

RINGS WHOSE SEMIGROUP OF RIGHT IDEALS IS \mathcal{J} -TRIVIAL

Henry E. Heatherly and Ralph P. Tucci

Received: 12 January 2011; Revised: 5 May 2011

Communicated by Abdullah Harmanci

ABSTRACT. A semigroup S is \mathcal{J} -trivial if any two distinct elements of S must generate distinct ideals of S . We investigate this condition for the semigroup of all right ideals of a ring under right ideal multiplication. There is a rich interplay between the underlying ring and the semigroup of all of its right ideals.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 16D25, 16E25, 16N99, 20M10

Keywords: semigroup of right ideals, ring, \mathcal{J} -trivial, idempotents, nilpotent, radicals, π -regular, strongly regular, right weakly regular, 0-cancellative

1. Introduction

Here R is a ring. (Herein all rings are associative, not necessarily commutative, not necessarily with identity). Let $\mathbb{R}(R)$, $\mathbb{L}(R)$, and $\mathbb{I}(R)$ denote the multiplicative semigroups of right, respectively left, two-sided ideals of R . In previous works we considered these semigroups when they are bands (every element idempotent) [7, 8]. Rings for which every right ideal is idempotent are called *right weakly regular* (*r.w.r.*) rings, and have been studied in great detail. For a survey of r.w.r. rings, see [9].

In this paper we consider the \mathcal{J} -trivial condition for the semigroups $\mathbb{R}(R)$ and $\mathbb{L}(R)$ and the consequences for the underlying ring R . A semigroup S is said to be \mathcal{J} -trivial if, whenever $a, b \in S$ such that a and b generate the same ideal in S , then $a = b$. (Here S will always denote a semigroup and S^1 is the monoid obtained by adjoining an identity element 1 to S [3, p.4].) Recall that the Green's relation \mathcal{J} on S is defined by: $a\mathcal{J}b$ if $a, b \in S$ and $S^1aS^1 = S^1bS^1$; i.e., a and b are \mathcal{J} -equivalent [3, p.48]. Semigroups which are finite and \mathcal{J} -trivial have arisen in the study of formal languages [12], and in the context of full transformation semigroups [13]. Saito gives conditions for a periodic semigroup to be \mathcal{J} -trivial [13, Lemma 1.1]. Observe that every semilattice (commutative semigroup in which every element is idempotent) is \mathcal{J} -trivial, and that whenever S is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then so is S^1 and S^0 . (Here S^0 is the semigroup with zero, 0, adjoined [3, p.4].) Not all bands are \mathcal{J} -trivial. For example, let S be a semigroup in which $ab = b$ for all $a, b \in S$; such a

semigroup is called *right zero* [3, p.37]. Any right zero semigroup with more than one element is a band that is not \mathcal{J} -trivial.

In this paper we show that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial if R is either commutative, right duo (every right ideal of R is two-sided), or nilpotent. The paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2 we consider conditions that imply $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. If R is either right duo, commutative, nilpotent, or a skewfield, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is either 0-cancellative or has identity, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. In Sections 3, 4, and 5 we obtain results assuming $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, a hypothesis that is assumed for the remainder of this introduction. In Section 3 idempotent right ideals are shown to be ideals, maximal right ideals are considered, and the Jacobson and Brown-McCoy radicals of R are shown to be equal. In Section 4 minimal right ideals are considered, subdirectly irreducible rings are classified, and it is shown that every idempotent is central. In Section 5 it is shown that R r.w.r. implies R is strongly regular and that R π -regular implies R is strongly π -regular.

2. Conditions which imply that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial

We first consider conditions on the ring R which will imply that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. For any skewfield K , the semigroup $\mathbb{R}(K)$ has only two elements, 0 and K , and K is the identity for the semigroup. So $\mathbb{R}(K)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.

Recall that a ring R is *right (left) duo* if every right (respectively, left) ideal of R is a two-sided ideal [10].

