



DETERMINING THE EFFECT OF LEVEL OF FLOOR, EXTERNAL WALL THICKNESS AND JACKETING ON ACOUSTIC COMFORT IN EXISTING RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS IN TURKEY

Murat ÇEVİKBAŞ*

Isparta University of Applied Sciences, Faculty of Technology, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Isparta, Türkiye

Keywords

Noise Comfort,
Noise Standard,
Insulation,
Construction.

Abstract

Since people spend most of their time in the residences especially during the pandemic, acoustic comfort has come into prominence. Many studies were conducted to improve acoustic comfort. Notwithstanding the fruitful existing studies, there is no study detecting the correlation of building elements with acoustic comfort. Therefore, in this study, the correlation of floor levels, wall thickness and the availability of jacketing with the acoustic performances of existing residential buildings constructed before 2017 were investigated according to Regulation on the Protection Against Noise in Buildings entering into force on 31st May 2017 with Official Gazette. The noise levels of 155 residences in residential buildings were investigated and correlations of the level of the floor, exterior wall thicknesses and jacketing with the noise comfort were detected with the help of Pearson Correlation computed via SPSS software. It is believed that this study will improve practical implementations of existing standards in the construction industry.

TURKİYE'DE MEVCUT KONUT BİNALARINDA KAT SEVİYESİNİN, DIŞ DUVAR KALINLIĞININ VE MANTOLAMANIN AKUSTİK KONFOR ÜZERİNE ETKİSİNİN BELİRLENMESİ

Anahtar Kelimeler

Ses Konforu,
Ses Standardı,
Yalıtım,
İnşaat.

Öz

Özellikle pandemi döneminde insanlar zamanlarının çoğunu mekanlarda geçirdiği için; akustik konfor ön plana çıkmıştır. Akustik konforu iyileştirmek için birçok çalışma yapılmıştır. Mevcut verimli çalışmalara rağmen, yapı elemanlarının akustik konfor ile ilişkisini tespit eden bir çalışma bulunmamaktadır. Bu nedenle, bu çalışmada, 2017 yılından önce inşa edilmiş mevcut konut binalarının kat seviyeleri, duvar kalınlığı ve mantolama mevcudiyetinin akustik performansları ile ilişkisi, 31 Mayıs 2017 tarihinde Resmi Gazete'de yürürlüğe giren Binalarda Gürültüden Korunma Yönetmeliği'ne göre araştırılmıştır. Konut binalarındaki 155 mekanın gürültü seviyeleri incelenmiş ve kat seviyesi, dış duvar kalınlığı ve mantolamanın gürültü konforu ile korelasyonları SPSS yazılımı ile hesaplanan Pearson Correlation yöntemi yardımı ile tespit edilmiştir. Bu çalışmanın inşaat sektöründe mevcut standartların pratik uygulamalarını iyileştireceği düşünülmektedir.

Alıntı / Cite

Cevikbas, M., (2022). Determining the Effect of Level of Floor, External Wall Thickness and Jacketing on acoustic Comfort in Existing Residential Buildings in Turkey, Journal of Engineering Sciences and Design, 10(3), 963-972.

Yazar Kimliği / Author ID (ORCID Number)

M. Çevikbaş, 0000-0002-8421-6591

Makale Süreci / Article Process

Başvuru Tarihi / Submission Date	13.02.2022
Revizyon Tarihi / Revision Date	08.04.2022
Kabul Tarihi / Accepted Date	17.05.2022
Yayın Tarihi / Published Date	30.09.2022

* İlgili yazar / Corresponding author: muratcevikbas@isparta.edu.tr, +902462146843

