ISSN: 2146-0574, eISSN: 2536-4618

Tarla Bitkileri / Field Crops

Araştırma Makalesi / Research Article

DOI: 10.21597/jist.1121560

Kabul tarihi / Accepted: 22.07.2022

Geliş tarihi / *Received*: 26.05.2022

Atıf İçin: Öncan Sümer F, Yaraşır N, 2022. Akdeniz İklimi Koşullarında Yapraktan Çinko Uygulamasının Bezelyede (*Pisum sativum* L.) Verim ve Kalite Özelliklerine Etkileri. Iğdır Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi, 12(3): 1820 - 1830.

To Cite: Öncan Sümer F, Yaraşır N, 2022. The Effects of Foliar Zinc Application on Yield and Quality Components of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Mediterranean Climate Conditions. Journal of the Institute of Science and Technology, 12(3): 1820 - 1830.

Akdeniz İklimi Koşullarında Yapraktan Çinko Uygulamasının Bezelyede (*Pisum sativum* L.) Verim ve Kalite Özelliklerine Etkileri

Feride ÖNCAN SÜMER^{1*}, Nermin YARAŞIR²

ÖZET: Bezelye Türkiye'de özellikle ılıman iklime sahip batı bölgesinde yaygın olarak yetiştirilmektedir. Akdeniz ikliminin hakim olduğu bu bölgede bezelye ekim alanlarının azaldığı gözlenmektedir. Çinko eksikliği bezelye çeşitlerinde tane verim kayıplarına neden olmaktadır. Bu çalışmada yaygın olarak ekilen bezelye çeşitlerinde (Karina ve Utrillo) tane verimini artırmak icin gerekli optimum çinko dozunun belirlenmesi hedeflenmiştir. Bu sebeple, çiçeklenme başlangıcında yapraktan farklı dozlarda (0-30-60 kg ha-1) çinko uygulanmıştır. Çalışma, Aydın Adnan Menderes Üniversitesinde 2 üretim sezonu (2019 ve 2020) boyunca yürütülmüştür. Çalışmada, bitki boyu, bitki sap kalınlığı, baklada tane sayısı, bakla uzunluğu, 100-Tane ağırlığı, tane verimi, tane protein oranı, protein verimi, tane kül oranı, tane lif içeriği, tane yağ içeriği ve tane çinko içeriği incelenmiştir. Elde edilen sonuçlara göre her iki yılda bitkide bakla sayısı, 100-tane ağırlığı, tane verimi, tane çinko iceriğinde 60 kg ha-1 çinko dozundan en yüksek değerler elde edilmiştir. Çeşitler arasında incelenen özellikler bakımından benzer değerler elde edilmesine karşın tane verimi ve protein oranı bakımından Karina öne cıkmış, tane çinko iceriğinde Utrillo daha iyi performans sergilemiştir. Sonuç olarak elde ettiğimiz değerlere göre yapraktan 60 kg zinc ha-1 dozunun uygulanması tavsiye edilebilir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Bezelye, yapraktan çinko uygulaması, tane verimi, tane çinko içeriği

The Effects of Foliar Zinc Application on Yield and Quality Components of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Mediterranean Climate Conditions

ABSTRACT: Peas are widely grown, especially in the western region of Turkey with a temperate climate. Pea cultivation areas are decreasing in this region, where the Mediterranean climate is dominant. Zinc deficiency causes seed yield losses in pea cultivation. This study aimed to determine the optimum foliar zinc application to improve the seed yield of the widely planted pea varieties (Karina and Utrillo). For this reason, foliar application of zinc (0-30-60 kg ha-1) was carried out at the beginning of the flowering period. The study was conducted in two growing seasons (2019 and 2020) at Aydin Adnan Menderes University. In this study, plant height, plant stem diameter, the number of seeds per pod, pod length, 100-seed weight, seed yield, seed protein content, protein yield, seed ash content, seed fibre content, seed oil content, and seed zinc content were determined. According to the results obtained, the highest values for pods per plant, 100-grain weight, seed yield, protein ratio, protein yield, and seed zinc content were obtained from 60 kg of zinc ha-1 in both years. However, zinc foliar application did not affect the plant height or plant stem diameter in either year. Although similar values were obtained regarding the characters examined among the cultivars, Karina stood out in seed yield and protein ratio, and Utrillo performed better in seed zinc content. Based on the values we got, we can recommend applying a dose of 60 kg of zinc per hectare per year from the leaf.

Keywords: Pea, foliar zinc application, seed yield, seed zinc content

*Sorumlu Yazar/Corresponding Author: Feride ÖNCAN SÜMER, e-mail: fsumer@adu.edu.tr

¹ Feride ÖNCAN SÜMER (Orcid ID: 0000-0002-6087-6966), Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Field Crops, Aydın, Türkiye

² Nermin YARAŞIR (Orcid ID: 0000-0001-7748-9375), Aydın Adnan Menderes University, Institute of Science and Technology, Aydın, Türkiye

INTRODUCTION

Leguminosae is the third largest family of flowering plants, consisting of over 20.000 species (Doyle and Luckow, 2003). Legumes are a nutritious fibre in diets around the world. They are an inexpensive source of protein, vitamins, mineral substances, complex carbohydrates, and dietary fibre (Grusak, 2002; Erbersdobler et al., 2017; Parca et al., 2018). Thus, it is consumed as a complementary food to low-protein and high-energy foods in developing countries (Ton et al., 2014). Legumes also help to prevent many health problems, such as reduced risks of developing heart disease, type 2 diabetes, hypertension, cancer, Alzheimer's disease, and Parkinson's disease (Willett et al., 1995; Fung et al., 2009; Scarmeas et al., 2009; Esposito et al., 2010; Alcalay et al., 2012).

