

GIDA *THE JOURNAL OF FOOD* E-ISSN 1309-6273, ISSN 1300-3070

 Research / Araştırma GIDA (2022) 47 (3) 531-538 doi: 10.15237/gida.GD21156

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY OF MENTHA PIPERITA VAR. CITRATA EXTRACTS OBTAINED BY DIFFERENT EXTRACTION SOLVENTS

Cemil Kürekci1,* , Neslihan Beyazit²

¹Department of Food Hygiene and Technology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey

² Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Hatay Mustafa Kemal University, Hatay, Turkey

Received / Geliş: 24.12.2021; *Accepted* /Kabul: 12.04.2022; *Published online* / Online baskı: 18.05.2022

Kürekci, C., Beyazit, N. (2022). Chemical composition and antibacterial activity of mentha piperita var. Citrata extracts obtained by different extraction solvents. GIDA (2022) 47 (3) 531-538 doi: 10.15237/gida. GD21156

Kürekci, C., Beyazit, N. (2022). *Mentha piperita* var. *citrata*'nın farklı solventler kullanılarak hazırlarnan ekstraktlarının kimyasal kompozisyonları ve antimikrobiyal aktiviteleri. GIDA (2022) 47 (3) 531-538 doi: 10.15237/gida. GD21156

ABSTRACT

The main aim of this study was to evaluate the phytochemical composition of extracts of *Mentha piperita* var. *citrata* obtained by using three different solvents as well as their antimicrobial activity against a panel of Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria. The antibacterial activities of the extracts (hexane, chloroform, and 70% aqueous methanol) were tested against a panel of bacteria using broth microdilution method. Rosmarinic acid (10.505 mg/g extract), cynaroside (8.545 mg/g extract) and cosmosiin (8.489 mg/g extract) appeared to be the major components of methanolic extract, whereas acacetin was the most abundant (8.438 mg/g extract) component of chloroform extract. *M. citrata* extracts showed significant antimicrobial activity against Gram positive bacteria at different concentrations. Chloroform extract from *M. citrata* showed antimicrobial activity at concentration of $512-4096 \mu g/mL$, whereas hexane and methanolic extracts had activity at 1024-4096 µg/mL and 2048-4096 µg/mL, respectively. This study shows the different chemical composition and antimicrobial activities of *M. citrata* extracts obtained by using different extraction solvents. **Keywords:** *Mentha citrata*, antimicrobial activity, phytochemicals, methanol extract

MENTHA PIPERITA VAR. CITRATA'NIN FARKLI SOLVENTLER KULLANILARAK HAZIRLARNAN EKSTRAKTLARININ KİMYASAL KOMPOZİSYONLARI VE ANTİMİKROBİYAL AKTİVİTELERİ

ÖZ

1

Bu çalışma farklı çözücüler kullanılarak *Mentha piperita* var. *citrate* bitkisinden elde edilen ekstraktların fitokimyasal kompozisyonun belirlenmesi, Gram pozitif ve Gram negatif bakterilere karşı antimikrobiyal etkinliğinin belirlenmesini amaçlamaktadır. Hekzan, kloroform, ve %70'lik metanol ile hazırlanan ekstraktların antimikrobiyal aktiviteleri sıvı mikrodilüsyon metodu kullanılarak test edilmiştir. Rosmarinik asit (10.505 mg/g ekstrakt), sinarosid (8.545 mg/g ekstrakt) ve kosmosiin (8.489 mg/g ekstrakt) metanolik ekstraktda baskın olarak bulunan fitokimyasallar olurken, akasetin

 \boxtimes : ckurekci@mku.edu.tr \oslash : (+90) 326 245 5313 \boxplus : (+90) 326 245 5704

Cemil Kürekci; ORCID no: 0000-0002-6442-2865 Neslihan Beyazit; ORCID no: 0000-0002-5722-2400

^{*} *Corresponding author* / Yazışmalardan sorumlu yazar:

(8.438 mg/g ekstrakt) kloroform ekstrakta en fazla bulunan fitokimyasadır. *M. citrata* ekstraktları Gram pozitif bakterilere karşı farklı konsantrasyonlarda antimikrobiyal aktivite göstermişlerdir. *M. citrata* bitkisinden elde edilen kloroform ekstraktının antimikrobiyal aktivite aralığı 512-4096 µg/mL iken, hekzan ve metanolik ekstraktlarının aktivitelerinin sırasıyla 1024-4096 µg/mL ve 2048-4096 µg/mL olduğu bulunmuştur. Bu çalışma farklı ekstraksiyon çözücüleri kullanıldığında *M. citrata* ekstraktlarının farklı kimyasal kompozisyona ve farklı antimikrobiyal aktiviteye sahip olduğunu göstermiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler: *Mentha citrata*, antimikrobiyal aktivite, fitokimyasallar, methanol ekstrakt

INTRODUCTION

Antimicrobial resistance has been identified as one of the most serious public health threat worldwide (Tacconelli and Pezzani, 2019). Goff vd., (2017) recently estimated that 700.000 people die from infections globally each year and somberly warned that annual death toll will keep going over 10 million by 2050. Therefore, not surprisingly, it is argued that there is a great need to find effective alternatives to combat with this issue (Stanton, 2013). One of these alternatives is the use of the medicinal plants, which have been widely used and proven as promising alternatives as an ancient practice for thousands of years (Lillehoj vd., 2018; Sumner, 2000). Therefore, in recent years, extracts and essential oils originated from plant sources have gained more urgency for the search of the antimicrobial properties.

Among the potential medicinal plants of antimicrobial properties, the genus *Mentha* (Lamiaceae) is of particular interest due to its essential oil rich characteristics (İşcan vs., 2002). *Mentha piperita* var*. citrata (Ehrh.) Briq.* (*M. citrata*; Orange mint), known as Eau de Cologne mint or bergamot mint, has a citrus fruit scent. Unlike the commercial *Mentha* species such as peppermint, for which the main essential oil patterns are menthol and menthone (İşcan vd., 2002; Singh and Pandey 2018), the predominant essential oil patterns of *M. citrata* consist of mainly linalool and linalyl acetate (Hendawy vd., 2015; Murray and Lincoln 1970). Not only can *Mentha citrata* be used commercially for food, cosmetic and fragrance industries, it can also be used as tea for the treatment of fevers, headaches, digestive disorders and various minor ailments (Hendawy vd., 2015).

Although previous studies have described the phytochemical properties of other *Mentha piperita* extracts and their antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities (Elansary vd., 2020; Pramila vd., 2012), no detailed investigation on the antimicrobial activity of *M*. *citrata* extracts has been presented so far. In view of all these, we aimed to establish the concentrations of phytochemicals and antimicrobial activity of *M*. *citrata* extracts obtained by different extraction solvents against Gram positive and Gram negative bacteria.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Plant material and extract preparations

M. *citrata* leaves used in this study were harvested from the wild and species identification was carried out by Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yelda Güzel from Faculty of Arts and Sciences at Hatay Mustafa Kemal University. Before use, fresh leaf material was shade dried and sieved (using a sieve mill) to a particle size 3-5 mm.

Ground leaf material (2.5 g) was extracted with 50 mL of methanol (70% prepared with deionized water), hexane (100%) and chloroform (100%) in a glass beaker as described previously (Kemp and McSweeney, 2010). These mixtures were mixed at room temperature for 3 hours on a shaker machine. Extracts were filtered through paper filter (Wattman No: 2) and the procedure was repeated two times. All collected supernatants were combined and organic phases from the leaf extract was dried with a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure (Heidolph, Germany). Extracts were then freeze-dried (Telstar Lyoquest -85, Austria) and stored in amber glass bottles at 4°C in the dark until microbiological studies.