1. Introduction

The quality of interaction between occupants and residences determines the livability standards of the residences. (Brooker, Stone, & Uçar (çevirmen), 2012). In this context, the sound comfort of residences affects people physiologically and psychologically. Strong correlations were observed between the sound comfort and quality of occupants' interactions in the residences (Maraş, Alkış, & Maraş, 2010). By the same token, noise intensity has a detrimental effect on living beings (Chen & Kang, 2011). Additionally, when mechanical sound - which is dominantly perceived - skyrockets the disturbance, natural sound - which is dominantly perceived increases the relaxation of human beings (Zhang, Ba, Kang, & Meng, 2018). For this reason, to ensure the sound comfort of occupants, it is essential to determine and apply the acoustic criteria correctly during the design phase of new buildings, and it is also vital to maintain noise standards in the existing buildings (Şentop, 2013). Many researchers have contributed to acoustic comfort for new and existing buildings, and numerous effects of community noise have also been examined (Yang & Kang, 2005). Demirel (2006) conducted a study concerning indoor noise measurements for the living rooms and bedrooms in one of the apartment blocks constructed via tunnel formwork in Ankara. Obtained data were evaluated by comparing them with the Noise Control Regulation and Noise Control Criteria, and it was determined that there was noise which was above the acceptable level in these rooms, and necessary precautions were recommended. Acar and Akdag Yugruk (2008) introduced the requirements to be accomplished for open-plan offices during the architectural design phase in order to satisfy auditory comfort. In this context, with the help of Odeon 8.0 program, parameters such as "heights of different partition" and "absorption of different surface " were evaluated and the most suitable conditions were determined to provide auditory comfort. Morgül and Dal (2012) conducted a study in which maximum noise levels of important places in the city center of Sakarya were measured and compared with the related noise regulations. Certain locations such as noise-sensitive areas and areas with high traffic were selected to measure in different periods during a month. It was detected that the noise levels of most of the locations were higher than the maximum noise level. It was determined that the entire city center of Sakarya was noisy and bothers people psychologically and physiologically. Özçetin and Demirel (2012) compared the noise regulations of the UK, the US, Germany and Turkey for conservatory buildings. It was emphasized that our country's regulation was insufficient for educational buildings. Similarly, Tüzel (2013) played two audios in a noisy classroom and a noiseless soundproof classroom environment, made up of a group of 146 students who were in 5th grade, and this study emphasized how much the environment affects the students' comprehension and remembering skills. It was determined that students recall at a higher rate in the noiseless environment. Özer (2014) determined that Aziziye Park in the city center of Erzurum exceeded the noise limit set by the regulation for the evening, and solutions were proposed to reduce noise pollution in the park. Also, Bayramoğlu et al. (2014) made noise measurements in Meydan Park and Fatih Park in Trabzon city center. As a result of this study, it was concluded that the intensity of the noise changes depending on the vehicle traffic. It was concluded that it would be appropriate to use natural and artificial elements in the parks in order to provide acoustic comfort and reduce the noise level to the values specified by the regulation. Next, Kavraz (2015) observed environmental-based noise in the KTÜ Kanuni Campus. The observations and measurements were made and the obtained data were compared with the noise limits specified in the regulation. It was concluded that the measured locations were highly affected by noise. Suggestions were made to minimize the existing noise. Furthermore, Özçetin et al. (2015) assessed the current situation in terms of acoustic comfort conditions in the classes at the Department of Architecture at Bozok University. As a result of the measurements and analyses via Insul 6.4 simulation program in accordance with the TS EN ISO 16283-1 standard, it was concluded that the insulation performance against airborne sound did not meet the values recommended in the regulation due to the acoustic performance of the materials used on the walls. As a result of the evaluations made with the Insul program, appropriate results were obtained in terms of the sound insulation during the sound transmission in the educational buildings by proposing the different building materials having sound insulation. Bulunuz et al. (2017) evaluated the level, reasons, and effects of noise with the help of sound measurement gauge, and surveying data were obtained from teachers and administrators for acoustically improved schools. According to the survey results, the noise level of the school is considered as low and medium level. This study highlighted that the necessary acoustic precautions should be taken by carrying out precautions concerning noise pollution and providing noise awareness training in all schools. Moreover, Untuç and Yügrük Akdağ (2017) conducted a study concerning the conservatory buildings, the thickness values of the envelope and interior partition elements were determined with the help of the acoustic simulation program. Thus, as a result of the calculations which consider the region, volume, function and features of the area, an exemplary application in terms of noise control was put forward for the development of the regulation concerning "Protection of Buildings Against Noise". With respect to the Multi-Purpose Hall of Cultural Center in Sivas, their acoustic designs which were developed for conference, concert, opera and theater functions were analyzed and evaluated by Demirel et al. (2018). Suggestions were made for the acoustic comfort recommended by the national and international standards as well as literature. In the study conducted by Cansever (2019), the level of noise pollution was determined by measuring the noise pollution in certain regions of Amasya. The effects of noise level on human and environmental health were examined and fruitful suggestions were made.