Peas are the third most-produced legume in the world after beans and chickpeas (FAO, 2020). However, it comes after other legumes, with 1.5 tons of production in Turkey (TUIK, 2020). Due to the favorable climatic conditions and large agricultural area in Turkey, the production of peas can be increased. However, in recent years, pea cultivation areas have been decreasing due to the preference of producers for high-yielding crops such as corn or cotton, increased labor costs, and the lack of an effective and continuous market.

Fertilizing is one essential practice to improve plant production. Foliar mineral fertilizer can increase the seed yield by being a practical application and acting faster. Zinc is an essential element required in the biosynthesis of plant hormones and is a component of some enzymes such as Indole acetic acid (IAA). Zinc plays an essential role in synthesizing nucleic acid and protein (Kitagishi et al., 1987; Cakmak, 2000). Besides, it plays an essential role in synthesizing RNA nucleic acids, which contribute to the production of proteins responsible for the formation of enzymes and plant hormones (Price et al., 1972; Togay et al., 2004). The zinc element contributes to building and forming chlorophyll molecules, has an important role in building and forming proteins, and activates many enzymes, including starch production (Mohamed, 1977).

Foliar and soil application of zinc can be made. The pH of the soil is a determining factor in the way zinc is applied. In alkaline soils with high pH, zinc uptake by the roots is limited and the usefulness of the zinc taken up may be low. Therefore, foliar application is more appropriate in soils with high pH (Slaton et al., 2005; Hafeez et al., 2013). Foliar zinc fertilization is necessary to obtain vegetative tissue with a sufficient zinc content (Cakmak, 2008). It also helps in utilizing phosphorus, nitrogen, chlorophyll, and protein synthesis (Rohith et al., 2020). Zinc application may have stimulated vegetative growth by increasing photosynthesis products in the plant. Vegetative growth results in increased products of photosynthesis, such as carbohydrates and protein (El-Tohamy and El-Greadly, 2007; Garg et al., 2008). Moreover, carbohydrate metabolism and activation of many enzymes are synthesized by zinc application (Rohith et al., 2020). It enhanced the absorption of zinc, nitrogen, and potassium (Ashoka et al., 2008). Zinc is a vital microelement essential for human health (Fereník and Ebringer, 2003). Zinc deficiency is a problem that affects approximately one-third of the world's population, especially children and pregnant women (Ahmed et al., 2012). Due to zinc deficiency, the immune system deteriorates, and physical growth retardation is observed (Hotz and Brown, 2004; Bouis and Saltzman, 2016). The zinc content of products taken from soils deficit zinc content is low; as a result, zinc deficiency occurs in people consuming these products (Sillanpä and Vlek, 1985; Cakmak et el., 2017). It is a common problem in earthlands (Cakmak, 1999). Therefore, agricultural activities are essential to solve the problem. Better crop production leads to better nutritional health (Cakmak and Hoffland, 2012).

Foliar application is a shorter-term approach that allows for more efficient use of nutrients. It will be effective in a shorter time frame than the deficiencies with soil application (Fageria et al., 2009). It is a more practical method for maximum uptake of applied zinc (Cakmak 2008).

Studies on peas are limited in this region, which has a Mediterranean climate and soils with a high pH. The plant can not take zinc through its roots in such alkaline soils. In the present study, foliar treatments were more effective than soil treatments. Therefore, foliar zinc application was made in this study. This study aimed to increase the grain yield and yield components of peas by foliar application of zinc to increase grain yield in alkaline soils.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Features of Trial Area

The study was conducted in the experimental area (27° 51'E, 37° 51'N, altitude 50 m) of the Department of Field Crops, Faculty of Agriculture, Aydin Adnan Menderes University, in the 2019-2020 growing season.

Some physical and chemical properties of the soils of the trial area are given in Table 1.

Soil Texture	e		pН	Organic Matter (%)	Phosphorus (ppm)	Potassium (ppm)	Calcium (ppm)	Sodium (ppm)
sand (%)	silt (%)	clay (%)						
72	16.7	11.3	8.4	1.2	21	176	2978	101
Sandy loam			High	Low	High	Low	High	Low

 Table 1. Soil properties of the trial area

According to the soil analysis results (Table 1), the experimental area has a sandy loam texture, low organic matter, and alkaline character. It has been determined that the amount of potassium is low, the amount of phosphorus and calcium is high, and the amount of sodium is moderate.



Figure 1. Meteorological data of the trial years (Aydin Meteorology Station, 2021)

According to the meteorological data (Figure 1), the average temperature was low in November and February (2020). It was observed that the total precipitation was irregularly distributed between the months in both years and that excessive precipitation occurred in January of the second year. Also, in May of the second year, the total amount of rain that fell was the least.

Materials

The experiment was conducted in a split plots trial design in randomized blocks with three replications. Karina and Utrillo, pea two genotypes, were used as plant material in the experiment. The seeds were sown with a six-row planting machine with a row spacing of 20 cm. Each plot size was 7.2 m^2 . Sowing density was calculated as 70-90 plants per square meter. Sowing was done on November 4, 2019 in the first year and November 14, 2020 in the second year.

Feride ÖNCAN S	ÜMER and Nermin YARAŞIR		12(3): 1820 - 1830, 2022

During both years, zinc was applied as zinc sulfate (% $ZnSO_4 \cdot 7H2O$) fertilizer in three doses (0-30-60 kg ha⁻¹). The amounts of pure zinc were 0, 11.0 and 22.0 kg Zn ha⁻¹ in the experiment.

