



On Saturated Numerical Semigroups with Multiplicity p Prime Numbers

Ahmet ÇELİK^{1*}

¹Adiyaman University, Vocational School of Technical Sciences,
Department of Computer Technologies, Adiyaman, TÜRKİYE
(ORCID: [0000-0001-5980-0625](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5980-0625))



Keywords: Saturated numerical semigroups, Isole gaps, Perfect, Pseudo-Frobenius number.

Abstract

In this paper, we will give some results for saturated numerical semigroups with multiplicity p prime number and conductor η where $p < 10$.

1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots\}$ integers set and $\Omega = \{a \in \mathbb{Z} : a \geq 0\}$ be non-negative integers set. and integers set, respectively. $\Delta \subseteq \Omega$ is called a numerical semigroup if $0 \in \Delta$, $a_1 + a_2 \in \Delta$, for all $a_1, a_2 \in \Delta$ and $\#(\Omega \setminus \Delta)$ is finite ($\#(Y)$ is cardinality the set of Y).

$$\Delta = \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \rangle = \{\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}, \lambda_n = \nu(\Delta) + 1, \rightarrow \dots\},$$

where $\lambda_j < \lambda_{j+1}$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, n = \pi(\Delta)$. Also, the arrow means $\lambda \in \Delta$, for all $\lambda \geq \nu(\Delta) + 1$. In this case, the number $\eta = \nu(\Delta) + 1$ is called conductor of Δ [1], [5].

Let $\Delta = \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup. Then the cardinality of elements u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k , that is, k is called embedding dimension of Δ , and is denoted by $e(\Delta)$. It is known that $e(\Delta) \leq \mu(\Delta)$. So, the numerical semigroup $\Delta = \langle u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k \rangle$ is called Maksimal Embedding Dimension (MED) if $\mu(\Delta) = e(\Delta)$. The numerical semigroup Δ is Arf if $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 - \lambda_3 \in \Delta$ for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \Delta$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3$. If Δ is an Arf numerical semigroup then Δ is MED. But, its converse is not true. For example, The numerical semigroup

Let Δ be a numerical semigroup. The largest element of the set of $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \Delta$ is called the Frobenius number of Δ , and it is denoted by $\nu(\Delta)$. The smallest nonzero element of Δ is called the multiplicity of Δ and is denoted by $\mu(\Delta)$. Also, the number $\pi(\Delta) = \#\{0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu(\Delta)\} \cap \Delta$ is called determine number of Δ . For the numerical semigroup,

$\Delta = \langle 3, 7, 11 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, \rightarrow \dots\}$ is MED but not is Arf, since $7 + 7 - 6 = 8 \notin \Delta$ [2], [3], [4], [6]. Δ is called saturated numerical semigroup if $p + r_\Delta(x) \in \Delta$, for all $p, x \in \Delta - \{0\}$, where $r_\Delta(x) = \gcd\{\lambda \in \Delta : \lambda \leq x\}$. It known that a saturated numerical semigroup is Arf. But, an Arf numerical semigroup can not a saturated. For example, $\Delta = \langle 5, 8, 11, 12, 14 \rangle$ is Arf but it is not saturated [7], [10].

Let Δ be a numerical semigroup and $0 \neq \lambda \in \Delta$. The set $Ap(\Delta, \lambda) = \{y \in \Delta : y - \lambda \notin \Delta\}$ is Apery set of Δ according to λ . The element g is a gap of Δ if $g \in \Omega$ but $g \notin \Delta$, we denote the set of gaps of Δ , by $\rho(\Delta)$, i.e. $\rho(\Delta) = \{g \in \Omega : g \notin \Delta\}$. The element $g \in \rho(\Delta)$ is a pseudo-Frobenius number if $g + \lambda \in \Delta$, for all $\lambda \in \Delta, \lambda \neq 0$. And the set of all

*Corresponding author: acelik@adiyaman.edu.tr

pseudo-Frobenius number of Δ , we denote by $PF(\Delta)$. Also, the set $SG(\Delta) = \{g \in PF(\Delta) : 2g \in \Delta\}$ is called the set of special gaps of Δ [6].

