widetilde{T} is $\frac{p}{2}$ -hyponormal by Lemma 2.6, and $0 < \frac{p}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\sigma(T) = \sigma(\widetilde{T})$ by [3],

$$\left\| \left| \widetilde{\widetilde{T}} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{T} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} (\widetilde{T} - \lambda)^{-1} \left| \widetilde{T} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left| \widetilde{\widetilde{T}} \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right\| \le \frac{1}{dist(\lambda, \sigma(T))}$$

for $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$ by [1]. The proof derives then from the fact that

$$(\widetilde{T} - \lambda)^{-1} = |T|^{\frac{1}{2}} (T - \lambda)^{-1} |T|^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

ii. Since $\left\|\widetilde{T}\right\| \leq \|T\|$ for an arbitrary operator T in B(H),

$$\left\|T^{-1}\right\| \le \left\|\widetilde{T}^{-1}\right\| = \frac{1}{\min(\left|\lambda\right|, \lambda \in \sigma(\widetilde{T}))} = \frac{1}{\min(\left|\lambda\right|, \lambda \in \sigma(T))}$$

As a consequence of the previous result, and since the Aluthge transform of a log-hyponormal operator is semi-hyponormal [14], we can then state the following generalization of the Fuglede-Putnam's Theorem for p-w-hyponormal with log-hyponormal operators as follows

Theorem 3.3. The Fuglede-Putnam Theorem holds for a p-w-hyponormal operator $T \in B(H)$ with ker $T \subset \ker T^*$, and a p-hyponormal operator $S^* \in B(H)$.

Proof. Let

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

according to the decompositions

$$H = H_1 = (\ker T)^{\perp} \oplus (\ker T)$$
$$H = H_2 = (\ker S^{\star})^{\perp} \oplus (\ker S^{\star})$$

From equation TX = XS, we get

(3.3)
$$T_1 X_1 = X_1 S_1$$

and $T_1X_2 = X_3S_1 = 0$. Since T_1 and S_1 are one-to-one, $X_2 = X_3 = 0$. T_1 is a one-to-one *p*-*w*-hyponormal operator by Lemma 2.3, and S_1^{\star} is *p*-hyponormal. Let $T_1 = U |T_1|$ be the polar decomposition of T_1 . Equation (3.3) can be written

$$(3.4) U|T_1|X_1 = X_1S_1$$

Multiplying the two sides of (3.4) on the left by $|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get

$$|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} U |T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} |T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 = |T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}} X_1 S_1$$

46

So $\widetilde{T_1}(|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1) = (|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1)S_1$. The Aluthge transform $\widetilde{T_1}$ of T_1 is $\frac{p}{2}$ -hyponormal by Lemma 2.6, and S_1^{\star} is *p*-hyponormal. By [5], the pair $(\widetilde{T_1}, S_1)$ satisfies the Fuglede-Putnam Theorem. Thus,

$$\widetilde{T_1}^*(|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1) = (|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1)S_1^*$$

Consequently, restrictions $\widetilde{T_1} \left| \frac{1}{ran(|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1)} \right|_{(\ker(|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1)^{\perp})}$ are unitarily equivalent normal operators by Lemma 2.1. Since the operator $|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and X_1 are one-to-one, the operator $|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1$ so is. Thus

$$(\ker(|T_1|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_1))^{\perp} = \{0\}^{\perp} = (\ker X_1)^{\perp} = (\ker X)^{\perp}$$

And

$$ran(\left|\widetilde{T}_{1}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_{1}) = (\ker|T_{1}|^{\frac{1}{2}}X_{1})^{\perp} = \{0\}^{\perp} = \overline{ran(X_{1})} = \overline{ran(X)}$$

Thus, $\overline{T_1}$ is a normal operator. The operator T_1 so is by [15]. Therefore, $\overline{ran(X)}$ reduces T_1 by Lemma 2.1, and $(\ker X_1)^{\perp}$ reduces S_1^{\star} by [17]. Since T_1 is normal, and S_1^{\star} is *p*-hyponormal, the Fuglede-Putnam property holds for the pair (T_1, S_1) . Thus, $T_1^{\star}X_1 = X_1S_1^{\star}$ and then, $T^{\star}X = XS^{\star}$.

Corollary 3.4. The pair (T, S) satisfies the Fuglede-Putnam Theorem if T is a p-hyponormal operator and S^* is a p-w-hyponormal with ker $S \subset \ker S^*$.