Methods

Before sowing, 40 kg ha⁻¹ of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium were applied as 15-15-15 fertilizer. The weeds were controlled twice at the stages of the beginning and post-flowering period by hand. Nitrogen fertilization (20 kg N ha⁻¹) after sowing was applied at a 10-15 cm plant height.

Foliar zinc applications were applied at the beginning of the flowering period. Foliar treatments were applied with a portable hand-held field plot sprayer at 250 kPa pressure using a water carrier volume of 400 L ha⁻¹.

The crop was harvested on May 15 in the first year and May 20 in the second year.

In this study, plant height (cm), plant stem diameter (mm), the number of pods per plant, and pod length (cm) were investigated on ten randomly selected plants when the plants were matured.

Plant stem diameter was measured using a caliper between the second and third node on the main stem. The number of seeds per pod was determined by counting the seeds in the ten pods per plant. Approximately 500 g samples were dried at 70°C for 48 h. 100-seed weight (g) was determined by counting from dry seeds and weighing four replicates of 100 seeds.

Harvesting was done in an 4 m^2 in each plot for the seed yield (t ha⁻¹).

Protein yield was calculated with the formula:

Protein yield (t ha^{-1}) = seed protein content (%)*seed yield (t ha^{-1})

Seed protein content (%), seed ash content (%), seed fiber content (%), and seed oil content (%) were measured by NIRS-FT (Bruker MPA) at Adnan Menderes University Agricultural Biotechnology and Food Safety Application and Research Center (ADÜ-TARBİYOMER) (Gislum et al., 2004). The seed grain's content (mg kg-1) was determined by atomic absorption spectrometry after ashing samples at 550°C and dissolving ash in 3.3% HC1 (Cakmak et al., 1996).

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using JMP Software (version Pro 13) in the split-plot design. The experimental data about each parameter of the study were subjected to statistical analysis using the analysis technique of variance, and their significance was tested by the "F" test (Gomez and Gomez 1984). When differences were found in ANOVA, means were compared using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) test at $P \le 0.05$.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The differences between the two years were significant for all observed characteristics (untabulated data). Therefore, data for years were evaluated separately.

Source	Plant	Height	Plant	Stem	Number	of	Pod	Length	100-Seed	Weight	Seed Yield	
	(cm)		Diameter	· (mm)	Seeds Per	· Pod	(cm)		(SW) (g)		(t ha ⁻¹)	
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
Cultivars	4.1	118.1*	2.275*	0.02	0.32	2.65	0.347	1.71	39.3	1.59	0.034	0.09*
Doses	151.9	30.2	0.552	0.12	8.12*	6.85*	6.66*	10.4*	40.22*	44.1*	0.204*	0.22*
C*D	69.3	17.2	0.28	0.11	1.68*	5.26	0.648	0.42	8.68	4.17	0.046*	0.002
Source	Seed Pro	otein	Protein	Protein Yield Seed		Fibre	Seed Ash		Seed Oil		Seed Zinc Content	
	Content (%)		ntent (%) (t ha ⁻¹) Content (%)		ıt (%)	Content (%)		Content (%)		(mg kg ⁻¹)		
	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020	2019	2020
Cultivars	0.38	1.14	4.307	27.5	3.27	0.52	3.29	1.59*	0.03	0.06	14.60*	19.4*
Doses	20.4*	21.5*	585.4*	643.5*	0.72	0.78	1.34	0.57	0.34	0.08	7.94*	12.3*
C*D	2.04	1.31	0.713	8.9	0.13	1.03	0.04	0.37	0.06	0.44	3.9	0.105

Table 2. Analysis of variance (mean squares) for analyzed characters

**: Significant at P < 0.01; *: Significant at P < 0.05; ns: Non-significant (C*D:Cultivars*Doses)

According to table 2, the mean of squares of the first year, zinc doses were statistically significant in the number of seeds per pod, 100 seed weight, seed yield, protein ratio, and protein yield. It was determined that the cultivar was insignificant in the characters measured, but the cultivar*dose interaction was significant in protein ratio and grain yield.

According to table 2, the mean of squares of the first year, zinc doses were statistically significant in many characteristics such as the number of grains per pod, pod length, 100 seed weight, seed yield, protein ratio, protein yield and seed zinc content.

The effects of zinc doses or cultivars on plant height were not significant in the first growing season, while the differences between cultivars were significant ($p \le 0.05$) in plant height in the second growing season. The highest plant height (42.32 cm) was obtained from the Karina, 60 kg Zn ha⁻¹ in 2020 (Table 3). The increase in plant height might be due to the contribution of zinc to different physiological events such as IAA synthesis and chlorophyll formation in the plant (Habib, 2009; Yassen et al., 2010).

There is a relationship between stem thickness and strength in peas (Skubisz et al., 2007). Cultivars with strong stems are less likely to lodging. Seed shedding and yield loss increase with lodging. Plant growth and development are limited.

Therefore, carbohydrate assimilation affects the flowering of the plant and its photosynthetic ability (Akgün and Topal, 2006). Although the cultivars were close, utrillo (4.54 mm) stem diameter was measured higher in 2019.

Seeds per pod are a vital yield component and were significantly improved by zinc foliar doses in both years. Zinc, which plays an essential role in the biological process in the plant, has a positive effect on the yield components (Gobarah et al., 2006). The highest values (9.45 and 9.30) were obtained from the 60 kg zinc ha⁻¹ in two years.

Pod length was not significantly affected by zinc foliar application and cultivars. Pod length was 9.88 cm and 7.96 cm in 2019 and 2020. Similar results were reported by Pathak et al., 2012. Some researchers have determined a positive and significant relationship between pod length and yield (Lakic et al., 2017). Long pod length is one of the main contributors to seed yield (Uguru 1996).

