ON THE PROBABILITY OF THE CREATION OF THE IBN TAYMIYYA SCHOOL OF OTTOMAN THOUGHT VIA BIRGIWĪ MEḤMED EFENDĪ - A Critical Approach –

Âdem Arıkan

Istanbul University, Istanbul-Turkey
E-mail: arikana@istanbul.edu.tr

Abstract

Ottoman religious thought is divided into two essential schools named after Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī and Ibn Taymiyya. The Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī School is identified with Māturīdism, whereas Ottoman scholar Birgiwī Meḥmed Efendī (929-981/1523-1573) is considered a disciple of the Ibn Taymiyya School. Birgiwī's *madhhab* is often described as Salafī, Ḥanbalī, Ash'arī, or Māturīdī. This study assesses such claims using evidence from the sources whose attribution to Birgiwī is indisputable. An analysis of Birgiwī's works clearly shows that he is a member of the Māturīdī School. Nevertheless, the study reveals the necessity of reviewing certain classifications, denominations, and generalizations. Based on Birgiwī's extant works, this paper makes several objections to his being considered a representative or member of the Ibn Taymiyya School and demonstrates that Birgiwī is completely aligned with Māturīdī with regard to theological issues.

Key Words: Birgiwī Meḥmed Efendī, Ibn Taymiyya, Salafism, Māturīdism, Ottoman religious thought

DOI: 10.12730/13091719.2015.62.130

Introduction

The Ottoman Empire existed for centuries and covered a vast geographical area. Studies on this era adopt numerous approaches and use a variety of definitions and classifications. According to one of these classifications, Ottoman religious thought is principally shaped by two schools: the 'Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī School' and the 'Ibn Taymiyya School.' According to this classification, Birgiwī Mehmed Efendī (929-981/1523-1573) is a representative of the Ibn Taymiyya School 1

The influence of Ibn Taymiyya in Wahhābī circles made his other circles of influence the subject of scholarly research. Prior to Wahhābism, Ibn Taymiyya's views influenced certain scholarly circles in the vast Ottoman territory. In the history of Islamic sects, Salafism comes to mind as the first to incorporate the opinions of Ibn Taymiyya. According to certain academics, Salafism, however, is an ideology rather than a madhhab.2 The acceptance of Salafism as a madbhab is unwelcome (bid'a) to those who are tied to the Salaf.3

By consulting Birgiwi's extant works, this study intends to reveal possible objections to his positioning within the Ibn Taymiyya School.

Birgiwī and the Ibn Taymiyya School

Birgiwī was born in Balıkesir in 929/1523. 4 His father was mudarris Pīr 'Alī who provided Birgiwī with his initial education. 5 Birgiwī later

Ahmet Yaşar Ocak, Yeniçağlar Anadolu'sunda İslam'ın Ayak İzleri: Osmanlı Dönemi, Makaleler-Araştırmalar (Istanbul: Kitap Yayınevi, 2011), 178 (hereafter cited as Osmanlı Dönemi).

Mehmet Hayri Kırbaşoğlu, "Maziden Atiye Selefî Düşüncenin Anatomisi," İslâmiyât 10/1 (2007), 142.

Muḥammad Saʿīd Ramaḍān al-Būṭī, al-Salafiyya: marḥala zamaniyya mubāraka lā madhhab Islāmī (8th edn., Damascus: Dār al-Fikr, 2006), 219 ff.

In Birgiwi's words, "I was born on the tenth day of Jamādī al-awwal in the year nine hundred twenty-nine (929)." See Muḥammad ibn Pīr ʿAlī al-Birgiwī, Vasiyyet-nâme: Dil İncelemesi, Metin, Sözlük, Ekler İndeksi ve Tıpkıbasım (ed. Musa Duman; Istanbul: Risale Yayınları, 2000), 122 (hereafter cited as Vasiyyetnâme).

Abū Muḥammad Muṣṭafā ibn Ḥusayn ibn Sinān al-Janābī, al-'Aylam al-zākhir fī aḥwāl al-awā'il wa-l-awākhir [also known as Tārīkh al-Janābī] (MS Istanbul,

left for Istanbul and attended courses taught by Akhī-zāda Meḥmed Efendī (d. 974/1563) and Qāḍī-ʿaskar ʿAbd al-Raḥmān Efendī (d. 983/1575). He was also a follower of ʿAbd Allāh al-Qaramānī (d. 972/1564-5), the Bayrāmī sheikh. At the recommendation of his sheikh, he resumed his courses and *irshād* activities. He was subsequently appointed *mudarris* of Dār al-Ḥadīth, which was built in Birgi at the behest of ʿAṭā' Allāh Efendī (d. 979/1571), the mentor of Sultan Selīm II (1566-1574). He spent the remainder of his life in Birgi, pursuing educational and writing activities. He became known by the name Birgiwī (from Birgi). In the twilight of his life, Birgiwī returned to Istanbul to advise Soqollu Meḥmed Pāshā (d. 987/1579), the Grand Vizier. Birgiwī passed away in 981/1573 and was interred in Birgi. T

Birgiwī's views remained influential for many years. According to classical references, Birgiwī had many followers during the Ottoman era. Terzioğlu found the expression "*khulafā*" of Birgiwī" among fatwās by As'ad Efendī (Sheikh al-Islām between 1615-1622 and 1623-1625) and also "Birgiwīs" in a treatise written by one Ḥājī Aḥmad in 1056/1646-1647.8

Nuruosmaniye Library, no: 3100), 427a. Muḥammad ibn Bahā' al-Dīn (d. 953/1546), the cousin of Birgiwī, consulted Pīr 'Alī before writing a commentary on *al-Fiqh al-akbar* by al-Imām Abū Ḥanīfa; see Ḥājī Khalīfa Muṣṭafā ibn 'Abd Allāh Kātib Chalabī (as Kâtip Çelebi), *Mîzânü'l-Hakk fī ibtiyâri'l-ehakk* [=*Mīzān al-ḥaqq fī ikhtiyār al-aḥaqq*] (translated into Turkish Orhan Şaik Gökyay and Süleyman Uludağ; Istanbul: Kabalcı Yayınevi, 2008), 51, 179, 297.

- For a description of Birgiwi's educational activities at the madrasa, see Huriye Martı, Osmanlı'da Bir Dâru'l-Hadis Şeyhi: Birgivî Mehmed Efendi (Istanbul: Dârulhadis, 2008), 59 ff.
- Alī ibn Bālī, al-'Iqd al-manzūm fi dbikr afādil al-Rūm [as an annex to al-Shaqā'iq al-Nu'māniyya by Ṭāshkuprī-zāda] (Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1975), 436-437; Naw'ī-zāda 'Aṭā'ī, Ḥadā'iq al-ḥaqā'iq fī takmilat al-Shaqā'iq (Istanbul: Çağrı Yayınları, 1989), II, 179-181; For further information and sources about the life of Birgiwī, see Kasım Kufrevî, "Birgewī," in: Encyclopaedia of Islam Second Edition (eds. P. Bearman, Th. Bianquis, C.E. Bosworth, E. van Donzel, and W.P. Heinrichs; accessed June 16, 2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912 islam SIM 1434.
- Derin Terzioğlu, Sufi and Dissident in the Ottoman Empire: Niyāzī-i Miṣrī, 1618-1694 (PhD dissertation; Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, 1999), 200, 202; id., "Sunna-minded sufi preachers in service of the ottoman state: the naṣīḥatnāme

Ottoman religious thought is generally categorized into the 'Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī School' and the 'Ibn Taymiyya School.' Within this division, Birgiwī is typically positioned as a follower of the Ibn Taymiyya School:

Ottoman scholars preferred two regions, namely, the Middle East and Central Asia, for education in the religious sciences. (...) Whoever studied and was specialized in these regions essentially brought two theological schools into the Ottoman lands. The first is the Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī (or briefly Fakhr al-Rāzī) school, preferred by the Ottoman central government during the establishment of the Ottoman religious bureaucracy; and the second is the Ibn Taymiyya school, which was initiated as a reaction to the former in the $16^{\rm th}$ century.

Based on reason ('aql) and ideas (ra'y), the Fakhr al-Rāzī School was strongly represented by prominent scholars during the post-Ghazālī era (d. 1111) from the 12th to the late 14th century, including Najm al-Dīn 'Umar al-Nasafī (d. 537/1142), Abū l-Qāsim Maḥmūd al-Zamakhsharī (d. 538/1144), Burhān al-Dīn al-Marghīnānī (d. 593/1197), Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī (d. 606/1209), Naṣīr al-Dīn al-Ṭūsī (d. 672/1274), Qāḍī al-Bayḍāwī (d. 685/1286), 'Aḍud al-Dīn al-Ījī (d. 756/1335) Quṭb al-Dīn al-Rāzī (d. 766/1364), Sa'd al-Dīn al-Taftāzānī (d. 792/1390), and Sayyid Sharīf al-Jurjānī (d. 816/1413).⁹

Numerous studies repeat these views about the foregoing classification. Māturīdism is described under the heading of "the Fakhr al-Rāzī School and followers," indicating that Māturīdism replaced the Rāzī School. The Rāzī School is therefore identified with Māturīdism, and the same scholars are mentioned as representatives of both:

Māturīdism, one of the two major faith schools in Sunnī Islam (Ash'arism is the other), was founded by Muḥammad Abū Manṣūr al-

of Hasan addressed to Murad IV," *Archivum Ottomanicum* 27 (2010), 255 (The records by Terzioğlu about the manuscripts are as follows: As'ad Efendī, *Fatāwā-yi Muntakhab* (MS Istanbul: Süleymaniye Library, Kasidecizade, no: 277), 1b-6b, 46b; Ḥājī Aḥmad, *Risāla-i 'ajība* (MS Istanbul: Topkapı Palace Museum Library, Bağdat Elyazmaları, no: 404), 96b-98b.

Ocak, "Ottoman Intellectual Life in the Classical Period," in H. C. Güzel, K. Çiçek, and S. Koca (eds.), *The Turks* (Ankara: Yeni Türkiye Yayınları, 2002), III, 749-750; Ocak, "Religious Sciences and the Ulema," in Halil İnalcık and Günsel Renda (eds.), *Ottoman Civilization* (translated into English by Ellen Yazar and Priscilla Mary Işın; Ankara: Ministry of Culture, 2003), I, 260-261.

Māturīdī (d. 333/944) in Samarqand. Based on 'aql and ra'y, the school achieved great progress thanks to efforts by scholars educated in the Transoxiana and Khwārizm, such as (...) 'Umar al-Nasafī, al-Zamakhsharī, (...), Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī, and Naṣīr al-Dīn al-Ṭūsī, (...) who were notable names in the *muta'akhkhirūn* tradition.¹⁰

Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī's criticisms of Māturīdism during his discussions with Māturīdī scholar Nūr al-Dīn al-Ṣābūnī (d. 580/1184) can be read today in al-Rāzī's own works. Therefore, it could be possible to oppose against this categorization through al-Rāzī's own writings. Other sources and studies about the Rāzī School and its disciples or followers also mention the names of certain scholars within the context of restrictions to the definitions of terms such as wisdom (*bikma*), logic (*manţiq*), and investigation (*taḥqīq*). In the abovementioned categorization, these scholars are known for "concentrating on 'aql and ra'y;" therefore, these features must be taken into account when positioning them within the Rāzī/Māturīdī School. Nevertheless, descriptions about Birgiwī, which place him at the center of the opposite side (the Ibn Taymiyya School), prove that the classification was also based on *madbhab* identities:

Thus, as early as his lifetime, Birgiwī gave birth to a second and purist Sunnī approach as an alternative to the pragmatic Sunnī theology of the Ottoman central government; therefore, even though he is actually a Ḥanafī, it would not be incorrect to associate him with Ibn Taymiyya, or even the Ḥanbalī School.¹⁴

-

Ocak, *Osmanlı Dönemi*, 175; id., "Religious Sciences and the Ulema," 261; id., "al-Ḥayāt al-dīniyya wa-l-fikriyya," in Ekmeleddin İhsanoğlu (ed.), *al-Dawla al-Uthmāniyya: tārīkh wa-ḥaḍāra* (translated into Arabic by Ṣāliḥ Ṣaʿdāwī; Istanbul: IRCICA, 1999), II, 247.

Abū 'Abd Allāh Fakhr al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn 'Umar al-Rāzī, *Munāzarāt Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī fī bilād Māwarā' al-nahr* (ed. Fatḥ Allāh Khulayf; Beirut: Dār al-Mashriq, 1966), 53, and 14, 17, 23.

For sources, studies and other details about the Rāzī School, see Mustakim Arıcı, "İslâm Düşüncesinde Fahreddin er-Razi Ekolü," in Ömer Türker and Osman Demir (eds.), *Fahreddin Râzî* (Istanbul: İSAM Yayınları, 2013), 167-202.

