

Novel Chiral Compound: (*R*) and (*S*) 1-(2-Benzyloxy-3-Methoxyphenyl)-2,2,2-Trichloroethyl Benzensulfonate, Synthesys and characterization

Mohammed Hadi Al-Douh

Chemistry Department, Faculty of Science, Hadhramout University of Science and Technology (HUST), 50512, Mukalla, Hadhramout, Republic of Yemen mhd douh@yahoo.com

Abstract: The reaction between benzimidazole 1 and benzenesulfonyl chloride 2 in dichloromethane (DCM) at 45 °C for 10 hr in the presence of dimethyl aminopyridine (DMAP) as a catalyst was expected to obtain 2-(2-benzyloxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1*H*-benzimidazole, 3. Unfortunately, a novel chiral compound (*R*) and (*S*) 1-(2-benzyloxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethyl benzenesulfonate 4 was obtained as a single crystal (59% yield) with melting point of 58.4 °C. However, the mechanism of this reaction still is under investigation. The molecular structure of this compound was confirmed by FTIR, HRMS, X–Ray crystallography, 1D and 2D NMR spectroscopy. The crystal of 4 is in the monoclinic space group $P_{2_1/c}$ with a = 8.1638 (1) Å, b = 8.8536 (1) Å, c = 30.7221 (5) Å, $\beta = 90.670$ (1)°, $D_{calc} = 1.501 \ \mu g \text{ m}^{-3}$, V = 2220.41 (5) Å³ and $R_{int} = 0.059$. The complete assignments of 4 were made using 1D and 2D NMR including APT, DEPT–135, COSY, HMQC and HMBC in CDCl₃.

Key words: ¹H NMR; ¹³C NMR; 2D NMR; X–Ray Crystallography; 2,2,2-Trichloroethyl Benzenesulfonate.

Introduction

The reaction between benzimidazole **1** and benzene sulfonyl chloride **2** in DCM at 45 °C for 10 hr in the presence of DMAP as a catalyst was hoped to obtain 2-(2-benzyloxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-1-(phenylsulfonyl)-1*H*-benzimidazole **3** (Li *et al.*, 2006), but it was given (*R*) and (*S*) 1-(2-benzyloxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethyl benzenesulfonate **4** (Al–Douh *et al.*, 2007, Scheme 1). It was obtained as single crystals with melting point of 58.4 °C and the yield was 59%.



Scheme 1: Synthetic route towards the compound 4.

The addition of chloral **5** to (–)-(1*S*,5*S*)-pin-2(10)-ene **6** was formed diastereoisomers (*S*) **7** and (*R*) **8** with ratio 17:83, while the ratio was enhanced in the presence of FeCl₃ 2% as a bulky Lewis acid catalyst to 97:3, which were confirmed by ¹H and ¹³C NMR experiments and X–ray analysis, respectively (Gill *et al.*, 1977; Begley *et al.*, 1978, Scheme 2).



www.tojsat.net 59



Scheme 2: Gill *et al.* method to prepare derivative of 4.Begley *et al.* (1978) were synthesized derivatives of 4 from the reaction of 7 with toluene-*p*-sulphonyl chloride or tosyl chloride 9 to produce 10 as (S) diastereoisomer (Scheme 3), while Gill *et al.* (1979) were synthesized other derivatives from the reaction of cyclohex-1-ene and cycloocta-1-ene with 9 to produce 11 and 12 as diastereoisomers (R) and (S), respectively (Scheme 4). Figure 1 shows the chemical structure and the numbering scheme of 4 for discussion purposes in the following sections. The mechanism of produce 4 still is unknown.



Scheme 3: Derivative 10 was prepared by Begley et al.



Scheme 4: Other derivatives of 4 were prepared by Gill et al.



Figure 1: The chemical structure and the numbering scheme of 4.