100-Seed weight is an important seed yield contributing parameter. It was increased significantly ($p \le 0.05$) with zinc foliar application in both years. 100-seed weight mean values were found as 34.10 g (2019) and 33.73 g (2020), and the highest data was obtained from 36.45 g by Karina with 60 kg zinc ha⁻¹.

Seed yield was significantly ($p \le 0.05$) affected by zinc levels in both years. Maximum seed yield (3.02 t ha⁻¹ and 2.87 t ha⁻¹) was observed with Karina (60 kg zinc ha⁻¹). Zinc is an essential micronutrient for plant growth and development. Zinc application affects pollen production and grain yield (Pathak et al., 2012). Zinc deficiency is reduced at the beginning of flowering with foliar application of zinc. In previous studies, similar to this study, grain yield increased with increasing zinc application (Sujatha, 2001; Choudhary, 2006; Jeyakumar et al., 2008; Pandey et al., 2013; Alag, 2015; Rafique et al., 2015; Koca 2016; Alhasany 2019; Suliman and Alhubaiti, 2020).

As shown in Table 4, while zinc foliar application significantly ($P \le 0.05$) improved plant seed protein content, protein yield, and seed zinc content were found to be significant, but seed fibre content, seed ash content, and seed oil content were not found to be significant with zinc foliar application in both production seasons. Seed protein content was increased with zinc foliar doses.

Feride ÖNCAN SÜMER and Nermin YARAŞIR

12(3): 1820 - 1830, 2022

The Effects of Foliar Zinc Application on Yield and Quality Components of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Mediterranean Climate Conditions

	019				2020		
	Pl	ant Height (cm)					
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	59.27±3.72	53.70±3.63	56.48	36.30±0.94	38.07±0.08	37.18	
30	61.00 ± 1.71	69.00±4.69	65.00	38.30±2.45	43.37±2.53	40.83	
60	65.17±0.45	65.60±3.59	65.38	37.00 ± 0.37	45.53±1.27	41.27	
Mean	61.81	62.77	62.29	37.20 b	42.32 a	39.76	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns			ns		
CV (%)		12.9			7.41		
		stem Diameter (n					
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	4.93±0.16	3.75 ± 0.20	4.34	4.03 ± 0.08	3.92 ± 0.02	3.98	
30	4.00 ± 0.45	3.67±0.20	3.83	3.93 ± 0.20	4.32±0.16	4.13	
60	4.68±0.41	4.07±0.16	4.38	4.28 ± 0.27	4.23±0.16	4.26	
Mean	4.54 A	3.83 B	4.18	4.08	4.16	4.12	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns			ns		
CV (%)		7.89			8.02		
		oer of Seed Per P	od				
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	6.56±1.39 e	7.73±0.53 d	7.14 B	6.20 ± 0.82	6.67±0.24	6.44 B	
30	8.33±0.33 c	8.87±0.58 bc	8.60 A	$7.90{\pm}0.90$	8.50 ± 0.41	8.20 A	
60	9.90±0.20 a	9.00±0.08 b	9.45 A	9.30 ± 0.82	9.13±0.86	9.22 A	
Mean	8.26	8.53	8.61	7.80	8.10	7.96	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		0.536			ns		
CV (%)		3.21			12.5		
		od Length (cm)					
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	8.30 ± 0.20	8.13±0.24	8.22 B	6.88±0.27	6.87±0.41	6.88 C	
30	9.43±0.16	9.40±0.41	9.42 A	8.83±0.57	8.00 ± 0.33	8.42 B	
60	9.80±0.16	10.83 ± 0.04	10.32 A	10.00 ± 0.20	9.00±0.12	9.50 A	
Mean	9.18	9.46	9.32	8.57	7.96	7.96	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns			ns		
CV (%)		5.04			8.23		
	100-Se	ed Weight (SW)	(g)				
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	29.5±0.41	28.0±0.82	28.8 B	30.6±0.82	30.5±0.92	30.5 C	
30	32.3 ± 0.82	26.6±2.94	29.5 B	32.1±0.41	31.1 ± 0.08	31.6 B	
60	34.4±0.20	32.7±0.29	33.6 A	36.5±0.61	34.4±1.22	33.7 A	
Mean	32.1	29.1	30.6	33.0	32.0	31.9	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns		ns			
CV (%)		9.31		7.50			
	Se	ed Yield (t ha ⁻¹)					
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	2.72±0.07 d	2.90±0.04 cd	2.81 B	2.55 ± 0.08	2.65 ± 0.02	2.60 C	
30	2.93±0.12 bc	3.13±0.07 a	3.03 A	$2.74{\pm}0.3$	$2.90{\pm}0.04$	2.82 B	
60	3.24±0.02 a	3.12±0.02 ab	3.18 A	2.96 ± 0.04	$3.07 {\pm} 0.03$	3.02 A	
Mean	2.96	3.05	3.01	2.75 b	2.87	2.81	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		0.17			ns		
CV (%)		2.99			2.45		

Table 3. Mean±standard deviation of seed yield and yield components

LSD: Least Significant Differences

In two years by 60 kg zinc ha-1, the mean seed protein content was% 26.34 and% 28.20. This result was similar to the previous study (Borah et al., 2021). The first-year interaction (zinc*cultivar) of seed protein content was found to be significant, and the highest value (27.21%) was obtained from Karina (60 kg ha⁻¹). While the variety was found to be nonsignificant in both years, Hassan (2002) determined that various effects were significant on the seed protein content. In addition, the foliar application of zinc protects the enzyme activity and ensures the formation of disulphide, which causes an increase in protein synthesis (Cakmak et al., 1989). Seed quality improves with an increase in protein content. Protein yield consists of both grain protein content and seed yield. The zinc application effect on protein yield was found to be significant in both years. In two years, the highest protein yield (8.36 and 8.45) was obtained from 60 kg zinc ha⁻¹ zinc.