Regulation concerning Assessment and Management of Environmental Noise was enacted on 25.06.2002, 07.03.2008 and 04.06.2010 with the regulation numbers of 2002/49/EC, 26809 and 27601 respectively. Regulation concerning Protection of Employees from Risks Related to Noise came into force on 28.07.2013. The issue of noise control in buildings has been taken under legal control by the “Regulation on the Protection Against Noise in Buildings”, which was published in the Official Gazette on 31.05.2017. The implementation of this entered into force on 1 June 2018 in order to provide auditory comfort in buildings.

By considering the regulation and existing studies, it was brought to light that there is no study detecting the correlation of floor levels, exterior wall thickness and availability of jacketing with acoustic comfort of the existing residential buildings in Turkey. Thus, in this study, the correlation of the level of floor, wall thickness and the availability of the jacketing in the existing residential buildings in Turkey constructed before 2017 with the acoustic performances were detected according to regulation concerning “Regulation on the Protection Against Noise in Buildings”. 155 residences in different buildings having sides to the main streets were investigated in Isparta in Turkey. The obtained data were evaluated to detect the correlation of sound performance with the level of the floor, the thickness of the wall and the existence of the jacketing via Pearson correlation computed by SPSS software. This study detected strong correlations of noise performance with structural factors such as the thickness of the wall and the existence of the jacketing.

2. Classification of Acoustic Standards as per Regulation on the Protection Against Noise in Buildings

According to this regulation, the background noise of the existing buildings and acoustic performance classes in the regulation are compared to detect whether these residences satisfy the acoustic comfort or not. Background noise (for buildings) is defined as the remaining total sound measured at the same location when the noise source in the environment is deactivated (Çevre ve Şehircilik Başkanlığı, 2017). Acoustic performance classes - which are identified as A, B, C, D, E or F in the regulation - are determined by considering the internal noise, the insulation values of the building elements, the internal noise levels arising from service equipment and the reverberation times. While A represents the highest performance, F stands for the lowest performance (Çevre ve Şehircilik Başkanlığı, 2017). At least C and D classes should be met for new buildings and existing buildings respectively. Permitted noise levels in the residence depending on the acoustic performance class are illustrated in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Permitted noise levels in the residence depending on the acoustic performance class (Çevre ve Şehircilik Başkanlığı, 2017)

Function of Building	Location	Time Period		Internal noise level, L_{Aeq}^2					
		Night (23.00 -07.00)		Acoustic Performance Class					
		Evening (19.00-23.00)		A	B	C	D	E	F
		Morning (07.00-19.00)		A	B	C	D	E	F
Residential Buildings	Bedrooms	Night		26	30	34	38	42	46
	Living Spaces	24 hours		31	35	39	43	47	51
	Kitchens	24 hours		31	35	39	43	47	51

3. Proposed Method

In this study, in order to define how to improve the acoustic standards in existing structures, the correlation of the floor levels, wall thickness and the existence of the jacketing in the existing residential buildings constructed before 2017 with the acoustic performances were investigated in accordance with “Regulation on the Protection Against Noise in Buildings” published in the Official Gazette on 31st May 2017. In order to achieve the objective of this study, background noises of 155 residential buildings which have side to the main road and are located in Isparta in Turkey were measured and the level of floors, exterior wall thicknesses and the existence of the jacketing were determined. The obtained data were compared with the highest value permitted in the standard concerning “Regulation on the Protection Against Noise in Buildings” for the existing spaces of buildings in order to determine the acoustic comfort of the measured spaces. Then, the correlations of the level of floors, wall thickness and jacketing with the acoustic comfort values in the buildings were determined. Considering TS ISO 1996-2 standards (Turkish Standard, 2009), 465 measurements were conducted in 155 residences with a volume less than 300 m³ in different regions via a device named Testo 816-1. Measured buildings were constructed before the “Regulation on the Protection Against Noise in Buildings” entering into force in 2017. According to TS ISO 1996-2 standards (Turkish Standard, 2009) , at least three different microphone positions - which are evenly located - should be used in a room where sound-affected people spend time. If low-frequency noise is considered to be dominant, one

of the three microphone positions should be in the corner of the room. The location of the corner microphone should be located at a distance of at least 0.5 meters from the nearest wall. Other microphones should be positioned at least 0.5 meters from walls, ceiling or floor. It should also be positioned 1 meter away from prominent sound-conducting elements such as windows or air intakes. The distance between two neighboring microphones should be at least 0.7 meters. The processes in this article are mainly designed for rooms with a volume of less than 300 m³. The data obtained from the existing structures were compared with the C and D acoustic performance classes defined in the regulation, and thus the acoustic comforts of the existing structures were determined according to this standard. Then, the correlation of sound performance with the level of the floor, the thickness of the wall and the existence of the jacketing in existing buildings were determined by using SPSS software. Since the sample size is greater than 30 and the normal distribution is valid, Pearson correlation was adopted for this study.