Proof. TX = XS for some X in B(H). Put $A = S^*$, $B = T^*$ and $C = X^*$. Then, $B^*C^* = C^*A^*$. Hence, AC = CB, where A is an injective p-w-hyponormal or a p-w-hyponormal with ker $A \subset \ker A^*$, and B^* is p-hyponormal. By the previous result, $A^*C = CB^*$. Thus, $SX^* = X^*T$. Consequently, $T^*X = XS^*$.

Theorem 3.5. $\delta_{(T,S)} \subset \delta_{(T^*,S^*)}$ for a p-w-hyponormal operator T with ker $T \subset$ ker T^* , and a log-hyponormal operator S^* .

We need the following property of log-hyponormal operators for the proof.

Lemma 3.6. [15] Let $T \in B(H)$ be a log-hyponormal operator and let $M \subset H$ be a *T*-invariant closed subspace. Then, the restriction $T \mid M$ is log-hyponormal.

Proof. (of Theorem 3.5) Let's consider the decompositions

$$H = H_1 = (\ker T)^{\perp} \oplus (\ker T)$$
$$H = H_2 = (\ker S^{\star})^{\perp} \oplus (\ker S^{\star})$$

Then

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 & X_2 \\ X_3 & X_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

From equation $\delta_{T,S}(X) = 0$, we get

(3.5)
$$\delta_{T_1,S_1}(X_1) = 0$$

and $T_1X_2 = X_3S_1 = 0$. Since T_1 and S_1 are one-to-one, $X_2 = X_3 = 0$. T_1 is a one-to-one *p*-*w*-hyponormal operator by Lemma 2.6, and S_1^* is an injective log-hyponormal by Lemma 3.6. Let $S_1 = U |S_1|$ be the polar decomposition of S_1 . Since $S_1 = |S_1^*| U$, equation (3.5) can be written

(3.6)
$$T_1 X_1 = X_1 |S_1^{\star}| U$$

Multiplying the two sides of (3.6) at right by $|S_1^{\star}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we get

$$T_1(X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}} U | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}} = (X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) \widetilde{S_1^{\star}}$$

 T_1 is *p*-*w*-hyponormal, and the Aluthge transform S_1^{\star} of S_1^{\star} is $\frac{1}{2}$ -hyponormal by [14]. By Theorem 3.3, the Fuglede-Putnam's Theorem holds for the pair $(T_1, \widetilde{S_1^{\star}})$. Hence,

$$T_1^{\star}(X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) = (X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}}) \widetilde{S_1^{\star}}^{\star}$$
. Furthermore, and by Lemma 2.1, $T_1 \left| \frac{1}{ran(X_1 | S_1^{\star} |^{\frac{1}{2}})} \right|$

and $\widetilde{S_1^{\star}}\Big|_{(\ker(X_1|S_1^{\star}|^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\perp}}$ are unitarily equivalent normal operators. Since the operator $|S_1^{\star}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and X_1 are one-to-one, the operator $X_1 |S_1^{\star}|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ so is. The rest of proof is similar to Theorem 3.1.

Corollary 3.7. Let $T \in B(H)$ be a pure log-hyponormal operator, and let $S^* \in B(H)$ be a *p*-*w*-hyponormal with ker $S \subset \ker S^*$. Then, equation TX = XS implies X = 0.

Proof. By Theorem 3.3, equations TX = XS and $T^*X = XS^*$ hold. Hence, restriction $T\left|(\overline{ran(X)} \text{ is a normal operator by Lemma 2.1, which contradicts the hypotheses that <math>T$ is pure. Thus, X = 0.

Corollary 3.8. An invertible *p*-*w*-hyponormal operator $T \in B(H)$ is normal if and only if it is log-hyponormal.

Proof. Put T = X = S in the previous Theorem. \Box

In [9, Lemma 7], it is shown that if T is w-hyponormal with ker $T \subset \ker T^*$ and S is normal, and if $X \in B(H)$ has dense range such that TX = XS, then T is normal. We give now, an extension of this result for a p-w-hyponormal operator as follows

Lemma 3.9. Let $T \in B(H)$ be a p-w-hyponormal operator with ker $T \subset \ker T^*$, and let S be normal. If TX = XS for some $X \in B(H)$ with dense range, then T is normal.

Proof. The pair (T, S) verifies the Fuglede-Putnam property by Theorem 3.2. Then, by Lemma 2.1, the restriction $T \left| \overline{(ran(X))} \right|$ is a normal operator. This achieves the proof since $\overline{ran(X)} = H$.