Indeed, in his discussion of Birgiwi's attitude toward bid'a, Ocak says, "Even though he was a Ḥanafi, he followed the Ibn Taymiyya School in this respect," Osmanlı Dönemi, 222.

Ocak, Osmanlı Dönemi, 179-180; id., "al-Ḥayāt al-dīniyya wa-l-fikriyya," 251; id., "Religious Sciences and the Ulema," 263. For similar opinions, see Hulusi Lekesiz,

Birgiwī himself states his affiliation with the Ḥanafī School.¹⁵ He also mentions the names and views of al-Zamakhsharī, Qāḍī al-Bayḍāwī, (Fakhr al-Dīn) al-Rāzī, and Abū Manṣūr¹6 (al-Māturīdī) who all are accepted to be main figures of the other school.¹¹ Nevertheless, Birgiwī never mentions the name of Ibn Taymiyya in any of his works. Birgiwī's disagreement with contemporaneous scholars on some issues¹¹8 does not change the fact that he was Ḥanafī and Māturīdī. Birgiwī often refers to Ḥanafī books on jurisprudence and fatwā to justify his views. It is well-known that Māturīdism "is not represented in the same manner in every region."¹¹9 It would therefore be inaccurate to identify the various opinions of Birgiwī exclusively with Ḥanbalism, the Ibn Taymiyya School, and Salafism.

Ahl al-sunna is often divided into three main subcategories: Salafiyya (Athariyya, Ḥanābila), Māturīdiyya, and Ashʿariyya. This traditional three-part classification, which includes Ḥanbalī scholars, ²⁰

- "Osmanlı İlmi Zihniyeti: Teşekkülü, Gelişmesi ve Çözülmesi Üzerine Bir Tahlil Denemesi," *Türk Yurdu* 11/49 (1991), 24, 25; Fahri Unan, "Dinde Tasfiyecilik Yahut Osmanlı Sünnîliğine Sünnî Muhâlefet: Birgivî Mehmed Efendi," *Türk Yurdu* 36/382 (1990), 34-35.
- If asked "to which *madhbab* do you belong in deeds?" tell them "Imām Abū Ḥanīfa," but never say "Abū Ḥanīfa's *madhbab* is right and the others are deviant." *Vasiyyet-nâme*, 107. See also Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa al-Muḥammadiyya wa-l-sīra al-Aḥmadiyya* (ed. Muḥammad Ḥusnī Muṣṭafā; Aleppo: Dār al-Qalam al-ʿArabī, 2002), 399.
- Birgiwī, *Inqādh al-hālikīn* in *Rasā'il al-Birgiwī* (ed. Aḥmad Hādī al-Qaṣṣār; Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 2011), 73.
- Birgiwī, al-Ṭarīqa, 201; id., Inqādh al-hālikīn, 54.
- The Cash waqf, one of the subjects Birgiwī expresses a dissenting opinion, had already been discussed by the Ottoman scholars who preceded him. Abū Ḥanīfa and his followers expressed various opinions about these foundations. For the scholars who participated in these discussions prior to Birgiwī, see Tahsin Özcan, Osmanlı Para Vakıfları (Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 2003), 28 ff.
- ¹⁹ Mehmet Kalaycı, *Taribsel Süreçte Eşarilik-Maturidilik İlişkisi* (Ankara: Ankara Okulu Yayınları, 2013), 129.
- 40 'Abd al-Bāqī ibn 'Abd al-Bāqī Ibn Faqīh Fiṣṣa al-Mawāhibī al-Ḥanbalī, al-'Ayn wa-l-athar fī 'aqā'id ahl al-athar (ed. 'Iṣām Rawwās Qal'ajī; Damascus: Dār al-Ma'mūn li-l-Turāth, 1987), 53; Abū l-'Awn Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Sālim al-Saffārīnī al-Ḥanbalī, Lawāmi' al-anwār al-bahiyya wa-sawāṭi' al-asrār al-athariyya li-sharḥ al-Durra al-muḍiyya fī 'aqd al-firqa al-marḍiyya (2nd edn., Damascus: Mu'assasat al-Khāfiqīn wa-Maktabatuhā, 1982), I, 73.

is generally accepted despite certain variations. 21 Nevertheless, Wahhābīs, who also identify with the Hanbalī School, claim that Māturīdiyya and Ash'ariyya are not sects that will attain salvation (alfirqa al-nājiya). According to this exclusivist Wahhābī view, "Ahl alsunna is but a sect," and Ibn Taymiyya is the one who declared the faith of Ahl al-sunna. 22 As we will discuss later, some certain researchers who adopt Wahhābī views conclude that Birgiwī adhered to the Māturīdiyya and criticize him for his views showing that they do not agree with Ibn Taymiyya's ones.

Allegations of References to Ibn Taymiyya in Birgiwī's Works

Birgiwī incorporates the views of many scholars into his works. For example, Birgiwi's al-Tariga includes many references to al-Ghazālī. 23 Relevant studies have identified similarities between his views and those of al-Ghazālī in *Ibyā*^{2,24} We also know²⁵ that Birgiwī did express dissidence with al-Ghazālī when it occurs. 26 Birgiwī also frequently consults many sources that discuss similar themes, such as those by Ḥanafī scholar Abū l-Layth al-Samarqandī (d. 373/983).²⁷

Sa'd al-Dīn Mas'ūd ibn 'Umar ibn 'Abd Allāh al-Taftāzānī, Sharb al-Magāṣid (ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Umayra; 2nd edn., Beirut: 'Ālam al-Kutub, 1998), V, 231; Abū Saʿīd Muḥammad ibn Muṣtafā ibn 'Uthmān al-Khādimī, al-Barīga al-Маḥтūdiyya fī sharḥ al-Ṭarīqa al-Миḥаттаdiyya (Istanbul: Shirkat-i Şaḥāfiyya-i 'Uthmāniyya, 1316), I, 201; Abū l-Fayd Muḥammad al-Murtaḍā ibn Muḥammad al-Zabīdī, Itḥāf al-sāda al-muttaqīn bi-sharḥ Iḥyā 'ulūm al-dīn (3rd edn., Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 2002), II, 8; İsmail Hakkı İzmirli, Yeni Ilm-i Kalām (Istanbul: Awqāf-i Islāmiyya Maṭbaʿasi, 1339-1341), I, 98.

Şālih ibn 'Abd al-'Azīz ibn Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm Āl al-Sheikh, al-La'ālī lbahiyya fī sharh al-'Aqīda al-Wāsitiyya (ed. 'Ādil ibn Muhammad Mursī Rifā'ī; Riyadh: Dār al-'Āṣima, 2010), I, 88-90; Muḥammad Ṣāliḥ al-'Uthaymīn, Sharh al-'Aqīda al-Wāsitiyya li-Sheikh al-islām Ibn Taymiyya (ed. Sa'd ibn Fawwāz al-Sumayl; 6th edn., Riyadh: Dār Ibn al-Jawzī, 2000), I, 53.

Birgiwi, al-Tariga, 52, 60, 95, 151, 152, 398, 412.

Mustafa Çağrıcı, "Gazzâlî'nin İhyâ'sı ile Birgivî'nin Tarîkat-ı Muhammadiyye'sinin Mukayesesi," İslâmî Araştırmalar (Gazzâlî Özel Sayısı) 13/3-4 (2000), 473-478.

²⁵ See Martı, Birgili Mehmed Efendi'nin Hadisçiliği ve et-Tarîkatü'l-Muhammediyye: Tabkik ve Tablil (PhD dissertation; Konya: Selçuk University, 2005), 290-291.

Birgiwī, al-Tarīga, 151-153.

Birgiwi, al-Ţarīqa, 52, 53, 54, 56, 59, 66, 105, 202, 253, 291, 301, 324, 370, 419-420.

Some scholars who associate Birgiwī with Ibn Taymiyya have given misleading examples to prove the connection. One of these is the claim that Birgiwī "mentions the name of Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya (d. 751/1350)" in *al-Ṭarīqa*.²⁸ The alleged mention of Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya is actually a reference to Abū l-Faraj Ibn al-Jawzī (d. 597/1201),²⁹ whose views Birgiwī reports.³⁰ Therefore, Abū l-Faraj Ibn al-Jawzī is confused with Ibn Qayyim, the disciple of Ibn Taymiyya.³¹

Another error has been perpetuated by a translation of *al-Ṭarīqa* by Wadādī called *Takmilat al-Ṭarīqa*.³² Wadādī's translation does not consist exclusively of text written by Birgiwī. Indeed, Wadādī introduces the work by indicating that "it is called *Takmilat al-Ṭarīqa* because some passages are derived and added from various books" and admits that he has made additions from numerous sources. ³⁴ Therefore, the references to Ibn Taymiyya in this translation are

_

Lekesiz, XVI. Yüzyıl Osmanlı Düzenindeki Değişimin Tasfiyeci (Püritanist) Bir Eleştirisi: Birgivî Mehmed Efendi ve Fikirleri (Phd dissertation; Ankara: Hacettepe University, 1997) 114, and footnotes (hereafter cited as Birgivî Mehmed Efendi ve Fikirleri). Lekesiz refers for the place in which the name Ibn Qayyim is mentioned to the manuscript of al-Tarīqa al-Muḥammadiyya. The bibliography gives the following citation: MS Ankara: Milli Kütüphane [National Library], Celal Ökten Manuscripts Section, no: 2178, 97b,

⁽https://www.yazmalar.gov.tr/detay_goster.php?k=66009, 107).

Abū l-Faraj 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn 'Alī Ibn al-Jawzī, *Talbīs Iblīs* (ed. Sayyid al-Jumaylī; Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1994), 224.

Birgiwi, al-Ṭariqa, 196. See also Martı, Birgili Mehmed Efendi'nin Hadisçiliği, 284.

Ahmet Kaylı, A Critical Study of Birgiwi Mehmed Efendi's (d. 981/1573) Works and Their Dissemination in Manuscript Form (MA thesis; Istanbul: Boğaziçi University, 2010), 57 and footnote 137.

See Unan, "Dinde Tasfiyecilik," 42 (footnote 55) The author's reference is to this translation, (Birgiwī, *Takmila-i tarjama-i Tarīqat-i Muḥammadiyya* [translated by Wadādī; Istanbul: Dār al- Salṭana, 1256]), 412, 419, 436, 449, 450, 465, 466, 467.

Wadādī, Takmila-i tarjama-i Ṭarīqat-i Muḥammadiyya (Istanbul: Dār al-Salṭana, 1256), 3.

See also İsmail Kara, İlim Bilmez Tarih Hatırlamaz: Şerh ve Haşiye Meselesine Dair Birkaç Not (2nd edn., Istanbul: Dergâh Yayınları, 2013), 49; Martı relates that Wadādī later faced criticisms due to his additions to the translation. In her PhD thesis on al-Ṭarīqa, Martı writes, "The name Ibn Taymiyya is not found in any of Birgili [Birgiwī]'s works." Martı, Birgili Mehmed Efendi'nin Hadisçiliği, 126, 331, 332.

found in the passages added by Wadādī, and do not belong to Birgiwī himself. Most of the views, which are presented in the translation as if they belong to Birgiwī, are indeed nothing but additions by Wadādī. At least some of the comments and criticisms of Birgiwī that are based on this work should be comprehensively reassessed.

Numerous scholars insist on the presence of references to Ibn Taymiyya in Birgiwī's works, ³⁵ referring to a PhD thesis by Yüksel on Birgiwī. ³⁶ In the Turkish translation of his thesis, however, Yüksel indicates that Birgiwī "mentions the name of neither Ibn Taymiyya nor his disciples," noting the discovery that the tract called *Ziyārat alqubūr (Visitation of Graves*) was not written by Birgiwī. ³⁷ In a previous study, Yüksel wrote, "we do not find the name of Ibn Taymiyya" in any work by Birgiwī.

According to scholars who believed in the connection between Birgiwī and Ibn Taymiyya, *Ziyārat* was considered "the clearest evidence of his awareness of the views of Ibn Taymiyya." *Ziyārat* was actually written by Aḥmad ibn Meḥmed al-Rūmī al-Āqḥiṣārī al-Sarūkhānī (d. 1041/1631); nevertheless, the book was attributed to

[&]quot;An analysis of the books and treatises by Birgiwī clearly reveals the influence of Ibn Taymiyya above all, as well as other subsequent Ḥanbalī scholars. In his works, Birgiwī often recommends to his readers the books of the persons he mentions and quotes from his references." Ocak, Osmanlı Dönemi, 222; id., "İbn Kemâl'in Yaşadığı XV ve XVI. Asırlar Türkiye'sinde İlim ve Fikir Hayatı," in S. Hayri Bolay, Bahaeddin Yediyıldız, and. M. Sait Yazıcıoğlu (eds.), Tokat Valiliği Şeyhülislam İbn Kemâl Araştırma Merkezi'nin Tertip Ettiği Şeyhülislâm İbn Kemâl Sempozyumu: Tebliğler ve Tartışmalar (2nd edn., Ankara: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Yayınları, 1989), 31, 32.