4. Findings

In order to achieve the objective of this study, 155 spaces in residential buildings that had the side to the main street were selected. Permissions from the residents to conduct the noise measurements were requested. 3 measurements from different points as depicted in the regulation were made for each space and a total of 155 measurements were carried out. The results obtained from the residences measured during the day hours are depicted in Table 2 below.

Table 2. The results of the spaces measured during the day hours

Number	Floor #	Type of the space	Location (Isparta, Turkey)	Noise Level (dB)	Corresponding Acoustic Performance Class	Exterior Wall Thickness (cm)	Jacketing
1	3	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	41.2	E	20	No
2	3	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	39.8	E	20	No
3	3	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	49.3	F	20	No
4	3	Living Spaces	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	40.9	D	21	No
4	3	Kitchen	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	50	F	20	No
5	2	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	42.1	F	20	No
6	2	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	39	E	20	No
7	2	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	35.4	D	21	No
7	2	Living Spaces	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	40.3	D	21	No
7	2	Kitchen	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	49.3	F	20	No
8	2	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	30.1	C	25	Yes
9	2	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	30.6	C	25	Yes
10	2	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	30.4	C	25	Yes
11	2	Living Spaces	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	37.6	C	25	Yes
11	2	Kitchen	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	34.7	C	25	Yes
12	3	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	31.8	C	25	Yes
13	3	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	31.7	C	25	Yes
14	3	Bedroom	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	28.3	C	25	Yes
14	3	Living Spaces	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	37.2	C	25	Yes

Number	Floor #	Type of the space	Location (Isparta, Turkey)	Noise Level (dB)	Corresponding Acoustic Performance Class	Exterior Wall Thickness (cm)	Jacketing
15	3	Kitchen	Süleyman Demirel Street, Çünür District	37.2	C	25	Yes
16	3	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	40.4	D	21	Yes
16	3	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	31.4	C	21	Yes
17	3	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	33	C	21	Yes
18	4	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	45.2	E	20	No
18	4	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	40.3	D	21	No
19	4	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	38.3	E	20	No
20	3	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	47.9	F	20	No
20	3	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	41.6	D	21	No
21	3	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	38.7	E	20	No
22	GL	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	46.6	D	21	No
22	GL	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	46.2	E	20	No
22	GL	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	44.7	F	20	No
23	1	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	39.2	D	21	No
23	1	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	40.3	D	21	No
24	1	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	35.2	D	21	No
25	2	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	39.1	D	21	No
25	2	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	41.2	D	21	No
26	2	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	34.4	D	21	No
27	3	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	36.8	D	21	No
28	3	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	43	D	21	No
29	3	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	34.5	D	21	No
30	GL	Living Spaces	202 Street, Zafer District	43	D	27	Yes
30	GL	Kitchen	202 Street, Zafer District	38,9	C	27	Yes
31	GL	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	38	D	27	Yes
32	GL	Bedroom	202 Street, Zafer District	37,8	D	27	Yes
33	1	Living Spaces	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	39,3	C	27	Yes
33	1	Kitchen	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	39,5	D	27	Yes
34	1	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	33,3	C	27	Yes
35	1	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	36,4	D	27	Yes
36	2	Living Spaces	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	39,4	D	27	Yes
36	2	Kitchen	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	39	C	27	Yes
37	2	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	34	C	27	Yes
38	2	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	33,4	C	27	Yes
39	2	Living Spaces	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	44.6	E	20	No