For a numerical semigroup Δ , $t \in \rho(\Delta)$ is called isole gap if $t-1, t+1 \in \Delta$. The set of isole gaps of Δ is denoted by $I(\Delta)$, that is, $I(\Delta) = \{t \in \rho(\Delta) : t-1, t+1 \in \Delta\}$. Also, the numerical semigroup Δ is called perfect if $I(\Delta) = \emptyset$ (for details see [8], [9]).

In this study, we will give some results about the set of Pseudo-Frobenius and the set of isole gaps of Δ . Also, we will examine whether Δ will be perfect such that Δ is saturated numerical semigroup with multiplicity p prime number and conductor η where $p < 10$ and $\eta \neq 1(p)$.

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. ([6]) If $\Delta = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ is a MED numerical semigroup then $Ap(\Delta, a_1) = \{0, a_2, a_3, \dots, a_n\}$.

Proposition 2.2. ([5]). Let $\Delta = \langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ be a numerical semigroup. Then we have $PF(\Delta) = \{x - \lambda : x \in Ap(\Delta, \lambda), x > \nu(\Delta)\}$.

Theorem 2.3. ([7]) Let Δ be a numerical semigroup with $\mu(\Delta) = 2$ and conductor η . Then, the Δ saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 2, 2\eta + 1 \rangle$, for $\eta \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

Theorem 2.4. ([7]) Let Δ be a numerical semigroup with $\mu(\Delta) = 3$ and conductor η . Then, Δ is saturated if Δ is one of following numerical semigroups:

- (1) $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 0(3)$.
- (2) $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta, \eta + 2 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(3)$.

Theorem 2.5. ([7]) Let Δ be a numerical semigroup with $\mu(\Delta) = 5$ and conductor η . Then, Δ is saturated if Δ is one of following numerical semigroups:

- 1) $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 0(5)$
- 2) $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(5)$
- 3) $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 3(5)$
- 4) $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 4(5)$.

Theorem 2.6. ([7]) Let Δ be a numerical semigroup with $\mu(\Delta) = 7$ and conductor η . Then, Δ is saturated if Δ is one of following numerical semigroups:

- 1) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 0(7)$
- 2) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(7)$
- 3) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 3(7)$
- 4) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 4(7)$
- 5) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 5(7)$
- 6) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 6(7)$.

Theorem 2.7. Let $\Delta = \langle 2, 2\eta + 1 \rangle$ be a saturated numerical semigroup for $\eta \equiv 0(2)$. Then, we have, $PF(\Delta) = \{2\eta - 1\}$.

Then we write that, $\Delta = \langle 2, 2\eta + 1 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6, \dots, 2\eta - 2, 2\eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$, and the set of gaps of Δ is $\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2\eta - 1\}$. Thus, we find that the set of Pseudo-Frobenius elements of Δ is

Proof. Let $\Delta = \langle 2, 2\eta + 1 \rangle$ be a saturated numerical semigroup with $\mu(\Delta) = 2$ and conductor

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x - 2 : x \in Ap(\Delta, 2), x > v(\Delta) = 2\eta - 1\} = \{2\eta - 1\}$$

since

$$Ap(\Delta, 2) = \{x \in \Delta : x - 2 \notin \Delta\} = \{0, 2\eta + 1\}.$$

Theorem 2.8. Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup with prime multiplicity $\mu(\Delta) = p$ and conductor η , where $2 < p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$.

i) If $j = 0$ then $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 1, \eta - 2, \eta - 3, \dots, \eta - (p - 1)\}$.

ii) If $j \neq 0$ then $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - k : k = 1, 2, \dots, j - 1, j + 1, \dots, p\}$.

Proof. Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup with prime multiplicity $\mu(\Delta) = p$ and conductor η , where $p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$.

i) If $j = 0$ then we write the saturated numerical semigroup Δ as following: for $p = 3, 5, 7$ respectively:

(a) $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle,$

(b) $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle,$

(c) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle.$

Now, we can explain the above cases as follows:

(a) Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle$. Then we have

$$\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, \dots, \eta - 6, \eta - 3, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}.$$

In this case, we have that,

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots, \eta - 7, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}$$

and $Ap(\Delta, 3) = \{0, \eta + 1, \eta + 2\}$. So, we find that

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x - 3 : x \in Ap(\Delta, 3), x > v(\Delta) = \eta - 1\} = \{\eta - 1, \eta - 2\}.$$

(b) Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle$. Then we write

$$\Delta = \langle 5, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta - 5, \eta \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, \dots, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x - 5 : x \in Ap(\Delta, 5), x > v(\Delta) = \eta - 1\} = \{\eta - 1, \eta - 2, \eta - 3, \eta - 4\}$$

since $Ap(\Delta, 5) = \{x \in \Delta : x - 5 \notin \Delta\} = \{0, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4\}$.