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be a ring. Then we have the following.*

- (i) *If $A, B \in \mathbb{I}(R)$ and $A \neq B$, then A and B are not \mathcal{J} -equivalent in either $\mathbb{R}(R)$ or $\mathbb{L}(R)$.*
- (ii) *$\mathbb{I}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*
- (iii) *If R is right (left) duo, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ (respectively, $\mathbb{L}(R)$) is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*
- (iv) *If R is commutative, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ and $\mathbb{L}(R)$ are both \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. Suppose $A, B \in \mathbb{I}(R)$ and A and B are \mathcal{J} -equivalent in $\mathbb{R}(R)$. Then either $A = B$, $A = XB$, $A = BX$, or $A = XBY$ for some $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}(R)$. In each case $A \subseteq B$. Similarly, $B \subseteq A$, so $A = B$. Proceed similarly if A, B are \mathcal{J} -equivalent in $\mathbb{L}(R)$. This establishes part (i). Parts (ii) and (iii) follow immediately from (i), and (iv) follows immediately from (iii). \square

Note that for any commutative ring A and any set Ω of commuting indeterminates, the polynomial ring $A[\Omega]$ and the ring of formal power series $A \langle \Omega \rangle$ are each commutative and hence both $\mathbb{R}(A[\Omega])$ and $\mathbb{R}(A \langle \Omega \rangle)$ are \mathcal{J} -trivial.

Proposition 2.2. *If R is nilpotent, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ and $\mathbb{L}(R)$ are \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. Let $H, K \in \mathbb{R}(R)$ with HJK . For convenience in calculation we operate in the semigroup with identity, 1, adjoined to $\mathbb{R}(R)$. So $H = XKY$ and $K = BHT$, where X, Y, B, T are each in $\mathbb{R}(R) \cup \{1\}$. A routine calculation establishes that $H = (XB)^n H(TY)^n$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If any one of X, B, T , or Y is not 1, then since H is nilpotent, by choosing n large enough we get $H = 0$. So $K = 0$. If $X = Y = 1$ we get $H = K$. Thus $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. Similarly, $\mathbb{L}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. \square

Let $\text{char } R = n > 1$. Recall that R can be embedded as an ideal in the ring R^1 , where R^1 is the set $Z_n \times R$ with the operations $(\alpha, r) + (\beta, t) = (\alpha + \beta, r + t)$, $(\alpha, r)(\beta, t) = (\alpha\beta, \alpha t + \beta r + rt)$, $\alpha, \beta \in Z_n, r, t \in R$, and that R^1 has identity with $\text{char } R^1 = n$ [2]. Observe that right ideals of R map onto right ideals of R^1 under the embedding mapping $r \rightarrow (0, r)$. Identifying R with its image R^1 we see that $\mathbb{R}(R) \subseteq \mathbb{R}(R^1)$. We refer to this embedding process as the *Dorroh extension* of R using Z_n , since it follows a procedure first used by J. Dorroh in [5].

Corollary 2.3. *Let R be a nilpotent ring with $\text{char } R = p$, where p is a prime. Then $\mathbb{R}(R^1) = \mathbb{R}(R) \cup \{R^1\}$. Consequently, if $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then $\mathbb{R}(R^1)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. As described above form the Dorroh extension of R using Z_p . Then $\mathbb{R}(R) \cup \{R^1\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}(R^1)$. Let B be a nonzero right ideal of R^1 and let $\alpha 1 + r = x \in B$, where $\alpha \in Z_p, r \in R$. If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $\alpha^{-1}x = 1 + \alpha^{-1}r$. Since r is nilpotent, so is $\alpha^{-1}r$. Consequently $\alpha^{-1}x$ is a unit in R^1 and hence $B = R^1$. Thus $\mathbb{R}(R) \cup \{R^1\} = \mathbb{R}(R^1)$. Using this and that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial it follows immediately that $\mathbb{R}(R^1)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. \square

We next give an example to show that if $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then R need not be right duo.

Example 2.4. *Let K be any skewfield, and let $R = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & K & K \\ 0 & 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Since R is nilpotent, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial by Proposition 2.2. Further, the right ideal $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is not two-sided, so that R is not right duo.*

If the skewfield in Example 2.4 has characteristic p for some prime p , then we can use Corollary 2.3 to embed the ring of Example 2.4 in a ring R^1 with identity and having that $\mathbb{R}(R^1)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.