Ocak, Osmanlı Dönemi, 234, and footnote 17.

[&]quot;Based on the *Risālat ziyārat al-qubūr*, which is attributed to Birgiwī, I wrote that Birgiwī might have been indirectly influenced by Ibn Taymiyya. ... The paper by Ahmet Turan Arslan (...), however, revealed that the treatise was not written by Birgiwī. Therefore, we have no grounds to claim that Birgiwī, who never mentioned Ibn Taymiyya or his followers in his works, was influenced by Ibn Taymiyya." Emrullah Yüksel, *Mehmed Birgivī'nin Dinî ve Siyasî Görüşleri* (Ankara: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Yayınları, 2011), 147-148.

³⁸ Yüksel, "Mehmed Birgivî," *Atatürk Üniversitesi İslâmî İlimler Fakültesi Dergisi* 2 (1977), 184.

³⁹ Lekesiz, *Birgivî Mehmed Efendi ve Fikirleri*, 114, 115.

Birgiwī, whereupon it became famous and was printed several times. $^{\!\!\!\!^{40}}$

Apparently, Shī'a (Rāfiḍa) is the target of the descriptions and related criticisms found in *Ziyārat*. ⁴¹ Therefore, any relationship between the conclusions derived from any of the information in this tract and members of other groups in the Ottoman era or the assessment of them as Birgiwī's observations of his environment are misleading.

In another work, *Majālis al-abrār*, al-Āqḥiṣārī again addresses issues about graves and refers to Ibn Qayyim and his sheikh (Ibn Taymiyya). ⁴² Al-Āqḥiṣārī, as a Ḥanafī scholar under the influence of Ibn Taymiyya, is the subject of various studies. ⁴³ Importantly, however, al-Āqḥiṣārī is connected to the Māturīdī School in his theological discussions. ⁴⁴ Al-Āqhiṣārī uses various Kalām and Sufi

Ahmet Turan Arslan, "İmam Birgivî'ye Nisbet Edilen Bazı Eserler," in İbrahim Gümüş (ed.), 1. Ulusal İslam Elyazmaları Sempozyumu (13-14 Nisan 2007) Bildiriler Kitabı (Istanbul: Türkiye Çevre Koruma ve Yeşillendirme Kurumu [TÜRÇEK], 2009), 180-181. See also Yahya Michot, introduction to Against Smoking: An Ottoman Manifesto, by Aḥmad al-Rūmī al-Āqḥiṣārī (ed. and translated by Yahya Michot; Leicestershire: Interface Publications & Kube Publishing, 2010), 1.

The reference to *Manāsik al-ḥājj al-mashāhid*, which is attributed to al-Sheikh al-Mufīd by Ibn Taymiyya (*Ziyārat al-qubūr*, in *Rasā'il al-Birgiwī* [ed. Aḥmad Hādī al-Qaṣṣār; Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 2011], 164) leads us to these opinions. Ibn Taymiyya (d. 728/1328) wrote a refutation called *Minhāj al-sunna* against *Minhāj al-karāma*, which was written by contemporaneous Shiite scholar Ibn al-Muṭahhar al-Ḥillī (d.726/1325). In this work, Ibn Taymiyya attributes *Manāsik al-ḥājj al-mashāhid* to al-Sheikh al-Mufīd, whom he criticizes. See Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalīm Ibn Taymiyya, *Minhāj al-sunna al-Nabawiyya* (ed. Muḥammad Rashād Sālim; Riyadh: Mu'assasat Qurṭuba, 1986), III, 419.

⁴² Aḥmad al-Rūmī (al-Āqḥiṣārī), *Majālis al-abrār*, in 'Alī Miṣrī Simjān Fawrā, [*Study on*] *Majālis al-abrār* (PhD dissertation; Medina: al-Jāmi'a al-Islāmiyya, 2007), 213, 215, 219, 654.

For a discussion of Ibn Taymiyya's influence on al-Āqḥiṣārī, see Mustapha Sheikh, "Taymiyyan Influences in an Ottoman-Ḥanafī Milieu: The Case of Aḥmad al-Rūmī al-Āqḥiṣārī," *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 25/1 (2015), 1-20; Michot, introduction to *Against Smoking*, 1, 4, 8.

^{44 &#}x27;Alī Miṣrī Simjān Fawrā, "Dirāsa" [Study on Majālis al-abrār by Aḥmad al-Rūmī (al-Āqḥiṣārī)] (PhD dissertation; Medina: al-Jāmi'a al-Islāmiyya, 2007), 13; Sheikh,

books as sources and indicates that it is the obligation (*wājib*) of every mature believer to derive authentic faith about Ahl al-sunna wa-l-jamā'a from the science of Kalām. He asserts that reasoning (*naẓar*) and deduction (*istidlāl*) are obligatory if one is to know Allah and argues that whoever leaves this path will be a sinner.⁴⁵

Comparison between Birgiwī's and Ibn Taymiyya's Views

Seeking to establish a connection between Birgiwī and Ibn Taymiyya, researchers have compared the views of the two scholars. These comparisons focus on numerous issues, including the concept of heretical innovation (*bid'a*). Works by Birgiwī incorporate a variety of significant details on innovation; for example, he uses the concept "*al-bid'a al-ḥasana*." ⁴⁶ Ibn Taymiyya, however, rejects a division that includes "*al-bid'a al-ḥasana*."

Another important point to consider regarding the connection between Birgiwī and Ibn Taymiyya is their attitudes towards Sufism. According to Birgiwī, Sufism consists of the purification of the heart from disgrace and its adornment with virtues, and he gives practical advice to its followers (*sālik*s). However, Birgiwī criticizes his Sufi contemporaries. Birgiwī accuses Sufis of claiming to be holders of hidden knowledge, of claiming that they obtain fatwā directly from the Prophet whenever they are in trouble and that otherwise they can access Allah in person and do not need to read scholarly books, etc. For Birgiwī, such Sufi views are false, and whoever hears and believes in them should be considered a heretic (*zindīq*). Birgiwī criticizes the Sufis of his time as ignorant because they claim that "knowledge is a veil." In his criticism, Birgiwī respectfully cites al-Junayd al-Baghdādī, who says, "our knowledge and *madhhab* is bound through the Book and Sunna." Moreover, he quotes the

[&]quot;Taymiyyan Influences in an Ottoman-Hanafi Milieu," 4.

⁴⁵ Al-Āqḥiṣārī, *Majālis al-abrār*, 2, 14, 15, 74, 144, 510.

For details, see Birgiwi, al-Ṭariqa, 25-26; Yüksel, Mehmed Birgivi'nin Dinî ve Siyasî Görüşleri, 67, 68

¹⁷ Ibn Taymiyya, *Iqtiḍāʾ al-ṣirāṭ al-mustaqīm li-mukhālafat aṣḥāb al-jaḥīm* (ed. Nāṣir ʿAbd al-Karīm al-ʿAql; Riyadh: Maktabat al-Rushd, 1994), II, 585.

⁴⁸ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 84, 235, 391-393.

⁴⁹ *Ibid.*, 28, 47, 67, 362-364.

⁵⁰ *Ibid.*, 28-29.

⁵¹ *Ibid.*, 29-31, 236, 392.

views of certain great Sufis found in Abū l-Qāsim al-Qushayrī's (d. 465/1072) *al-Risāla*.⁵² Birgiwī also cites the following phrases from Ḥanafī scholar Abū l-Layth al-Samarqandī: "If one learns jurisprudence but does not seek ascetic knowledge and wisdom, his heart hardens. A hardened heart is distant from Allah."⁵³

Miḥakk al-ṣūfiyya, which addresses Sufism and is attributed to Birgiwī, ⁵⁴ refers to several texts on creeds popular among Ottoman scholars. ⁵⁵ The axis proposed by Birgiwī reportedly found many supporters even in Sufi circles. ⁵⁶

Birgiwī's criticism of the Sufi practices of *raqṣ* and *samā*^c by music⁵⁷ does not necessarily make him a follower of Ibn Taymiyya.⁵⁸ Indeed, Birgiwī quotes many sources about *raqṣ*, including Ḥanafī fatwā sources. A treatise by 'Umar al-Nasafī, author of one of the most popular creed texts in Ḥanafī/Māturīdī circles and allegedly a member of the *Rāzī* School,⁵⁹ addresses this topic. This treatise by al-

-

Abū l-Qāsim Zayn al-Islām 'Abd al-Karīm ibn Hawāzin al-Qushayrī, al-Risāla al-Qushayriyya (eds. 'Abd al-Ḥalīm Maḥmūd and Maḥmūd ibn Sharīf; Cairo: Dār al-Ma'ārif, n.d.) 38, 45-46, 48, 57, 58, 61, 79, 87, 98.

⁵³ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 66-69.

Kaylı (A Critical Study of Birgiwi Mehmed Efendi's Works, 138) found copies of this treatise recorded under al-Āqḥiṣārī's name; therefore, he claims they might belong to the latter.

⁵⁵ According to Lekesiz (*Birgivî Mehmed Efendi ve Fikirleri*, 81), Birgiwī shows Sufis the right path to follow pursuant to the Salafī creed in *Miḥakk al-ṣūfiyya*. Nevertheless, the sources of this treatise do not support this finding. In the treatise, Birgiwī refers to the following works on creeds: *al-ʿAqāʾid* by 'Umar al-Nasafī (p. 11), *Iḥyāʾ* by al-Ghazālī (p. 13, 28), *al-Mawāqif* by al-Ījī (p. 14, 17), *al-Fiqb al-akbar* by Abū Ḥanīfa (p. 17), and *Sharb al-ʿAqāʾid* by al-Taftāzānī (p. 19).

Terzioğlu, "Bir Tercüme ve Bir İntihal Vakası: Ya da İbn Teymiyye'nin Siyāsetü'ş-Şer'iyye'sini Osmanlıcaya Kim(ler), Nasıl Aktardı?" *Journal of Turkish Studies: Türklük Bilgisi Araştırmaları* 31/2 (2007), 267.

⁵⁷ Birgiwī, *al-Tarīqa*, 362.

⁵⁸ Lekesiz, Birgivî Mehmed Efendi ve Fikirleri, 112, 113.

This treatise, called *Risāla fī bayān madhāhib al-taṣawwuf* by al-Nasafī, exists in manuscript copies under different names. For information about the publication and translation of the treatise, see Ayşe Hümeyra Arslantürk, "Nesefî, Necmeddin," *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfi İslâm Ansiklopedisi (DİA)*, XXXIII, 572. Al-Ḥurr al-ʿĀmilī published this treatise in *Risālat al-ithnay 'ashariyya fī l-radd 'alā l-ṣūfiyya* (ed. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Tafrishī al-Darūdī; Qom: al-Maṭba'a al-ʿIlmiyya, 1400),

Nasafī is quoted in *Dāmighat al-mubtadi'īn*, ⁶⁰ which was attributed to Birgiwī upon publication. ⁶¹ Ottoman scholars prior to Birgiwī have also given fatwā against Sufis who perform *raqṣ* and *samā*. ⁶²

Al-'Urābī ⁶³ states that in *Dāmighat al-mubtadi* ⁷ in ⁶⁴ there are quotations of critical expressions by Ibn Taymiyya, particularly about

- 23-25, available at http://www.alhawzaonline.com/almaktaba-almakroaa/book/238-aqa'ed/0334-al%20ethna%203asharia/01.htm (accessed October 3, 2009).
- In his master's thesis, Dāmighat al-mubtadi'īn wa-kāshifat buṭlān al-mulḥidīn: al-Imām Muḥammad ibn Pīr 'Alī ibn Iskandar al-Birgiwī Dirāsa wa-taḥqīq min awwal al-kitāb ilā qawlihī "wa-ammā thawāb al-'amal bi-l-sunna" (MA thesis; Mecca: Jāmi'at Umm al-Qurā, n.d.), Sulṭān ibn 'Ubayd ibn 'Abd Allāh al-'Urābī studies the first chapter of Dāmighat al-mubtadi'īn. al-'Urābī claims that the published version of Dāmighat al-mubtadi'īn (eds. 'Abd al-Raḥīm al-Sāyiḥ and Tawfīq 'Alī Wahba; Cairo: Dār al-Āfāq al-'Arabīyya, 2010) comprises only the chapter studied in his own thesis and that there is no second part. (See https://twitter.com/sultanalorabi/status/462506744607174656, accessed May 3, 2014). Nevertheless, this is misinformation; the end of the text used in al-'Urābī's thesis is on page 150 of the Cairo edition of the book.
- The expression "ṣāḥib *al-Bayān*" in the text (Birgiwī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadi'īn*, 55) is construed by researcher al-'Urābī as Abū l-Ma'ālī Muḥammad al-Ḥusaynī al-'Alawī (d. 485/1092), the author of *Bayān al-adyān*, though only tentatively (see al-'Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 216). Nonetheless, an intertextual comparison shows that the quotations are from al-Nasafī. Birgiwī's notes to chapter 23 demonstrate that this information may have been cited from *Sirr al-asrār* by 'Abd al-Qādir al-Jīlānī. Indeed, chapter 23 of *Sirr al-asrār* has similar content; see Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Qādir ibn Abī Ṣāliḥ 'Abd Allāh al-Jīlānī, *Sirr al-asrār wa-mazbar al-anwār fī-mā yaḥtāj¹ ilayhi l-abrār* (eds. Khālid Muḥammad 'Adnān al-Zar'ī and Muḥammad Ghassān Naṣūḥ 'Azqūl; 4th edn., Damascus: Dār al-Sanābil, 1995), 140.
- Ferhat Koca, "Osmanlı Fakihlerinin Semâ, Raks ve Devrân Hakkındaki Tartışmaları," *Tasavvuf: İlmî ve Akademik Araştırma Dergisi* 5/13 (2004), 27, 59. In his study Koca touches upon views of numerous scholars about the issue before and after Birgiwī, including Abū l-Su'ūd. Also see Reşat Öngören, "Osmanlılar Döneminde Semâ ve Devran Tartışmaları," *Tasavvuf: İlmî ve Akademik Araştırma Dergisi* 11/25 (2010), 123-132.
- 63 Al-Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 105, 125, 130, 228.
- ⁶⁴ Birgiwī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadi'īn* (eds. 'Abd al-Raḥīm al-Sāyiḥ and Tawfīq 'Alī Wahba; Cairo: Dār al-Āfāq al-'Arabiyya, 2010), 60.