Experimental Part

General

All NMR experiments were performed on *Bruker Avance 400 Ultrashield*TM NMR for ¹H, operating at 400.132 MHz, and *Bruker Avance 300* NMR spectrometers for ¹³C, operating at 71.478 MHz at 298 K using *Bruker XWINNMR* software equipped with a 5 mm BBI inverse gradient and QNP probes, respectively (Bruker, 1999; Berger and Braun, 2004). Chemical shifts were reported downfield in parts per million (ppm) from a tetramethylsilane (TMS) reference, and coupling constants (*J*) were measured in Hz. The concentration of solute molecule was 25 mg in 1.0 mL CDCl₃.

High–resolution mass spectrum (HRMS) was recorded by a *Bruker Daltonics' micrOTOF–Q*TM mass spectrometer, operated in electrospray ionization source ESI mode. In DCM, the sample was prepared in 1.0 μ L–1.0 mL/min. The crystal structure was determined by an *APEX2 Bruker* (APEX2, 2005) and *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1998, 2008) crystallographic software packages for determining molecular structure, and Infrared spectrum was recorded on a *Perkin-Elmer 2000 FT* spectrometer and was expressed in cm⁻¹. The compound was prepared using KBr cells. Melting point (uncorrected) was determined on Stuart melting point apparatus.

Synthesis

The synthetic method of 4 was described previously (Li et al., 2006; Al-Douh et al., 2007).

Results and Discussion

FTIR Spectroscopy

The FTIR spectrum of **4** is depicted in Figure 2 and selected FTIR data are listed in Table 1. The bands with weak intensity observed of benzene rings at 3096, 3067 and 3028 cm⁻¹ are ascribed to the stretching of aromatic ν C=C-H. The bands observed at 2948 and 2873 cm⁻¹ are assigned to v_{as} and v_s CH₃ of methoxy group, respectively, while the bands observed at 2927 and 2855 cm⁻¹ assigned to v_{as} and v_s CH₂ of methylene group, respectively.

TCJSAT

The Online Journal of Science and Technology- October 2012, Volume 2, Issue 4



Figure 2: FTIR spectrum of 4.

The asymmetrical bending vibration of δ_{as} CH₃ occurred at 1479 cm⁻¹, while the symmetrical bending vibration of δ_s CH₃ appeared at 1336 cm⁻¹, and the CH₂ scissoring vibration δ_s CH₂ appeared at 1450 cm⁻¹. The strong intensity bands appeared at 1378 and 1187 cm⁻¹ are assigned to v_{as} and v_s SO₂ sulfonic esters (Silverstein *et al.*, 2005). The free v N–H stretching band of benzimidazole **1** at 3354 cm⁻¹ was disappeared (Al–Douh, 2010).

Table 1: FTIR spectral data of compound **4** (cm⁻¹):

vC–H arom.	νCH ₃ aliph.	νCH ₂ aliph.	δCH ₃	δCH ₂	vS=O ester	v C–O–C aliph.	v C–Cl aliph.	varomatic
3096 3067	as 2948 sy 2873	as 2927 sy 2855	as 1479 sy 1336	as 1450	as 1378 sy 1187	as 1270 sy 1081	998 917	833, 752, 719,
3028							872	681, 577 & 550

HRMS Spectra

Figure 3 shows the HRMS spectrum of **4**. The HRMS of **4** shows a molecular formula of $C_{22}H_{19}Cl_3NaO_5S^-$ at m/z 522.9933 (M+Na⁺). The peaks at m/z 523.9940, 524.9903, 525.9912, 526.9862, 527.9885 and 528.9830 for the isotopes of the benzenesulfonate **4**, M+2, M+4, M+6, M+8, M+10 and M+12, respectively, which it has three chlorine atoms (Silverstein *et al.*, 2005).