Corollary 3.10. Let $T, S^* \in B(H)$ be *p*-*w*-hyponormal operators with ker $T \subset$ ker T^* , and ker $S \subset$ ker S^* . If TX = XS and SY = YT for certain $X, Y \in B(H)$ with dense ranges, then T and S are normal.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, are shown some versions of Fuglede-Putnam Theorem on classes of p-w-hyponormal operators with log-hyponormal and with p-hyponormal operators. Some spectral results in [16] on w-hyponormal operators are also extended to p-w-hyponormal operators.

5. Acknowledgments

I dedicate this paper to my family. Also, the author would like to thank the reviewers and editors of Journal of Universal Mathematics.

Funding

The author is supported by Direction Générale de la Recherche Scientifique et du Développement Technologique DGRSDT, Algeria.

The Declaration of Conflict of Interest/ Common Interest

The author(s) declared that no conflict of interest or common interest

The Declaration of Ethics Committee Approval

This study does not be necessary ethical committee permission or any special permission.

The Declaration of Research and Publication Ethics

The author(s) declared that they comply with the scientific, ethical, and citation rules of Journal of Universal Mathematics in all processes of the study and that they do not make any falsification on the data collected. Besides, the author(s) declared that Journal of Universal Mathematics and its editorial board have no responsibility for any ethical violations that may be encountered and this study has not been evaluated in any academic publication environment other than Journal of Universal Mathematics.

References

- [1] A. Aluthge, On p-hyponormal operators for 0 , Integ. Equa. Oper. Theo. Vol.13, pp.307-315, (1990).
- [2] A. Aluthge and D. Wang, w-hyponormal operators, Integ. Equa. Oper. Theo., Vol.36, No.1, pp.1-10, (2000).
- [3] A. Aluthge, Some generalized theorems on p-hyponormal operators, Integ. Equa. Oper. Theo., Vol.24, pp.497-501, (1996).
- [4] M. Cho, T. Huruya and Y.O. Kim, A note on w-hyponormal operators, J. Ineq. Appl., Vol.17, No.1, pp.1-10, (2002).
- [5] I.H. Kim, The Fuglede-Putnam theorem for (p, k)-quasihyponormal operators, J. Ineq. and Appl., ID 47481, pp.1-7, (2006).
- [6] S. Mecheri, K. Tanahashi and A. Uchiyama, Fuglede- Putnam theorem for p-hyponormal or class *Y* operators, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., Vol.43, No.4, 747-753, (2006).
- [7] A. Nasli Bakir and S. Mecheri, Another version of Fuglede-Putnam Theorem, Georg. Math. J., Vol.16, No.3, pp.427-433, (2009).
- [8] M.O. Otieno, On intertwining w-hyponormal operators, Opuscula Mathematica, Vol.25, No.2, pp.275-285, (2005).
- [9] M.O. Otieno, On quasisimilarity and w-hyponormal operators, Opuscula Mathematica, Vol.27, No.1, pp.73-81, (2007).

AISSA NASLI BAKIR

- [10] T. Prasad and A. Bachir, Putnam theorem for p-w-hyponorma or class Y operators, Int. J. Open Problems Compt. Math., Vol.11, No.1, pp.17-24, (2018).
- [11] J.G. Stampfli and B.L. Wadhwa, An asymmetric Putnam-Fuglede theorem for dominant operators, Indiana Univ. Math. J., Vol.25, pp.359-365, (1976).
- [12] K. Tadahashi, A note on p-hyponormal operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.125, pp.221-230, (1997).
- [13] K. Takahashi, On the converse of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged), Vol.43, pp.123-125, (1981).
- [14] K. Tanahashi, On log-hyponormal operators, Integ. Equa. Oper. Theo., Vol.34, pp.364-372, (1999).
- [15] A. Uchiyama and K. Tanahashi, Fuglede-Putnam's theorem for p-hyponormal or loghyponormal operators, Glasg. Math. J., Vol.44, pp.397-410, (2002).
- [16] C.S. Yang and H. Li, Properties of *p-w*-hyponormal operators, Appl. Math. J. Chinese Univ. Ser. B, Vol.21, No.1, pp.64-68, (2006).
- [17] T. Yoshino, Remark on the generalisation of Putnam-Fuglede theorem, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.95, pp.571-572, (1985).

(Aissa Nasli Bakir) Hassiba Benbouali University of Chlef, Department of Mathematics, Laboratory of Mathematics and Application LMA, B.P. 78C, 02180, Ouled Fares. Chlef, Algeria.

Email address, A. Nasli Bakir: aissa.bakir@yahoo.fr; a.nasli@univ-chlef.dz