Feride ÖNCAN SÜMER and Nermin YARAŞIR	12(3): 1820 - 1830, 2022
The Effects of Foliar Zinc Application on Yield and Quality Components of Pea	(Pisum sativum L.) in
Mediterranean Climate Conditions	

The effect of the interaction (zinc*cultivar) on seed ash content was significant (P \leq 0.05) in the second production season. The highest ash value (% 9.86) was obtained from the Utrillo with 30 kg zinc ha⁻¹. Ash content of about % 9 is in two cultivars.

The effects of zinc foliar application and cultivars on seed oil content were insignificant; however, the average seed oil content values increased with zinc application. The seed oil content of around % 0.8 is within the expected range (Onwuliri and Obu, 2002 (1.0–1.2%); Boye et al., 2010 (0.83%)). The highest seed oil contents (% 0.88 and % 0.83) were obtained from 60 kg zinc ha⁻¹ in both years. Even though zinc didn't have much of an effect, as in previous studies (Riley et al., 2000; Pable and Patil, 2011; Choudhary et al., 2014; Ashkiani et al., 2020), the amount of oil in the seeds went up as more zinc was added.

The foliar application of zinc significantly increases the zinc content in seed. The increase in zinc in the seed is desirable for most soils, especially zinc-deficient soils. Recently, half of the world's population has been affected by zinc-deficieny (Cakmak 2008; Cakmak 2008; Takka et al., 1989). The majority of the population in India is struggling with diseases caused by zinc deficiency. Crops grown in zinc-deficient soils have low zinc content because of the low uptake. Utrillo responded better to zinc foliar application, and higher seed zinc content (31.37 (2019)–31.52 (2020) mg kg⁻¹) was obtained compared to Karina.

201	2020						
	Seed Prote	in Content (%)				
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	22.79±0.76	21.97±0.64	22.38 C	24.41±0.07	24.63±0.37	24.63 C	
30	24.09 ± 0.39	22.99 ± 0.82	23.54 B	26.96 ± 0.09	25.40±0.25	25.40 B	
60	$25.47{\pm}1.06$	26.42 ± 0.48	25.94 A	28.38 ± 0.51	28.20±0.33	28.20 A	
Mean	24.11	23.79	23.95	26.58	26.08	26.33	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns			ns		
CV (%)		2.59			2.35		
	Protein	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)					
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	62.12±3.66	63.62±1.05	62.87 C	62.25 ± 0.08	65.35±0.02	62.25 C	
30	70.59±1.75	71.84±0.93	71.21 B	73.95 ± 0.03	73.73 ± 0.04	73.95 B	
60	82.45 ± 3.90	82.35±0.94	82.40 A	82.24 ± 0.04	86.77±0.03	82.24 A	
Mean	71.72	72.60	72.16	62.25	65.35	62.25	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns		ns			
CV (%)		5.46		3.37			
	Seed Fibr	e Content (%)					
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	19.00 ± 0.07	17.82 ± 1.83	18.41	15.88 ± 1.90	16.07±0.55	15.98	
30	19.14 ± 0.05	18.50 ± 0.43	18.82	14.72 ± 0.24	15.96±0.45	15.34	
60	19.47±2.44	18.74 ± 1.47	19.11	16.15 ± 1.60	15.76±0.54	15.95	
Mean	19.20	18.35	18.78	15.58	15.93	15.76	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns			ns		
CV (%)		11.8		8.76			
	Seed Ash	Content (%)					
Zinc Foliar Application Levels (kg ha ⁻¹)	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean	
0	6.53±0.74	7.21±1.27	6.87	8.75 ± 0.84	8.17±0.16	8.46	
30	6.37 ± 0.09	7.26±0.60	6.81	9.46 ± 0.08	8.36±0.03	8.91	
60	5.52 ± 0.31	6.51±1.26	6.02	8.38 ± 0.06	8.27 ± 0.05	8.32	
Mean	6.14	6.99	6.57	8.86 A	8.27 B	8.56	
LSD (Zinc*Cultivar)		ns		ns			
CV (%)		15.5			5.02		

Table 4. Mean±standard deviation of quality characteristics

Feride ÖNCAN SÜMER and Nermin YARAŞIR

The Effects of Foliar Zinc Application on Yield and Quality Components of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Mediterranean Climate Conditions