(c) Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$. We have

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle = \{0, 7, 14, \dots, \eta - 14, \eta - 7, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 15, \dots, \eta - 8, \eta - 6, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

We find that

$PF(\Delta) = \{x-7: x \in Ap(\Delta, 7), x > \nu(\Delta) = \eta-1\} = \{\eta-1, \eta-2, \eta-3, \eta-4, \eta-5, \eta-6\}$
 since $Ap(\Delta, 7) = x \in \Delta: x-7 \notin \Delta = \{0, \eta+1, \eta+2, \eta+3, \eta+4, \eta+5, \eta+6\}$.

Considering the above explanations, we obtain

$$PF(\Delta) = \{\eta-1, \eta-2, \eta-3, \dots, \eta-(p-1)\}$$

for $2 < p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv 0(p)$.

ii) If $j \neq 0$ then we write the saturated numerical semigroup Δ as following:

(a) For $p=3$;

The saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta, \eta+2 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(3)$. Then, we write

$$\Delta = \langle 3, \eta, \eta+2 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots, \eta-5, \eta-2, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\},$$

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots, \eta-6, \eta-4, \eta-3, \eta-1\}$$

and

$$Ap(\Delta, 3) = \{0, \eta, \eta+2\}.$$

Thus, we find that $PF(\Delta) = \{x-3: x \in Ap(\Delta, 3), x > \nu(\Delta) = \eta-1\} = \{\eta-1, \eta-3\}$.

(b) For $p=5$;

(1) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+2, \eta+4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(5)$, then we have

$$\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+2, \eta+4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta-7, \eta-2, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\},$$

$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \eta-6, \eta-5, \dots, \eta-3, \eta-1\}$ and $Ap(\Delta, 5) = \{0, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+2, \eta+4\}$. So, we find that
 $PF(\Delta) = \{x-5: x \in Ap(\Delta, 5), x > \nu(\Delta) = \eta-1\} = \{\eta-1, \eta-3, \eta-4, \eta-5\}$.

(2) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+3, \eta+4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 3(5)$, then we write

$$\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+3, \eta+4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta-8, \eta-3, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \eta-7, \eta-6, \eta-5, \eta-4, \eta-2, \eta-1\}$$

and

$$Ap(\Delta, 5) = \{0, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+3, \eta+4\}.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x-5: x \in Ap(\Delta, 5), x > \nu(\Delta) = \eta-1\} = \{\eta-1, \eta-2, \eta-4, \eta-5\}.$$

(3) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta+2, \eta+3, \eta+4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 4(5)$, then we find that

$$\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta+2, \eta+3, \eta+4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta-9, \eta-4, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \eta-8, \eta-7, \eta-6, \eta-5, \eta-3, \eta-2, \eta-1\}$$

and

$$Ap(\Delta, 5) = \{0, \eta, \eta+2, \eta+3, \eta+4\}.$$

Thus, we write that

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x-5: x \in Ap(\Delta, 5), x > \nu(\Delta) = \eta-1\} = \{\eta-1, \eta-2, \eta-3, \eta-5\}.$$

(c) For $p=7$;

(1) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+2, \eta+3, \eta+4, \eta+6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(7)$, then we have

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta+1, \eta+2, \eta+3, \eta+4, \eta+6 \rangle = \{0, 7, 14, 21, \dots, \eta-9, \eta-2, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 6, 8, \dots, 13, 15, \dots, \eta - 8, \eta - 7, \dots, \eta - 3, \eta - 1\}.$$

Thus, we find that

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x - 7 : x \in Ap(\Delta, 7), x > F(\Delta) = \eta - 1\} = \{\eta - 7, \eta - 6, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 1\} \text{ since } Ap(\Delta, 7) = \{0, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 6\}.$$

Making same operations, we find followings:

- (2) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 3(7)$, then we have $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 7, \eta - 6, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}$.
- (3) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 4(7)$, then we have $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 7, \eta - 6, \eta - 5, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}$.
- (4) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 5(7)$, then we have $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 7, \eta - 6, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}$.
- (5) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 6(7)$, then we have $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 7, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}$.

