

# Valuation rings and modules

*M. H. Hosseini and M. Zolfaghari*

Academic member of School of Mathematics, University of Birjand, Iran

Received: 14 August 2014, Revised: 4 October 2014, Accepted: 17 December 2014

Published online: 4 March 2015

**Abstract:** The purpose of this paper is to compare and investigate relations between valuation rings and valuation modules.

**Keywords:** Multiplication module, valuation ring, valuation module.

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{R}$  denotes an integral domain, with quotient field  $K$ ,  $T = \mathcal{R} - \{0\}$  and  $M$  is a unitary  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. An  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$  is called a multiplication  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, if for each submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , there exists an ideal  $I$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  such that  $N = IM$ . (For more information about multiplication modules, see [2,4]). An integral domain  $\mathcal{R}$  is called a valuation ring, if for each  $x \in K = \mathcal{R} - \{0\}$ ,  $x \in \mathcal{R}$  or  $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}$ . In [3], valuation modules in case module is torsion-free investigated. Moreover in [1], nonfinitely generated submodules of faithful multiplication valuation modules is investigated.

## 2 Valuation Rings

**Definition 2. 1.** A subring  $\mathcal{R}$  of a field  $K$  is called a valuation ring of  $K$  if for every  $\alpha \in K$ ,  $\alpha \neq 0$ , either  $\alpha \in \mathcal{R}$  or  $\alpha^{-1} \in \mathcal{R}$ .

### Example 2. 1.

- 1) Any field of  $K$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ .
- 2) Let  $p$  be a fixed prime. Let  $R \subset \mathbb{Q}$ , the field of rationals, be defined by

$$R = \left\{ p^r \frac{m}{n} \mid r \geq 0, (p, m) = (p, n) = (m, n) = 1 \right\}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a valuation ring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proposition 2. 1.** Let  $V$  be a valuation ring of  $K$ . Then

1.  $K$  is the quotient field of  $V$ .
2. Any subring of  $K$  containing  $V$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ .

\* Corresponding author e-mail: [mhosseini@birjand.ac.ir](mailto:mhosseini@birjand.ac.ir)

3.  $V$  is a local ring.
4.  $V$  is integrally closed.

**Proposition 2.2.** The ideals of a valuation ring are totally ordered by inclusion. Conversely if the ideals of domain  $V$  with quotient field  $K$  are totally ordered by inclusion, then  $V$  is a valuation ring of  $K$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** If  $V$  is a valuation ring of  $K$  and  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $V$ , then  $V_P$  and  $\frac{V}{P}$  are valuation ring.

**Corollary 2.2.** Any Noetherian valuation ring is a principal ideal domain.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $V$  be a Noetherian valuation ring. Then there exists an irreducible element  $p \in V$  such that every ideal of  $V$  is of the type  $I = (p^m)$ ,  $m \geq 1$  and  $\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} (p^m) = 0$ .

### 3 Valuation Modules

Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$  and  $M$  a torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. For  $y = \frac{r}{s} \in K$  and  $x \in M$ , we say that  $yx \in M$  if there exists  $m \in M$  such that  $rx = sm$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$  and  $M$  a torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1) For all  $y \in K$  and all  $x \in M$ ,  $yx \in M$  or  $y^{-1}M \subseteq M$ ;
- 2) For all  $y \in K$ ,  $yM \subseteq M$  or  $y^{-1}M \subseteq M$ .

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $R$  be an integral domain with quotient field  $K$ . A torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$  is called valuation module ( $VM$ ) if one of the condition of Lemma 3.1 holds.

**Example 3.1.**

- 1) Any vector space is a valuation module.
- 2) Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a domain.  $\mathcal{R}$  is a valuation ring if and only if  $\mathcal{R}$  is a valuation  $\mathcal{R}$ -module.
- 3) Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $p$  be a prime integer number. If

$$M = \left\{ p^n \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b, n \in \mathbb{Z}, b \neq 0, n \geq 1, (p, a) = (p, b) = (a, b) = 1 \right\}$$

then  $M$  is a valuation module.

- 4)  $\mathbb{Z}$  is not a valuation  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module.

An  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$  is said to be integrally closed whenever  $y^n m_n + \cdots + y m_1 + m_0 = 0$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}, y \in K$  and  $m_i \in M$ , then  $y m_n \in M$ .