¹H NMR

The ¹H NMR spectrum in CDCl₃ of **4** was shown in Figure 4. The spectrum shows the chemical shift of the aromatic protons of the benzyloxy ring H₂, were observed signal as double doublet at $\delta = 7.69-7.66$ ppm (J = 8.46 and 1.19 Hz), and H₃, were exhibited signal as a triplet at $\delta = 7.36-7.32$ ppm (J = 7.92 Hz), while proton H₄, was displayed triplet at $\delta = 7.52$ ppm, (J = 1.05 Hz), due to its coupled with H₃. The signals as multiplet at $\delta = 7.58-7.54$, 7.48–7.43 and 7.41–7.38 ppm are proposed to be assigned to H₂, H₃, and H₄, in the benzenesulfonyl ring, respectively. The double doublet were overlapped with CDCl₃ peak and were observed at $\delta = 7.28-7.25$ ppm (J = 7.56 and 1.82 Hz) was assigned to H₆ in the trisubstituted ring, while both protons H₅ and H₄ were observed two signals as triplet and double doublet at $\delta = 7.06-7.01$ and 7.00–6.96 ppm (J = 7.92, 8.21 and 1.83 Hz), respectively. The methoxy group OCH₃ of **4** was shown as singlet at $\delta = 3.89$ ppm, and the methine H₇ was also observed signal at $\delta = 6.46$ ppm as singlet.





On the other hand, the methylene group CH₂ was exhibited signal as double doublet at $\delta = 5.41-5.12$ ppm, (J = 88.34 and 11.48 Hz). These values of coupling constant are unexpected specially 88.34 Hz. We suggest the reasons of these values to be due to the configuration of those hydrogen atoms between benzyloxy ring and the 2,2,2-trichloroethyl benzenesulfonate group, which ¹H-¹H COSY experiment was performed to further confirmed the assigned peak between the methine proton H₇ with one proton of methylene group CH₂ and one proton of benzene ring H₂. (see ¹H-¹H COSY analysis, Figure 7), while ¹H-¹³C HMBC experiment was performed to further confirmed the assigned peak between the protons of benzene ring H₂. and the protons of methylene group CH₂ (see ¹H-¹³C HMBC, Figure 11). Additionally, the crystal structure of **4** confirmed the posture of that groups (see X-ray analysis, Figures 5, 13 and 14).



Figure 5: The crystal structure of 4.

¹³C APT NMR

The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **4** was obtained with using APT NMR experiment and shown in Table 2 and Figure 6. The peak in CDCl₃ appears at $\delta = 56.26$ ppm of **4** was assigned to the methoxy group OCH₃, while methylene group CH₂ and methine carbon C₇ were showed at $\delta = 74.79$ and 82.70 ppm, respectively. The quaternary carbon signals were observed at $\delta = 152.42$, 147.40, 138.35, 136.18, 126.84 and 98.35 ppm for C₃, C₂, C₁, C₁, C₁, C₁ and C₈, respectively. Other aromatic carbon signals of benzenesulfonyl ring were observed at $\delta = 128.97$, 128.56 and 127.62 ppm for C₄, C₃, and C₂, respectively, while C₄, C₆ and C₅ at the trisubstituted aromatic carbon showed signals at $\delta = 114.47$, 121.13 and 124.08 ppm, respectively. Aromatic carbons of benzyloxy ring observed signals of C₂, C₃, and C₄, at the respective $\delta = 128.16$, 129.31 and 134.30 ppm. Table 2 summarizes the ¹H and APT NMR of **4** in CDCl₃.





Table 3. ¹H and ¹³C APT NMR chemical shifts (ppm) and coupling constants (Hz) of 4 in CDCl₃:

Atom No.	¹ H N	¹³ C NMR	
	δ	J	δ
OCH ₃	3.89, s	_	56.26
CH_2	5.41–5.12, dd	88.34, 11.48	74.79
1	_	_	126.84
2	_	_	147.40
3	_	_	152.42
4	7.00–6.96, dd	8.21, 1.83	114.47
5	7.06–7.01, <i>t</i>	7.92	124.08
6	7.28–7.25, dd	7.56, 1.82	121.13
7	6.46, <i>s</i>	_	82.70
8	_	-	98.35
1`	_	_	138.35
2`	7.69–7.66, dd	8.46, 1.19	128.16
3`	7.36–7.32, t	7.92	129.31
4`	7.52, <i>t</i>	1.05	134.30
1``	_	_	136.18
2``	7.58–7.54, <i>m</i>	_	127.62
3``	7.48–7.43, <i>m</i>	_	128.56
4``	7.41–7.38, m	_	128.97

¹H–¹H COSY

Figures 7 and 8 were shown the ¹H–¹H COSY NMR spectra of **4** in CDCl₃ and the most important correlations observed were shown in Figure 9. In COSY spectrum confirmed the correlation assignments of H₄ with methoxy group OCH₃ and one proton of methylene group CH_2 in benzyloxy ring at $\delta = 3.89$ and 5.41 ppm, respectively, but low correlation were observed with the second proton of CH_2 at $\delta = 5.12$ ppm.



Figure 7: ¹H–¹H COSY NMR spectrum of 4 in CDCl₃.



On the other side, both CH₂ protons were correlated with H₃ in the benzyloxy ring, but the second proton showed more correlated with H₃ than the other one. The methine proton H₇ was observed assignment with H₆ in the trisubstituted ring at $\delta = 7.28-7.25$ ppm. In the trisubstituted ring, proton H₄ in **4** showed ³*J* with H₅ at $\delta = 7.06-7.01$ ppm, while proton H₅ was showed ³*J*-correlation with both H₄ and H₆ protons at $\delta = 7.00-6.96$ and 7.28-7.25 ppm, respectively. However, the correlations between H₃ with both protons H₂ and H₄ in benzyloxy ring were shown clearly at $\delta = 7.69-7.66$ and 7.52 ppm, respectively, while in the benzenesulfonyl ring, H₃ was observed ³*J*-correlation with H₂ at $\delta = 7.58-7.54$ ppm (Figure 8).



Figure 8: ¹H–¹H COSY NMR spectrum of the aromatic protons range of 4.



Figure 9: The most important correlations observed in COSY spectrum of 4.

¹H–¹³C HMQC

The HMQC NMR spectrum for **4** was shown in Figure 10 in CDCl₃. The signals owing to C_4 , C_3 , C_4 , C_3 , C_2 , C_2 , C_5 , C_6 and C_4 atoms were observed at $\delta = 134.30$, 129.31, 128.97, 128.56, 128.16, 127.62, 124.08, 121.13 and 114.47 ppm. The one bond ¹H–¹³C connectivities were also well observed for OCH₃, CH₂ and C₇ atoms whereby the cross peaks appeared at the respective $\delta = 56.26$, 74.79 and 82.70 ppm.

¹H-¹³C HMBC

The HMBC NMR spectrum for **4** was shown in Figure 11 and the most important correlations observed shown in Figure 12. The long–range HMBC cross peaks of the methylene group CH_2 protons with C_2 and C_1 in the benzyloxy ring were appeared at $\delta = 128.16$ and 138.35 ppm, respectively. The HMBC cross peaks of the methoxy protons OCH₃ with C_3 was observed at $\delta = 152.42$ ppm. On the other hand, the methine proton H_7 was correlated with C_8 , C_6 , C_1 and C_2 , at $\delta = 98.35$, 121.13, 126.84 and 147.40 ppm, respectively.



Figure 10: ¹H-¹³C HMQC NMR spectrum of 4 in CDCl₃.