Seed Oil	Content (%)										
	Seed Oil Content (%)										
Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean						
0.41±0.22	0.50±0.25	0.46	$0.64{\pm}0.09$	0.55 ± 0.04	0.59						
0.87 ± 0.26	0.84 ± 0.38	0.86	$0.82{\pm}0.10$	0.52 ± 0.39	0.67						
1.02 ± 0.35	0.73±0.38	0.88	0.47 ± 0.15	1.19 ± 0.22	0.83						
0.77	0.69	0.73	0.64	0.75	0.70						
	ns	ns									
58.3 55.1											
Seed Zinc C	ontent (mg kg	·1)									
Utrillo	Karina	Mean	Utrillo	Karina	Mean						
30.26±0.09	28.47±0.31	29.37 C	29.94±0.28	28.07 ± 0.37	29.01 C						
31.28±0.29	29.48 ± 0.38	30.38 B	31.55±0.37	29.57±0.27	30.56 B						
32.56±0.16	30.76±0.36	31.66 A	33.06±0.33	30.68 ± 0.03	31.87 A						
31.37 a	29.57 b	30.47	31.52 a	29.44 b	30.48						
	ns		ns								
	0.95		1.34								
	Utrillo 0.41±0.22 0.87±0.26 1.02±0.35 0.77 Seed Zinc C Utrillo 30.26±0.09 31.28±0.29 32.56±0.16	Utrillo Karina 0.41 ± 0.22 0.50 ± 0.25 0.87 ± 0.26 0.84 ± 0.38 1.02 ± 0.35 0.73 ± 0.38 0.77 0.69 ns 58.3 Seed Zinc Content (mg kg Utrillo Karina 30.26 ± 0.09 28.47 ± 0.31 31.28 ± 0.29 29.48 ± 0.38 32.56 ± 0.16 30.76 ± 0.36 31.37 a 29.57 b	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline Utrillo & Karina & Mean \\ \hline 0.41\pm0.22 & 0.50\pm0.25 & 0.46 \\ \hline 0.87\pm0.26 & 0.84\pm0.38 & 0.86 \\ \hline 1.02\pm0.35 & 0.73\pm0.38 & 0.88 \\ \hline 0.77 & 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline \hline $$$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$$ 0.77 & 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.75 & 0.69 \\ \hline $$ 0.75 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.69 & 0.73 \\ \hline $$ 0.75 & 0.69 \\ \hline $$ 0.75 &$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c } \hline Utrillo & Karina & Mean & Utrillo \\ \hline 0.41\pm0.22 & 0.50\pm0.25 & 0.46 & 0.64\pm0.09 \\ \hline 0.87\pm0.26 & 0.84\pm0.38 & 0.86 & 0.82\pm0.10 \\ \hline 1.02\pm0.35 & 0.73\pm0.38 & 0.88 & 0.47\pm0.15 \\ \hline 0.77 & 0.69 & 0.73 & 0.64 \\ \hline ns & $$58.3$ \\ \hline \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline $$58.3$ \\ \hline $$58.4$ \\ \hline$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c } \hline Utrillo & Karina & Mean & Utrillo & Karina \\ \hline 0.41\pm0.22 & 0.50\pm0.25 & 0.46 & 0.64\pm0.09 & 0.55\pm0.04 \\ \hline 0.87\pm0.26 & 0.84\pm0.38 & 0.86 & 0.82\pm0.10 & 0.52\pm0.39 \\ \hline 1.02\pm0.35 & 0.73\pm0.38 & 0.88 & 0.47\pm0.15 & 1.19\pm0.22 \\ \hline 0.77 & 0.69 & 0.73 & 0.64 & 0.75 \\ \hline $1.19\pm0.22 & 0.73 & 0.64 & 0.75 & 0.64 & 0.75 \\ \hline $1.19\pm0.22 & 0.73 & 0.64 & 0.75 & 0.64 & 0.7$						

LSD: Least Significant Differences

CONCLUSION

Researchers have looked at the effects of foliar zinc on peas before, but this is the first study done in our area, which has a Mediterranean climate.

It was observed that Karina grew faster and competed better with weeds. Utrillo showed slower growth in the early development stages, but the difference was closed during the flowering period.

In the study, protein content, protein yield, seed zinc content, and some yield components such as some seeds per pod, 100-grain weight increased from 0 to 60 kg ha-1 zinc application dose. Therefore, a linear relationship exists between zinc application doses and the characteristics studied. So, it is suggested to keep doing studies based on foliar zinc doses of 60 kg ha-1 to find the highest dose at which the linear relationship stops

Conflict of Interest

The article authors declare that there is no conflict of interest between them.

Author's Contributions

The authors declare that they have contributed equally to the article.

REFERENCES

Ahmed T, Hossain M, Sanin KI, 2012. Global burden of maternal and child undernutrition and micronutrient deficiencies. Ann Nutrition Metabolism 61(1):8–17. DOI: 10.1159/000345165.

Akgün N, Topal A 2006. Tahıllarda yatma (Derleme). Bitkisel Araştırma Dergisi 1: 36-42.

- Alag MK, Abdul-Razak MMA, Ahmed SAH, Hamzah IA, 2015. Effect of spraying benzyl adenine (ba), zinc and boron on yield and components of faba bean. Journal of Biotechnology Research Center 9(1):67-76.
- Alcalay RN, Gu Y, Mejia-Santana H, Cote L, Marder KS, Scarmeas N, 2012. The association between mediterranean diet adherence and parkinson's disease. Mov Disord: Off J Mov Disord Soc. 27 (6):771–74.
- Alhasany A, Noaema AH, Alhmadi HB, 2019. The role of spraying copper and zinc on the growth and yield of vicia faba l. IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering 571.
- Andreini C, Bertini I, Rosato A, 2009. Metalloproteomes: a bioinformaticaapproach. Accounts of Chemical Research 42:1471–1479.

