

http://fbe.erciyes.edu.tr/

ISSN 1012-2354

HEAT DISTRIBUTION ANALYSIS FOR A SEMI-CONDUCTING SAMPLE OF CONCRETELY GIVEN CONFIGURATION

A. ALİYEV^{1,*}, N. ALİYEV¹, S. ASHRAFİ¹ ¹Institute of Applied Mathematics, Baku State University, Azerbaijan,

ABSTRACT

Without sufficient knowledge on temperature fields, one can not raise reliability, choose optimal technology of product making and operating conditions. Therefore the problems on heat distribution are of significant value in heat transfer processes to pass to higher operating parameters.

Keywords: Temperature fields, Heat transfer, Maximum principle, Comparison theorem, Convergence.

*E-posta: <u>aahmad07@rambler.ru</u>

1. INTRODUCTION

This work is denoted to the analytic theory of the heat distribution in the substance in the rest. In this work for a given semi-condicting sample the problem of the heat distribution is solved both analytically and numerically the distribution of the temperature is found.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Find a solution of the equation

$$u_{t} = a^{2}u_{xx} - qu + f , \quad x \in (\ell_{j}, \ell_{j+1}), t \in (T_{j-1}, T_{j}), j = \overline{1,3}$$
(1)

satisfying the initial conditions

$$u(x,0) = u_{01}(x), x \in [\ell_1, \ell_2],$$

$$u(x,T_1) = u_{02}(x), x \in [\ell_2, \ell_3],$$

$$u(x,T_2) = u_{03}(x), x \in [\ell_3, \ell_4],$$

(2)

and boundary conditions

$$u(\ell_{1},t) = \varphi_{1}(t), t \in [0,T_{1}],$$

$$u(\ell_{2},t) = \varphi_{2}(t), t \in [0,T_{2}],$$

$$u(\ell_{3},t) = \varphi_{3}(t), t \in [T_{1},T_{3}],$$

$$u(\ell_{4},t) = \varphi_{4}(t), t \in [T_{2},T_{3}],$$
(3)

on the domains

$$\begin{split} D_1 &= \left\{ \ell_1 \leq x \leq \ell_2, \, 0 \leq t \leq T_1 \right\}, \\ D_2 &= \left\{ \ell_2 \leq x \leq \ell_3, T_1 \leq t \leq T_2 \right\}, \\ D_3 &= \left\{ \ell_3 \leq x \leq \ell_4, \, T_2 \leq t \leq T_3 \right\} \end{split}$$

Here $a > 0, q \ge 0$ are constants, $u_{0i}(x)$ $(i = 1,2,3), \varphi_k(t), k = \overline{1,4}, f(x,t)$ are the given functions, u = u(x,t) is temperature, t is time. $f = f(x,t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k x^k + \sum_{k=0}^{m} b_k t^k$, $a^2 = \frac{k}{\gamma \rho}$ in thermalconductivity coefficient, k is internal heat-conductivity coefficient, γ is heat capacity, ρ is density of the given material.

In the paper we give application of the net method to the solution of problem (1)-(3), prove the maximum principle for appropriate difference problem and convergence of the method.

First the solution of the problem is investigated in an analytical way and corresponding expressions are obtained for the solution on each domain, for example, on the domain D_1 :

$$u_{1} = \frac{2}{x_{1} - x_{0}} \int_{0}^{t_{0}} \int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{(-q_{1} - a^{2}\lambda_{n})(t-\tau)} \cdot \sin \frac{\pi n(x-x_{0})}{x_{1} - x_{0}} \cdot \sin \frac{\pi n(\zeta - x_{0})}{x_{1} - x_{0}} \right\} f_{1}(\zeta, \tau) d\zeta d\tau ;$$

where $x_0 = \ell_1$, $x_1 = \ell_2$, $t_0 = t$; $\varphi_1(t) = \varphi_2(t) \equiv 0$; $t \in [0; T_1]; u_{01}(x) \equiv 0$; $x \in [\ell_1, \ell_3]$ and

$$\lambda_{n} = \left(\frac{\pi n}{x_{1} - x_{0}}\right)^{2} (n = 1, 2, ...)$$

i.e. u_1 is the solution of the following equation under homogeneous conditions

$$u_t = a_1^2 u_{xx} - q_1 u + f_1(x,t)$$

Thus, on the rectangular domain

$$D_{1} = \{ \ell_{1} \le x \le \ell_{2}, \ 0 \le t \le T_{1} \}$$

we choose a uniform net

$$V_{h\tau} = \left\{ (x_i = \ell_1 + ih; \ t_j = j\tau), i = 0, 1, \dots, n, j = 0, 1, \dots, m, nh = \ell_2; \ m\tau = T_1, \tau = \sigma h^2 \right\}$$

and to problem (1)-(3) we assign the difference problem:

$$(\mathcal{G}_{ij+1} - \mathcal{G}_{ij})/\tau = a^2 (\mathcal{G}_{i+1,j} - 2\mathcal{G}_{ij} + \mathcal{G}_{i-1,j})/h^2 - q\mathcal{G}_{ij} + f_{ij} \qquad (i = 1, 2, ..., n-1)$$
(4)

$$\mathcal{G}_{i0} = F_i , \qquad (i = 0, 1, ..., n) ,$$
(5)

$$\begin{cases} \vartheta_{\ell_1 j} = \varphi_1(t_j) = \varphi_1, \\ \vartheta_{\ell_2 j} = \varphi_2(t_j), = \varphi_2, (j = 0, 1, ..., m) \end{cases}$$
(6)

Rewrite this problem in the following form:

$$(-1/\tau + 2a^2/h^2 + q)\mathcal{G}_{ij} + 1/\tau \cdot \mathcal{G}_{ij+1} - a^2/h^2 \left(\mathcal{G}_{i-1,j} + \mathcal{G}_{i+1,j}\right) = f_{ij} \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., n-1)$$
(7)

$$\vartheta_{i0} = F_i , \qquad (i = 0, 1, ..., n) ,$$
(8)

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{G}_{\ell_1 j} = \varphi_{1j}, \\ \mathcal{G}_{\ell_2 j} = \varphi_{2j}, \quad (j = 0, 1, ..., m) \end{cases}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

It is known that the maximum principle holds for the solution of the equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = a^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$$

By fulfilling the conditions

a > 0 and $q \ge 0$ the analogue of the maximum principle is proved for problem (7)-(9).

<u>**Theorem 1**</u> (Maximum principle) Let a grid function $V_{h\tau}$ determined on \mathcal{G}_{ij} , satisfy problem (7)-(9) and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij} &\leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1 \;, \varphi_{1j} \leq 0, \; \varphi_{2j} \leq 0 \\ (f_{ij} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, \; \varphi_{1j} \geq 0, \; \varphi_{2j} \geq 0), \qquad j = 0, 1, \dots, m \;. \end{aligned}$$

Then the solution \mathcal{G}_{ij} , differ from a constant may not take the greatest positive (the least negative) value in internal nodes of the net V_{hr} .

<u>Proof.</u> Let's prove the first part of the theorem, i.e. prove that if $f_{ij} \le 0, \varphi_{1j} \le 0, \varphi_{2j} \le 0$, the solution \mathcal{G}_{ij} may not take the greatest positive value in the nodes of the net $V_{h\tau}$.

Let at some internal node of the net \mathcal{P}_{ij} the function accept the greatest positive value $V_{h\tau}$. Then, since $\mathcal{P}_{ij} \neq const$, there will be found a point $(\mathbf{x}_{i_0}, \mathbf{t}_{j_0})$ at which

$$\vartheta_{i_0 j_0} = \max_{\substack{0 \le i \le n \\ 0 \le j \le m}} \vartheta_{ij} = \overline{M} > 0,$$

and even if at one of the neighboring points (x_{i_0-1}, t_{j_0}) , (x_{i_0+1}, t_{j_0}) and (x_{i_0}, t_{j_0-1}) the value of the function \mathcal{G}_{ij} will be strongly less than \overline{M} .

Let $1 \le i_0 \le n-1$. Then at the node (x_{i_0}, t_{j_0}) we have:

$$\begin{split} f_{i_0 j_0} &= (-1/\tau + 2 a^2/h^2 + q) \mathcal{G}_{i_0 j_0} + 1/\tau \cdot \mathcal{G}_{i_0 j_0 - 1} - a^2/h^2 \left(\mathcal{G}_{i_0 + 1 j_0} + \mathcal{G}_{i_0 - 1 j_0}\right) > \\ &> (-1/\tau + 2 a^2/h^2 + q) \overline{M} - 1/\tau \cdot \overline{M} - \left(2 a^2/h^2\right) \overline{M} = q \overline{M} \ge 0 \quad, \end{split}$$

i.e. $f_{i_0j_0} > 0$, that contradicts the requirement $f_{i_0j_0} < 0$. We can show in a similar way that \mathcal{P}_{ij} may not take the greatest positive value, i.e. the second part of the theorem is proved.