the unity of existence (*waḥdat al-wujūd*). According to Evstatiev, these findings are based on solid textual analysis and are significant because they enable us to establish a connection between Ibn Taymiyya, Birgiwī, and Qāḍī-zādalis. 66

The unity of existence (wahdat al-wujūd) is also criticized by Hanafī scholars such as 'Alā' al-Dīn al-Bukhārī (d.841/1438). 67 Moreover, 'Alā' al-Dīn al-Bukhārī accuses Ibn Taymiyya of anthropomorphism and claims it would be blasphemy to call him Sheikh al-Islām. 68 Dāmigha also includes citations from many other scholars. The initial quotations⁶⁹ that appear at the beginning of the book are relevant to this discussion. The first quotation is from al-Tawdīb, a work on usūl al-figh by Sadr al-sharī'a (d. 747/1346). The quotation is about the learning of Kalām, Sufism, and Figh together. 70 The second citation is from *Shir'at al-Islām*, the popular work among Ottoman scholars on catechism ('ilm-i hāl) and ethics (akhlāq), by Imām-zāda (d. 573/1177), the Hanafī faqīh. 71 According to this quotation, whoever demands only Kalām from Allah is a heretic (zindīq), whoever demands only asceticism is an innovator (mubtadi), and whoever demands only jurisprudence is a sinner (fāsiq). One who displays competence in all attains salvation. 72 Al-

⁶⁵ Ibn Taymiyya, Majmū' fatāwā (ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Muḥammad ibn Qāsim; Medina: Mujamma' al-Malik Fahd li-Ṭibā'at al-Muṣḥāf al-Sharīf, 2004), II, 122.

⁶⁶ Simeon Evstatiev, "The Qādīzādeli Movement and the Revival of *takfir* in the Ottoman Age," in Camilla Adang, Hassan Ansari, Maribel Fierro, and Sabine Schmidtke (eds.), *Accusations of Unbelief in Islam: A Diachronic Perspective on* Takfir (Leiden & Boston: Brill, 2015), 232.

^{67 &#}x27;Alā' al-Dīn al-Bukhārī, Fāḍiḥat al-mulḥidīn, in Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm al-'Awdī, Fāḍiḥat al-mulḥidīn wa-nāṣiḥat al-muwaḥḥidīn (MA thesis; Mecca: Jāmi'at Umm al-Qurā, 1414).

⁶⁸ For details, see Khaled el-Rouayheb, *Islamic Intellectual History in the Seventeenth Century: Scholarly Currents in the Ottoman Empire and the Magbreb* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2015), 16.

⁶⁹ Birgiwī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadi* ⁶*in*, 34.

Al-Taftāzānī, Sharḥ al-Talwīḥ 'alā l-Tawḍīḥ li-matn al-Tanqīḥ fī uṣūl al-fiqh (ed. Zakariyyā 'Umayrāt; Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-Ilmiyya, 1996), I, 16.

Recep Cici, "İmamzâde, Muhammed b. Ebû Bekir," Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslâm Ansiklopedisi (DİA), XXII, 210-211.

Sayyid 'Alī-zāda, Mafātīḥ al-jinān: Sharḥ Shir'at al-Islām (Istanbul: al-Maṭba'a al-'Uthmāniyya, 1317), 41.

'Urābī indicates that he could not obtain any information about Shir'at al-Islām. 73 However, he notes some details about the joint publication of some of Birgiwi's works with commentaries on Shir'at *al-Islām.*⁷⁴ Al-'Urābī draws other erroneous conclusions about Hanafī authors referenced in the Dāmigha who wrote particularly about issues related with Sufism. Also, it could be noted that there is a fatwā that Shir'at al-Islām should not be allowed to be read, because it includes nonsense stuff such as those in *Iḥyā*² by al-Ghazālī. The fatwā allows only those who know the Sufi creed and have specialized knowledge of the Salafi creed to read Shir'at al-Islām.⁷⁵

Dāmigha includes long citations from al-Ghazālī. For example, one citation from al-Ghazālī's *Minhāj al-'ābidīn* 76 covers many pages. 77 Nevertheless, sources that are more or less contemporaneous with Birgiwī do not attribute the *Dāmigha* to him. *Hadiyyat al-ʿārifīn* by 'Ismā'īl Pāshā al-Baghdādī (d. 1920) and subsequent authors of bibliographical works mention Dāmigha to have been authored by Birgiwī. The assertion that the lack of association between Birgiwī and this work during his lifetime was due to his fear of Sufi molestation is groundless. 78 Indeed, he fearlessly addresses and criticizes many other controversial aspects of Sufism in al-Tarīga and other works. Janābī Mustafā Efendī (d. 999/1590) asserted that Birgiwī never refrained from telling the truth for Allah's sake, even when he addressed the Sultan. 79

An analysis of the creed issues shows that *Dāmigha* was written by a Māturīdī scholar. According to the author, men are equal in faith and differ in their deeds, but deeds are not a part of faith. It is necessary (wājib) to know Allah (Ṣāni') through reason; moreover, the good or evil nature of things can be known through reason. For

Al-'Urābī, Dāmigha, 161.

Ibid., 82, 83, 84.

http://fatwa.islamweb.net/fatwa/index.php?page=showfatwa&Option= FatwāId& Id=118878 (accessed February 5, 2016).

Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad al-Ghazālī, Minhāj al-'ābidīn ilā jannat Rabb al-'ālamīn (ed. Maḥmūd Muṣṭafā Ḥalāwī; Beirut: Mu'assasat al-Risāla, 1989), 112, 114, 117.

Birgiwi, Dāmighat al-mubtadi in, 203, 207, 230.

For details, see al-Urābī, Dāmigha, 101-103.

Al-Janābī, 'Aylam al-zākbir, 427a.

the author, man would be responsible to believe in Allah even if no prophet were sent because reasoning and deduction are man's primary obligations. He interprets attributes of Allah such as his hand, face, descent etc. by associating them with meanings such as His power or His favour. ⁸⁰ A scholar with such views would be considered a Māturīdī.

Relationship between Ibn Taymiyya, Birgiwī, Qāḍīzādalīs and Wahhābism

The Oādīzādalīs' and Wahhābīs' interventionist attitudes toward society's religious life result in a tendency to establish a connection between these two groups. Therefore, the views of Birgiwī and Ibn Taymiyya, two reputable references of these sects, are often compared, especially on the base of their views that constitute the foundation of an interventionist approach. Indeed, it could be asserted that there are contradictions between the writings of Birgiwī and the practices of his followers. Sources reveal that Birgiwī was typically direct and blunt. According to Janābī Mustafā Efendī, Birgiwī was a strictly religious person (*mutasharri*) who feared molestation from nobody when he told the truth for Allah's sake. He was determined about commanding good and forbidding wrong (al-amr bi-l-ma'rūf wa-l-nahy 'an al-munkar'), even if he addressed the Sultan. He was a custodian of the Quran and a patron of knowledge and had an abstemious personality with regard to eating and clothing.81 Cook emphasizes Birgiwī and his followers' views about the prevention of evil.82

For Birgiwī, commanding good and forbidding wrong is a communal obligation (fard al- $kif\bar{a}ya$) that must be sufficiently discharged, if can afford, on condition that not to harm people. The expressions found in verses ($\bar{a}ya$) and hadīths indicate that this is an obligation for every person. In contrast, commanding wrong and forbidding good is the attribute of hypocrites, 83 as indicated in the

⁸⁰ Birgiwī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadi īn*, 220.

⁸¹ Al-Janābī, 'Aylam al-zākbir, 427a.

Michael Cook, Commanding Right and Forbidding Wrong in Islamic Thought (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2004), 323.

⁸³ Birgiwī, al-Ṭarīqa, 281.

Qur'ān.84 When discussing the subject of rivā' (doing good deeds for show), Birgiwī gives following examples: to manifest knowledge during sermons and discourses, to show care for the attitudes of Salaf, to command good before the public, to show anger against evil and to seem worried about sin. 85 When addressing sedition (fitna), Birgiwī speaks to preachers and muftīs about how to prevent sedition among the people. Birgiwi recommends that they remain aware of the customs of the public, of what people may accept or reject, of what they strive to fulfill or seek to avoid. He also advises that the public be addressed in the most appropriate manner possible. Any deed intended to command good and forbid wrong could become a sin if it leads to the promotion of evil or pushes someone into an undesired position. About the hazard of sedition, the verse "fitna is worse than killing"86 is more than enough. 87 The records of conflicts among Birgiwi's followers are proof that his warnings were overlooked. Moreover, there appear to have been different mentalities among those who read his works.

Several studies about the Qāḍīzādalīs indicate their relationship with Birgiwī. 88 Both Birgiwī and the Qāḍīzādalīs are mentioned in connection with Ibn Taymiyya and the Wahhābis.

The Salafī movement, started by Ibn Taymiyya, gave birth to the Birgiwī School in the 16^{th} century, to the Qāḍīzādalī movement in the 17^{th} century and to Wahhābism in the 18^{th} century within the Ottoman Empire.⁸⁹

Michot dubs Birgiwī the "spiritual father of Ottoman Puritanism" and argues that the Qāḍīzādalī movement, which emerged under the influence of Ibn Taymiyya and Ibn Qayyim, was the precursor of Wahhābism. ⁹⁰ For Currie, there is a striking similarity between the

-

⁸⁴ Q 9:67.

⁸⁵ Birgiwī, al-Tarīga, 90.

⁸⁶ Q 2:191.

⁸⁷ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 224.

Madeline C. Zilfi, *The Politics of Piety: The Ottoman Ulema in the Postclassical Age: 1600-1800* (Minneapolis: Bibliotheca Islamica, 1988), 143.

Ocak, *Osmanlı Dönemi*, 218-219. For comparison, see id., "İbn Kemâl'in Yaşadığı XV ve XVI. Asırlar Türkiye'sinde İlim ve Fikir Hayatı," 31, 32; id., "Religious Sciences and the Ulema," 263; Lekesiz, *Birgivî Mehmed Efendi ve Fikirleri*, 106.

⁹⁰ Michot, introduction to *Against Smoking*, 2.

Qāḍīzādalīs and the Wahhābīs, and he cites several scholars to establish a connection between the two groups. 91

An important source of evidence for the connection between Ibn Taymiyya and the Qāḍīzādalīs is the Turkish translation of Ibn Taymiyya's *al-Siyāsa al-shar'iyya*. This translation is attributed to Qāḍī-zāda Meḥmed Efendī (d. 1045/1635).⁹²

'Āshiq Chalabī (d. 979/1572) made an expanded translation of this work by Ibn Taymiyya into Ottoman Turkish under the title *Mi'rāj al-'iyāla wa-minhāj al-'adāla* and presented to Selīm II, the Sultan of the Ottoman State. *Tāj al-rasā'il wa-minhāj al-wasā'il* (or *Nuṣḥ al-ḥukkām sabab al-niẓām*), reportedly translated by Qāḍī-zāda, makes certain additions to the translation by 'Āshiq Chalabī. Qāḍī-zāda Meḥmed presented his translation to Murād IV (r. 1623-40), the Ottoman Sultan.⁹³

One who accepts certain statements in the text by Qāḍī-zāda can by no means be a follower of the Ibn Taymiyya School. One example will be sufficient. According to the text, there are four letters in the name of Sultan 'Murād,' and this is equal to the number of letters in the word 'Allah,' this coincidence comprises countless mysteries.⁹⁴

In her study of this translation, Terzioğlu declares that Qāḍī-zāda's translation was plagiarized from ʿĀshiq Chalabī and notes the following:

Recently, many modern historians interested in this movement (Qāḍī-zādalis) bear in the back of their minds the parallelism between this

Vecdi Akyüz, "Preface," in Ibn Taymiyya, Siyâset: es-Siyâsetü'ş-şer'iyye (translated into Turkish by Vecdi Akyüz; 2nd edn., Istanbul: Dergâh Yayınları, 1999), 6-7.

