Pain levels of patients undergoing ultrasound guided biopsies and associated factors: a cross-sectional study

Mustafa Emre Akın
Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Yenimahalle Training and Research Hospital, Department of Radiology, Ankara, Turkey


ABSTRACT

Aim: Patients may experience pain in minimally invasive biopsy procedures. It is important to evaluate the degree of pain and to know the factors that determine the level of pain felt by patients for adequate pain management. The aim of this study is to determine the pain levels felt by patients and socio-demographic and clinical factors associated with higher pain levels in breast and liver biopsy procedures.

Material and Method: In this cross-sectional observational study, patients who will undergo ultrasound-guided breast and liver biopsy procedures in the study center were invited to the study. The pain level was measured with the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS). Pain measurements above 45mm were classified as moderate-to-high pain levels. The State-Trait Anxiety Scale was used to measure the anxiety levels of the patients and the radiologist. Sociodemographical (sex, age, education level, job, income) and clinical factors (biopsy site, duration, body mass index, anxiety level of the patient and the radiologist) that may be associated with the pain levels of the patients were analyzed.

Results: The sample consisted of 76 patients, 62 were female (81.6%). The procedures consisted of 43 breast biopsies (56.6%), 33 liver biopsies (43.4%). The median pain level measurement determined by the VAS is 20.0mm (IQR: 9.3-38.5). Most of the sample (59.2%) stated that they felt mild pain. It was found that patients with higher anxiety levels and patients underwent liver biopsy had statistically significantly higher moderate-to-high pain levels than patients with lower anxiety (OR: 3.683 95% CI: 1.159-11.705, p=0.020), patients who underwent breast biopsies (OR: 3.521, 95% CI: 1.153-10.752, p=0.023). A positive correlation was found between the level of pain and the level of anxiety (r=0.267, p=0.020).

Conclusion: This study demonstrated that most ultrasound-guided biopsy procedures were performed with mild or no pain although higher patient anxiety levels were associated with higher pain levels during the procedures. Patients undergoing liver biopsy procedures had higher pain levels than breast biopsy procedures.

Keywords: Ultrasonography, biopsy, pain, breast, liver

INTRODUCTION

Minimally invasive biopsy procedures performed with radiological imaging are safe and provides comfort for patients with few side effects and short hospital stays. Therefore, ultrasound guided percutaneous breast and liver biopsies are preferred over open surgery and frequently performed (1-4). Nevertheless, it is known that patients experience distress and pain even in these minimally invasive radiological procedures (5).

Reducing the pain that the patients feel during minimally invasive biopsy procedures is important for many reasons. Firstly, it increases the patient's comfort. Additionally, pain and the fear of pain can be a reason for patients to delay the biopsy procedure. Patients who feel more pain during the procedure have been reported to have difficulties in compliance with follow-up and treatment (5), even hospitalization may be required due to the pain felt after biopsy procedures (6). For adequate pain management it is important to evaluate the degree of pain and to know the factors that affect the level of pain felt by patients during minimally invasive biopsy procedures.

It has been reported that patients who underwent ultrasound guided breast and liver biopsies feel some degree of pain (7-9). Pain sensation may be affected by many factors. The most important associates of pain during ultrasound guided liver and breast biopsy procedures in the literature were anxiety (7,10) and female sex (11). As all of the studies in the literature included only one biopsy site, there is a gap in the literature in comparison of pain...
levels between breast and liver biopsy sites and studies
aiming to report both clinical and socio-demographical
associates of pain during biopsy procedures.

While it is necessary to know the pain levels and
associated factors for breast and liver biopsy
procedures, two most common minimally invasive
biopsy procedures; the aim of this study is to determine
the pain levels felt by patients and socio-demographic
and clinical factors associated with higher pain levels
in breast and liver biopsy procedures. It has been
hypothesized that patients who undergo liver biopsy
procedures would experience higher levels of pain than
patients who undergo breast biopsy and also anxiety
level of patients and the physician was associated with
higher pain levels.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

All of the procedures followed were in accordance
with the Helsinki Declaration. Ethical approval was obtained
from the Institutional Review Board of Yıldırım Beyazıt
University Yenimahalle Training and Research Hospital
for this study (Date: 13.10.2021, Decision No: E-2021-
55).

The research was carried out in a cross-sectional
and observational design. Patients who will undergo
ultrasound guided breast and liver biopsies in the
radiology department of the Yıldırım Beyazıt University
Yenimahalle Training and Research Hospital between
October 15, 2021 and December 1, 2020 were invited to
the study. Inclusion criteria were determined as being
able to speak Turkish, being able to read and write,
being over the 18 years of age. All patients were asked
to sign written consent to participate in the study. Those
with a previously diagnosed anxiety disorder or other
psychiatric diseases, and those with a history of regular
anxiolytic and analgesic use were excluded from the study.