Qualitative Analysis of Phytochemicals

The qualitative and quantitative analysis of phytochemicals in methanol and chloroform extracts was determined by ultrahigh performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC) according to

the method of as previously described by Yılmaz (2020). The method used herein was previously validated by Yılmaz (2020). The chromatographic system (Shimadzu-Nexera model UHPLC coupled with a tandem mass spectrometer, Japan) was composed of an auto-sampler (SIL-30AC model), a column oven (CTO-10ASvp model), binary pumps (LC-30AD model) and a degasser (DGU- 20A3R model). Briefly, chromatographic separation was conducted on a reversed phase Agilent Poroshell 120 EC-C18 model (150 mm×2.1 mm, 2.7 µm) analytical column. The following MS operating conditions were used: drying gas (N2) flow, 15 L/min; nebulizing gas (N2) flow, 3 L/min; DL temperature, 250°C; heat block temperature, 400°C, and interface temperature, 350°C. The temperature of the column was maintained at 40°C. Eluent A (water+5 mM ammonium formate+0.1% formic acid) and eluent B (methanol+5 mM ammonium formate+0.1% formic acid) were used to separate the phytochemicals. The step gradient patterns were 20-100% B for 0-25 min, 100% B for 25-35 min and 20% B for 35-45 min. The solvent flow rate was set at 0.5 mL/min and injection volume was 5 µL. LabSolutions software (Shimadzu, Japan) was used for LC-ESI-MS/MS data acquisition and processing.

Antimicrobial activity

The antimicrobial activity of extracts against a panel of organisms given in Table 1 was tested by broth microdilution assay as described by Kemp and McSweeney, (2010). The extracts were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; a stock concentration of 41 mg/mL). Strains were cultured from the glycerol stocks in blood agar plates overnight growth at 37 °C. Bacterial suspensions (10 μ L; 1x10⁶ cfu/mL) were subsequently transferred to U bottom 96 well plates with increasing concentrations of extract (from 128 to 4096 μ g/mL). Two controls, one with no bacteria (sterility control) and the other without addition of extract (bacterial growth control), were also included in each assay. DMSO concentration used in the test was 2.5% and this concentration did not show any antimicrobial activity for test organisms. After 24 h incubation, 30 μ L of resazurin (2.2 mg/mL) was added to each well. The 96-well plate was incubated at 37°C for 30 min, then the color change was observed by visually (Sarker et al. 2007). The experiments were repeated three times.

Microorganisms	$\frac{1}{2}$ Antimicrobial resistance profile			
Gram negative	Escherichia coli ATCC 25922	None		
	Escherichia coli NCTC 13476	Carbapenamese producer (IMP type)		
	Escherichia coli NCTC 14476	Plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamase and ESBL producer, also resistant to quinolones, trimethoprim		
	Escherichia coli NCTC 14477	ESBL producer		
	Klebsiella pneumoniae NCTC 13443	Carbapenamese producer (NDM-1 type)		
	Klebsiella pneumoniae NCTC 13438	Carbapenamese producer (KPC-3 type)		
	Klebsiella pneumoniae NCTC 13440	Carbapenamese producer (VIM type)		
	Salmonella Typhimurium ATCC 14028	None		
Gram positive	Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923	None		
	Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 13552	Methicillin resistant (MRSA)		
	Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 29213	None		
	Enterococcus casseliflavus ATCC 700327	None		
	Enterococcus faecium NCTC 10202	None		
	Enterococcus faecium (RSKK 623)	None		
	Enterococcus faecalis ATCC 51299	Vancomycin resistant		
	Bacillus cereus ATCC 13061	None		

Table 1. Test microorganisms

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

All the phytochemical components of the extracts of *M. citrata* differed significantly between the two organic solvents, methanol and chloroform, as presented in Table 2 and Figure 1. 26 and 17 different phytochemicals (out of 56 tested) were determined in *M. citrata* methanolic and chloroform leaf extracts, respectively (Table 2). Among the different phytochemicals in methanol extracts, the highest concentration was found to be rosmarinic acid (10.505 mg/g extract), that was followed by cynaroside (8.545 mg/g extract) and

cosmosiin (8.489 mg/g extract). Similar observations were reported for other *Mentha* species such as *M. piperita* and *M. longifolia* by Elansary vd., (2020), who reported that the main phytochemical found was rosmarinic acid. The other phytochemicals were also found in high concentrations, hesperidin (2.878 mg/g extract), quinic acid (2.243 mg/g extract), acacetin (1.571 mg/g extract), tannic acid (0.877 mg/ g extract), caffeic acid (0.625 mg/g extract) and luteolin (0.555 mg/g extract).