- Ashoka MP, Desai BK, 2008. Effect of micronutrients with or without organic manures on yield of baby corn-chickpea sequence. Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences 21(4):485-487.
- Borah L, Saikia J, Abstractary AB, 2021. Consequences of foliar zinc application on soil properties and quality of garden pea (*pisum sativum* 1.) İn assam condition. The Pharma Innovation Journal 10(7): 1219-1223.
- Bouis HE, Saltzman A, 2017. Improving nutrition through biofortification: a review of evidence from harvest plus. Global Food Security 12, 49–58.
- Boye J, Zare F, Pletch A, 2010. Pulse proteins: processing, characterization, functional properties and applications in food and feed. Review. Food Research International, 43, 414–431.
- Choudhary M, 2006. Response of clusterbean [*cyamopsis tetragonoloba* (l.) Taub.] to sulphur and zinc fertilization. Rajasthan Agricultural University, M. Sc. (Ag.) Thesis, pp.56.
- Choudhary M, Jhajharia A, Kumar R, 2014. Influence of sulphur and zinc fertilization on yield, yield components and quality traits of soybean (*Glycine max* L.). The Bioscan 9(1): 137-142.
- Cakmak I, Marschner H, Bengerth F, 1989. Effect of zinc nutritional status on growth, protein metabolism and levels of indolie-3-acetic acid and other phytohormones in bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Journal of Experimental Botany. 40: 405–412.
- Cakmak I, 2000. Role of zinc in protecting plant cells from reactive oxygen species. New Phytologist 146:185-205.
- Cakmak I, 2008. FAI Annual Seminar, New Delhi, SII-2pp. 1-8.
- Cakmak I, 2008. Enrichment of cereal grains with zinc: Agronomicor genetic biofortification? Plant Soil 302: 1-17. DOI 10.1007/s11104-007-9466-3.
- Cakmak I, Hoffland E, 2012. Zinc for the improvement of crop production and human health. Plant Soil 361:1-2. DOI 10.1007/s11104-012-1504-0.
- Cakmak I, McLaughlin MJ, White P, 2017. Zinc for better crop production and human health. Plant Soil 411:1-4. DOI 10.1007/s11104-016-3166-9.
- Dahl WJ, Foster LM, Tyler RT 2012. Review of the health benefits of peas (*Pisum sativum* L.). British Journal of Nutrition 108, S3-S10. DOI:10.1017/S0007114512000852.
- Doyle JJ, Luckow MA, 2003. The rest of the iceberg. Legume diversity and evolution in a phylogenetic context. Plant Physiology 131(3): 900-910.
- El-Tohamy WA, El-Greadly NHM, 2007. Physiological responses growth yield and quality of snap beans in response to foliar application of yeast vitamin e and zinc under sandy soil conditions. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences 1(3): 294-299.
- Erbersdobler FH, Barth CA, Jahreis G, 2017. Legumes in human nutrion. Ernaehrungs Umschau international 9. DOI: 10.4455/eu.2017.034.
- Esposito K, Maiorino M I, Ceriello A, Giugliano D, 2010. Prevention and control of type 2 diabetes by mediterranean diet: a systematic review. Diabetes research and clinical practice 89(2): 97-102.
- Fageria NK, Filhoa MPB, Moreirab A, Guimaresa CM, 2009. Foliar fertilization of crop plants. Journal of Plant Nutrition 32: 1044–1064.
- FAO 2020. Dünyada yemeklik dane baklagil üretimi. <u>https://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data</u>. Erişim Tarihi: 20.04.2022.
- Ferencík M, Ebringer L, 2003. Modulatory effects of selenium and zinc on the immune system. Folia Microbiol 48 (3), 417–426.
- Fung TT, Rexrode KM, Mantzoros CS, Manson JE, Willett WC, Hu FB, 2009. Mediterranean diet and incidence of and mortality from coronary heart disease and stroke in women 119(8): 1093-1100.
- Garg OK, Hemantaranjan A, Ramesh C, 2008. Effect of iron and zinc fertilization on senescence in french bean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Journal of Plant Nutrition 9(3-7): 257-266.

- Girish Chandra Pathak GC, Gupta B, Pandey N, 2012. Improving reproductive efficiency of chickpea by foliar application of zinc. Brazillian Journal of Plant Physiology 24(3): 173-180.
- Gislum R, Micklander E, Nielsen JP, 2004. Quantification of nitrogen concentration in perennial rye grass and red fescue using near- infrared reflectance spectroscopy (NIRS) and chemometrics. Field Crops Research 88: 269- 277
- Gobarah ME, Mohamed MH, Tawfik MM, 2006. Effect of phosphorus fertilizer and foliar spraying with zinc on growth, yield and quality of groundnut under reclaimed sandy soils. Journal of Applied Science Research 2(8): 491-496.
- Gomez KA, Gomez AA, 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research- hand Book. John Wiley & Sons, New York.
- Grusak M, 2002. Enhancing Mineral Content in Plant Food Products. Journal of the American College of Nutrition 21:178-183.
- Habib M, 2009. Effect of foliar application of Zn and Fe on wheat yield and quality. African Journal of Biotechnology 8 (24): 6795-6798.
- Hafeez BMKY, Khanif YM, Saleem M, 2013. Role of zinc in plant nutrition-a review. American Journal of Experimental Agriculture 3(2): 374.
- Hassan AA, 2002. Production of Beans vegetables. Dar Al Arabiya for Publishing and Distribution, First Edition, Cairo, Egypt, pp. 422.
- Hotz C, Brown KH, 2004. Assessment of the risk of zinc deficiency in populations and options for its Control. Food and Nutrition Bulletin 25(1): 94–204.
- Jeyakumar PG, Rajundran VJ, Amutha R, Savery MAJR, Chidambaram C, 2008. Varied responses of blackgram (*vigna munga*) to certain folir applied chemicals and plant growth regulators. Legume Research 31(2):110-113.
- Kitagishi K, Obata H, Kondo T, 1987. Effect of zinc deficiency on 80s ribosome content of meristematic tissues of rice plant. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition 33(3): 423-429, DOI: 10.1080/00380768.1987.10557588.
- Koca YO, 2016. Effect of nutrients supply with foliar application on growing degree days, protein and fatty yield of corn in mediterranean conditions. Scientific Papers. Series A. Agronomy, Vol. LIX. ISSN 2285-5785; ISSN CD-ROM 2285-5793; ISSN Online 2285-5807; ISSN-L 2285-5785.
- Lakić Ž, Živanović L, Popović S, 2017. Productivity of spring forage Pea (*Pisum sativum*) in divergent agroecological conditions. Poljoprivreda i Sumarstvo 63(2): 83.
- Mohamed AAK, 1977. Principles of plant nutrition. Baghdad University. Ministry of Higher Education and Scientific Research, Iraq.
- Onwuliri VA, Obu JA, 2002. Lipids and other constituents of vigna unguiculata and phaseolus vulgaris grown in northern nigeria. Food Chemistry 78: 1–7.
- Pable D, Patil DB, 2011. Effect of sulphur and zinc on nutrient uptake and yield of soybean. International Journal of Agricultural Sciences 7(1): 129-132.
- Pandey N, Girirsh BG, Pathak C, 2013. Enhanced yield and nutritional enrichment of seeds of *pisum sativum* L. through foliar application of zinc. Scientia Horticulturae 164: 474-483.
- Parca F, Koca YO, Ünay A, 2018. Nutritional and antinutritional factors of some pulses seed and their effects on human health. International Journal of Secondary Metabolite 5(4): 331-342. DOI: 10.21448/ijsm.488651.
- Pathak GC, Gupta B, Pandey N, 2012. Improving reproductive efficiency of chickpea by foliar application of zinc. Brazilian Journal of Plant Physiology 24(3): 173-180.
- Price CA, Clark HE, Funkhouser HE, 1972. Functions of micronutrients in plants. In: Micronutrients in Agriculture. Soil Science Society of America, Madison/Wisconsin: 731-742.