.

James Muḥammad Dawud Currie, "Kadizadeli Ottoman Scholarship, Muḥammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhāb, and the Rise of the Saudi State," *Journal of Islamic Studies* 26/3 (2015), 265-288.

⁹² Ocak, Osmanlı Dönemi, 224.

Qādī-zāda Meḥmed [Muḥammad ibn Muṣṭafā ibn Muḥammad], *Tāj al-rasā'il wa-minbāj al-wasā'il* (MS Istanbul: Süleymaniye Library, Hacı Mahmut Efendi, 1926), 11a-b. A similar assessment is made by 'Āshiq Pāshā, who made an earlier translation of the same book, about the fact that the name of Selīm II, the Sultan of the Ottoman State, consists of four letters. See Pīr Muḥammad ibn 'Alī ibn Muḥammad 'Āshiq Chalabī, *Mi'rāj al-'iyāla wa-minbāj al-'adāla* (MS Istanbul: Süleymaniye Library, Şehid Ali Paşa, 1556), 14.

movement and various 'radical,' 'fundamentalist,' or — as a less political and more academic expression — 'salafi' Islamic movements. Furthermore, several historians consider Ibn Taymiyya as an important junction within the intellectual genealogy of such Islamic movements and accordingly want to establish a connection between the Qāḍīzādalīs and the Ibn Taymiyya School. Nevertheless, neither Ibn Taymiyya nor his *al-Siyāsa al-sharʿiyya* seems to have been a particular inspiration for the Qāḍīzādalī — sharīʿa emphasized — movement that appeared in the 17th century.⁹⁵

As is seen in the example of 'Āshiq Chalabī, you do not have to be on the 'Salafī,' as described today, axis to translate a text by Ibn Taymiyya. Indeed, neither Qāḍī-zāda Meḥmed of Balıkesir nor Mehmed Effendi of Birgi, the main inspiration of the movement named after him in the $16^{\rm th}$ century, grant a special place to Ibn Taymiyya in their respective works.⁹⁶

Remarks on Birgiwī's Views on Kalām

For Birgiwī, 'ilm al-kalām is a communal obligation (farḍ al-kifāya).⁹⁷ Nevertheless, it should be learned and taught by those who are faithful and clever and have no sympathies with deviant sects.⁹⁸ Kalām includes logic.⁹⁹ Birgiwī's attitude toward Kalām and logic is

200.

Jibid., 55. See also Khaled El-Rouayheb, "The Myth of 'The Triumph of Fanaticism' in the Seventeenth-Century Ottoman Empire," Die Welt des Islams 48/2 (2008),

Terzioğlu, "Bir Tercüme ve Bir İntihal Vakası," 270.

⁹⁶ Ibid., 266. Referring to a PhD thesis by Hüseyin Yılmaz, Terzioğlu argues that Birgiwi's works include references to Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya but not to Ibn Taymiyya. Yılmaz makes a similar claim, referring to a paper titled "Mehmed Birgiwi" by Emrullah Yüksel. See Hüseyin Yılmaz, *The Sultan and the Sultanate: Envisioning Rulership in the Age of Süleymān the Lawgiver (1520-1566)* (PhD dissertation; Ann Arbor: Harvard University, 2005), 78. As mentioned above, Yüksel, in his subsequent writings, indicates that "Birgiwi never mentioned the name of Ibn Taymiyya or his disciples in his works;" these findings should be reassessed.

A master's thesis has been written about Birgiwī's views on Kalām. Nevertheless, we could not obtain this thesis. 'Āţif Ibrāhīm Aḥmad, al-Birgiwī wa-ārā'uhā l-kalāmiyya (MA thesis; Cairo: Jāmi'at al-Qāhira, 2013), available at http://cu.edu.eg/ar/Cairo-University-Faculty-News-2489.html (accessed April 18, 2013).

⁹⁸ Birgiwī, *al-Tarīqa*, 53.

considered explicit evidence that he was not influenced by Ibn Taymiyya. 100

The theological opinions of Birgiwī can be found in his *Waṣiyyat-nāma*, several tracts and a chapter dedicated to the subject in *al-Tarīqa*. ¹⁰¹ *Al-Risālat al-i'tiqādiyya*, ¹⁰² a work containing detailed and systematic information on theological issues and considered the Arabic version of *Waṣiyyat-nāma*, was published under the name of Yaḥyā ibn Abī Bakr (d. 893/1488). ¹⁰³

It has been found that Birgiwī based his writings about kalām in *al-Ṭarīqa* on *al-ʿAqāʾid* by ʿUmar al-Nasafī. 104 Quotations from al-Nasafī's text are frequent, as are certain extracts, changes in order, varying expressions, and additions. Birgiwī presents a 'Māturīdī creed' in short. 105

Birgiwī accuses certain Sufis of valuing *awliyā* above the Prophet, referring to al-Jurjānī's *Sharḥ al-Mawāqif* and to *Sharḥ al-Maqāṣid* and *Sharḥ al-ʿAqāʾid* by al-Taftāzānī, which were mostly referenced works by Ottoman scholars. ¹⁰⁶

Dāmighat al-mubtadi'īn, which is attributed to Birgiwī, also deals with theological issues in some parts, assesses the views of other

.

El-Rouayheb, "From Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī (d. 1566) to Khayr al-Dīn al-Ālūsī (d. 1899): Changing Views of Ibn Taymiyya among non-Ḥanbalī Sunnī Scholars," in Yossef Rapoport and Shahab Ahmed (eds.), *Ibn Taymiyya and His Times* (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 2010), 103.

¹⁰¹ Birgiwī, al-Ṭarīqa, 44.

¹⁰² For an introduction to the contents of these works, see Martı, *Birgivî Mehmed Efendi: Hayatı, Eserleri ve Fikir Dünyası*, 74.

Marie Bernand, "Le muḥtaṣar fī bayān al-i'tiqād," Annales Islamologiques 18 (1982), 1-33.

¹⁰⁴ Yüksel, *Mehmed Birgivî'nin Dinî ve Siyasî Görüşleri*, 57, 71, 72.

Martı, "Tarîkat-ı Muhammediyye," Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslâm Ansiklopedisi (DİA), XL, 107. Amīr Muştafā (d. 1143/1731), who translated al-Ṭarīqa and is known as a "tarīqa man/tarīqatchī" due to his lectures on al-Ṭarīqa (see Martı, Birgili Mehmed Efendi'nin Hadisçiliği, 199, 336), also translated the chapter about creed in al-Ṭarīqa into Turkish under the title Farā'id al-'aqa'id al-bahiyya and comprehensively commented on them (see Ṭarīqatchī Amīr Muṣtafā, Farā'id al-'aqā'id al-bahiyya fī ḥall mushkilāt al-Ṭarīqa al-Muḥammadiyya (MS Istanbul: Nuruosmaniye Library, no: 2318).

¹⁰⁶ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 43, 47.

madhhabs and passes judgments on them. For al-'Urābī, the similarity of chapters including judgments on madhhabs in Dāmigha¹⁰⁷ and al-Tarīga¹⁰⁸ is proof that Birgiwī is the author of Dāmigha. 109 Nevertheless, these similarities do not necessarily mean that both were written by the same author. Indeed, the texts resemble one another because they are based on the same sources. More precisely, both works refer to the Hanafi fatwā books, al-Bazzāziyya and al-Tātārkhāniyya 110 and mention these by name. In fact, Dāmigha quotes from al-Bazzāziyya and gives its author as al-Zāhid who is also explicitly mentioned as al-Zāhid al-Saffār in al-Bazzāziyya; 111 however, perhaps because he did not read al-Bazzāziyya, al-'Urābī erroneously identifies al-Zāhid as the Mu'tazilī-Hanafī scholar Abū l-Rajā' Najm al-Dīn Mukhtār al-Zāhidī (d. 658/1260). 112 A comparison clearly shows, however, that the judgments about madhhabs were quoted from Māturīdī scholar al-Zāhid al-Saffār al-Bukhārī¹¹³ (d. 534/1139).

We will not discuss all of Birgiwī's views on Kalām; instead, we will limit the discussion to several controversial points attributed to him. Several researchers have drawn different conclusions about which *madhhab* Birgiwī belongs to.

Al-ʿUrābī claims that Birgiwī belongs to the Ibn Taymiyya School. ¹¹⁴ For al-ʿUrābī, Birgiwī "has a tendency to express Māturīdī/Ḥanafī views on some theological issues." ¹¹⁵ Nonetheless,

¹⁰⁷ Birgiwī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadi* ⁽⁷*in*, 51.

¹⁰⁸ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 44.

¹⁰⁹ Al-'Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 102-103.

Farīd al-Dīn ʿĀlim ibn al-ʿAlāʾ al-Indarapatī al-Dihlawī, al-Fatāwā l-Tātārkhāniyya (ed. Shabbīr Aḥmad al-Qāsimī; Deoband: Maktabat Zakariyyāʾ, 2010), VII, 286, 363.

Hāfiz al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Shihāb al-Kardarī al-Bazzāzī (Ibn al-Bazzāzī), al-Fatāwā l-Bazzāziyya [in the marginal note of al-Fatāwā l-Hindiyya fī madhbab al-Imām al-A'zam Abī Ḥanīfa al-Nu'mān] (Būlāq: al-Maṭba'a al-Kubrā al-Amīriyya, 1310), VI, 318.

¹¹² Al-'Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 197.

Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm al-Şaffār al-Bukhārī, *Talkhīṣ al-adilla li-qawā'id al-tawḥīd* (ed. Angelika Brodersen; Beirut: Orient Institut, 2011), 727.

¹¹⁴ Al-'Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 52, 105, 125, 130.

¹¹⁵ *Ibid.*, 54.

the issues indicated by al-'Urābī as 'some' are crucial for determining Birgiwī's *madbbab*; indeed, whenever a difference emerges, Birgiwī adopts the Māturīdī approach. Therefore, it is inaccurate to associate him with the Ibn Taymiyya School. Researchers with Salafī/Wahhābī views who studied Birgiwī often rejected theological views of Birgiwī in the introduction (*dirāsa*) of their works referring Ibn Taymiyya. ¹¹⁶

Tawhīd

Birgiwī begins his remarks about faith in *al-Ṭarīqa* by stating, "Allah is only one." After stating that Birgiwī classifies divine unity (*tawḥīd*) pursuant to the Māturīdī approach, al-ʿUrābī claims that no salvation is possible without incorporating unity of worship (*tawḥīd al-ʿibāda*) into the concept of unity. Ibn Taymiyya divides unity in types and claims that one cannot become monotheist and a believer without accepting unity of worship. Noting that polytheists of Mecca accepted the unity of God without unity of worship, ¹¹⁸ Ibn Taymiyya says that "they were, however, polytheists; their belief in unity did not help them." This shows the approach of al-ʿUrābī, who quotes these phrases by Ibn Taymiyya and considers salvation impossible without unity of worship, towards Birgiwī and the Māturīdī views.

According to Birgiwī, the faith of an imitator (*muqallid*) is valid; nevertheless, an imitator is a sinner because his beliefs are not based on evidence. Imitation is one of the troubles of the heart and is not permissible in creeds. Reasoning and evidence are needed, even if they are not in-detail (*wa-law 'alā ṭarīq al-ijmāl*). Indeed, there are several Qur'ān verses that encourage reasoning and denigrate

The following master's thesis is among the relevant studies: Fuhayd ibn Manṣūr ibn Zāmil ibn Lu'ayy al-Sharīf, introduction to *Aḥwāl aṭfāl al-muslimīn*, by Birgiwī (MA thesis; Mecca: Jāmi'at Umm al-Qurā, 1434).

Ibn Taymiyya, Dar ta arud al-aql wa-l-naql (ed. Muḥammad Rashād Sālim; 2nd edn., Medina: Jāmi at al-Imām Muḥammad ibn Su d al-Islāmiyya, 1991), I, 225.

Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 39. Also see id., *Vasiyyet-nâme*, 95; Ṭarīqatchī, *Farāʾid*, 2b; Qāḍī-zāda Aḥmad [as Kadızâde Ahmed], *Birgivî Vasiyetnâmesi: Kadızâde Şerhi* (simplified by A. Faruk Meyan; Istanbul: Bedir Yayınları, 2009), 22.