Additionally, the correlation between H_5 with both C_1 and C_3 , both protons H_4 and H_6 with C_2 , and CH_2 with H_2 in the benzyloxy ring were observed clearly as ${}^{3}J$ -correlation. The homonuclear connectivities were observed between protons in C_4 with H_6 and C_6 with H_5 as ${}^{3}J$ -correlation, and C_5 with both H_4 and H_6 as ${}^{2}J$ -correlation. In addition, C_4 was correlated with H_2 in the same ring at $\delta = 7.58-7.54$ ppm, while C_1 was correlated as ${}^{3}J$ -correlation with H_3 at $\delta = 7.36-7.32$ ppm. However, in the benzenesulfonyl ring, the homonuclear connectivities were shown between C_1 with H_3 as ${}^{3}J$ -correlation. Other observed correlations between the aromatic protons and the carbons were showed in Table 3. All these correlation assignments were demonstrated and consistent with the crystal structure of **4**. Table 3 summarizes the values of COSY, HMQC and HMBC experiments in CDCl₃.



Figure 11: ¹H-¹³C HMBC NMR spectrum of 4 in CDCl₃.



Figure 12: The most important correlations observed in HMBC spectrum of 4.

fable 3 : 2D ¹ H– ¹ H COSY	¹ H– ¹³ C HMQC and HMBC	correlations for 4 in CDCl3:
---	---	------------------------------

Atom No.	COSY	HMQC	HMBC		
	$^{1}\mathrm{H}^{-1}\mathrm{H}$	^{1}J	^{2}J	^{3}J	^{4}J
OCH ₃	CH_2, H_4	56.26	_	152.42, C ₃	_
CH_2	OCH_3, H_2	74.79	138.35, C ₁	$128.16, C_{2}$	_
H_4	OCH ₃ , H ₅	114.47	124.08, C ₅	147.40, C ₂	_
H_5	H_4, H_6	124.08	121.13, C ₆	152.42, C ₃	_
H_6	H_{5}, H_{7}	121.13	124.08, C ₅	114.47, C ₄	_
				147.40, C ₂	
H_7	H_6	82.70	98.35, C ₈	121.13, C ₆	_
			126.84, C ₁	147.40, C ₂	
H_{2}	H_{3}	128.16	x	74.79, CH ₂	_
				134.30, C ₄	
H_{3}	H_2 , H_4	129.31	x	138.35, C ₁	_
H_{4}	H ₃	134.30	_x	X	_
H ₂	H ₃	127.62	_x	x	_
H ₃	H_{2} , H_{4} ,	128.56	_x	136.18, C ₁	_
H ₄ ``	H ₃ .,	128.97	_ ^x	X	_

^x: is not observed

X-Ray Crystallography

The previous results of **4** by FTIR, HRMS, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR were consistent with the result of X-ray crystallography, which the golden single crystal of **4** was obtained and determined by X-ray crystallography, Figures 13 and 14. Bond lengths and angles in **4** have normal values, and are comparable with those in the related structures (Begley *et al.*, 1978; Gill *et al.*, 1979). The methoxy group at C9 is slightly twisted from the plane of the attached benzene ring C22–O2–C9–C10 with a torsion angle of -18.96 (14)°. The dihedral angle between the benzene rings [(C1–C6) and (C8–C13)] is 22.64 (5)° whereas the torsion angle of C8–O1–C7–C6 is $-157.96(7)^\circ$. In the crystal structure, the intramolecular C7–H7B…O2 interaction generated



an S(6) ring motifs, while other intramolecular C14–H14A···O1, C14–H14A···O3 and C21–H21A···O3 interactions generate S(5) ring motifs (Bernstein *et al.*, 1995), Table 4, Figure 13. The molecules of benzenesulfonate **4** are linked by short inter Cl2···O4ⁱⁱ contact of 3.0170 (8) Å (symmetry code: (ii) -x, -y, -z) into cyclic centrosymmetric R^2_2 (12) dimers. These dimers are interlinked by the C3–H3A···O2ⁱ (symmetry code: (i) -x, y + 1/2, -z + 1/2) intermolecular interactions, Figure 14. H atoms were placed in calculated positions and constrained to ride on their carrier atoms, with C–H distances in the range 0.93–0.98 Å. Table 4 shows the summarized value for inter and intra hydrogen bonds of **4**. The crystal data of **4** was showed in Table 5 (Al–Douh *et al.*, 2007).



