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#### Abstract

Cancer is a disease that many people are exposed to, which results in the recovery of some and the death of others. For this reason, A system reflecting the relationship between immune system and tumor growth in this study is examined. This system is handled with the traditional Caputo fractional derivative. The stability analysis of equilibrium points and solution properties of this system is searched. Then, the conditions about the existence and uniqueness of the solution for this system are given. In conclusion, the fractional system is solved benefiting from Grünwald-Letnikov scheme.
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## Tümör sisteminin Caputo türev ile incelenmesi

## $\ddot{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{z}$

Birçok insanın maruz kaldığı kanser, bazı hastaların iyileşmesi bazllarının ölmesi ile sonuçlanan bir hastalıktır. Bu nedenle bu çalışmada bağlşlklık sistemi ile tümör büyümesi arasındaki iliskiyi yansıtan bir sistem inceliyoruz. Söz konusu sistem, Caputo kesirli türevi ile ele alınacaktır. Bu sistemin denge noktalarının kararlılık analizini ve çözüm özelliklerini vereceğiz. Daha sonra bu sistem için çözüm özellikleri belirtilecektir. Son olarak, bu kesirli sistemi Grünwald-Letnikov nümerik metodunu kullanarak çözeceğiz.

Anahtar kelimeler: Caputo türev, mathematiksel modelleme, nümerik çözüm.
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## 1. Introduction

Tumor diseases have killed many people around the world for centuries. For this purpose, a lot of scientists have analyzed immune system cells in order to cope with tumor growths in living beings. Normal cells grow in an orderly; they die when damaged or finish their job in people's bodies. When genes change, the cells in people's bodies grow out of control and cancer starts. Cancer cells multiply too much and grow uncontrolled and this situation causes a tumor growth. Scientists have begun to care of mathematical model of tumor and immune system such as [1-4].

Immune system is basic component for living's keeping alive. If immune system is weak, living's bodies may be open to attack from foreign matter. It can select tissue from foreign matter and the immune system identifies it via antigen. If immune system come across foreign matter, it analyse the cell's antigen and the antigen is foreign, immune system get alarmed and do best for run out of body. Dendritic cells initiate antigen for immune system. When the antigen is identified, CD4+T cells order alarm state and they spread IL-2. It is cause bodies reaction which is give rise to activate CD8+T cells which attack and destroy cancer cells. And we say that CD4+T cells coordinate immune response.

We study immune cells effected by cancer cells system which proposed in [1]. The system involves cancer cells, cytotoxic CD8+T cells, helper CD4+T cells, dendritic cells (DC) and cytokine interleukin-2 (IL-2).

Fractional calculus is more important real-life problems [5-14]. Recently, work on this subject has increased about physic, engineering, disease etc. (for more details one can see [15-16]). In this paper, we clarify that the quantity of tumor and tumor growth benefiting from Caputo derivative. The numerical solutions of the system is obtained by GrünwaldLetnikov method.

## 2. Basic definitions

Definition 2.1 [6] Let $\alpha,(n-1<\alpha<n)$ is the order for the derivative and $g(t)$ be a function, then the definition of Caputo derivative is defined as:
${ }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} g(t)=\frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-\mu)^{n-\alpha-1}\left(\frac{d}{d \mu}\right) g(\mu) d \mu$.
Definition 2.2 [17-19] We give the definition of Grünwald-Letnikov which is equivalent to the RL definition, is based on the finite-difference scheme and is defined as follows:. In this method $D^{\alpha} g(t)$ is approximated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D^{\alpha} g(t)=h^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\left[t_{n} / h\right]}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha}{j} g(t-j h) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $[t]$ denotes the integer part of $t$ and $h$ is step size and for $0<\alpha<1$,
${ }_{0}^{c} D_{t}^{\alpha} g(t)=D^{\alpha} g(t)-\frac{g(0)}{t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}$.
$D^{\alpha} g(t)$ can be replaced by $\sum_{j=0}^{\left[t_{n} / h\right]} w_{j}^{\alpha} g\left(t_{n-j}\right)$, where $t_{n}=n h$ and $w_{j}^{\alpha}$ are the G-L coefficients which are defined by:

$$
w_{j}^{\alpha}=h^{-\alpha}(-1)^{j}\binom{\alpha}{j}, \quad j=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