We use $[B]$ for the ideal in the semigroup $\mathbb{R}(R)$ generated by $B \in \mathbb{R}(R)$.

Proposition 2.5. *If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial and \bar{R} is a homomorphic image of the ring R , then $\mathbb{R}(\bar{R})$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. Let $\phi : R \rightarrow \bar{R}$ be a surjective ring homomorphism with $\text{Ker } \phi = I$. For notational convenience let $S = \mathbb{R}(\bar{R})$. For any $C \in \mathbb{R}(R)$ we use \bar{C} for its image under ϕ . Consider $\bar{H}, \bar{K} \in S$ with $\bar{H}\bar{J}\bar{K}$. In general, from $\bar{H}\bar{J}\bar{K}$ we have that $\bar{H} = \alpha\bar{K}\beta$ and $\bar{K} = \gamma\bar{H}\sigma$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \sigma \in S^1$. First consider the case where $\bar{H} = \bar{X}\bar{K}\bar{Y}$ and $\bar{K} = \bar{B}\bar{H}\bar{T}$. Then $H + I = (X + I)(K + I)(Y + I)$ and $K + I = (B + I)(H + I)(T + I)$. So $H + I \in [K + I]$ in $\mathbb{R}(R)$, and $K + I \in [H + I]$ in $\mathbb{R}(R)$. Since $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, this yields $H + I = K + I$. Consequently $\bar{H} = \bar{K}$. The other cases, where one or more of α, β, γ , or σ is 1, are either similar to the first case or easier. \square

Example 2.6. *The homomorphic image of a \mathcal{J} -trivial semigroup need not be \mathcal{J} -trivial. Let $F = \langle 1, x, y \rangle$ be the free monoid generated by x and y . This monoid is \mathcal{J} -trivial. Let $B = \langle p, q \mid pq = 1 \rangle$ be the bicyclic semigroup. Then B is a simple monoid, and hence any two right ideals are \mathcal{J} -related. In particular, B is not \mathcal{J} -trivial. Define $\phi : F \rightarrow B$ by $\phi(1) = 1$, $\phi(x) = p$, $\phi(y) = q$. Then B is a homomorphic image of F .*

Proposition 2.7. *If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ has identity, then the identity is R and $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. Let X be the identity of $\mathbb{R}(R)$. Let H be a right ideal of R . Then $H = HX \subseteq HR \subseteq H$ which implies that $H = HR$ and hence R is a right identity for $\mathbb{R}(R)$. So $X = R$. In this case R is right duo, and hence $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial by Proposition 2.1 (iii). \square

Note that in Proposition 2.7 one cannot replace “ $\mathbb{R}(R)$ has identity” with “ R has identity”. Any simple ring with identity and which is not a skewfield has that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is not \mathcal{J} -trivial.

The converse of Proposition 2.7 is false. In the ring of Example 2.4, the right ideal

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & K \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

is not two-sided, so that R is not the identity of $\mathbb{R}(R)$. Similarly, for $n \geq 3$ one can show that, in the $n \times n$ strictly upper triangular matrix ring U over any skewfield, we have that $\mathbb{R}(U)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, but U is not the identity of $\mathbb{R}(U)$.

We say that a semigroup S is *left (right) 0-cancellative* if $sx = sy$ ($xs = ys$) implies $x = y$ for all non-zero $s, x, y \in S$. The semigroup S is *0-cancellative* if S is both left and right 0-cancellative. See [3, p.3].

Proposition 2.8. *If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is 0-cancellative, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ and $\mathbb{L}(R)$ are each \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. Let $H, K \in \mathbb{R}(R)$ with HJK in $\mathbb{R}(R)$. If either H or K is zero, then both must be zero. So take H and K to be nonzero. From HJK we get that there exist $X, Y, B, T \in \mathbb{R}(R)^1$ such that $XHY = K$ and $BKT = H$. Then $K = XHY = X(BKT)Y \subseteq XKY = X(XHY)Y = X^2HY^2 \subseteq XHY = K$, So $K = XKY$. Thus $XKY = XHY$. Note that if either X or Y is zero, then $K = 0$. So X and Y are nonzero. If $X, Y \in \mathbb{R}(R)$, then using that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is 0-cancellative and $XHY = XKY$ we get $K = H$. If $X = Y = 1$, then $K = H$. If $X = 1$ and $Y \in \mathbb{R}(R)$, then $KY = HY$ and hence $H = K$. Similarly, if $X \in \mathbb{R}(R)$ and $Y = 1$, we get $K = H$. Thus $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. Proceed similarly to get $\mathbb{L}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. \square

Note that the converse of Proposition 2.8 is false, as the next example illustrates.