Figure 13: The crystal structure of 4 showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atomic numbering. The dashed lines indicate intramolecular hydrogen bonds.



Figure 14: The crystal packing of **4**, viewed down the *a* axis. The intermolecular C–H…O hydrogen bonds and the short inter Cl…O contacts are shown as dashed lines.

Table 4: Hydrogen bond geometry of 4 (Å, °):

<i>D</i> –Н […] А	D-H	Н…А	D····A	D–H···A
С7-Н7В…О2	0.97	2.56	3.040(1)	110
C14-H14A…O1	0.98	2.38	2.820(1)	107
C14-H144O3	0.98	2.34	2.838(1)	111
C21-H21AO3	0.93	2.55	2.919(1)	104
C3–H3A…O2	0.93	2.49	3.414 (1)	172

Symmetry code : (i) - x, $y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + \frac{1}{2}$

Table 5: Crystal data of 4

T, λ 293(2) K, 0.71073 Å Crystal system, space group $P2_1/c$, monoclinic Unit cell dimensions $a = 8.1638(1)$ Å, $b = 8.8536(1)$ Å, $c = 30.7221(5)$ Å, $a = 90^{\circ}, \gamma = 90^{\circ}, \beta = 90.670(1)^{\circ}$ V , Crystal size $2220.41(5)$ Å ³ , $0.48 \times 0.30 \times 0.29$ mm Z , Calculated density $4, 1.501 \mu g/m^3$ $\mu, F(000), \theta$ $0.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}, 1032, 1.33 \text{ to } 40.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-14 \le h \le 14, -16 \le k \le 16, -54 \le l \le 54$ Reflections collected / unique $122837 / 13669 [R_{(int)} = 0.059]$ Data / restraints / parameters, S $11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08$ Final R indices [I>2 $\sigma(I)$] $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole $0.56 \text{ and } -0.55 \text{ e.Å}^{-3}$	Empirical formula, Formula weight	C ₂₂ H ₁₉ Cl ₃ O ₅ S, 501.78
Crystal system, space group Unit cell dimensions $P2_1/c$, monoclinic $a = 8.1638(1)$ Å, $b = 8.8536(1)$ Å, $c = 30.7221(5)$ Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}, \gamma = 90^{\circ}, \beta = 90.670(1)^{\circ}$ V, Crystal size Z, Calculated density $\mu, F(000), \theta$ $2220.41(5)$ Å ³ , $0.48 \times 0.30 \times 0.29$ mmZ, Calculated density $\mu, F(000), \theta$ $0.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}, 1032, 1.33 \text{ to } 40.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices Reflections collected / unique Data / restraints / parameters, S $-14 \le h \le 14, -16 \le k \le 16, -54 \le l \le 54$ Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ Largest diff. peak and hole $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$	T, λ	293(2) K, 0.71073 Å
Unit cell dimensions $a = 8.1638(1)$ Å, $b = 8.8536(1)$ Å, $c = 30.7221(5)$ Å, $\alpha = 90^{\circ}, \gamma = 90^{\circ}, \beta = 90.670(1)^{\circ}$ V, Crystal size $2220.41(5)$ Å ³ , $0.48 \times 0.30 \times 0.29$ mmZ, Calculated density $4, 1.501 \ \mu g/m^3$ $\mu, F(000), \theta$ $0.54 \ mm^{-1}, 1032, 1.33 \ to 40.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-14 \le h \le 14, -16 \le k \le 16, -54 \le l \le 54$ Reflections collected / unique $122837 / 13669 \ [R_{(int)} = 0.059]$ Data / restraints / parameters, S $11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08$ Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole $0.56 \ and -0.55 \ e.Å^{-3}$	Crystal system, space group	$P2_1/c$, monoclinic
90°, $\gamma = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 90.670(1)^\circ$ V, Crystal size2220.41(5) Å ³ , 0.48 × 0.30 × 0.29 mmZ, Calculated density4, 1.501 µg/m ³ μ , $F(000)$, θ 0.54 mm ⁻¹ , 1032, 1.33 to 40.00°Limiting indices $-14 \le h \le 14, -16 \le k \le 16, -54 \le l \le 54$ Reflections collected / unique122837 / 13669 [$R_{(int)} = 0.059$]Data / restraints / parameters, S11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08Final R indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole0.56 and -0.55 e.Å ⁻³	Unit cell dimensions	$a = 8.1638(1)$ Å, $b = 8.8536(1)$ Å, $c = 30.7221(5)$ Å, $\alpha =$