All ultrasound guided biopsies performed in the
Radiology Department of the study center are performed
by the researcher radiologist. The patients who met the
inclusion criteria and underwent ultrasound guided
biopsy procedures were invited to the study during their
appointments. Written consent and socio-demographic
information forms were obtained from patients before
starting the biopsy procedure. Socio-demographic form
included information on patients’ sex, age, education
level, job and income to find out whether these factors
were associated with pain.

All biopsy procedures were performed by the
researcher, an experienced radiologist using aseptic
technique and local anesthesia. Breast biopsy patients
underwent ultrasound examination of the biopsy site
with Toshiba Aplio 500 ultrasound machine (Toshiba
Medical Systems, Otawara-Shi, Japan) using a 14MHz
ultrasound probe. For local anesthesia, 2 ml of 2%
prilocaine without epinephrine was administered
superficially followed by 10 ml of 2% prilocaine without
epinephrine around the lesion. After performing 2
mm length skin incision, under ultrasonographic
guidance, 16G biopsy needle was used to obtain biopsy
material. Liver biopsy patients, after lateral decubitus
positioning, underwent ultrasound examination with
Toshiba Aplio 500 ultrasound machine (Toshiba
Medical Systems, Otawara-Shi, Japan) using a 3.5MHz
ultrasound probe. After superficial injection of 2 ml
of 2% prilocaine without epinephrine, 10 ml of 2%
prilocaine without epinephrine was administered
to the deep soft tissues and around liver capsule.
Following performing 2 mm length skin incision,
through appropriate intercostal space, 16G biopsy
needle was used to obtain biopsy material under
ultrasonographic guidance. All liver biopsies were
performed for parenchymal sampling.

After the wound dressing has ended, the participants
were given pain and anxiety measures to fill. In addition,
the researcher physician filled out the anxiety measure
after each biopsy procedure and stated his momentary
anxiety levels during that procedure. Biopsy duration
was recorded by the researcher.

The Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) was used to measure
the pain felt by the patient during biopsy. This scale
is widely used to measure and follow-up pain levels in
many studies over years (12,13). This scale consists of a
100 mm horizontal line ruler with the feeling no pain at
one end and unbearable pain at the other representing
the minimum and maximum scores for pain. The ends
of the scale were marked ‘no pain’ and ‘most severe pain’.
There were no intermediary markings on the scale. In this
study, values between 0-4mm were classified as “no pain”,
values between 5-44mm as “mild pain”, values between 45-74mm as “moderate pain” and values between 75-
100mm as “severe pain” (11-14). The patients were asked
to draw a vertical line representing their pain levels. The
value was measured and recorded in millimeters by the
researcher.

The State-Trait Anxiety Scale (STAI) was used to measure
the anxiety levels of the patients and the radiologist. This
scale was developed by Spielberger et al. in 1970, and is
a Likert-type scale (four degrees varying from "none" to
"completely") that measures state and trait anxiety levels
with 20 questions separately (15). It consists of two
subscales with 20 items each. The State Anxiety Scale
aims to measure how the patient feels at the moment
whereas the Trait Anxiety Scale aims to measure how
the patient feels in general daily life as a self-report. The
total score obtained from both scales varies between 20 and 80. Higher scores indicate high anxiety levels while lower scores indicate low anxiety levels (15). Validity and reliability study of the scale in Turkey was performed (16). The measure that has been frequently used in previous studies on radiological biopsy procedures (17-20). In the study, both the patients state anxiety scores reflecting their anxiety levels during the biopsy procedure and their trait anxiety scores reflecting their general anxiety levels were investigated as associates of pain during the biopsy procedures. Additionally, if the state or trait anxiety levels of the patients were found to be high, the patient was consulted to psychiatry.

Numerical variables were expressed as mean and standard deviation (SD) if distributed normally; median and interquartile range (IQR= 25%-75%) values if not. The Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine the distribution of data. Categorical variables were given as numbers and percentages. The differences of pain levels between two groups were tested with Student-t test if distributed normally, with Mann Whitney-U test if not. Pearson correlation analysis was used for investigating the relationship between pain and anxiety scores. To find the association between feeling moderate-to-severe pain during procedures with patients’ clinical and sociodemographical variables Pearson Chi-Square test and Fisher Exact tests were used. The results were considered statistically significant when p < 0.05 and 95% confidence interval (CI). Statistical analyses were done using IBM SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) package program.

RESULTS

Out of 81 patients who underwent ultrasonography-guided biopsy during the study period; 3 patients with a previously diagnosed anxiety disorder and 2 patients with a history of regular analgesic use were excluded. Remaining 76 patients who provided informed consent comprised the sample of the study.

Sixty-two participants were female (81.6%), 14 were male (18.4%). The mean age of the participants was 43.2±11.4 (Table 1). The youngest patient was 19 years old, and the oldest patient was 75 years old. Breast biopsy was performed for 43 patients (56.6%), and liver biopsy was performed for 33 patients (43.4%). Most of the sample had education less than 12 years (78.9%) and participants who had a job to sustain regular income constitute 30.3% of the sample. The mean biopsy time was 15.9±3.7 minutes overall which was 17.3±3.8 minutes in breast biopsies and 14.0±2.5 minutes in liver biopsies, and the difference was statistically significant (p=0.047).

