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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study an existential question for strictly decreasing convergent sequences. Applying Du's existence theorem, our question will be answered affirmatively.
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## 1. Question and Answer

In this paper, we study the following interesting question:
Question: Does there exist a strictly decreasing sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$ and

$$
\exp \left(2022\left(a_{n+1}\right)^{3}\right) \sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)<a_{n} \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N} ?
$$

In fact, this existential question is not easy to answer. In this article, we will apply the following known existence theorem, proved by Du [2], to slove this question. We give the proof of Du's existence theorem here for the sake of completeness and the readers convenience.

[^0]Theorem 1. (see [包, Lemma 3.1]) Let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tau: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $\lim _{x \rightarrow \beta^{+}} \tau(x)=\beta$. Then there exists a strictly decreasing $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers such that $\tau\left(\beta+\lambda_{n+1}\right)<\beta+\lambda_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_{n} \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. If $\tau(x)=\beta$ is a constant function, then we can choose a positive real number $a$ and finish the proof by taking $\lambda_{n}=\frac{a}{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $\tau$ is not a constant function. For any $\epsilon>0$, since $\lim _{x \rightarrow \beta^{+}} \tau(x)=\beta$, there exists $\delta=\delta(\epsilon)>0$ such that

$$
\beta<x<\beta+\delta \quad \text { implies } \quad \tau(x)<\beta+\epsilon .
$$

Given $\lambda_{1}>0$. Then there is $\delta_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\beta<x<\beta+\delta_{1} \quad \text { implies } \quad \tau(x)<\beta+\lambda_{1} .
$$

Let $\lambda_{2}=\min \left\{\frac{\delta_{1}}{2}, \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2}\right\}$. Then $\beta<\beta+\lambda_{2}<\beta+\delta_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1}$. So we have from the last inequality that

$$
\tau\left(\beta+\lambda_{2}\right)<\beta+\lambda_{1}
$$

For $\lambda_{2}$, it must exist $\delta_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\beta<x<\beta+\delta_{2} \quad \text { implies } \quad \tau(x)<\beta+\lambda_{2} .
$$

Put $\lambda_{3}=\min \left\{\frac{\delta_{2}}{2}, \frac{\lambda_{2}}{2}\right\}$. Thus $\beta<\beta+\lambda_{3}<\beta+\delta_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}<\lambda_{2}$. The last inequality deduces

$$
\tau\left(\beta+\lambda_{3}\right)<\beta+\lambda_{2}
$$

Continuing this process, for $\lambda_{k}, k \in \mathbb{N}$ with $k \geq 2$, it must exist $\delta_{k}>0$ such that

$$
\beta<x<\beta+\delta_{k} \quad \text { implies } \quad \tau(x)<\beta+\lambda_{k} .
$$

Take

$$
\lambda_{k+1}=\min \left\{\frac{\delta_{k}}{2}, \frac{\lambda_{k}}{2}\right\} .
$$

Then we get $\lambda_{k+1}<\lambda_{k}$ and $\tau\left(\beta+\lambda_{k+1}\right)<\beta+\lambda_{k}$. So, we can construct a strictly decreasing sequences $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}$ of positive real numbers such that

$$
\tau\left(\beta+\lambda_{n+1}\right)<\beta+\lambda_{n} \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

By the definition of $\lambda_{n}$, we have $0<\lambda_{n+1} \leq \frac{\lambda_{1}}{2^{n}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, which yields $\lambda_{n} \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof is completed.

Take $\beta=0$ in Theorem 1, we can obtain the following result immediately.
Corollary 2. (see [1, Corollary 2]) Let $\tau: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} \tau(x)=0$. Then there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\left\{\lambda_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers such that $\tau\left(\lambda_{n+1}\right)<\lambda_{n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_{n} \downarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By Corollary 2 (or Theorem 1), our question will be answered affirmatively.
Solution: The answer is Yes. Indeed, define $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
f(x)=\exp \left(2022 x^{3}\right) \sin (\sin (\sin (\sin (\sin (\sin (\sin (\sin (\sin (\sin x)))))))))
$$

It is easy to see that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0^{+}} f(x)=0$. Hence, by applying Corollary 2 , there exists a strictly decreasing sequence $\left\{a_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive real numbers such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{n}=0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left(2022\left(a_{n+1}\right)^{3}\right) \sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin \left(\sin a_{n+1}\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right)\right) & =f\left(a_{n+1}\right) \\
& <a_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
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