V, Crystal size $2220.41(5) Å^3$, $0.48 \times 0.30 \times 0.29 \text{ mm}$ Z, Calculated density4, $1.501 \mu g/m^3$ μ , $F(000)$, θ 0.54 mm^{-1} , 1032 , $1.33 \text{ to } 40.00^\circ$ Limiting indices $-14 \le h \le 14$, $-16 \le k \le 16$, $-54 \le l \le 54$ Reflections collected / unique $122837 / 13669 [R_{(int)} = 0.059]$ Data / restraints / parameters, S $11428 / 0 / 280$, 1.08 Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.040$, $wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole $0.56 \text{ and } -0.55 \text{ e.Å}^{-3}$		$90^{\circ}, \gamma = 90^{\circ}, \beta = 90.670(1)^{\circ}$
Z, Calculated density 4, 1.501 μ g/m ³ μ , $F(000)$, θ 0.54 mm ⁻¹ , 1032, 1.33 to 40.00° Limiting indices -14 $\leq h \leq 14$, -16 $\leq k \leq 16$, -54 $\leq l \leq 54$ Reflections collected / unique 122837 / 13669 [$R_{(int)} = 0.059$] Data / restraints / parameters, S 11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08 Final R indices [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] $R_1 = 0.040$, $wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole 0.56 and -0.55 e.Å ⁻³	V, Crystal size	2220.41(5) Å ³ , $0.48 \times 0.30 \times 0.29$ mm
$\mu, F(000), \theta$ $0.54 \text{ mm}^{-1}, 1032, 1.33 \text{ to } 40.00^{\circ}$ Limiting indices $-14 \le h \le 14, -16 \le k \le 16, -54 \le l \le 54$ Reflections collected / unique $122837 / 13669 [R_{(int)} = 0.059]$ Data / restraints / parameters, S $11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08$ Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole $0.56 \text{ and } -0.55 \text{ e.Å}^{-3}$	Z, Calculated density	4, 1.501 $\mu g/m^3$
Limiting indices $-14 \le h \le 14, -16 \le k \le 16, -54 \le l \le 54$ Reflections collected / unique $122837 / 13669 [R_{(int)} = 0.059]$ Data / restraints / parameters, S $11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08$ Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole 0.56 and -0.55 e.Å ⁻³	$\mu, F(000), \theta$	0.54 mm^{-1} , 1032, 1.33 to 40.00°
Reflections collected / unique $122837 / 13669 [R_{(int)} = 0.059]$ Data / restraints / parameters, S $11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08$ Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole 0.56 and -0.55 e.Å ⁻³	Limiting indices	$-14 \le h \le 14, -16 \le k \le 16, -54 \le l \le 54$
Data / restraints / parameters, S $11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08$ Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole 0.56 and -0.55 e.Å ⁻³	Reflections collected / unique	$122837 / 13669 [R_{(int)} = 0.059]$
Final R indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ $R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$ Largest diff. peak and hole 0.56 and -0.55 e.Å ⁻³	Data / restraints / parameters, S	11428 / 0 / 280, 1.08
Largest diff. peak and hole $0.56 \text{ and } -0.55 \text{ e.}^{\text{Å}^{-3}}$	Final <i>R</i> indices $[I \ge 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.040, wR_2 = 0.108$
	Largest diff. peak and hole	0.56 and -0.55 e.Å ⁻³



Conclusions

We have reported the complete assignments of the novel chiral compound (*R*) and (*S*) 1-(2-benzyloxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethyl benzenesulfonate **4** using ¹H, ¹³C APT, COSY, HMQC and HMBC NMR in CDCl₃. Compound **4** was obtained as single crystal and it was studied by X–ray crystallography. Further, it using the compound in biologically important is in progress. The formation mechanism of **4** is in progress to identify.