These coefficients can evaluate recursively:

$$
w_{0}^{\alpha}=h^{-\alpha}, \quad w_{j}^{\alpha}=\left(1-\frac{\alpha+1}{j}\right) w_{j-1}^{\alpha}, \quad j=1,2,3, \ldots
$$

## 3. System presented by Caputo fractional derivative

The ordinary differential equation (ODE) system of immune response to tumor growth which we use presented by [1]. The system consists of few key immune populations; helper CD4+T cells and cytotoxic CD8+T cells. The cytotoxic T cells able to recognize by dendritic cells (the best antigen tendering cells), make a good fist of killing since cancer cell distinguish on their face [20].

The fractional differential equation (FDE) of the system is given as follow:

$$
\begin{align*}
& { }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} H=a_{0}+b_{0} D H\left(1-\frac{H}{f_{0}}\right)-c_{0} H, \\
& { }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} C=a_{1}+b_{1} I(M+D)\left(1-\frac{C}{f_{1}}\right)-c_{1} C, \\
& { }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} M=b_{2} M\left(1-\frac{M}{f_{2}}\right)-d_{2} M C,  \tag{2}\\
& { }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} D=-d_{3} D C, \\
& { }_{0}^{C} D_{t}^{\alpha} I=b_{4} D H-e_{4} I C-c_{4} I,
\end{align*}
$$

where H are the helper $\mathrm{CD} 4+\mathrm{T}$ cells, C are the cytotoxic $\mathrm{CD} 8+\mathrm{T}$ cells, M are the cancer cells, D are the dendritic cells, I is the IL-2. The fundamental time unit is one hour and we neglect the effect of cross-activity of other cells.

We use $\alpha \in(0,1]$ and we use positive initial values are $H(0)=H_{0}, C(0)=C_{0}$, $M(0)=M_{0}, D(0)=D_{0}, I(0)=I_{0}$ which is given [1].
$a_{0}$ and $c_{0}$ represents helper cells birth and death rate, respectively. $b_{0}$ represents helper cells upon presentation of dendritic cells. $a_{1}$ and $c_{1}$ are cytotoxic cells birth and death rate, respectively, $b_{1}$ is proliferation rate. $b_{2}$ is saturation constant of tumor and $d_{2}$ is tumor rate killing by cytotoxic cells. $d_{3}$ is the rate of dendritic cells killed by cytotoxic cells. $b_{4}, c_{4}$ and $e_{4}$ are the rate of IL-2 production by helper cells, degradation and uptake by cytotoxic cells, respectively. $f_{0}, f_{1}, f_{2}$ are carrying capacity of helper cells, cytotoxic cells and tumor, respectively.

## 4. Stability of equilibria

Theorem 1 [21,22] The system (2) has two equilibrium points $P_{1}=\left(\frac{a_{0}}{c_{0}}, \frac{a_{1}}{c_{1}}, 0,0,0\right)$ and $P_{2}=\left(\frac{a_{0}}{c_{0}}, \frac{a_{1}}{c_{1}}, f_{2}\left(1-\frac{a_{1} d_{2}}{b_{2} c_{2}}\right), 0,0\right)$. The stability of these equilibrium points depend on the sign of $a_{1} d_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}$.
i) If $a_{1} d_{2}>b_{2} c_{1}$, then $P_{1}$ is stable and $P_{2}$ is unstable.
ii) If $a_{1} d_{2}<b_{2} c_{1}$, then $P_{1}$ is unstable and $P_{2}$ is stable.