N.D.: Not detected

Figure 1. Chromatograms of methanol (A) and chloroform (B) extracts. Peaks: (1: Quinic acid, 2: Fumaric acid, 4: Gallic acid, 6: Protocatechuic acid, 8: Gentisic acid, 9: Chlorogenic acid, 10: Protocatechuic aldehyde, 11: Tannic acid, 16: Vanilic acid, 17: Caffeic acid, 19: Vanillin, 20: Syringic aldehyde, 24: *p*-Coumaric acid, 28: Coumarin, 29: Salicylic acid, 30: Cynaroside, 31: Miquelianin, 34: isoquercitrin, 35: Hesperidin, 38: Rosmarinic acid, 40: Cosmosiin, 42: Astragalin, 48: Naringenin, 49: Hesperetin, 50: Luteolin, 52: Kaempferol, 53: Apigenin, 56: Acacetin)

On the other hand, acacetin was the most abundant (8.438 mg/ g extract) phytochemical in chloroform extract of this plant. The following phytochemicals were also identified; naringenin $(1.013 \text{ mg}/ \text{g} \text{extract})$, hesperetin $(0.673 \text{ mg}/ \text{g} \text{G})$ extract), cosmosiin (0.634 mg/ g extract), apigenin (0.628 mg/ g extract), hesperidin (0.320 mg/ g extract), *p*-Coumaric acid (0.317 mg/ g extract) and vanillin (0.259 mg/ g extract) in

chloroform extract. It is well known fact that the use of different organic solvents (polar and nonpolar) affected the chemical composition in extracts (Ghosh vd., 2012; Khanam vd., 2015). Thus, the different recoveries of phytochemicals observed in the present study can be explained by the polar characteristics of the solvents and also suggests the importance of using different types of extraction solvent.

As seen from analysis of the MIC results, the growth of Gram-positive bacteria was influenced by all type of extracts (Table 3). Regardless of the species, all *Enterococcus* spp., including vancomycin resistant, exhibited high susceptibility to chloroform extract of *M. citrata*, with a MIC of 512-1024 µg/mL. In contrast, the MICs of methanol (2048->4096 µg/mL) and hexane (1024-4096 μ g/mL) extracts for the strains of *Enterococcus* were higher. The MIC of chloroform extract for *B*. *cereus* was found to be 1024 µg/mL, whereas it was determined to be 2048 µg/mL for methanol and hexane extracts. The respective MICs for the *S. aureus* strains ranged from 1024 to 4096 µg/mL for all extracts. Several studies have confirmed that antimicrobial activity has influenced by the organic solvent used for extraction and these studies shown that the antimicrobial activity of chloroform extracts was superior to aqueous, ethanol and hexane extracts of different plants (Hassan vd., 2011; Yılmaz vd., 2004), even though there are conflicting data revealing the different antimicrobial activity of polar and non-polar solvent extracts (Gul and Bakht, 2015; Khanam vd., 2015). On the other hand, Gram negative bacteria tested in the current study showed resistant to all extract types at the highest concentration level of 4096 μ g/mL. The results of the current study agree with Kurekci vd., (2012) who also reported that Gram negative bacteria such as *E. coli* and *S.* Typhimurium are less susceptible to antimicrobial activity of ethanolic extracts of Australian medicinal plants when compared to Gram positive bacteria like *B. cereus* and *E. faecalis*. In addition, İşcan vd., (2002) reported that the essential oil of *M. piperita* exhibited weaker activity against Gram negative bacteria than Gram positive ones. These differences in sensitivity to the extract was previously attributed to the structural and compositional differences in membranes between the two groups (Friedman vd., 2002).

microorganism					
	MIC (µg/mL)				
Microorganisms	Hexane	Methanol	Cloroform		
S. aureus ATCC 25923	2048	4096	4096		
S. aureus NCTC 13552	4096	4096	4096		
S. aureus ATCC 29213	4096	4096	4096		
E. casseliflavus ATCC 700327	4096	4096	1024		
E. faecium NCTC 10202	4096	NA	512		
E. faecium (RSKK)	2048	2048	1024		
E. faecalis ATCC 51299	1024	2048	512		
B. cereus ATCC 13061	2048	2048	1024		

Table 3. The minimum inhibitory concentration (µg/mL) of extracts of *M. citrata* against

NA: No activity at the highest concentration (4096 μg/mL), The results for Gram negative organisms are not included in the table as there has been no activity obtained at the highest concentration at all.