Number	Floor #	Type of the space	Location (Isparta, Turkey)	Noise Level (dB)	Corresponding Acoustic Performance Class	Exterior Wall Thickness (cm)	Jacketing
40	2	Kitchen	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	43.6	E	20	No
40	2	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	39.1	E	20	No
41	4	Living Spaces	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	48.3	F	20	No
41	4	Kitchen	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	52.8	F	20	No
42	4	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	43.6	F	20	No
43	1	Living Spaces	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	44.4	E	20	No
43	1	Kitchen	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	47.5	F	20	No
44	1	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	38.1	E	20	No
45	2	Living Spaces	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	38,1	C	25	Yes
45	2	Kitchen	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	40,7	D	25	Yes
46	2	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	38	D	25	Yes
47	1	Living Spaces	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	39.3	D	20	Yes
47	1	Kitchen	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	41.6	D	20	Yes
48	1	Bedroom	Mimar Sinan Street, İstiklal District	37.9	D	20	Yes
49	4	Living Spaces	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	48.7	F	20	No
49	4	Kitchen	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	42.6	D	21	No
50	4	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	36.1	D	21	No
51	4	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	39	E	20	No
52	1	Living Spaces	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	39.1	D	21	No
52	1	Kitchen	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	43.4	E	20	No
53	1	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	38.1	E	20	No
54	2	Living Spaces	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	46.4	E	20	No
54	2	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	37.7	D	21	No
55	2	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	41.8	E	20	No
56	5	Living Spaces	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	39	C	27	Yes
56	5	Kitchen	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	40	D	27	Yes
56	5	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	33,8	C	27	Yes
57	3	Living Spaces	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	44.8	E	20	No
57	3	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	41.3	E	20	No
58	5	Living Spaces	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	45.2	E	19	No
58	5	Kitchen	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	49	F	19	No
58	5	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	42.4	F	19	No
59	5	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	40.1	E	19	No
60	2	Living Spaces	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	44.9	E	20	No

Number	Floor #	Type of the space	Location (Isparta, Turkey)	Noise Level (dB)	Corresponding Acoustic Performance Class	Exterior Wall Thickness (cm)	Jacketing
60	2	Kitchen	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	45.6	E	20	No
61	2	Bedroom	İstasyon Street, İstiklal District	43.7	F	20	No
62	GL	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	47.3	F	20	No
62	GL	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	39.8	E	20	No
63	4	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	43.5	E	20	No
63	4	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	45.9	E	20	No
64	4	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	38.8	E	20	No
65	1	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	39.1	D	20	Yes
66	1	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	43	D	20	Yes
66	1	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	36.4	D	20	Yes
67	1	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	39.9	D	20	Yes
67	1	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	34.3	D	20	Yes
68	GL	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	48	F	19	No
68	GL	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	41.8	E	19	No
69	2	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	42,2	D	23	Yes
69	2	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	40,4	D	23	Yes
70	2	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	32,6	C	23	Yes
71	2	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	33,5	C	23	Yes
71	2	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	40.2	D	25	Yes
72	2	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	41.1	D	25	Yes
73	2	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	37.3	D	25	Yes
74	2	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	34.9	D	25	Yes
74	2	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	45.1	E	20	No
75	2	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	39.4	E	20	No
76	3	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	44.2	E	20	No
76	3	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	38.4	E	20	No
77	1	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	49.4	F	20	No
77	1	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	48.9	F	20	No
78	1	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	43	F	20	No
79	1	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	44.2	F	20	No
80	1	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	43.1	E	20	No

Number	Floor #	Type of the space	Location (Isparta, Turkey)	Noise Level (dB)	Corresponding Acoustic Performance Class	Exterior Wall Thickness (cm)	Jacketing
80	1	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	38.7	E	20	No
81	2	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	40.2	D	21	No
81	2	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	44.2	E	20	No
82	2	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	34.3	D	21	No
83	2	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	38	D	21	No
84	7	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	44.7	E	20	No
84	7	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	41.4	D	21	No
84	7	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	36.8	D	21	No
85	4	Living Spaces	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	44.7	E	19	No
85	4	Kitchen	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	44.6	E	19	No
85	4	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	41	E	19	No
86	4	Bedroom	Alparslan Türkeş Street, Davraz District	40.3	E	19	No
87	GL	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	38,5	C	20	Yes
87	GL	Kitchen	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	37,7	C	20	Yes
87	GL	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	34,8	D	20	Yes
88	1	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	41.9	D	21	No
88	1	Kitchen	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	44	E	20	No
89	1	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	39.1	E	20	No
90	2	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	44.6	E	20	No
90	2	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	40.7	D	21	No
91	3	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	40.8	D	21	No
91	3	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	38.1	E	20	No
92	1	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	45	E	20	No
92	1	Kitchen	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	47.4	F	20	No
93	1	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	40.4	E	20	No
94	1	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	42.4	F	20	No
95	5	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	42.9	D	21	No
95	5	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	38.1	E	20	No
96	6	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	42.3	D	21	No
96	6	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	39	E	20	No
97	7	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	39.9	D	21	No
97	7	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	36.8	D	21	No
98	1	Living Spaces	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	45.3	E	20	No
98	1	Kitchen	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	43.4	E	20	No