Proof 1 We investigate the dynamic behaviour system and designate equilibrium point. Firstly, we write as follow:
$a_{0}+b_{0} D H\left(1-\frac{H}{f_{0}}\right)-c_{0} H=0$,
$a_{1}+b_{1} I(M+D)\left(1-\frac{C}{f_{1}}\right)-c_{1} C=0$,
$b_{2} M\left(1-\frac{M}{f_{2}}\right)-d_{2} M C=0$,
$-d_{3} D C=0, b_{4} D H-e_{4} I C-c_{4} I=0$,
with system parameters are given in [1]. There are two points $P_{1}=\left(H_{1}, C_{1}, M_{1}, D_{1}, I_{1}\right)$ and $P_{2}=\left(H_{2}, C_{2}, M_{2}, D_{2}, I_{2}\right)$ after the necessary operations are taken and defined by
$H_{1}=H_{2}=\left(\frac{a_{0}}{c_{0}}\right), C_{1}=C_{2}=\left(\frac{a_{1}}{c_{1}}\right), M_{1}=0, M_{2}=f_{2}\left(1-\frac{a_{1} d_{2}}{b_{2} c_{2}}\right)$, $D_{1}=D_{2}=0, \quad I_{1}=I_{2}=0$.

Hence, obtained $P_{1}=\left(\frac{a_{0}}{c_{0}}, \frac{a_{1}}{c_{1}}, 0,0,0\right)$ and $P_{2}=\left(\frac{a_{0}}{c_{0}}, \frac{a_{1}}{c_{1}}, f_{2}\left(1-\frac{a_{1} d_{2}}{b_{2} c_{2}}\right), 0,0\right)$.
After studying Jacobian matrix $J(P)$, we find the eigenvalues as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J\left(P_{1}\right)=\left(-c_{0},-c_{1},-\frac{a_{1} d_{2}-b_{2} c_{2}}{c_{1}},-\frac{a_{1} d_{3}}{c_{1}},-\frac{a_{1} e_{4}+c_{1} c_{4}}{c_{1}}\right), \\
& J\left(P_{2}\right)=\left(-c_{0},-c_{1}, \frac{a_{1} d_{2}-b_{2} c_{2}}{c_{1}},-\frac{a_{1} d_{3}}{c_{1}},-\frac{a_{1} e_{4}+c_{1} c_{4}}{c_{1}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Because system coefficients are positive, the eigenvalues are all negative but $-\frac{a_{1} d_{2}-b_{2} c_{2}}{c_{1}}$ or $\frac{a_{1} d_{2}-b_{2} c_{2}}{c_{1}}$. So, the stability of two equilibrium points connects with the sign of $a_{1} d_{2}-b_{2} c_{1}$. Thus, if $a_{1} d_{2}>b_{2} c_{1}$, then $P_{1}$ is stable and $P_{2}$ is unstable. Otherwise,
$a_{1} d_{2}<b_{2} c_{1}$, and $P_{1}$ is unstable and $P_{2}$ is stable. Considering parameters, it is concluded that $P_{1}$ is unstable and $P_{2}$ is stable.

## 5. The existence and uniqueness of the system

Let all parameters are positive and system Eq. (2) with the initial conditions $H(0) \geq 0$, $C(0) \geq 0, M(0) \geq 0, D(0) \geq 0, I(0) \geq 0$ where $0<\alpha \leq 1$. Let
$\eta=\left(\begin{array}{c}a_{0} \\ a_{1} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0\end{array}\right), F_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}-c_{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -c_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b_{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -c_{4}\end{array}\right), F_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & b_{0} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b_{4} & 0\end{array}\right)$,
$F_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -d_{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -e_{4}\end{array}\right), \quad F_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & -d_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right), \quad F_{5}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & b_{1} & b_{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$,
$F_{6}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{b_{1}}{f_{1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right), F_{7}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}0 & 0 & -\frac{b_{2}}{f_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right), F_{8}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}-\frac{b_{0}}{f_{0}} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$.
System (2) can be written in matrix form as follows where $t \in[0, \alpha]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{\alpha}=F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2} K(t)+C F_{3} K(t)+M F_{4} K(t)+I C F_{5} K(t) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(t)+M F_{7} K(t)+D H F_{8} K(t)+\eta .
$$