Extracts and essential oils of *Mentha* species including *M. piperita* and *M. longifolia* have been known to exert antimicrobial and antioxidant activity against a variety of organisms (Elansary vd., 2020; Gholamipourfard vd., 2021; İşcan vd., 2002). The results of the current study are higher than extracts of *M. piperita* and *M. longifolia* (Elansary vd., 2020). It is well-known fact that biological activities of plants are greatly influenced

by external factors such as extraction types and products including whole plant, extracts, essential oils. Additionally, chemical composition alone has a strong impact on the biological activities of plant extracts. For example, rosmarinic acid, acacetin and cosmosiin, highly detected compounds in the current study, have shown to had antioxidant, anticancer and anti-inflammatory properties (Al-Dhabi vd., 2014; Patel, 2021; Singh vd., 2020). A recent study conducted by Elansary vd., (2020) reported the antiproliferative and antibacterial activities of rosmarinic acid by using *in vitro* studies. Since previous studies demonstrated the effectiveness of *Mentha* leaves to enhance the shelf life of food products due to the reduced microbial growth (Gholamipourfard vd., 2021), *M. citrata* extracts might be beneficial as food preservation agent due to their antimicrobial activity towards Gram positive organisms, though antioxidant activity was not investigated.

CONCLUSION

Our findings suggest that the composition and phytochemical profile of *M. citrata* are somewhat influenced by the polarity of extraction solvent. In addition, the different solvent extracts of *M. citrata* have antibacterial properties against Gram positive bacteria including strains with antibiotic resistance, but also serve polyphenolic chemicals such as rosmarinic acid and hesperidin highlighting the potential of this plant to be used for food preservation.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a grant of the Hatay Mustafa Kemal University (20.M.046).

CONFLICTING INTEREST

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Cemil Kürekci designed research, performed antimicrobial activity and wrote the paper; Neslihan Beyazid extracted plant, analyzed HPLC data.

REFERENCES

Al-Dhabi, N.A., Arasu, M.V., Park, C.H., & Park S.U. (2014). Recent studies on rosmarinic acid and its biological and pharmacological activities. *EXCLI Journal*, *13*: 1192-1195.

Elansary, H.O., Szopa, A., Kubica, P., Ekiert, H., Klimek-Szczykutowicz, M., El-Ansary, D.O., & Mahmoud, EA. (2020). Polyphenol profile and antimicrobial and cytotoxic activities of natural *Mentha* × *piperita* and *Mentha longifolia* populations in Northern Saudi Arabia. *Processes*, *8*: 479.

Friedman, M., Henika, P.R., & Mandrell, R.E. (2002). Bactericidal activities of plant essential oils and some of their isolated constituents against *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella enterica*. *Journal of Food Protection*, *65*: 1545 –1560.

Goff, D.A., Kullar, R., Goldstein, E.J.C., Gilchrist, M., Nathwani, D., Cheng, A.C., Cairns, K.A., Escandón-Vargas, K., Villegas, M.V., Bring, A., van den Bergh, D., & Mendelson, M. (2017). A global call from five countries to collaborate in antibiotic stewardship: united we succeed, divided we might fail. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *17(2)*: e56 e63.

Ghosh, A., Chwdhury, N., & Chandra, G. (2012). Plant extracts as potential mosquito larvicides. *Indian Journal of Medicinal Research*, *135*: 581-598.

Gul, P., & Bakht, J. (2015). Antimicrobial activity of turmeric extract and its potential use in food industry. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, *52*(4): 2272–2279.