Ibn Taymiyya, al-Tadmuriyya: Taḥqīq al-ithbāt li-l-asmā' wa-l-ṣifāt wa-ḥaqīqat al-jam' bayna l-qadar wa-l-shar' (ed. Muḥammad ibn 'Awda al-Sa'wī; Riyadh: Maktabat al-'Ubaykān, 2000), 179.

¹²⁰ Al-'Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 55.

imitation in faith.¹²¹ A passage in *Dāmigha* reads, "The first obligation of a mature responsible believer is reasoning." ¹²² Ibn Taymiyya, however, criticizes the Kalām scholars who assert that reasoning is the primary obligation of the responsible person. ¹²³

Divine Attributes

In *al-Ṭarīqa*, Birgiwī lists eight affirmative attributes of God (*al-ṣifāt al-thubūtiyya*): life (*ḥayāt*), knowledge (*ʻilm*), power (*qudra*), hearing (*sam'*), seeing (*baṣar*), will (*irāda*), speech (*kalām*) and bringing into being (*takwīn*). ¹²⁴ Bringing into being is a muchdisputed issue between the Ashʻarī and Māturīdī scholars. ¹²⁵ Birgiwī's inclusion of *takwīn* among the eternal attributes of Allah shows his adherence to the Māturīdī School. ¹²⁶

When discussing these attributes, Birgiwī indicates that Allah is not a matter (*jism*), substance (*jawhar*), or accident (*'araḍ*) (*et cetera*). According to Ibn Taymiyya, the expression of the existence or non-existence of matter, substance, and accident etc. for Allah (*lā nafy wa-lā ithbāt*) is among the heretical innovations censured by Salaf (*min kalām al-mubtadi'*). 128

For Birgiwī, the vision of God is possible $(j\bar{a}^{\nu}iz)$ in terms of reason, and obligatory $(w\bar{a}jib)$ in terms of revelation. However, there is no space, direction or distance for that vision. ¹²⁹ Al-'Urābī assesses this view from a Salafī perspective, saying, "People will laugh off one who says Allah will be seen albeit there is no direction." ¹³⁰ Birgiwī refers to fatwā books to make the claim that any word that attributes

Birgiwī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadi in*, 223; al-Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 57.

Al-Khādimī, al-Barīqa, I, 211, 315; Ṭarīqatchī, Farā'id, 29a; al-Taftāzānī, Sharḥ al-Maqāṣid, V, 232; al-Zabīdī, Itḥāf al-sāda, II, 8, 250; Kalaycı, Tarihsel Süreçte Eşarilik-Maturidilik İlişkisi, 288.

¹²⁸ Ibn Taymiyya, *Majmū ʻ fatāwā*, III, 81.

¹²¹ Birgiwī, *al-Tarīqa*, 41, 95.

¹²³ Ibn Taymiyya, *Majmū ^c fatāwā*, XVI, 328.

¹²⁴ Birgiwī, *al-Tarīqa*, 39.

¹²⁶ Yüksel, Mehmed Birgivî'nin Dinî ve Siyasî Görüşleri, 72.

¹²⁷ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 39.

¹²⁹ Birgiwī, *al-Tarīqa*, 39-40.

¹³⁰ Al-'Urābī, *Dāmigha*, 68.

space to Allah will become unbelief. ¹³¹ This view of Birgiwī is evidence of his differentiation from Ibn Taymiyya. ¹³²

According to Birgiwi, Allah is the creator of good and evil, including the deeds of his objects ('abds). In turn, the object has free will to choose his actions that is subject to reward or punishment. 133 Similar opinions are expressed in *Dāmigha*. ¹³⁴ Birgiwī's thoughts on human deeds and free will are entirely compliant with the Hanafi-Māturīdī approach. 135 Birgiwī criticizes the Ash'arī conception of predestination. Mentioning the name of al-Ash^carī, Birgiwī claims that his view called *al-jabr al-mutawassit* is actually no different than *al*jabr al-mahd. Although he does not mention Māturīdī, his explanations fit the Māturīdī perspective. 136 Moreover, Birgiwī and his al-Tarīga are believed to have a special role in the spread of the concept of the particular will (al-irāda al-juz'iyya), which is highly relevant to this topic. 137 For Birgiwi, the object cannot be held responsible for something that exceeds its power; 138 therefore, he must be affiliated with the Māturīdī approach because he differs from the Ash^carī approach. 139

Faith (Īmān)

According to Birgiwī, faith is to approve (*taṣdīq*) and acknowledge (*iqrār*) those things clearly brought by the Prophet. Deeds are not included in the truth of faith. Faith is synonymous with Islām. Faith neither increases nor lessens. It is not permissible to say, "I am a believer, inshā' Allāh" (exception in faith). Birgiwī's views accord

¹³² El-Rouayheb, *Islamic Intellectual History*, 15.

-

¹³¹ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 43.

¹³³ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 40; Ṭarīqatchī, *Farā'id*, 54b.

¹³⁴ Birgiwī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadi in*, 225, 226.

¹³⁵ Yüksel, Mehmed Birgivî'nin Dinî ve Siyasî Görüşleri, 93.

Birgiwi, al-Ṭariqa, 118. For details, see Çağrıcı, "Gazzâlî'nin İhyâ'sı ile Birgivî'nin Tarîkat-ı Muhammediyye'sinin Mukayesesi," 477.

Philipp Bruckmayr, "The Particular Will (*al-irādat al-juz'iyya*): Excavations Regarding a Latecomer in Kalām Terminology on Human Agency and Its Position in Naqshbandi Discourse," *European Journal of Turkish Studies* 13 (2011), 4.

¹³⁸ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 40.

¹³⁹ Țarīqatchī, *Farā'id*, 61b.

¹⁴⁰ Birgiwī, *al-Tarīga*, 41, 84.

¹⁴¹ Birgiwī, *al-Ṭarīqa*, 41; cf. Birgiwī, *Vasiyyet-nâme*, 104; Ṭarīqatchī, *Farāʾid*, 120a.

with those of al-Imām al-Māturīdī on īmān and Islām. ¹⁴² Ibn Taymiyya mentions al-Māturīdī and his belief that "all human are equal in terms of faith; faith either is or is not, it is indivisible," a view that differs from his own. ¹⁴³

For Ibn Taymiyya, the definition of faith as "approval of heart, acknowledgement of tongue" is actually associated with Murji'a. ¹⁴⁴ It is permissible to say, "I am a believer, inshā' Allāh" (exception in faith). ¹⁴⁵ Īmān and Islām are different. ¹⁴⁶ Deeds are part of faith. ¹⁴⁷ Faith increases and lessens; ¹⁴⁸ it changes and becomes fragmentary in terms of virtue. ¹⁴⁹ The divisions that constitute faith, may partially fade away or survive. ¹⁵⁰

Once deeds are included within the description of faith, some interesting interpretations inevitably follow. According to Ibn Qayyim, a disciple of Ibn Taymiyya, unbelief and belief, polytheism and unity, piety and wrong, hypocrisy and faith may be simultaneously present in a person. This is one of the most fundamental principles. Ahl al-bid^ca, however, opposes this argument.¹⁵¹ Though he expresses himself differently, Ibn Taymiyya seems to have adopted the same approach. ¹⁵² Nevertheless, we should also note his acceptance of "unbelief that does not dismiss one from religion" (*kufr lā yanqul can al-milla, kufr dūn kufr*). ¹⁵³ A

Abū 'Abd Allāh Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya, *Kitāb al-ṣalāt* (ed. 'Adnān ibn Ṣāfākhān al-Bukhārī; Mecca: Dār al-ʿĀlam al-Fawāʾid, 1431), 60.

_

¹⁴² Yüksel, Mehmed Birgivî'nin Dinî ve Siyasî Görüşleri, 94, 95.

¹⁴³ Ibn Taymiyya, *Majmū ʻ fatāwā*, VII, 582.

¹⁴⁴ Id., *al-Īmān* (ed. Muḥammad al-Zubaydī; Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-ʿArabī, 1993), 172; id., *Majmūʿ fatāwā*, XIII, 50.

¹⁴⁵ Id., *al-Īmān*, 384-388; id., *Majmū ^c fatāwā*, VII, 439, 509.

¹⁴⁶ Ibn Taymiyya, *Majmū* ^c *fatāwā*, VII, 6.

¹⁴⁷ *Ibid.*, III, 151, 177; VII, 308, 330, 642.

¹⁴⁸ Ibn Taymiyya, *al-Īmān*, 28, 32, 204, 211, 216, 279, 308, 330; id., *Majmūʿ fatāwā*, III, 151; VI, 479; VII, 223, 505; XIII, 51; XIX, 188.

¹⁴⁹ Ibn Taymiyya, *Majmūʿ fatāwā*, III, 355; VII, 517, 647; XI, 654; XVIII, 270.

¹⁵⁰ *Ibid.*, VII, 517.

¹⁵² Ibn Taymiyya, *Majmū ^c fatāwā*, VII, 353, 404, 520.

¹⁵³ *Ibid.*, VII, 350, 312, 325.

person who commits a major $sin (murtakib \ al-kab\bar{\imath}ra)$ is a believer with incomplete faith $(n\bar{a}qis \ al-\bar{\imath}m\bar{a}n)$.

According to Wahhābī commentators of Ibn Taymiyya, Māturīdiyya is out of Ahl al-sunna; it is a deviant (*ḍālla*) sect. ¹⁵⁵ Birgiwī adopts the same views as the Māturīdī scholars about faith and almost all theological issues discussed among other Sunnī *madhhab*s. Therefore, it is impossible to claim that Birgiwī is affiliated with the Ibn Taymiyya School or Wahhābism.

Conclusion

Birgiwī has been described as a 'Salafī' and a representative of the Ibn Taymiyya School of the Ottoman Empire. Nevertheless, when we elaborate various studies, we can see that the concepts developed on Ibn Taymiyya School and its alleged represantative Birgiwī are complicated. Birgiwī's dissenting character in several controversial issues during his lifetime has been highlighted. The sensitiveness in some issues such as criticisms against those who are considered heretics and Sufi circles has been widely seen as if they are specific to only Ibn Taymiyya and mentioned only in Ibn Taymiyya's work. As Birgiwī hints in his notes, the Ḥanafī circle and tradition had already dealt with these issues and dissenting opinions; thus, it is a deficiency to overlook and ignore this fact.

Assumptions have been made about the relationship between Ibn Taymiyya and Birgiwī. Because of the discovery that *Ziyārat alqubūr* was not written by Birgiwī, it is necessary to review the arguments asserting this connection that have been based on this treatise. The references to translations of Birgiwī's *al-Ṭarīqa* also require revision because they are occasionally based on additions by the translator and not on Birgiwī's original writings. Moreover, the findings based on erroneous information, such as the confusion of Ibn Qayyim, a disciple of Ibn Taymiyya, with Abū l-Faraj Ibn al-Jawzī, who lived and died in an earlier period, should be corrected. There are some quotations in *Dāmighat al-mubtadiʿīn* that have been attributed to Birgiwī. Nevertheless, there are doubts about whether

-

¹⁵⁴ Ibid., VII, 354.

¹⁵⁵ Āl al-Sheikh, *al-Laʾālī l-bahiyya*, 88-90; al-ʿUthaymīn, *Sharḥ al-ʿAqīda al-Wāsiṭiyya*, I, 53.

the book was really written by Birgiwī. Moreover, its contents reveal a completely Māturīdī text.

Birgiwi's views are consistent with the Māturīdiyya from the conventional classification of three sub-categories of Sunnī Islam: Salafiyya, Māturīdiyya and Ashcariyya. It is noteworthy that who assessed Birgiwi's thoughts with a Salafi approach found them ridiculous or associate with the ideas of polytheists. On the other, the traditional categorization of Ottoman religious thought as the Rāzī (Māturīdī) School and Ibn Taymiyya School is also open to criticism in terms of *madbhabī* identities. Recognizing this, this study aimed to reveal the issues of Birgiwi's madhhabī association. Considering Birgiwi's views on creeds, it seems impossible to dissociate him from the Māturīdīs and to categorize him as a member of the Ibn Taymiyya School. Birgiwī is a Ḥanafī scholar, sensitive to religious deviations in society, and affiliated with Māturīdī approaches to theological problems. Various sub-classifications may be established within Māturīdism. Indeed, Māturīdism is represented in different ways in different regions. However, it seems impossible to trace the Ibn Taymiyya School of the Ottoman Empire through Birgiwī. The developments that occurred after Birgiwi's death also require further study.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to Kadir Gömbeyaz for proofreading and commenting on this study.