We will give some definitions to use in the following which are given in [23-25].
Definition 3 Let $C^{*}[0, \alpha]$ be the class of continuous column vector $K(\mathrm{t})$ and $H(t), C(t)$ , $M(t), D(t), I(t)$ which are the components of $L(\mathrm{t})$ be the class of continuous functions on the interval $[0, \alpha]$. The norm of $K \epsilon C^{*}[0, \alpha]$ is shown by a
$\|L\|=\sup _{t}\left|K e^{-N t} H(t)\right|+\sup _{t}\left|K e^{-N t} C(t)\right|+\sup _{t}\left|K e^{-N t} M(t)\right|+\sup \left|K e^{-N t} D(t)\right|+\sup \left|K e^{-N t} I(t)\right|$ if $t>\mu \geq 0$, we write $C_{\mu}^{*}[0, \alpha]$ and $C^{*}[0, \alpha]$.

Definition $4 K \in C^{*}[0, \alpha]$ is a solution of the initial value problem in Eq.(3) if $\cdot(t, K(t)) \in B, t \in[0, \alpha] \quad$ where $\quad B=[0, \alpha] \times L, \quad L=\left\{(H, C, M, D, I) \in R_{+}^{5}:|H| \leq h\right.$, $|C| \leq c,|M| \leq m,|d| \leq d|I| \leq i\} ; h, c, m, d, i$ are positive constants.

- $X(t)$ verify in Eq.(3).

Theorem 2 The initial value problem Eq.(3) has a unique solution $K \in C^{*}[0, \alpha]$.
Proof 2 Considering characteristics of fractional calculus and Eq.(3), we have
$I^{1-\tau} \frac{d}{d t} K(t)=F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2} K(t)+C F_{3} K(t)+M F_{4} K(t)+I C F_{5} K(t)$
$+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(t)+M F_{7} K(t)+D H F_{8} K(t)+\eta$.

We obtain with $I^{\alpha}$
$K(t)=K(0)+I^{\alpha}\left[F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2} K(t)+C F_{3} K(t)+M F_{4} K(t)+I C F_{5} K(t)\right.$
$\left.+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(t)+M F_{7} K(t)+D H F_{8} K(t)+\eta\right]$.

Let $F: C^{*}[0, \alpha] \rightarrow C^{*}[0, \alpha]$ hence by using F we get
$F K(t)=K(0)+I^{\tau}\left[F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2} K(t)+C F_{3} K(t)+M F_{4} K(t)\right.$
$\left.+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(t)+M F_{7} K(t)+D H F_{8} K(t)+\eta\right]$.

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-N t}(F K-F Y) & =e^{-N t} I^{\alpha}\left[F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2}(K-Y)+C F_{3}(K-Y)+M F_{4}(K-Y)+I C F_{5}(K-Y)\right. \\
& \left.+I C(M+D) F_{6}(K-Y)+M F_{7}(K-Y)+D H F_{8}(K-Y)+\eta\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t}(t-s)^{\alpha-1} e^{-N(t-s)}(K(s)-Y(s)) \times e^{-N s}\left(F_{1}+h F_{2}+c F_{3}\right) \\
& \left.+m F_{4}+i c F_{5}+i c(m+d) F_{6}+m F_{7}+d h F_{8}\right) d s \\
& \leq\left(F_{1}+h F_{2}+c F_{3}+m F_{4}+i c F_{5}+i c(m+d) F_{6}+m F_{7}+d h F_{8}\right) \\
& \times \frac{1}{N^{\alpha}}\|K-Y\| \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} s^{\alpha-1} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

We see that

$$
\|F K-F Y\| \leq\left(F_{1}+h F_{2}+c F_{3}+m F_{4}+i c F_{5}+i c(m+d) F_{6}+m F_{7}+d h F_{8}\right) \times \frac{1}{N^{\alpha}}\|K-Y\| .
$$