Finally, we obtain following results for a saturated numerical semigroup Δ , with $\mu(\Delta) = p$ is prime number and conductor η , where $2 < p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$:

- i) If $j = 0$ then $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 1, \eta - 2, \eta - 3, \dots, \eta - (p - 1)\}$.
- ii) If $j \neq 0$ then $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - k : k = 1, 2, \dots, j - 1, j + 1, \dots, p\}$.

Theorem 2.9. The saturated numerical semigroup Δ given by Theorem 2.3. is not perfect,

Proof. Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup which given by Theorem 2.3. Then, $\Delta = \langle 2, 2\eta + 1 \rangle$, with $\mu(\Delta) = 2$ and conductor $\eta \equiv 0(\text{mod } 2)$. In this case, we write $\Delta = \langle 2, 2\eta + 1 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6, \dots, 2\eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$ and $\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2\eta - 1\}$. In this case, we obtain the set of isole gaps of Δ is $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \{\rho(\Delta)\} \neq \phi$, that is, Δ is not perfect.

Theorem 2.10. Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup with $\mu(\Delta) = p$ is prime number and conductor η , where $2 < p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$.

- (i) If $j = 2$ then $I(\Delta) = \{\nu(\Delta)\}$
- (ii) If $j \neq 2$ then $I(\Delta) = \phi$.

Proof. Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup with prime multiplicity $\mu(\Delta) = p$ and conductor η , where $2 < p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$.

- (i) If $j = 2$ then we have the following saturated numerical semigroups:

(1) For $p = 3$;

The saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta, \eta + 2 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(3)$ and we write $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta, \eta + 2 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots, \eta - 5, \eta - 2, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$. Therefore, we obtain the set of isole gaps of Δ is $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \{\eta - 1\} = \{\nu(\Delta)\}$ since

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots, \eta - 6, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 1\}.$$

(2) For $p = 5$;

The saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(5)$, then we have

$$\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta - 7, \eta - 2, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, \dots, \eta - 6, \dots, \eta - 3, \eta - 1\}.$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \{\eta - 1\} = \{\nu(\Delta)\}.$$

(3) For $p = 7$;

The saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 2(7)$, then we have

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 6 \rangle = \{0, 7, 14, 16, \dots, \eta - 9, \eta - 2, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, \dots, \eta - 8, \eta - 7, \dots, \eta - 3, \eta - 1\}.$$

So, we find that

$$I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \{\eta - 1\} = \{\nu(\Delta)\}.$$

(ii) If $j \neq 2$ then we have the following saturated numerical semigroups:

(1) If $j = 0$ then we write the saturated numerical semigroup Δ as following:

for $p = 3, 5, 7$ respectively:

(a) $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle,$

(b) $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle,$

(c) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle.$

Now, we can explain the above cases as follows:

(a) Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle$. Then we have we obtain the set of isole gaps of Δ is $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi$ since

$$\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, \dots, \eta - 6, \eta - 3, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, \dots, \eta - 7, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

(b) The saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle$. Then we write

$$\Delta = \langle 5, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta - 5, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, \dots, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

So, we find that $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi$.

(c) Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup is

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle.$$

In this case, we obtain that $I(\Delta) = \phi$ since $\Delta = \{0, 7, 14, \dots, \eta - 14, \eta - 7, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$ and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, \dots, \eta - 8, \eta - 6, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

(2) If $j = 3$ then we write the saturated numerical semigroup Δ as following:

for $p = 5, 7$ respectively:

(a) $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle,$

(b) $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle.$

Now, we can explain the above cases as follows:

(a) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 3(5)$ then we write $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta - 8, \eta - 3, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$

$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, \eta - 7, \eta - 6, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}$. Thus, we obtain

$$I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi.$$

(b) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 3(7)$, then we have $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi$ since