Hassan, L.E.A., Sirat, H.M., Yagi, S.M.A., Koko, W.S., & Abdelwahab, S.I. (2011). In vitro antimicrobial activities of chloroformic, hexane and ethanolic extracts of *Citrullus lanatus* var. *citroides* (wild melon). *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, *5*: 1338–1344.

Hendawy, F.S., Hussein, M.S., El-Gohary, A.E., & Ibrahim, M.A. (2015). Effect of foliar organic fertilization on the growth, yield and oil content of *Mentha piperita* var. *citrata*. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Research*, *9*: 237-248.

Işcan, G., Kirimer, N., Kürkcüoglu, M., Baser, K.H.C., & Demirci, F. (2002). Antimicrobial screening of Mentha piperita essential oils. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, *50*: 3943–3946.

Khanam, Z., Wen, C.S., & Ul Haq Bhat, I. (2015). Phytochemical screening and antimicrobial activity of root and stem extracts of wild *Eurycoma longifolia* Jack (Tongkat Ali). *Journal of King Saud University-Science*, *27*: 23-30.

Kemp, G.W., & McSweeney, C.S. (2010). Screening of plants for inhibitory activity against pathogenic microorganisms from the gut of livestock. In *in vitro* screening of plant resources

for extra-nutritional attributes in ruminants: nuclear and related methodologies, Vercoe PE, Makkar HPS, Schlink AC (eds). Springer: New York, 1–245.

Kurekci, C., Bishop-Hurley, S.L., Vercoe, P.E., Durmic, Z., Al Jassim, R.A., & McSweeney, C.S. (2012). Screening of Australian plants for antimicrobial activity against *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Phytotherapy Research*, *26*: 186-190.

Lillehoj, H., Liu, Y., Calsamiglia, S., Fernandez-Miyakawa, M.E., Chi, F., Cravens, R.L., Oh, S., & Gay, C.G. (2018). Phytochemicals as potential antibiotic alternatives to promote growth and enhance host health: a report from the second international symposium on alternatives to antibiotics. *Veterinary Research*, *46*: 76–93.

Murray, M.J., & Lincoln, D.E. (1970). The genetic basis of acyclic oil constituents in *Mentha citrate* Ehrh. *Genetics*, *65*: 457–471.

Patel, D.K. (2021). Biological potential of apigetrin in the medicine for the treatment of various forms of inflammatory disorders: Phytotherapeutic approach for respiratory infections. *Annals of Hepato-Biliary-Pancreat Surgery*, *25*(1): S302.

Pramila, D.M., Xavier, R., Marimuthu, K., Kathiresan, S., Khoo, M.L., Senthilkumar, M., Sathya, K., & Sreeramanan, S. (2012). Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial potential of methanolic leaf extract of peppermint (*Mentha piperita*: Lamiaceae). *Journal of Medicinal Plants Research*, *6*(2): 331-335.

Sarker, S.D., Nahar, L., & Kumarasamy, Y., (2007). Microtitre plate–based antibacterial assay incorporating resazurin as an indicator of cell growth, and its application in the in vitro antibacterial screening of phytochemicals. *Methods*, *42*: 321-4.

Singh, P., & Pandey, A.K., (2018). Prospective of essential oils of the genus *Mentha* as biopesticides. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, *9*: 1295.

Sumner, J., (2000). The natural history of medicinal plants, foreword by Mark J. Plotkin, Timber Press, Portland, OR.

Stanton, T.B., (2013). A call for antibiotic alternatives research. *Trends Microbiology*, *21*, 111– 113.

Tacconelli, E., & Pezzani, M.D., (2019). Public health burden of antimicrobial resistance in Europe. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, *19*(1): 4–6.

Yilmaz, M.A., (2020). Simultaneous quantitative screening of 53 phytochemicals in 33 species of medicinal and aromatic plants: a detailed, robust and comprehensive LC–MS/MS method validation. *Industrial Crops and Products*, *149*: 112347.

Yılmaz, M., Türk, A.Ö., Tay, T., & Kıvanç, M., (2004). The antimicrobial activity of extracts of the lichen Cladonia foliacea and its (+)-usnic acid, atranorin, and fumarprotocetraric acid constituents. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung*, *59*: 249– 254.