Acknowledgement

Thanks go to Hadhramout University of Science and Technology (HUST), Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research for HESR-HUST grant [1594/1/16/92] and for the financial support and to the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM) for USM-RU-PGRS grant [1001/PKIMIA/842024] to conduct this work. Thanks to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Shafida A. Hamid, Kulliyyah of Science, International Islamic University Malaysia (IIUM), Kuantan, Pahang, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hasnah Osman School of Chemical Sciences, USM, Penang, Malaysia, for their help in chemical analyses, Prof. Dr. Hoong K. Fun and his team for X–ray crystallography analyses, X–ray Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, USM, Pulau Pinang, Malaysia and Prof. Dr. David S. Larsen and his team for HRMS analyses, Dept. of Chem., Univ. Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand.

References

Al–Douh, M. H. (2010). Synthesis, Characterization and Anti-Proliferation Study of Some Benzimidazole Derivatives. PhD thesis. Universiti Sains Malaysia (USM), Malaysia, ISNB: 978-3-8443-3294-0, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing GmbH & Co. KG, Dudweiler Landstr, 99, 66123 Saarbrücken, Germany.

Al–Douh, M. H., Hamid, S. A., Osman, H., Ng, S. L. and Fun, H. K. (2007). (*R*) and (*S*)-1-(2-Benzyloxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-2,2,2-trichloroethyl benzenesulfonate. *Acta Crystallogr. E*, 63: 03233.

APEX2 (Version 1.27), SAINT (Version 7.12A), and SADABS (Version 2004/1), (2005), Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Begley, M. J., Gill, G. B. and Wallace, B. (1978). X-ray structure analysis of the tosylate ester of (1*S*,5*S*)-6,6-dimethyl-2-[(2*S*)-3,3,3-trichloro-2-hydroxypropyl] bicycle[3.3.1]hept-2-ene, the major product of the iron(III) chloride-catalysed *ene* addition of chloral to (-)-(1*S*,5*S*)-pin-2-(10)-ene. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1, 93.

Berger, S. and Braun, S. (2004). 200 and more NMR experiments, A practical course. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 44.

Bernstein, J., Davis, R. E., Shimoni, L. and Chang, N. L. (1995). Patterns in hydrogen bonding: Functionality and graph set analysis in crystals. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 34: 1555.

Bruker, Analytik GmbH program, (1999). NMR Suite Ver. 2.6.

Gill, G. B., Marrison, K., Parrot, S. J. and Wallace, B. (1979). Stereoselection in the AlCl₃-catalysed *ene* additions of chloral to 1,2-dialkyl ethylenes. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 50: 4867.

Li, Y. F., Wang, G. F., He, P. L., Huang, W. G., Zhu, F. H., Gao, H. Y., Tang, W., Luo, Y., Feng, C. L., Shi, L. P., Ren, Y. D., Lu, W. and Zuo, J. P. (2006). Synthesis and anti-Hepatitis B virus activity of novel benzimidazole derivatives. *J. Med. Chem.*, 49: 4790.

Sheldrick, G. M., *SHELXTL*. (Version 5.1), (1998). Program for the solution of crystal structures. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). A short history of SHELX. Acta Crystallogr. A, 64: 112.

Silverstein, R. M., Webster, F. X. and Kiemle, D. J. (2005). *Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds*, WileyVCH, New York, USA, 72.