Number	Floor #	Type of the space	Location (Isparta, Turkey)	Noise Level (dB)	Corresponding Acoustic Performance Class	Exterior Wall Thickness (cm)	Jacketing
99	1	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	40.3	E	20	No
100	1	Bedroom	Gölcük Street, Gülistan District	40.9	E	20	No

The thickness of jacketing - which was rigid foam - was detected as 5cm in the measured residences. Measured walls were made by standard Izo-Brick wall and their Loss of Sound Crossings (Rw) were 40 dB according to factory specification. In the light of Table 2, the classification of the spaces according to acoustic performance classes of measured spaces, wall thicknesses and jacketing are tabulated in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Wall thicknesses, acoustic performance class and jacketing conditions of residences.

Exterior Wall Thickness of the Space (cm)	Number of Space	C		D		E		F	
		Jacketing							
		Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
19	10						7		3
20	75	2		9			45		19
21	34	2		1	31				
23	4	2		2					
25	17	11		6					
27	15	8		7					
Total	155	25		25	31		52		22

As depicted in Table 3, while A and B acoustic performance classes were not achieved, C, D, E and F acoustic performance classes were met by 25, 56, 52 and 22 residences respectively. Residences meeting the C condition contain both jacketing and exterior walls having thickness above 20 cm. 25 spaces meeting condition D which is the minimum limit of the acoustic comfort were jacketed and their outer wall thicknesses were in the range of 20-27 cm. However, 31 spaces meeting condition D were not jacketed and their exterior wall thickness was 21 cm. The spaces - which were not jacketed and had wall thickness as 20 cm and below - met the E and F conditions. The data were analyzed via SPSS software to determine the correlation of the wall thickness and jacketing with the noise level by using Pearson Correlation. The output is depicted in Table 4 below.

Table 4. Correlation of the wall thickness and jacketing with the Noise Level

Correlations		Noise Level
Noise Level	Pearson Correlation	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	
	N	155
Exterior Wall Thickness	Pearson Correlation	-.504**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	155
Floor	Pearson Correlation	.008
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.919
	N	155
Jacketing	Pearson Correlation	-.556**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000
	N	155

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

The value of the correlation coefficient ranges from +1 to -1 in terms of the strength of the relationship. As the correlation coefficient value approaches 0, the relationship between the two variables weakens. A '+' sign stands for a positive relationship and a '-' sign denotes a negative relationship. As is seen in Table 4, while both Exterior Wall thickness and availability of jacketing have positive correlations which are around 0.5, floor level doesn't have any significant correlation with the noise level.

5. Conclusion

In order to provide comfort by diminishing the negative effects of noise on human health, it is necessary to determine the acoustic criteria correctly and to examine and improve the acoustic comforts of existing buildings. Standards concerning the limit values for environmental noise, background noise and sound insulation for buildings elements are specified in the regulations. In this study, a total of 465 measurements were made in three different points of 155 residences with a volume of less than 300 m³ via Testo 816-1 device in accordance with TS ISO 1996-2 standards. These measurements were compared with the highest permitted in-room noise levels defined in the "Regulation on Noise Protection of Buildings" published in the Official Gazette on 31 May 2017. It should provide at least C class for new buildings, at least D class for the existing buildings. Of 81 (52%) residences providing the acoustic performance classes (C, D) in total, 50 (32%) spaces were externally jacketed and had external walls with thicknesses over 25 cm. 31 over 81 spaces providing the acoustic performance classes (D) have external walls with thicknesses of 21 cm without jacketing. However, 74 spaces where the acoustic comforts were not satisfied according to concerning standard have no jacketing and their wall thicknesses were 20cm and below. It is explicitly concluded that the external wall thickness and the existence of jacketing positively affect the acoustic comfort and living standards accordingly.