**Lemma 3.2.** Any valuation module is integrally closed.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $K$  be the quotient field of a domain  $\mathcal{R}$  and  $M$  a torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Let  $S$  be the set, ordered by inclusion, of all nonempty subsets of  $M$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1)  $M$  is a valuation module;
- 2)  $S' = \{(N : M) \mid N \in S\}$  is totally ordered;
- 3) For  $U = \{rM \mid r \in R\}$  the subset of  $S$ ,  $U'$  is totally ordered.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a domain and  $M$  a torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Then  $M$  is a valuation module if and only if for any submodules  $N, L$  of  $M$ ,  $(N : M) \subseteq (L : M)$  or  $(L : M) \subseteq (N : M)$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a domain and  $M$  a faithful multiplication  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Then  $M$  is a valuation module if and only if for any two submodules  $N, L$  of  $M$ ,  $N \subseteq L$  or  $L \subseteq N$ .

**Remark 3.1.**  $R^2$  is a valuation  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, but not a multiplication  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Note that  $R \oplus (0) \not\subseteq (0) \oplus R$  and  $(0) \oplus R \not\subseteq (0) \oplus R$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{R}$  does not have non-zero maximal submodules as an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Any vector space is a  $VM$ , but an infinite dimensional vector space has infinite number of maximal submodules. So it is not necessary that each valuation module has a (unique) maximal submodule.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a valuation  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Then the following statements are true.

- 1) For any submodule  $N$  of  $M$ , such that  $\frac{M}{N}$  is a torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module,  $\frac{M}{N}$  is a  $(VM)$ .
- 2) If  $M$  is finitely generated, then for each  $p \in \text{Spec}(R)$ ,  $M_p$  is a valuation  $R_p$ -module.
- 3) If  $M'$  is a torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $\varphi : M \rightarrow M'$  is an epimorphism, then  $M'$  is a valuation module too.

The following give the relations between valuation rings and valuation modules.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a valuation ring and  $M$  a torsionfree  $\mathcal{R}$ -module. Then  $M$  is a valuation  $\mathcal{R}$ -module.

**Lemma 3.4.** If  $M$  is a multiplication valuation  $\mathcal{R}$ -module, then  $M$  is finitely generated and  $\mathcal{R}$  is a valuation ring.

**Lemma 3.5.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a valuation domain. Then every finitely generated torsion-free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module is free.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a domain. Then  $\mathcal{R}$  is a valuation ring if and only if every free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module is a valuation module.

**Corollary 3.3.** Let  $M$  be a multiplication valuation module over an integral domain  $\mathcal{R}$ . Then  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{R}$ .

An element  $u$  of an  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$  is said to be unit provided that  $u$  is not contained in any maximal submodule of  $M$ . In a multiplication  $\mathcal{R}$ -module  $M$ ,  $u \in M$  is unit if and only if  $M = Ru$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be a local ring (not necessarily an integral domain) with unique principal maximal ideal  $I = (p)$  and  $M$  a multiplication  $\mathcal{R}$ -module such that

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (p^n)M = (0).$$

Then the only proper submodules of  $M$  are  $(0)$  and  $(p^m)M$ , for some  $m \geq 1$ . Furthermore, if  $M$  is faithful, then either  $p$  is nilpotent or  $M$  is a valuation module.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated module over an integrally closed ring  $\mathcal{R}$ . If  $M$  is a valuation module, then  $M$  is a free  $\mathcal{R}$ -module and  $\mathcal{R}$  is a valuation ring.

## References

- [1] M.M. Ali, Invertibility of multiplication modules II. *New Zealand J. Math.* , 39 (2009), 45- 64.
- [2] Z. Abd El-bast and P.F. Smith, Multiplication modules, *Comm. Algebra*, 16(4) (1988), 755-779.
- [3] J. Moghaderi and R. Nekooei, Valuation, discrete valuation and Dedekind modules, *Int. Electron. J. Algebra*, 8 (2010), 18-29.
- [4] P.F. Smith, Some remarks on multiplication modules, *Arch. Math.* 50 (1988), 223-235.
- [5] M.D. Larsen and P.J. McCarthy, *Multiplicative theory of ideals*, Academic Press, London,(1971). pp 105-107.
- [6] N. S. Gopalakrishnan, *Commutative Algebra*, New Delhi, Oxanian Press (1983). pp 106-111.