Example 2.9. *Let A be any commutative ring and let $R = A \oplus A$. Then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is not 0-cancellative but $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proposition 2.10. *Let R be a simple ring with $R^2 \neq 0$. Then either R is a skewfield or $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is not \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. Assume R is not a skewfield and let $H \in \mathbb{R}(R)$ with $0 \neq H \neq R$. If $RH = 0$, then the ideal $r(R) = \{x \mid Rx = 0\}$ is nonzero and hence $R = r(R)$, contrary to $R^2 \neq 0$. So $RH = R$. Similarly $HR \neq 0$. Then $H^2 \subseteq HR = H(RH) \subseteq H^2$ and hence $H^2 = HR$. Consequently $H^2 \in [R]$. Also, $R = RH^2$, so $R \in [H^2]$. Then $R \mathcal{J} H^2$. Since H^2 is not R we have that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is not \mathcal{J} -trivial. \square

Example 2.11. *In Proposition 2.2 the hypothesis “ R is nilpotent” cannot be replaced by “ R is nil”. If R is a simple nil ring which is not nilpotent, then by Proposition 2.10 $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is not \mathcal{J} -trivial. Examples of such rings were first given by Smoktunowicz, see [14].*

As an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.10 we have that if R is a simple ring with identity and $M_n(R)$ is the full $n \times n$ matrix ring over R , then $\mathbb{R}(M_n(R))$ is not \mathcal{J} -trivial for $n > 1$.

Note that for any commutative ring A and any set Ω of commuting indeterminates, the polynomial ring $A[\Omega]$ and the ring of formal power series $A \langle \Omega \rangle$ are each commutative and hence both $\mathbb{R}(A[\Omega])$ and $\mathbb{R}(A \langle \Omega \rangle)$ are \mathcal{J} -trivial.

Proposition 2.12. *If for some $m \in N$, $\mathbb{R}(R^m)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. For convenience of notation let $S = \mathbb{R}(R)$ and consider $H, K \in \mathbb{R}(R)$ with $[H] = [K]$ in S . Then there exist $X, Y, B, T \in S^1$ such that $H = XKY$ and $K = BHT$. A routine calculation shows that $H = XKY = (XB)^n H (TY)^n$ for $n \in N$. Choose $n = m$ to get $H \in \mathbb{R}(R^m)$. Similarly $K \in \mathbb{R}(R^m)$. Also, $H = (XB)^m H (TY)^m = [(XB)^m X] K [Y (TY)^m]$, so H is in the ideal in $\mathbb{R}(R^m)$ generated by K . Similarly, K is in the ideal in $\mathbb{R}(R^m)$ generated by H . So HJK in $\mathbb{R}(R^m)$. But $\mathbb{R}(R^m)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, so $H = K$. \square

Corollary 2.13. *If, for some $m \in N$, R^m is right duo or commutative, then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proposition 2.14. *Let $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$, where R_1 is a ring with $\mathbb{R}(R_1)$ \mathcal{J} -trivial and R_2 is a nilpotent ring. Then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. The argument is similar to that for Proposition 2.12. Since R_2 is nilpotent, some power of R is in R_1 . Then H and K will be \mathcal{J} -equivalent in $\mathbb{R}(R_1)$, and since $\mathbb{R}(R_1)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial we have $H = K$. \square

Corollary 2.15. *Let $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$, where R_1 is a ring such that $\mathbb{R}(R_1^m)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial for some $m \in N$, and R_2 is nilpotent. Then $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Observe that $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ will have $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial when R_2 is nilpotent and R_1^m is either commutative or right duo, for some m .