If choose N following
$N^{\alpha} \geq\left(F_{1}+h F_{2}+c F_{3}+m F_{4}+i c F_{5}+i c(m+d) F_{6}+m F_{7}+d h F_{8}\right)$
then
$\|F K-F Y\|<\|K-Y\|$.
So, the operator F with Eq. (5) has fixed point. Thus, Eq. (4) has a unique solution $K \in C^{*}[0, \alpha]$. We write from Eq. (4)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K(t)=K(0)+\frac{t^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left[F_{1} K(0)+H(0) F_{2} K(0)+C(0) F_{3} K(0)+M(0) F_{4} K(0)\right. \\
& \left.+I(0) C(0)(M(0)+D(0)) F_{6} K(0)+M(0) F_{7} K(0)+D(0) H(0) F_{8} K(0)+\eta\right] \\
& +I^{\alpha+1}\left[F_{1} K^{\prime}(t)+H^{\prime}(t) F_{2} K(t)+H^{\prime}(t) F_{2}^{\prime}(t)+C^{\prime}(t) F_{3} K(t)+C(t) F_{3} K^{\prime}(t)\right. \\
& +M^{\prime}(t) F_{4} K(t)+M(t) F_{4} K^{\prime}(t)+I^{\prime}(t) C(t) F_{5} K(t)+I(t) C^{\prime}(t) F_{5} K(t)+I(t) C(t) F_{5} K^{\prime}(t) \\
& +I^{\prime}(t) C(t)(M(t)+D(t)) F_{6} K(t)+I(t) C^{\prime}(t)(M(t)+D(t)) F_{6} K(t) \\
& +I(t) C(t)\left(M^{\prime}(t)+D^{\prime}(t)\right) F_{6} K(t)+I(t) C(t)(M(t)+D(t)) F_{6} K^{\prime}(t) \\
& +M^{\prime}(t) F_{7} K(t)+M^{\prime}(t) F_{7} K^{\prime}(t)+D^{\prime}(t) H(t) F_{8} K(t)+D(t) H^{\prime}(t) F_{8} K(t) \\
& \left.+D(t) H(t) F_{8} K^{\prime}(t) A_{13} K(t)+F(t) M^{\prime}(t)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& e^{-N t} K^{\prime}(t)=e^{-N t}\left[\frac { t ^ { \alpha - 1 } } { \Gamma ( \alpha ) } \left[F_{1} K(0)+H(0) F_{2} K(0)+C(0) F_{3} K(0)+M(0) F_{4} K(0)\right.\right. \\
& \left.+I(0) C(0)(M(0)+D(0)) F_{6} K(0)+M(0) F_{7} K(0)+D(0) H(0) F_{8} K(0)+\eta\right] \\
& +I^{\alpha}\left[F_{1} K^{\prime}(t)+H^{\prime}(t) F_{2} K(t)+H^{\prime}(t) F_{2}^{\prime}(t)+C^{\prime}(t) F_{3} K(t)+C(t) F_{3} K^{\prime}(t)\right. \\
& +M^{\prime}(t) F_{4} K(t)+M(t) F_{4} K^{\prime}(t)+I^{\prime}(t) C(t) F_{5} K(t)+I(t) C^{\prime}(t) F_{5} K(t)+I(t) C(t) F_{5} K^{\prime}(t) \\
& +I^{\prime}(t) C(t)(M(t)+D(t)) F_{6} K(t)+I(t) C^{\prime}(t)(M(t)+D(t)) F_{6} K(t) \\
& +I(t) C(t)\left(M^{\prime}(t)+D^{\prime}(t)\right) F_{6} K(t)+I(t) C(t)(M(t)+D(t)) F_{6} K^{\prime}(t) \\
& +M^{\prime}(t) F_{7} K(t)+M^{\prime}(t) F_{7} K^{\prime}(t)+D^{\prime}(t) H(t) F_{8} K(t)+D(t) H^{\prime}(t) F_{8} K(t) \\
& \left.\left.+D(t) H(t) F_{8} K^{\prime}(t) A_{13} K(t)+F(t) M^{\prime}(t)\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Eq. (4) it is said that $K^{\prime} \in C_{\mu}^{*}[0, \alpha]$. Again, from Eq. (4), get

$$
\frac{d L(t)}{d t}=\frac{d}{d t} I^{\alpha}\left[F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2} K(t)+C F_{3} K(t)+M F_{4} K(t)\right.
$$

$\left.+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(t)+M F_{7} K(t)+D H F_{8} K(t)+\eta\right]$.