Feride ÖNCAN SÜMER and Nermin YARAŞIR

The Effects of Foliar Zinc Application on Yield and Quality Components of Pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) in Mediterranean Climate Conditions

- Rafique E, Yousra M, Mahmood-Ul-Hassan M, Sarwar S, Tabassam T, Choudhary TK, 2015. Zinc application affects tissue zinc concentration and seed yield of pea (*Pisum sativum* L.). Pedosphere 25(2): 275–281.
- Riley NG, Zhao FJ, McGrath SP, 2000. Availability of different forms of sulfur fertilizer on wheat and oil grain rape. Plant and Soil 222 (1–2):139–47.
- Rohith R, David AA, Thomas T, 2020. Effect of different levels of NPK and zinc on physico-chemical properties of soil, growth and yield of pea [*Pisum sativum* L.] Var. Bliss-101. International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences 9(9): 3307-3312.
- Scarmeas N, Stern Y, Mayeux R, Manly JJ, Schupf N, Luchsinger JA, 2009. Mediterranean diet and mild cognitive impairment. Archives of Neurology 66(2): 216-225.
- Sillanpä M, Vlek PLG, 1985. Micronutrients and the agroecology of tropical and mediterranean regions. Fert Res 7:151–167.
- Skubisz G, Kravtsova T I, Velikanov LP, 2007. Analysis of the strength properties of pea stems. International Agrophysics 21(2).
- Slaton NA, Norman RJ, Wilson JrCE, 2005. Effect of zinc source and application time on zinc uptake and grain yield of flood-irrigated rice. Agronomy Journal 97(1): 272-278.
- Sujatha KB, 2001. Effect of foliar spray of chemicals and bioregulators on growth and yield of greengram (*Vigna radiata* L.). Tamil Nadu Agriculture University Master Science Thesis, Coimbatore.
- Suliman MS, Alhubaity AJ, 2020. Effect of spraying zinc element fertilizer on the growth and yield of green pea (*Pisum sativum* L.) seeds. Plant Archives 20 (1): 2553-2564.
- Takkar PN, Chibba IM, Mehta SK, 1989. Twenty years of coordinated research onmicronutrients in soils and plants. IISS Bulletin No.1pp.1-394. Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal.
- Togay N, Ciftci V, Togay Y, 2004. The effects of zinc fertilization on yield and some yield components of drybean (*Phaseolus vulgaris* L.). Asian Journal of Plant Science 3(6): 701-704.
- Ton A, Karaköy T, Anlarsal AE, 2014. Türkiye'de yemeklik tane baklagiller üretiminin sorunları ve cözüm önerileri. Türk Tarım Gıda Bilim ve Teknoloji Dergisi 2(4),175-180.
- TUIK 2020. Legume production in Turkey. https://data.tuik.gov.tr/Bulten/Index?p=Bitkisel-Uretim-Istatistikleri-2020-33737.(Accessed:20.04.2022).
- Uguru MI, 1996. Correlation and path-coefficient analysis of major yield components in vegetable cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L.) Walp.). In Proc. 14th HORTSON Conference, Ago-Iwoye: 1-4.
- Willett WC, Sacks F, Trichopoulou A, Drescher G, Ferro-Luzzi A, Helsing E, Trichopoulos D, 1995. Mediterranean diet pyramid: a cultural model for healthy eating. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 61(6):1402–1406. https://doi.org/10.1093/ajcn/61.6.1402S
- Yadav SL, Rai HK, Yadav IR, Kumar A, Choudhary M, 2022. Effect of zinc application strategies on growth and yield of soybean in central India. International Journal of Plant & Soil Science 33(24):490-497.
- Yassen, A, El-Nour A, Shedeeed S, 2010. Response of wheat to foliar spray with urea and micronutrients. Journal of American Science 6(9):14-22. ISSN: 1545-1003