3. Maximal right ideals and radicals

Unless otherwise specified, for the remainder of the paper R will have identity.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{R}(R)$ be \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

- (i) *If $H \in \mathbb{R}(R)$ and $H = H^2$, then $H \in \mathbb{I}(R)$.*
- (ii) *If R is r.w.r., then $\mathbb{R}(R) = \mathbb{I}(R)$.*
- (iii) *If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is regular, then $\mathbb{R}(R) = \mathbb{I}(R)$.*

Proof. (i) We have that $H = H^2 \subseteq HR \subseteq H$, which implies that $H = HR$. Since $H = HR$ we have $H = H^2 = (HR)H = H(RH)$. Thus $H \in [RH]$, and trivially $RH \in [H]$. So $[RH] = [H]$ and since $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial we have $RH = H$.

(ii) This part follows immediately from part (i).

(iii) Every regular ring is r.w.r. [16, p.173]. □

Recall that a semigroup S is *periodic* if for each $s \in S$ there exists $n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n > m$, such that $s^n = s^m$ [3, p.20].

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\mathbb{R}(R)$ be \mathcal{J} -trivial and periodic. If $H \in \mathbb{R}(R)$, then for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, H^k is an idempotent ideal. Consequently, each nonzero right ideal of R is either nilpotent or contains a nonzero idempotent ideal of R .*

Proof. Recall that each element in a periodic semigroup has a power which is an idempotent [3, p.20]. The desired result follows from this and from Proposition 3.1

(i). □

Proposition 3.3. (i) *If M is a maximal right ideal of R , then either $M^2 = M$ or M is an ideal of R .*

(ii) *If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then every maximal right ideal of R is an ideal of R .*

Proof. (i) Since RM is an ideal of R and $M \subseteq RM$ we have that either $RM = M$, and hence M is a two-sided ideal of R , or $RM = R$. If the latter holds, then $M^2 = (MR)M = M(RM) = MR = M$.

(ii) Let $\mathbb{R}(R)$ be \mathcal{J} -trivial and let M be a maximal right ideal of R . Suppose M is not an ideal of R . Then $RM = R$. Hence $R \in [M]$. So $[R] \subseteq [M]$, but, because R has identity, $M = MR \in [R]$, which implies $[M] \subseteq [R]$. So $[R] = [M]$, and since $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial we have $R = M$, a contradiction. □

It is worth noting that from Proposition 3.3 (i) we see that in a ring with identity a maximal right ideal which is nilpotent must be a two-sided ideal.

Recall that because R has identity the Jacobson radical of R , denoted by $J(R)$, is the intersection of all maximal right ideals of R , and the Brown-McCoy radical of R , denoted by $B(R)$, is the intersection of all maximal ideals of R [15]. Neither of these results need hold for rings without identity [15].

Corollary 3.4. *If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then $J(R) = B(R)$. If $J(R) = 0$, then R is isomorphic to the subdirect product of skewfields.*

Proof. That $J(R) = B(R)$ follows immediately from Proposition 3.3(ii). If $J(R) = 0$, then $B(R) = 0$ and R is isomorphic to a subdirect product of rings with identity

of the form R/M , where the ideal M is also maximal as a right ideal of R . So R/M has no proper nonzero right ideals and hence is a skewfield. \square

4. Minimal right ideals

Recall that an idempotent e is *left semicentral* if $ere = re$ for all $r \in R$ [1].

Proposition 4.1. *If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then any idempotent in R is central.*

Proof. Let $e \in E(R)$. Since $e \in ReR$ we have $eR \subseteq ReR$ and hence $eR \subseteq eReR \subseteq eR$, so $eR = (eR)^2$. Then by Proposition 3.1(i) we have $eR = ReR$. Then $Re = Ree \subseteq ReR = eR$. So for each $r \in R$ there exists $y \in R$ such that $re = ey$. Then $ere = e^2y = ye = re$. Thus e is left semicentral and consequently $1 - e$ is left semicentral. Let $f \in E(R)$. Then $(ef - fe)e = 0$ and $(ef - fe)(1 - e) = ef - fe - (ef - fe)e = ef - fe$. Thus $ef - fe = (ef - fe)(1 - e) = (1 - e)(ef - fe)(1 - e) = 0$. So e commutes with every idempotent of R . It is well-known that this implies e is central in R . \square