REFERENCES

- Aḥmad, ʿĀṭif Ibrāhīm, *al-Birgiwī wa-ārāʾubā l-kalāmiyya* (MA thesis; Cairo: Jāmiʿat al-Qāhira, 2013), available at http://cu.edu.eg/ar/Cairo-University-Faculty-News-2489.html (accessed April 18, 2013).
- Akyüz, Vecdi, "Preface", in Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalīm Ibn Taymiyya, *Siyâset: es-Siyâsetü'ş-Şer'iyye* (translated into Turkish by Vecdi Akyüz; 2nd edn., Istanbul: Dergâh Yayınları, 1999).
- al-Āqḥiṣārī, Aḥmad al-Rūmī, *Majālis al-abrār (the first fifty session)*, in 'Alī Miṣrī Simjān Fawrā, [Study on] *Majālis al-abrār* (PhD dissertation; Medina: al-Jāmi'a al-Islāmiyya, 2007).
- al-Āqḥiṣārī, Aḥmad al-Rūmī [as el-Akhisârî, Ahmed er-Rûmî], *Tütün İçmek Haram mıdır? Bir Osmanlı Risalesi* [=*Against Smoking: An Ottoman*

- *Manifesto*] (translated by Mehmet Yavuz; İstanbul: Kitap Yayınevi, 2015).
- 'Alī ibn Bālī, *al-'Iqd al-manzūm fī dhikr afāḍil al-Rūm* [as an annex to *al-Shaqā'iq al-Nu'māniyya* by Ṭāshkuprī-zāda] (Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1975).
- Āl al-Sheikh, Ṣāliḥ ibn ʿAbd al-ʿAzīz ibn Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm, *al-Laʾālī l-bahiyya fī sharḥ al-ʿAqīda al-Wāsiṭiyya*, 2 vols., (ed. ʿĀdil ibn Muḥammad Mursī Rifāʿī; Riyadh: Dār al-ʿĀṣima, 2010).
- Arıcı, Mustakim, "İslâm Düşüncesinde Fahreddin er-Râzî Ekolü," in Ömer Türker and Osman Demir (eds.), *Fahreddin Râzî* (Istanbul: İSAM Yayınları, 2013), 167-202.
- Arslan, Ahmet Turan, "İmam Birgivî'ye Nisbet Edilen Bazı Eserler," in İbrahim Gümüş (ed.), *1. Ulusal İslam Elyazmaları Sempozyumu (13-14 Nisan 2007) Bildiriler Kitabı* (Istanbul: Türkiye Çevre Koruma ve Yeşillendirme Kurumu [TÜRÇEK], 2009), 175-182.
- Arslantürk, Ayşe Hümeyra, "Nesefî, Necmeddin," *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslâm Ansiklopedisi (DİA)*, XXXIII, 571-573.
- 'Āshiq Chalabī, Pīr Muḥammad ibn 'Alī ibn Muḥammad, *Mi'rāj al-'iyāla wa-minbāj al-'adāla* (MS Istanbul: Süleymaniye Library, Şehid Ali Paşa, 1556).
- 'Aṭā'ī, Naw'ī-zāda, *Ḥadā'iq al-ḥaqā'iq fī takmilat al-Shaqā'iq* (Istanbul: Çağrı Yayınları, 1989).
- Bernand, Marie, "Le muḥtaṣar fī bayān al-i'tiqād," *Annales Islamologiques* 18 (1982), 1-33.
- Birgiwī, Muḥammad ibn Pīr ʿAlī, *Inqādh al-hālikīn* in Aḥmad Hādī al-Qaṣṣār (ed.), *Rasāʾil al-Birgiwī* (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyya, 2011), 49-81.
- Birgiwī, Muḥammad ibn Pīr ʿAlī, *Ziyārat al-qubūr* in Aḥmad Hādī al-Qaṣṣār (ed.), *Rasāʾil al-Birgiwī* (Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyya, 2011), 153-182.
- Birgiwī, Muḥammad ibn Pīr 'Alī, *al-Ṭarīqa al-Muḥammadiyya wa-l-sīra al-Aḥmadiyya* (ed. Muḥammad Ḥusnī Muṣṭafā; Aleppo: Dār al-Qalam al-'Arabī, 2002).
- Birgiwī, Muḥammad ibn Pīr ʿAlī, *Miḥakk al-ṣūfiyya* (Istanbul: Maktabat Darsaʿāda, 1988).
- Birgiwī, Muḥammad ibn Pīr ʿAlī [as Birgili Muhammed Efendi] *Vasiyyet-nâme: Dil İncelemesi, Metin, Sözlük, Ekler İndeksi ve Tıpkıbasım* (ed. Musa Duman; Istanbul: Risale Yayınları, 2000).

- Birgiwī, Muḥammad ibn Pīr ʿAlī, *Dāmighat al-mubtadiʿīn* (eds. ʿAbd al-Raḥīm al-Sāyiḥ and Tawfīq ʿAlī Wahba; Cairo: Dār al-Āfāq al-ʿArabiyya, 2010).
- Bruckmayr, Philipp, "The Particular Will (*al-irādat al-juz'iyya*): Excavations Regarding a Latecomer in Kalām Terminology on Human Agency and Its Position in Naqshbandi Discourse," *European Journal of Turkish Studies* [Online] 13 (2011), 2-20, available at http://ejts.revues.org/4601.
- al-Bukhārī, 'Alā' al-Dīn, *Fāḍiḥat al-mulḥidīn*, in Muḥammad ibn Ibrāhīm al-'Awḍī, *Fāḍiḥat al-mulḥidīn wa-nāṣiḥat al-muwaḥḥidīn* (MA thesis; Mecca: Jāmi'at Umm al-Qurā, 1414).
- al-Būṭī, Muḥammad Saʿīd Ramaḍān, *al-Salafiyya: marḥala zamaniyya mubāraka lā madhhab Islāmī* (8th edn., Damascus: Dār al-Fikr, 2006).
- Cook, Michael, Commanding Right and Forbidding Wrong in Islamic Thought (Cambridge: Cambridge University, 2004).
- Currie, James Muhammad Dawud, "Kadizadeli Ottoman Scholarship, Muḥammad ibn 'Abd al-Wahhāb, and the Rise of the Saudi State," *Journal of Islamic Studies* 26 (3), 2015, 265-288, http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jis/etv083.
- Çağrıcı, Mustafa, "Gazzâlî'nin İhyâ'sı ile Birgivî'nin Tarîkat-ı Muhammediyye'sinin Mukayesesi", *İslâmî Araştırmalar (Gazzâlî Özel Sayısı)* 13/3-4 (2000) 473-478.
- al-Dihlawī, Farīd al-Dīn 'Ālim ibn al-'Alā' al-Indarapatī, *al-Fatāwā l-Tātārkhāniyya*, 23 vols., (ed. Shabbīr Aḥmad al-Qāsimī; Deoband: Maktabat Zakariyyā', 2010).
- Evstatiev, Simeon, ""The Qāḍīzādeli Movement and the Revival of *takfir* in the Ottoman Age," in Camilla Adang, Hassan Ansari, Maribel Fierro, and Sabine Schmidtke (eds.), *Accusations of Unbelief in Islam: A Diachronic Perspective on* Takfir (Leiden & Boston: Brill, 2015), 213-243, http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/9789004307834_010.
- Fawrā, 'Alī Miṣrī Simjān, "*Dirāsa*" [*Study on Majālis al-abrār* by Aḥmad Rūmī (Aqhisārī)] (PhD dissertation; Medina: al-Jāmi'a al-Islāmiyya, 2007). 1-44.
- al-Ghazālī, Abū Ḥāmid Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad, *Minhāj al-ʿābidīn ilā jannat Rabb al-ʿālamīn* (ed. Maḥmūd Muṣṭafā Ḥalāwī; Beirut: Muʾassasat al-Risāla, 1989).
- Ibn al-Bazzāzī, Ḥāfiz al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Muḥammad ibn Shihāb al-Kardarī al-Bazzāzī, *al-Fatāwā l-Bazzāziyya* [in the marginal note of *al-Fatāwā l-Hindiyya fī madhbab al-Imām al-Aʻzam Abī Ḥanīfa al-Nuʻmān*], 6 vols., (Būlāq: al-Maṭbaʻa al-Kubrā al-Amīriyya, 1310).

- Ibn Faqīh, 'Abd al-Bāqī ibn 'Abd al-Bāqī Ibn Faqīh Fiṣṣa al-Mawāhibī al-Ḥanbalī, *al-'Ayn wa-l-athar fī 'aqā'id abl al-athar* (ed. 'Iṣām Rawwās Qal'ajī; Damascus: Dār al-Ma'mūn li l-Turāth, 1987).
- Ibn al-Jawzī, Abū l-Faraj 'Abdurrahmān ibn 'Alī, *Talbīs Iblīs* (ed. Sayyid al-Jumaylī; Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1994).
- Ibn Qayyim al-Jawziyya, Abū 'Abd Allāh Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad, *Kitāb al-şalāt* (ed. 'Adnān ibn Ṣāfākhān al-Bukhārī; Mecca: Dār al-'Ālam al-Fawā'id, 1431).
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalīm, *Dar' ta'āruḍ al-'aql wa-l-naql*, 11 vols., (ed. Muḥammad Rashād Sālim; 2nd edn., Medina: Jāmi'at al-Imām Muḥammad ibn Su'ūd al-Islāmiyya, 1991).
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalīm, *al-Īmān* (ed. Muḥammad al-Zubaydī; Beirut: Dār al-Kitāb al-'Arabī, 1993).
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalīm, *Iqtiḍā' al-ṣirāṭ al-mustaqīm li-mukhālafat aṣḥāb al-jaḥīm*, 2 vols., (ed. Nāṣir 'Abd al-Karīm al-'Aql; Riyadh: Maktabat al-Rushd, 1994).
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥalīm, *Minhāj al-sunna al-Nabawiyya*, 9 vols., (ed. Muḥammad Rashād Sālim; Riyadh: Muʾassasat Qurṭuba, 1986).
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn 'Abd al-Ḥalīm, *Majmū' fatāwā*, 37 vols., (ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān ibn Muḥammad ibn Qāsim; Medina: Mujamma' al-Malik Fahd li-Ṭibā'at al-Muṣḥāf al-Sharīf, 2004).
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥalīm, *Siyâset: es-Siyâsetü 'ş-Şer'iyye* (translated by Vecdi Akyüz; 2nd edn., Istanbul: Dergâh Yayınları, 1999).
- Ibn Taymiyya, Taqī al-Dīn Aḥmad ibn ʿAbd al-Ḥalīm, *al-Tadmuriyya: Taḥqīq al-ithbāt li-l-asmā' wa-l-ṣifāt wa-ḥaqīqat al-jamʿ bayna l-qadar wa-l-shar*ʿ (ed. Muḥammad ibn ʿAwda al-Saʿwī; Riyadh: Maktabat al-ʿUbaykān, 2000).
- İzmirli, İsmail Hakkı, *Yeni 'Ilm-i Kalām*, 2 vols. in 1 vol., (Istanbul: Awqāf-i Islāmiyya Maṭbaʿasi, 1339-1341).
- al-Janābī, Abū Muḥammad Muṣṭafā ibn Ḥusayn ibn Sinān, *al-ʿAylam al-zākhir fī aḥwāl al-awāʾil wa-l-awākhir* [also known as *Tārīkh al-Janābī*] (MS Istanbul, Nuruosmaniye Library, no. 3100).
- al-Jīlānī, Abū Muḥammad 'Abd al-Qādir ibn Abī Ṣāliḥ 'Abd Allāh, *Sirr al-asrār wa-mazhar al-anwār fī-mā yaḥtāj' ilaybi l-abrār* (eds. Khālid Muḥammad 'Adnān al-Zar'ī and Muḥammad Ghassān Naṣūḥ 'Azqūl; 4th edn., Damascus: Dār al-Sanābil, 1995).
- Kalaycı, Mehmet, *Taribsel Süreçte Eşarilik-Maturidilik İlişkisi* (Ankara: Ankara Okulu Yayınları, 2013).