The median pain level measurement of the sample determined by the Visual Analogue Scale is 20.0mm (25% -75%; 9.3-38.5). According to the VAS results, 14 patients (18.4%) stated that they did not feel any pain, 45 patients (59.2%) felt mild pain, 14 patients (18.4%) felt moderate pain, and 2 patients (2.6%) stated that they felt severe pain. The median pain level of the patients who underwent breast biopsy was 13.0mm (IQR: 4-23); the median pain score of the patients who underwent liver biopsy was 28mm (IQR: 18-49) and the difference was statistically significant (p=0.001)

The mean state anxiety level of the sample was 44.0±5.9; trait anxiety level was 50.1±6.8; the mean state anxiety level of the researcher who performed the biopsy procedure was 44.2±2.0. The mean state anxiety level of researcher was 44.6±2.0 in the breast biopsies which was higher than 43.6±1.7 in the liver biopsies (p=0.020).

The relationships between the patient’s feelings of moderate-to-high pain and the patient’s sociodemographic and clinical characteristics were examined (Table 2). In these bivariate analyses, patients who reported higher anxiety levels felt significantly more pain than patients reported lower anxiety levels [Odd’s ratio (OR): 3.683 95% CI: 1.159-11.705, p=0.220] and it was determined that the patients who underwent liver biopsy felt significantly more moderate-to-high levels of pain than those who had undergo breast biopsy (OR: 3.521, 95% CI: 1.153-10.752, p=0.023). A statistically significant positive correlation was found between the level of pain felt by the patient during biopsy and the level of anxiety (r=0.267, p=0.020).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Sociodemographic characteristics of the sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sociodemographic characteristics (N=76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (mean±SD*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n, %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation (n, %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unemployed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational background (n, %)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University graduate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SD: Standard deviation
In minimally invasive imaging-guided biopsy procedures, it is important to reduce the pain of patients and increase their comfort. In this study patients mostly felt minimal pain during ultrasound guided breast and liver biopsy procedures. The findings of the study showed that patients who underwent liver biopsy experienced a statistically significantly higher level of pain than those who underwent breast biopsy, and also that patients who were more anxious during biopsy felt higher levels of pain.

In this study, approximately 75% of the sample who underwent ultrasound guided biopsy stated that they did not feel any pain or felt mild pain. The median pain level of patients who underwent breast biopsy was 13.0mm (IQR: 4-23), and it is consistent with the mild pain levels felt by patients in liver biopsies. In liver biopsies, passing through the peritoneum and liver capsule as well as skin, subcutaneous tissue, muscle tissue may explain the higher level of pain compared to breast biopsies (23). Another important finding is that patients who have higher anxiety levels during biopsy procedures experience higher levels of pain. This finding is compatible with many studies in the literature (7,20,21). The absence of a significant relationship between body mass index and pain in this study is consistent with the literature (7). In addition, the relationship between the physician’s spontaneous anxiety level during biopsy and the pain felt by the patient was investigated for the first time in this study, but a significant relationship was not found.

The findings of this study may help to guide clinical studies. It is important to reduce the patient’s anxiety in ultrasound guided biopsy procedures. It may be helpful to inform the patient before the biopsy and conduct an interview to reduce anxiety. The clinicians must consider recommending relaxation techniques and anxiolytics to inform the patient before the biopsy and conduct an interview.
for reducing and managing anxiety levels of patients (24-26). Especially in patients undergoing liver biopsy, it is important to use effective analgesics in addition to reduce anxiety.

The study has some important limitations. The first is that the cross-sectional pattern limits cause and effect relationships between pain and anxiety. Conducting the study with a sample with low socioeconomic level and not including other biopsy sites other than breast and liver prevents the generalization of the findings to all ultrasound guided biopsy procedures. It should be noted that the pain levels during the biopsy procedures may be affected by many intrinsic and extrinsic factors which may not be controlled in this study. In addition, since the physician performing the biopsy procedure was also the researcher, there may be bias in patients’ self-reports of their pain and anxiety levels.

CONCLUSION
Despite these limitations, there are strengths of this study. When investigating factors associated with pain which is a subjective feeling and is affected by many conditions; this study has taken comprehensive factors into consideration such as gender, education level, income level, many clinical characteristics and the physician’s anxiety level during the biopsy procedure for the first time. In conclusion, the findings of this study show that most ultrasound guided biopsy procedures were performed painless or with mild pain, and that the biopsy site and the patient’s anxiety levels were associated with the pain during the procedure. Using techniques that will reduce anxiety and use of effective analgesia even in minimally invasive biopsy procedures are important for pain management and patient comfort.
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