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathbb{R}(R)$ be \mathcal{J} -trivial. If B is a minimal right ideal of R and $B^2 \neq 0$, then we have the following.*

- (i) B is an ideal of R ,
- (ii) there exists a central idempotent $e \in R$ such that $B = eR$ and $eR = Re = eRe$,
- (iii) $R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R = eRe \oplus (1 - e)R$ and eRe is a skewfield, so $(1 - e)R$ is an ideal of R which is maximal as a right (left) ideal of R .

Proof. (i) Since $0 \neq B^2 \subseteq B$, by minimality of B we get $B^2 = B$. So by Proposition 3.1(i), B is an ideal of R .

(ii) It is well-known that any non-nilpotent minimal right ideal is generated by an idempotent [11, Section 31]. So there exists $e \in E(R)$ such that $B = eR$. By Proposition 4.1, e is central.

(iii) Since eR is a minimal right ideal of R we have that eRe is a skewfield [11, Theorem 3.16]. Using the Pierce decomposition with e we have $R = eR \oplus (1 - e)R$, and this is a direct sum of two-sided ideals of R . From $eRe = eR \cong R/(1 - e)R$, and since eRe is a skewfield, then $(1 - e)R$ is maximal as a right (left) ideal of R . \square

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\mathbb{R}(R)$ be \mathcal{J} -trivial. If R has a minimal right ideal which is not nilpotent, then $R = R_1 \oplus R_2$ where $\mathbb{R}(R_1)$ and $\mathbb{R}(R_2)$ are \mathcal{J} -trivial.*

Proof. From Proposition 4.2(iii) we have $R = eR \oplus (1-e)R$, where eR and $(1-e)R$ are ideals of R . Use $R/eR \cong (1-e)R$ and Proposition 2.5 to get that $\mathbb{R}((1-e)R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. Similarly, $\mathbb{R}(eR)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. \square

Proposition 4.4. *Let R be a subdirectly irreducible ring (not necessarily having identity) and let H be the heart of R . Assume $H^2 \neq 0$ and that $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. If R contains a minimal right ideal B of R with $B \subseteq H$, then R is a skewfield.*

Proof. It is well-known that the non-nilpotent heart of a subdirectly irreducible ring must itself be a simple ring [4, p.135]. So H is a simple ring. If $B^2 = 0$, then the ring H must contain a non-zero nilpotent ideal. Consequently this ideal is H itself, contrary to $H^2 \neq 0$. So $B^2 \neq 0$. Use Proposition 4.2 to get that H is a skewfield. So the ring H has an identity element, which forces $H = R$, and hence R is a skewfield. \square

Corollary 4.5. *Let R be a subdirectly irreducible ring (not necessarily having identity) with heart H , $H^2 \neq 0$. If $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial and R is right Artinian, then R is a skewfield.*

Proof. The chain condition yields the existence of a minimal right ideal B of R with $B \subseteq H$. \square

Example 4.6. *The ring in Example 2.4 is subdirectly irreducible with heart*

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & F \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

5. Regularity conditions

Let $E(R)$ denote the set of idempotents of R . Recall that a ring R is *strongly regular* if R is regular and every idempotent of R is central [6].

Theorem 5.1. *If R is r.w.r. and $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial, then R is strongly regular.*

Proof. Let $B \in \mathbb{R}(R)$. Then $B = B^2 = (BR)R = B(RB)$. So $B \in [RB]$. Since trivially RB is in $[B]$, we then have $[B] = [RB]$ and consequently $B = RB$. So each right ideal of R is a two-sided ideal. It is known that a r.w.r. ring with this property is a regular ring [7]. By Proposition 4.1 we have that every idempotent of R is central. Therefore, R is strongly regular. \square

Corollary 5.2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i) R is r.w.r. and $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial,
- (ii) R is regular and $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial,
- (iii) R is strongly regular,
- (iv) $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is a semilattice.