Conflict of Interest

No conflict of interest was declared by the author.

References

- Acar, B., & Akdağ Yüğrük, N. (2008). Açık Planlı Bürolarda Akustik Sorunlar ve Denetim Önlemleri: Bir Örnek Üzerinde Değerlendirmeler. *YTÜ Mim. Fak. E-Dergisi*, 3(1).
- Bayramoğlu, E., Özdemir Işık, B., & Demirel, Ö. (2014). Gürültü Kirliliğinin Kent Parklarına Etkisi ve Çözüm Önerileri: Trabzon Kenti Örneği. *İnönü Üniversitesi Sanat ve Tasarım Dergisi*, 4(9).
- Brooker, G., Stone, S., & Uçar (çevirmen), C. (2012). *İç Mimarlıkta Bağlam+Çevre*. İstanbul: Literatür . Retrieved 03 20, 2019, from www.kentakademisi.com
- Bulunuz, M., Bulunuz, N., & Kelmendi Tuncal, J. (2017). Akustik İyileştirme Yapılmış Bir Okulda Gürültü Düzeyinin Değerlendirilmesi. *Articles /Makaleler*, 13(4).
- Cansaran, D. (2019). Gürültü Kirliliği Düzeyini Belirlemeye Yönelik Bir Çalışma: Amasya Örneği *. *SBF Dergisi*, 74(1).
- Çevre ve Şehircilik Başkanlığı. (2017, 05 31). Binaları Gürültüye Karşı Korunması Hakkında Yönetmelik. (30082). Resmi Gazete.
- Demirel, F. (2006). Konutların Zemin ve İkinci Katlarında Oluşan Tesisat Gürültüsü Üzerine Bir Araştırma. *Politeknik Dergisi*, 9(2).
- Demirel, F., İlisulu, S., & Görkem, M. (2018). Sivas Kültür Merkezi Çok Amaçlı Salonu Akustik Tasarımı. *Politeknik Dergisi*, 21(3).
- Kavraz, M. (2015). Gürültü Düzeylerinin İç Mekanlar Açısından Değerlendirilmesi -KTÜ Kanuni Kampüsü Örneği. *Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Mühendislik Bilimleri ve Tasarım Dergisi*, 3(3).
- Maraş, E., Alkış, Z., & Maraş, H. (2010). Çevresel Gürültü Haritalarının Hazırlanmasında CBS'nin Önemi. III. Uzaktan Algılama ve Coğrafi Bilgi Sistemleri Sempozyumu. Kocaeli. Retrieved 03 20, 2019
- Morgül, Ö., & Dal, H. (2012). Sakarya İli Şehir Merkezinin Gürültü Kirliliği Üzerine Bir Ön Çalışma. *SAÜ. Fen Bilimleri Dergisi*, 16(2).
- Özçetin, Z., & Demirel, F. (2012). Gürültü Kontrolüne Yönelik Mevzuatların Konservatuvar Binaları Açısından İncelenmesi. *Beykent University Journal Of Science And Engineering*. Ankara.
- Özçetin, Z., Demirel, F., Pektaş, S., & Eminel, M. (2015). Eğitim Yapılarında Sürdürülebilir Malzeme ve Akustik Konfor Koşullarının Sağlanmasına Yönelik Bir Çalışma. In *ISBS (Ed.)*. Ankara.
- Özer, S. (2014). Erzurum Kent Parklarındaki Gürültü Kirliliğinin Belirlenmesi: Aziziye Parkı Örneğinde. *Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, 11(2).
- Şentop, A. (2013, 06). Binaların Gürültü Kontrolü Etkin Tasarımı İçin Yapı Elemanı Seçim Aracı. İstanbul Teknik Üniversitesi ↔ Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü. İstanbul.
- Turkish Standard. (2009, March). TS ISO 1996-2. Turkey.
- Tüzel, S. (2013). Sınıf İçi Gürültünün Öğrencilerin Dinleme Sürecindeki Bilişsel Performansına Etkisi. *Eğitimde Kuram ve Uygulama*, 9(4).
- Untuç, B., & Yüğrük Akdağ, N. (2017). Yapılarda Gürültü Denetimi : Bir Örnek Kapsamında Değerlendirmeler. *Artium*, 5(2).