Corollary 1. If

 $f_{ij} \le 0, \ i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, \varphi_{1j} \le 0, \varphi_{2j} \le 0, \ (f_{ij} \ge 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, \varphi_{1j} \ge 0, \varphi_{2j} \ge 0), \ j = 1, 2, ..., m$ and $\mathcal{G}_{i_0} \le 0, (\mathcal{G}_{i_0} \ge 0), \ i = 0, 1, ..., n$, the solution of problem (4)-(6) \mathcal{G}_{ij} is non-positive (non-negative):

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij} \leq 0, (\mathcal{G}_{ij} \geq 0), i = 0, 1, ..., n, j = 0, 1, ..., m.$$

<u>Corollary</u> 2. For $f_{ij} = 0, i = 1, 2, ..., n-1, \varphi_{1j} = 0, \varphi_{2j} = 0$ j = 1, ..., mand $\mathcal{G}_{i_0} = 0$, $(i = \overline{0, n})$ problem (4)-(6) has only a trivial solution: $\mathcal{G}_{ij} = 0$, i = 0, 1, ..., n, j = 0, 1, ..., mand consequently, problem (4)-(6) is uniquely solvable for any $f_{ij}, \phi_{1j}, \phi_{2j}, F_i$.

Before we investigate the solution of problem (1)-(3), we prove the following theorems:

<u>Theorem 2.</u> (Comparison theorem) Let ϑ_{ij} be a solution of problem (4)-(6), and $\overline{\vartheta_{ij}}$ be a solution of the problem which is obtained when replacing the functions $f_{ij}, \phi_{1j}, \phi_{2j}, F_i$ by $\overline{f_{ii}}, \overline{\varphi_{1i}}, \overline{\varphi_{2i}}$ and $\overline{F_i}$, respectively, in problem (4)-(6). Then, if $|f_{ij}| \le \overline{f_{ij}}$, i = 1, 2, ..., n - 1, $|\varphi_{kj}| \le \overline{\varphi_{kj}}, \ (k = 1, 2), \ j = 1, 2, ..., m$ $|\mathbf{F}_i| \leq \overline{\mathbf{F}_i}, i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ and

then the inequality:

$$\left|\vartheta_{ij}\right| \leq \overline{\vartheta_{ij}}$$
, $i = 0, 1, ..., n, j = 0, 1, ..., m$
holds.

This theorem is easily proved and by virtue of this theorem we get the validity of the following statement:

Theorem 3. Let

$$K = max \left\{ \max_{\overline{D}_{l}} \left| f(x,t) \right|, \max_{\substack{0 \le t \le T \\ k=l,2}} \left| \phi_{k}(t) \right|, \max_{\ell_{1} \le x \le \ell_{2}} \left| F(x) \right| \right\} ;$$

then for the solution of problem (4)-(6) it holds the inequality:

$$\left| \vartheta_{ij} \right| \le K \cdot e^{T_l}$$
, $i = 0, 1, ..., n, j = 0, 1, ..., m$.

<u>Convergence</u>. Let u_{ij} be the value of the exact solution of problem (1)-(3) at the nodes, \mathcal{G}_{ij} be a solution of problem (4)-(6). Let's determine the function

$$z_{ij} = \mathcal{P}_{ij} - u_{ij}.$$

If we substitute $\mathcal{G}_{ij} = u_{ij} + z_{ij}$ in (4)-(6), we get:

$$(-1/\tau + 2a^2/h^2 + q_1)z_{ij} + 1/\tau \cdot z_{ij+1} - a^2/h^2 (z_{i-1,j} + z_{i+1j}) = R_{ij} \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., n-1)$$

$$z_{io} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n$$

$$z_{\ell_{1j}} = R_{\ell_{1j}}$$

$$z_{\ell_{2j}} = R_{\ell_{2j}} \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., m$$

where

$$\left| R_{ij} \right| \le (L/2)(\tau + \sigma h), \ i = 0, 1, ..., n, \ j = 0, 1, ..., m.$$

$$L = \max_{\overline{D}_{1}} \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial x^{2}} \right|, \left| \frac{\partial^{3} u}{\partial x^{3}} \right|, \left| \frac{\partial^{2} u}{\partial t^{2}} \right| \right\}, \sigma = \max(2a/3) > 0.$$
(10)

By theorem 2 the following statement is true.

<u>Theorem 4.</u> If the solution of problem (1)-(3) is $u(x,t) \in C^2(\overline{D_1})$, the solution of problem (4)-(6) converges to the solution of problem (1)-(3) with velocity $O(h+\tau)$. And it holds the estimation:

$$\left|\mathcal{G}_{ij}-u_{ij}\right|\leq \left(L/2\right)\cdot e^{T_1}(\tau+\sigma h),$$

where L and σ are determined, by equalities (10).

Numerical solution of the problem is obtained by applying the net method.

REFERENCES

- 1. Lykov A.V., Mikhailov Y.L., Theory of heat and mass transfer. GEI, Moscow, 1963.
- 2. Tikhonov A.N., Samarskii V.A., Equations of mathematical physics. M., Nauka, 1977.
- **3.** Khankishiyev Z.F., Application of the net method to the solution of one heat-conductivity problem for a bar with two masses fixed at the ends. Collection of papers. AGU, 1988.