Proof. The equivalence of (i), (ii), and (iii) is clear from the proof of Theorem 5.1. The equivalence of (iii) and (iv) is given in [7]. Any semilattice is a band and is \mathcal{J} -trivial, so (iv) implies (i), completing the logical circuit. \square

Note that for a skewfield K , the ring $M_n(K)$ is regular, and hence r.w.r., but for $n > 1$, $\mathbb{R}(M_n(K))$ is not \mathcal{J} -trivial.

Recall that R is π -regular if for each $r \in R$ there exists $b \in R$ such that $r^n br^n$, and R is strongly π -regular if for each $r \in R$ there exists $m \in N$ such that $r^m = r^{m+1}y$ for some $y \in R$ [16, Section 23]. It is known that every strongly π -regular ring is π -regular, but there are π -regular rings that are not strongly π -regular [16, Theorem 23.4].

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\mathbb{R}(R)$ be \mathcal{J} -trivial. Then R is π -regular if and only if R is strongly π -regular.*

Proof. Since all strongly π -regular rings are π -regular, it suffices to show that π -regular implies strongly π -regular when $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial. Let R be π -regular and let $r \in R$. Then $r^n = r^n br^n$, for some $n \in N$, $b \in R$. Observe that $r^n b$ is idempotent, so by Proposition 4.1, $r^n b$ is central and hence $r^n = r^{2n}b \in r^{n+1}R$. So R is strongly π -regular. \square

Note that the hypothesis that R is π -regular and $\mathbb{R}(R)$ is \mathcal{J} -trivial does not imply that R is r.w.r., as the example of any nonzero nilpotent ring shows.

References

- [1] G. F. Birkenmeier, *Idempotents and completely semiprime ideals*, Comm. Algebra, 11 (1983), 567–580.
- [2] B. Brown and N. H. McCoy, *Rings with unit element which contain a given ring*, Duke Math. J., 13 (1956), 9–20.
- [3] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The Algebraic Theory of Semigroups*, Vol. I, Mathematical Surveys of the American Mathematical Society no.7, Providence, R. I., 1961.
- [4] N. Divinsky, *Rings and Radicals*, Univ. Toronto Press, Toronto, 1965.

- [5] J. L. Dorroh, *Concerning adjunctions to algebras*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 38 (1932), 85–88.
- [6] K. R. Goodearl, *Von Neumann Regular Rings*, Pitman, London, 1979.
- [7] H. E. Heatherly and R. P. Tucci, *The semigroup of right ideals of a ring*, Math. Pannon., 18(1) (2007), 19–26.
- [8] H. E. Heatherly, K. A. Kosler, and R. P. Tucci, *Semigroups of ideals of right weakly regular ring*, JP J. Algebra Number Theory Appl., 15 (2009), 89–100.
- [9] H. E. Heatherly and R. P. Tucci, *Right weakly regular rings: A Survey*, in Ring and Module Theory by T. Albu, G. F. Birkenmeier, A. Erdoğan, and A. Tercan, eds., Springer Verlag Trends in Mathematics 2010, Basel, 115–124.
- [10] S. K. Jain and S. Jain, *Restricted regular rings*, Math. Z., 121 (1971), 51–54.
- [11] A. Kertész, *Lectures on Artinian Rings*, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1987.
- [12] J. E. Pin, *Varieties of Formal Languages*, Plenum Press, New York, 1986.
- [13] T. Saito, *\mathcal{J} -trivial subsemigroups of finite full transformation semigroups*, Semigroup Forum, 57 (1998), 60–68.
- [14] A. Smoktunowicz, *A simple nil ring exists*, Comm. Algebra, 30 (2002), 27–59.
- [15] F. A. Szasz, *Radicals of Rings*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1981.
- [16] A. Tuganbaev, *Rings Close to Regular*, Kluwer Academic Publ., Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2002.

Henry E. Heatherly

Department of Mathematics
University of Louisiana at Lafayette
Lafayette, Louisiana 70504
e-mail: heh5820@louisiana.edu

Ralph P. Tucci

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Loyola University New Orleans
New Orleans, LA. 70118
e-mail: tucci@loyno.edu