# A NOTE ON $\left| \overline{N}, p_n; \delta \right|_{\iota}$ SUMMABILITY FACTORS

## Hikmet Seyhan ÖZARSLAN

Department of Mathematics, Erciyes University, 38039 Kayseri, Turkey

E-mail: seyhan@ercives.edu.tr

**Abstract:** Two theorems of Lal [4] on  $|\overline{N}, p_n|$  summability method have been generalized for  $|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$  summability method, where  $k \ge 1$  and  $\delta \ge 0$ .

$$\left| \overline{N}, p_n; \delta \right|_{L}$$
 TOPLANABİLME ÇARPANLARI ÜZERİNE BİR NOT

Özet: Lal [4] in  $|\overline{N}, p_n|$  toplanabilme metodu ile ilgili iki teoremi,  $k \ge 1$  ve  $\delta \ge 0$  olmak üzere  $|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$  toplanabilme metodu için genelleştirildi.

#### Introduction

Let  $\sum a_n$  be a given infinite series with partial sums  $(s_n)$ . Let  $(p_n)$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that

$$P_n = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} p_{\nu} \to \infty \quad as \quad n \to \infty, \quad (P_{-i} = p_{-i} = 0, \ i \ge 1).$$
 (1)

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$t_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^{n} p_v s_v \tag{2}$$

defines the sequence  $(t_n)$  of the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  mean of the sequence  $(s_n)$ , generated by the sequence of coefficients  $(p_n)$  (see [3]). The series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable  $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , if (see [1])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{P_n}\right)^{k-1} \left| t_n - t_{n-1} \right|^k < \infty \tag{3}$$

and it is said to be summable  $|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ ,  $k \ge 1$  and  $\delta \ge 0$ , if (see [2])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{P_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |\Delta t_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \tag{4}$$

If we take k=1 and  $\delta=0$ , then  $\left|\overline{N},p_n;\delta\right|_k$  summability is the same as  $\left|\overline{N},p_n\right|$  summability.

Let f(t) be a periodic function with period  $2\pi$  and integrable (L) over  $(-\pi,\pi)$ . The Fourier series of f(t) is

$$f(t) \cong \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t) .$$
 (5)

### The Results of Lal

Lal [4] proved the following theorems for  $|\overline{N}, p_n|$  summability methods.

Theorem A. If the sequence  $(s_n)$  is bounded and  $(\lambda_n)$  is a sequence such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{P_n}{P_n} |\lambda_n| = O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty, \tag{6}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left| \Delta \lambda_n \right| = O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty \,, \tag{7}$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $\left| \overline{N}, p_n \right|$ .

Theorem B. The summability  $|\overline{N}, p_n|$  of the series  $\sum A_n(t)\lambda_n$  at a point is a local property of the generating function if the conditions (6)-(7) of Theorem A are satisfied.

### The Main Result

The aim of this paper is to generalize above theorems for  $|\overline{N}, p_n|_k$  summability methods, where  $k \ge 1$  and  $\delta \ge 0$ . Now, we shall prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $k \ge and 0 \le \delta k < 1$ . If the sequence  $(s_n)$  is bounded and the sequences  $(\lambda_n)$  and  $(p_n)$  satisfy the following conditions

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_n}{P_n} \right)^{\delta k - 1} \left| \lambda_n \right|^k = O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad m \to \infty,$$
 (8)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_n}{P_n} \right)^{\delta k} \left| \Delta \lambda_n \right| = O(1) \text{ as } m \to \infty,$$
(9)

and

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_n}{p_n}\right)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} = O\left\{\left(\frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}}\right)^{\delta k} \frac{1}{P_{\nu}}\right\},\tag{10}$$

then the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$  is summable  $|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $k \ge and 0 \le \delta k < 1$ . The summability  $|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$  of the series  $\sum A_n(t) \lambda_n$  at a point is a local property of the generating function if the conditions (8) and (9) are satisfied.

**Remark.** It may be noted that, if we take  $\delta = 0$  and k = 1 in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, then we get Theorem A and Theorem B, respectively. In this case condition (10) reduces to

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} = \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} (\frac{1}{P_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{P_n}) = O(\frac{1}{P_{\nu}}) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$

which always holds.