Hence, it is obtained
$I^{1-\alpha} \frac{d L(t)}{d t}=I^{1-\alpha} \frac{d}{d t} I^{\alpha}\left[F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2} K(t)+C F_{3} K(t)+M F_{4} K(t)\right.$
$\left.+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(t)+M F_{7} K(t)+D H F_{8} K(t)+\eta\right]$,
and
$D^{\alpha} K(t)=F_{1} K(t)+H F_{2} K(t)+C F_{3} K(t)+M F_{4} K(t)$
$+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(t)+M F_{7} K(t)+D H F_{8} K(t)+\eta$.

So, we get
$K(0)=K_{0}+I^{\alpha}\left[F_{1} K(0)+H F_{2} K(0)+C F_{3} K(0)+M F_{4} K(0)\right.$
$\left.+I C(M+D) F_{6} K(0)+M F_{7} K(0)+D H F_{8} K(0)+\eta\right]=K_{0}$.

Consequently, Eq.(4) is equivalent to the initial value problem Eq. (3).

## 6. Numerical solution and results

To solve the FDE system in (2) we should discretize it. For this aim, we can use Grünwald-Letnikov method. Benefiting from G-L method in the system (2), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{k} H_{n-k}-\frac{H(0)}{t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}=a_{0}+b_{0} D_{n} H_{n}\left(1-\frac{H_{n}}{f_{0}}\right)-c_{0} H_{n} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{k} C_{n-k}-\frac{C(0)}{t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}=a_{1}+b_{1} I_{n}\left(M_{n}+D_{n}\right)\left(1-\frac{C_{n}}{f_{1}}\right)-c_{1} C_{n} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{k} M_{n-k}-\frac{M(0)}{t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}=b_{2} M_{n}\left(1-\frac{M_{n}}{f_{2}}\right)-d_{2} M_{n} C_{n}  \tag{6}\\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{k} D_{n-k}-\frac{D(0)}{t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}=-d_{3} D_{n} C_{n} \\
& \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{k} I_{n-k}-\frac{I(0)}{t^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)}=b_{4} D_{n} H_{n}-e_{4} I_{n} C_{n}-c_{4} I_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

We have used initial values $H_{0}=0, \quad C_{0}=0, \quad M_{0}=1, \quad D_{0}=10, \quad I_{0}=0$, parameters $a_{0}=10^{-4}, b_{0}=10^{-1}, f_{0}=1, c_{0}=0.005, a_{1}=10^{-4}, b_{1}=10^{-2}, f_{1}=1, c_{1}=0.005, b_{2}=0.02$, $f_{2}=1, d_{2}=0.1, d_{2}=0.1, d_{3}=0.1, b_{4}=10^{-2}, e_{4}=10^{-7}, c_{4}=10^{-2}$ and Eq.(6) and get results are illustrated about helper, cytotoxic, myeloid (tumor) and dendritic cells, IL-2 in Fig. 1-5 especially fractional order $\alpha=0.7,0.65,0.6$. We see that via Fig. 3, tumor cells
are rapidly decreasing but fractional order $\alpha$ close to 0.7 , the decreasing is more slowly. It is seen that via Fig. 1 and 2, the immune system cells are rapidly increasing simultaneously tumor cells. Because tumor mass in the system causes the immune system cells to raise rapidly. Especially, the level of tumor cells at fractional order $\alpha=0.7$ is higher than if $\alpha=0.6$ because fractional calculus has hereditary property.


Figure 1. Figures of helper cells for changing $\alpha$.


Figure 2. Figures of cytotoxic cells for changing $\alpha$.


Figure 3. Figures of myeloid (tumor) cells for changing $\alpha$.


Figure 4. Figures of dendritic cells for changing $\alpha$.


Figure 5. Figures of IL-2 for changing $\alpha$.

## 7. Conclusion

In this study, we give brief information about response immune system to tumor growth and Caputo fractional derivative. We give some detail of equilibrium point and numerical solution of the system (2), then, we deeply analyse the solution properties of the fractional order system. Lastly, we give some numerical results and see that these graphics of the FDE system are very interesting. This effect is due to the fact that FDE is non-local and the FDE is more appropriate real-life problem.
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