- Kara, İsmail, İlim Bilmez Tarib Hatırlamaz: Şerb ve Haşiye Meselesine Dair Birkaç Not (2nd edn., Istanbul: Dergâh Yayınları, 2013).
- Kātib Chalabī, Ḥājī Khalīfa Muṣṭafā ibn ʿAbd Allāh [as Kâtip Çelebi], Mîzânüʾl-Hakk fî ibtiyâriʾl-ehakk [=Mīzān al-ḥaqq fī ikbtiyār al-aḥaqq] (translated into Turkish by Orhan Şaik Gökyay and Süleyman Uludağ; Istanbul: Kabalcı Yayınevi, 2008).
- Kaylı, Ahmet, A Critical Study of Birgiwī Meḥmed Efendī's (d. 981/1573) Works and Their Dissemination in Manuscript Form (MA thesis; Istanbul: Boğaziçi University, 2010).
- al-Khādimī, Abū Saʿīd Muḥammad ibn Muṣṭafā ibn ʿUthmān, *al-Barīqa al-Maḥmūdiyya fī sharḥ al-Ṭarīqa al-Muḥammadiyya*, 4 vols. in 2 vols., (Istanbul: Shirkat-i Ṣaḥāfiyya-i ʿUthmāniyya, 1316).
- Kırbaşoğlu, Mehmet Hayri, "Maziden Atiye Selefî Düşüncenin Anatomisi," İslâmiyât (Kökencilik Özel Sayısı) 10/1 (2007), 139-160.
- Koca, Ferhat, "Osmanlı Fakihlerinin Semâ, Raks ve Devrân Hakkındaki Tartışmaları," *Tasavvuf: İlmî ve Akademik Araştırma Dergisi* 5/13 (2004), 25-74.
- Kufrevî, Kasim, "Birgewī," in: Encyclopaedia of Islam Second Edition, (eds. P. Bearman, Th. Bianquis, C.E. Bosworth, E. van Donzel, and W.P. Heinrichs; accessed June 16, 2015), http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/1573-3912_islam_SIM_1434.
- Lekesiz, Hulusi, XVI. Yüzyıl Osmanlı Düzenindeki Değişimin Tasfiyeci (Püritanist) Bir Eleştirisi: Birgivî Mehmed Efendi ve Fikirleri (PhD dissertation; Ankara: Hacettepe University, 1997).
- Lekesiz, Hulusi, "Osmanlı İlmi Zihniyeti: Teşekkülü, Gelişmesi ve Çözülmesi Üzerine Bir Tahlil Denemesi," *Türk Yurdu*, 21/49 (1991), 20-32.
- Martı, Huriye, *Birgili Mehmed Efendi'nin Hadisçiliği ve et-Tarîkatü'l-Muḥammadiyye: Tahkik ve Tahlil* (PhD dissertation; Konya: Selçuk University, 2005).
- Martı, Huriye, *Birgivî Mehmet Efendi: Hayatı, Eserleri ve Fikir Dünyası* (Ankara: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Yayınları, 2008).
- Martı, Huriye, *Birgivî Mehmed Efendi: Osmanlı'da Bir Dâru'l-Hadis Şeyhi* (Istanbul: Dârulhadis, 2008).
- Martı, Huriye, "Tarîkat-ı Muhammediyye," *Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı İslam Ansiklopedisi (DİA)*, XL, 106-108.
- Michot, Yahya, introduction to *Against Smoking: An Ottoman Manifesto*, by Aḥmad al-Rūmī al-Āqḥiṣārī (ed. and translated by Yahya Michot; Leicestershire: Interface Publications & Kube Publishing, 2010), 1-44.
- al-Nasafī, Najm al-Dīn Abū Hafs 'Umar, *Risāla fī bayān madhāhib al-taṣawwuf* in Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Ḥurr al-'Āmilī, *Risālat al-*

- ithnay 'ashariyya fi l-radd 'alā l-ṣūfiyya (ed. Muḥammad ibn al-Ḥasan al-Tafrishī al-Darūdī; Qom: al-Maṭba'a al-'Ilmiyya, 1400) 23-25, available at http://www.alhawzaonline.com/almaktaba-almakroaa/book/238-aqa'ed/0334-al%20ethna%203asharia/01.htm (accessed October 3, 2009).
- al-Nasafī, Najm al-Dīn Abū Hafs 'Umar, "Kitâb fî Mezâhibi'l-Mutasavvıfa [Kitāb fī madhāhib al-mutaṣawwifa]" (translated by Süleyman Uludağ), *Diyanet Dergisi*, 18/3 (1979) 167-173.
- Ocak, Ahmet Yaşar, *Yeniçağlar Anadolu'sunda İslam'ın Ayak İzleri: Osmanlı Dönemi, Makaleler-Araştırmalar* (Istanbul: Kitap Yayınevi, 2011).
- Ocak, Ahmet Yaşar, "Religious Secience and Ulema," in Halil İnalcık and Günsel Renda (eds.), *Ottoman Civilization* (translated by Ellen Yazar and Priscilla Mary Işın; Ankara: Ministry of Culture, 2003), I, 243-265.
- Ocak, Ahmet Yaşar, "al-Ḥayāt al-dīniyya wa-l-fikriyya," in Ekmeleddin İhsanoğlu (ed.) *al-Dawla al-'Uthmāniyya: tārīkh wa-ḥaḍāra*, 2 vols., (translated into Arabic by Ṣāliḥ Ṣa'dāwī; Istanbul: IRCICA, 1999), II, 153-298.
- Ocak, Ahmet Yaşar, "Ottoman Intellectual Life in the Classical Period," in H. C. Güzel, K. Çiçek, and S. Koca (eds.), *The Turks*, 3 vols., (Ankara: Yeni Türkiye Publications, 2002), III, 743-755.
- Ocak, Ahmet Yaşar, "İbn Kemâl'in Yaşadığı XV ve XVI. Asırlar Türkiye'sinde İlim ve Fikir Hayatı," in S. Hayri Bolay, Bahaeddin Yediyıldız, and. M. Sait Yazıcıoğlu (eds.), *Tokat Valiliği Şeybülislam İbn Kemâl Araştırma Merkezi'nin Tertip Ettiği Şeybülislâm İbn Kemâl Sempozyumu: Tebliğler ve Tartışmalar* (2nd edn., Ankara: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı, 1989), 29-36.
- al-Qushayrī, Abū l-Qāsim Zayn al-Islām 'Abd al-Karīm ibn Hawāzin, *al-Risāla al-Qushayriyya*, 2 vols., (eds. 'Abd al-Ḥalīm Maḥmūd and Maḥmūd ibn al-Sharīf; Cairo: Dār al-Maʿārif, n.d.).
- Öngören, Reşat, "Osmanlılar Döneminde Semâ ve Devran Tartışmaları," *Tasavvuf: İlmî ve Akademik Araştırma Dergisi* 11/25 (2010), 123-132.
- Özcan, Tahsin, *Osmanlı Para Vakıfları: Kanûnî Dönemi Üsküdar Örneği* (Ankara: Türk Tarih Kurumu, 2003).
- Qāḍī-zāda Aḥmad [as Kadızâde Ahmed], *Birgivî Vasiyetnâmesi: Kadızâde Şerbi* (simplified by A. Faruk Meyan; Istanbul: Bedir Yayınları, 2009).
- Qāḍī-zāda Meḥmed [Muḥammad ibn Muṣṭafā ibn Muḥammad], *Tāj al-rasāʾil wa-minhāj al-wasāʾil* (MS Istanbul: Süleymaniye Library, Hacı Mahmut Efendi, no: 1926).

- al-Rāzī, Abū 'Abd Allāh Fakhr al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn 'Umar, *Munāṣarāt Fakhr al-Dīn al-Rāzī fī bilād Māwarā' al-nahr* (ed. Fatḥ Allāh Khulayf; Beirut: Dār al-Mashriq, 1966).
- El-Rouayheb, Khaled, *Islamic Intellectual History in the Seventeenth Century: Scholarly Currents in the Ottoman Empire and the Maghreb* (New York: Cambridge University Press, 2015).
- El-Rouayheb, Khaled, "From Ibn Ḥajar al-Haytamī (d. 1566) to Khayr al-Dīn al-Ālūsī (d. 1899): Changing Views of Ibn Taymiyya among Non-Ḥanbalī Sunni Scholars," in Yossef Rapoport and Shahab Ahmed (eds.), *Ibn Taymiyya and His Times* (Karachi: Oxford University Press, 2010), 269-318.
- El-Rouayheb, Khaled, "The Myth of 'The Triumph of Fanaticism' in the Seventeenth-Century Ottoman Empire," *Die Welt des Islams* 48/2 (2008), 196-221, http://dx.doi.org/10.1163/157006008X335930.
- al-Ṣaffār, Abū Isḥāq Ibrāhīm al-Bukhārī, *Talkhīṣ al-adilla li-qawā'id al-tawḥīd*, 2 vols., (ed. Angelika Brodersen; Beirut: Orient Institut, 2011).
- al-Saffārīnī, Abū l-ʿAwn Shams al-Dīn Muḥammad ibn Aḥmad ibn Sālim al-Ḥanbalī, *Lawāmiʿ al-anwār al-bahiyya wa-sawāṭiʿ al-asrār al-athariyya li-sharḥ al-Durra al-muḍiyya fī ʿaqd al-firqa al-marḍiyya*, 2 vols., (2nd edn., Damascus: Muʾassasat al-Khāfiqīn wa-Maktabatuhā, 1982).
- Sayyid 'Alī-zāda, *Mafātīḥ al-jinān: Sharḥ Shir'at al-Islām* (Istanbul: al-Maṭba'a al-'Uthmāniyya, 1317).
- al-Sharīf, Fuhayd ibn Manṣūr ibn Zāmil ibn Lu³ayy, introduction to *Aḥwāl aṭfāl al-muslimīn*, by Birgiwī (MA thesis; Mecca: Jāmiʿat Umm al-Qurā,1434).
- Sheikh, Mustapha, "Taymiyyan Influences in an Ottoman-Ḥanafī Milieu: The Case of Aḥmad al-Rūmī al-Āqḥiṣārī," *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society* 25/1 (2015), 1-20.
- Ţarīqatchī Amīr Muṣṭafā, *Farā'id al-'aqā'id al-bahiyya fī ḥall mushkilāt al-Ṭarīqa al-Muḥammadiyya* (MS Istanbul: Nuruosmaniye Library, no: 2318)
- al-Taftāzānī, Sa'd al-Dīn Mas'ūd ibn 'Umar ibn 'Abd Allāh, *Sharḥ al-Maqāṣid*, 5 vols., (ed. 'Abd al-Raḥmān 'Umayra; 2nd edn., Beirut: 'Ālam al-Kutub, 1998)
- al-Taftāzānī, Sa'd al-Dīn Mas'ūd ibn 'Umar ibn 'Abd Allāh, *Sharḥ al-Talwīḥ 'alā l-Tawḍīḥ li-matn al-Tanqīḥ fī uṣūl al-fiqh*, 2 vols., (ed. Zakariyyā 'Umayrāt; Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyya, 1996)

- Terzioğlu, Derin, *Sufi and Dissident in the Ottoman Empire: Niyāzī-i Miṣrī,* 1618-1694 (PhD dissertation; Cambridge, MA: Harvard University, 1999).
- Terzioğlu, Derin, "Bir Tercüme ve Bir İntihal Vakası: Ya da İbn Teymiyye'nin Siyāsetü'ş-Şer'iyye'sini Osmanlıcaya Kim(ler), Nasıl Aktardı?" *Journal of Turkish Studies: Türklük Bilgisi Araştırmaları* 31/2 (2007), 247-275.
- Terzioğlu, Derin, "Sunna-minded sufi preachers in service of the ottoman state: the *naṣīḥatnāme* of Hasan addressed to Murad IV," *Archivum Ottomanicum* 27 (2010), 241-312.
- Unan, Fahri "Dinde Tasfiyecilik Yahut Osmanlı Sünnîliğine Sünnî Muhâlefet: Birgivî Mehmed Efendi," *Türk Yurdu* 36/382 (1990), 33-43.
- al-ʿUrābī, Sulṭān ibn ʿUbayd ibn ʿAbd Allāh, *Dāmighat al-mubtadiʿīn wa-kāshifat buṭlān al-mulḥidīn: Imām Muḥammad ibn Pīr ʿAlī ibn Iskandar al-Birgiwī Dirāsa wa taḥqīq min awwal al-kitāb ilā qawlihī "wa-ammā thawāb al-ʿamal bi-l-sunna*" (MA thesis; Mecca: Jāmiʿat Umm al-Qurā, n.d.).
- al-ʿUthaymīn, Muḥammad Ṣāliḥ, *Sharḥ al-Wāsiṭiyya li-Sheikh al-islām Ibn Taymiyya* (ed. Saʿd ibn Fawwāz al-Ṣumayl; 6th edn., Riyadh: Dār Ibn al-Jawzī, 2000).
- Wadādī (Widādī), *Takmila-i tarjama-i Ṭarīqat-i Muḥammadiyya* (Istanbul: Dār al-Salṭana, 1256).
- Yılmaz, Hüseyin, *The Sultan and the Sultanate: Envisioning Rulership in the Age of Süleymān the Lawgiver (1520-1566)* (PhD dissertation; Ann Arbor: Harvard University, 2005).
- Yüksel, Emrullah, *Mehmed Birgivî'nin Dinî ve Siyasî Görüşleri* (Ankara: Türkiye Diyanet Vakfı Yayınları, 2011).
- Yüksel, Emrullah, "Mehmed Birgivî," *Atatürk Üniversitesi İslâmî İlimler Fakültesi Dergisi* 2 (1977), 175-185.
- al-Zabīdī, Abū l-Fayḍ Muḥammad al-Murtaḍā ibn Muḥammad, *Itḥāf al-sāda al-muttaqīn bi-sharḥ Iḥyā ʿulūm al-dīn*, 14 vols., (3rd edn., Beirut: Dār al-Kutub al-ʿIlmiyya, 2002).
- Zilfi, Madeline C., *The Politics of Piety: the Ottoman Ulema in the Postclassical Age: 1600-1800* (Minneapolis: Bibliotheca Islamica, 1988).