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle = \{0, 7, 14, 21, \dots, \eta - 10, \eta - 3, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, \dots, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

(3) If $j = 4$ then we write the saturated numerical semigroup Δ as following:
for $p = 5, 7$ respectively:

$$(a) \Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle,$$

$$(b) \Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle.$$

Now, we can explain the above cases as follows:

(a) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 4(5)$ then we write $\Delta = \langle 5, \eta, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, \dots, \eta - 9, \eta - 4, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$,

$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, \dots, \eta - 8, \eta - 7, \eta - 6, \eta - 5, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}$. Thus, we obtain

$$I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi.$$

(b) If the saturated numerical semigroup is $\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle$ for $\eta \equiv 4(7)$, then we have $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi$ since

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 2, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle = \{0, 7, 14, 21, \dots, \eta - 11, \eta - 4, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$$

and

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, \dots, \eta - 10, \dots, \eta - 5, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

(4) If $j = 5$ then we write the saturated numerical semigroup

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 1, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle = \{0, 7, 14, 21, \dots, \eta - 12, \eta - 5, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}.$$

In this case, we obtain that $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi$ since

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, \dots, \eta - 11, \dots, \eta - 6, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

(5) If $j = 6$ then we write the saturated numerical semigroup

$$\Delta = \langle 7, \eta, \eta + 2, \eta + 3, \eta + 4, \eta + 5, \eta + 6 \rangle = \{0, 7, 14, 21, \dots, \eta - 13, \eta - 6, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}.$$

Thus, we find that $I(\Delta) = \{y \in \rho(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \phi$ since

$$\rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, \dots, \eta - 14, \dots, \eta - 5, \eta - 4, \eta - 3, \eta - 2, \eta - 1\}.$$

Corollary 2.11. Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup with prime multiplicity $\mu(\Delta) = p$ and conductor η , where $2 < p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$.

(i) If $j = 2$ then Δ not perfect

(ii) If $j \neq 2$ then Δ is perfect.

Proof. It is clear.

Corollary 2.12 Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup with prime multiplicity $\mu(\Delta) = p$ and conductor η , where $p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$. Then, we have $PF(\Delta) = SG(\Delta)$.

Proof Let Δ be a saturated numerical semigroup with prime multiplicity $\mu(\Delta) = p$ and conductor η , where $p < 10$ and $\eta \equiv j(p)$. Then, it is clear that $SG(\Delta) \subseteq PF(\Delta)$.

(1) For $p = 2$;

If $\eta \equiv 0(2)$ then $\Delta = \langle 2, 2\eta + 1 \rangle = \{0, 2, 4, 6, \dots, 2\eta - 2, 2\eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$ and we write $PF(\Delta) = 2\eta - 1$ from Theorem 2.7.

If $x \in PF(\Delta) \Rightarrow x = 2\eta - 1 \Rightarrow 2x = 4\eta - 2 = (3\eta) + (\eta - 2) \in \Delta \Rightarrow x \in SG(\Delta)$.

(2) For $p = 3$;

(a) If $\eta \equiv 0(3)$ then $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta + 1, \eta + 2 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots, \eta - 6, \eta - 3, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$ and we write $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 1, \eta - 2\}$ from Theorem 2.8/(i). Let $x \in PF(\Delta)$.

If $x = \eta - 1 \Rightarrow 2x = 2\eta - 2 = (\eta - 3) + (\eta + 1) \in \Delta \Rightarrow x \in SG(\Delta)$

or

if $x = \eta - 2 \Rightarrow 2x = 2\eta - 4 = (\eta - 6) + (\eta + 2) \in \Delta \Rightarrow x \in SG(\Delta)$.

(b) If $\eta \equiv 2(3)$ then $\Delta = \langle 3, \eta, \eta + 2 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \dots, \eta - 8, \eta - 5, \eta - 2, \eta, \rightarrow \dots\}$ and we write $PF(\Delta) = \{\eta - 1, \eta - 3\}$ from Theorem 2.8/(ii). Let $x \in PF(\Delta)$

if $x = \eta - 1 \Rightarrow 2x = 2\eta - 2 = (\eta - 2) + (\eta) \in \Delta \Rightarrow x \in SG(\Delta)$

or

if $x = \eta - 3 \Rightarrow 2x = 2\eta - 6 = (\eta - 8) + (\eta + 2) \in \Delta \Rightarrow x \in SG(\Delta)$.