**Proof of Theorem 1**. Let  $(T_n)$  denotes the  $(\overline{N}, p_n)$  mean of the series  $\sum a_n \lambda_n$ . Then, by definition, we have

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n P_v \sum_{r=0}^v a_r \lambda_r = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n (P_n - P_{v-1}) a_v \lambda_v.$$

Then

$$T_n - T_{n-1} = \frac{p_n}{P_n P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n P_{\nu-1} a_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu}, \quad n \ge 1, \quad (P_{-1} = 0).$$

By Abel's transformation, we have

$$T_{n} - T_{n-1} = \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Delta (P_{\nu-1} \lambda_{\nu}) s_{\nu} + \frac{p_{n} s_{n} \lambda_{n}}{P_{n}}$$

$$= -\frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu} s_{\nu} \lambda_{\nu} + \frac{p_{n}}{P_{n} P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu} s_{\nu} \Delta \lambda_{\nu} + \frac{p_{n} s_{n} \lambda_{n}}{P_{n}}$$

$$= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2} + T_{n,3}, \quad say.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 1, by Mikowski's inequality, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \quad \text{for } r = 1, 2, 3.$$
 (11)

Now, applying Hölder's Inequality, we have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k \le \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu} |s_{\nu}| |\lambda_{\nu}| \right\}^k$$

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k+k-1} |T_{n,1}|^k = O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |S_{\nu}|^k |P_{\nu}| |\lambda_{\nu}|^k \times \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} |p_{\nu}|^{k-1} \right\}^{k-1}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} p_{\nu} | \lambda_{\nu} |^k$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} p_{\nu} | \lambda_{\nu} |^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k-1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}}$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}} \right)^{\delta k-1} | \lambda_{\nu} |^k = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$

by virtue of hypotheses of Theorem 1. Again

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} &| T_{n,2} |^k \leq \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k - 1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}^k} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu} \mid S_{\nu} \parallel \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \mid \right\}^k \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k - 1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu} \mid \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \parallel S_{\nu} \mid^k \times \left\{ \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu} \mid \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \mid \right\}^{k - 1} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k - 1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} P_{\nu} \mid \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \mid \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} P_{\nu} \mid \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \mid \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k - 1} \frac{1}{P_{n-1}} \\ &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_{\nu}}{p_{\nu}} \right)^{\delta k} \mid \Delta \lambda_{\nu} \mid = O(1) \quad as \qquad m \to \infty \,, \end{split}$$

by virtue of hypotheses of Theorem 1.

Finally, we have that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n,3}|^k = \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |\frac{p_n s_n \lambda_n}{P_n}|^k$$

$$= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k-1} |\lambda_n|^k = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty,$$

by virtue of hypotheses of Theorem 1. Therefore, we get that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m} \left( \frac{P_n}{p_n} \right)^{\delta k + k - 1} |T_{n,r}|^k = O(1) \quad as \quad m \to \infty, \quad for \quad r = 1, 2, 3.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. Since the behaviour of the Fourier series, as far as convergence is concerned, for a particular value of x depends on the behaviour of the function in the immediate neighbourhood of this point only, hence the truth of Theorem 2 is a necessary consequence of Theorem 1.

If we take  $\delta = 0$  and  $p_n = 1$  for all values of n in these theorems, then we get two results related to  $|C,1|_{\nu}$  summability methods.

#### References

- [1] H. Bor, "On  $\overline{N}$ ,  $p_n|_{L}$  summability factors of infinite series", Tamkang J. Math., 16 (1), 13-20 (1985).
- [2] H. Bor, "On local property of  $|\overline{N}, p_n; \delta|_k$  summability of factored Fourier series", J. Math. Anal. Appl., 179, 644-649 (1993)
- [3] G.H. Hardy, "Divergent series", Oxford University Press, 1949.
- [4] S.N. Lal, "On the absolute summability factors of infinite series", Matematicki Vesnik, 23, 109-112 (1971).