If we make same operations for $p = 5$ and $p = 7$, we obtain $PF(\Delta) \subseteq SG(\Delta)$. Thus, the proof is completed.

Example 2.13. We put $p = 3$ and $\eta = 9$, in Theorem 2.4/(1) Then, we write $\Delta = \langle 3, 10, 11 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \rightarrow \dots\}$ MED and saturated numerical semigroup since $\mu(\Delta) = e(\Delta) = 3$. Here, $f(\Delta) = 8, n(\Delta) = 3, \rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$ and $Ap(\Delta, 3) = \{0, 10, 11\}$. In this case, we find that

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x - 3 : x \in Ap(\Delta, 3), x > F(\Delta) = 8\} = \{10 - 3, 11 - 3\} = \{9, 8\}$$

and

$$I(\Delta) = \{y \in H(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \emptyset.$$

That is, $\Delta = \langle 3, 10, 11 \rangle = \{0, 3, 6, 9, \rightarrow \dots\}$ numerical semigroup is perfect. Also,

$$SG(\Delta) = \{x \in PF(\Delta) : 2x \in \Delta\} = \{8, 9\} = PF(\Delta).$$

Example 2.14. We put $p = 5$ and $\eta = 12$, in Theorem 2.5/(2) Then, we write $\Delta = \langle 5, 12, 13, 14, 16 \rangle = \{0, 5, 10, 12, \rightarrow \dots\}$ saturated numerical semigroup. Here, $\mu(\Delta) = 5, f(\Delta) = 11, n(S) = 3, \rho(\Delta) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 11, \rightarrow \dots\}$ and $Ap(\Delta, 5) = \{0, 12, 13, 14, 16\}$.

Thus, we obtain

$$PF(\Delta) = \{x - 5 : x \in Ap(\Delta, 5), x > F(\Delta) = 11\} = \{7, 8, 9, 11\}$$

and

$$I(\Delta) = \{y \in H(\Delta) : y - 1, y + 1 \in \Delta\} = \{11\}.$$

Therefore, the numerical semigroup Δ is not perfect and, we find that

$$SG(\Delta) = \{x \in PF(\Delta) : 2x \in \Delta\} = \{7, 8, 9, 11\} = PF(\Delta)$$

Statement of Research and Publication Ethics

The study is complied with research and publication ethics

References

- [1] R. Froberg, C. Gotlieb and R. Haggkvist, “On numerical semigroups,” *Semigroup Forum*, vol. 35, pp.63-68, 1987.
- [2] S. İlhan and H.İ. Karakaş, “Arf numerical semigroups,” *Turkish J. Math.*, vol.41, pp.1448-1457, 2017.
- [3] J.C.Rosales, P.A.García-Sánchez, J.I.García-García and M.B.Branco, “Numerical semigroups with maximal embedding dimension,” *Int. J. Commut. Rings*, vol. 2, pp.47–53, 2003.
- [4] J. C. Rosales, P.A.García-Sánchez, J.I.García-García, M.B.Branco, “Arf numerical semigroups,” *J. Algebra*, vol. 276, pp. 3–12, 2004.
- [5] J.C.Rosales and P.A.Garcia-Sanchez, Numerical semigroups, New York: Springer 181, 2009.
- [6] A. Assi and P.A.Garcia-Sanchez, Numerical semigroups and applications, <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01085760>, pp 47, 2014.
- [7] A.Çelik, Some results on saturated numerical semigroups, Doctoral thesis, Dicle University, 2018.
- [8] M. A. Moreno Frias and J.C.Rosales, “Perfect numerical semigroups,” *Turkish J. Math.*, vol. 43,pp. 1742 – 1754, 2019.
- [9] J. S. Harold, “On isolated gaps in numerical semigroups,” *Turkish J. Math.*, vol.46, pp.123-129, 2022.
- [10] S. İlhan, “On a family of telescopic numerical semigroups,” *Acta Univ. Apulensis Math. Inform*, vol. 67, pp.13-18, 2021