Anatomic Variations and Lesions in Mandibular First Molar Region Detected with Cone Beam Computerized Tomography
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Abstract

Background: The aim of the present study was to evaluate the submandibular fossa (SF) depth, periodontal bone loss (PBL), furcation defects (FD) and periapical (PA) status in mandibular first molar region with cone beam computerized tomography.

Materials and Methods: The retrospective study consisted of CBCT images of 402 mandibular posterior regions from 201 patients. The CBCT scans were assessed to detect the prevalence of SF depth, PBL, FD and periapical status. X2-test was used to detect whether there were significant differences in the prevalence of PBL, PA status, FD, and SF by gender and by age, by occlusion. Pearson’s coefficient was applied to evaluate the correlation between variables.

Result: 90 females, 111 males, mean age of 30.52±13.08) were examined. There were significant associations between age and SF depth, PBL, FD, PA status at both right and left sides (p<0.05). There were statistically significant difference among the FD and PBL with regard to occlusal contact at right side (p<0.05). Also, age was correlated with SF depth, PBL, FD, PA and gender was correlated with PBL, FD.

Conclusion: CBCT should be preferred after a detailed and careful clinical evaluation, especially in complex cases where invasive treatment approaches such as regenerative and dental implant surgery are considered as conventional 2D radiography is not sufficient.
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Introduction

The role of radiology in dental treatment planning is increasing with the development of imaging methods (1). Normal anatomical structures, pathologies, traumas, impacted teeth, paranasal sinus neighborhoods, cysts and tumors can be easily evaluated with cone beam computerized tomography (CBCT) technique (2).

In dentistry, CBCT is mostly used for the evaluation of teeth and surrounding anatomic structures during the treatment planning. One of the most significantly used treatment is dental implants where the size of the bone and the localization of the anatomical structures must be known (3). Submandibular fossa (SF) is a significant anatomic structure in the posterior mandibular region that should be evaluated before implant treatment (4).

CBCT also provides convenience in the identification of periodontal diseases characterized by periodontal bone defects especially with three dimensional (3D) images (5, 6). Defects formed as a result of the pathologic resorption of the bone between the roots of multi-rooted teeth are defined as furcation defects (FD). FD is a complex periodontal disorder due to its anatomic and morphologic features, and difficult to diagnose and treatment (7).

CBCT plays an important role in the diagnosis and treatment of periapical lesions. Detection of the apical lesions of the teeth and the choice of the treatment method to be applied afterwards, provides a great benefit to the practitioner compared to conventional two-dimensional radiographs. Early diagnosis of periapical bone lesions affects treatment success and prognosis positively (8-10).

Therefore the purpose of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic value of CBCT in examining the 3D topography of SF depth, periodontal bone loss (PBL), FD
defects and periapical status in mandibular first molar region.

**Material and Methods**

The Institutional Review Board approved this retrospective clinical study (approval number: 05.10.2017-13/31). The CBCT images of 423 patients were evaluated, and 222 patients were excluded for any of the following reasons: unilateral or bilateral 1st molar teeth, loss of having had previous surgical procedures, bone grafting in the posterior mandible, jaw fracture, presence of metallic artifacts and non-diagnostic, low-resolution quality of CBCT images. Therefore, this study consisted images of 402 mandibular posterior regions from 201 patients (90 female and 111 male patients; mean age 30.52±13.08 years; range 10-67 years).

All images were obtained at the Department of Dento-Maxillofacial Radiology between 2017 and 2019. Patients who were seeking dental and/or oral treatments (i.e., dental implants, endodontic procedures, oral/periodontal surgery, orthodontics, and treatment of oral diseases) were included.

1. **CBCT Image Analysis**

CBCT images of all patients were obtained with the Planmeca Promax 3D Mid (Planmeca Oy, Helsinki, Finland, 2012) CBCT device. The operating parameters of the device are 90 kV, 10 mA and 36 sec. The voxel size of the obtained images was 0.4 mm and cross-sectional thickness was 0.40 mm. The images of mandible obtained by successive irradiation of FOV size 16x9 cm were combined with Romexis 2.92 software program (Planmeca Oy, Helsinki, Finland). All images were reviewed and all the measurements were performed by one calibrated examiner (F.O.).

2. **Assessment of Submandibular Fossa Depth**

Cross-sectional view were used to determined the depth of the SF. A line was placed on the most prominent superior and inferior points of the lingual concavity, and a second line was drawn from the deepest point of the concavity perpendicular to the first line Figure 1.

SF groups were classified as:(11)

- Group I: a flat impression < 2 mm deep
- Group II: a 2 to 3 mm concavity
- Group III: a concavity > 3 mm

![Figure 1: Crosssectional CBCT section identifying the submandibular fossa depth. (A) a flat impression < 2 mm deep, (B) a 2 to 3 mm concavity, (C) a concavity > 3 mm.](image)

3. **Assessment of Periodontal Bone Loss**

Panoramic view was used to evaluate PBL in CBCT images. The percentage of normal periodontal bone height was calculated at mesial and distal sides of each tooth. To assess the level of PBL, the distance between the point 2 mm under the cemento enamel junction and the upper point of the alveolar bone was measured. The extent of PBL was classified as described before: (12)

- Group 1: normal to mild, < 25% bone loss
- Group 2: moderate, 25% to 50% bone loss
- Group 3: severe, > 50% bone loss

4. **Assessment of Furcation Defect**

Sagittal and axial views were used to assess furcation defect (13) (Figure 2).

- Group I:There is no bone loss in furcation region
- Group II: There is vertical bone loss in furcation region but not horizontal bone loss.
- Group III: There is a horizontal bone loss in furcation region but the destruction of furcation region does not include all.
- Group IV: Complete loss of interradicular bone at furcation site bone destruction is such that the transition from one to the other.

5. **Assessment of PA status**

The PA index scoring system was used to assess PA status as described previously: (14)

- Group I: Normal periapical structure
- Group II: Minor changes in bone structure
- Group III: Changes in bone structure with mineral loss
- Group IV: Periodontitis with prominent radiolucent area
- Group V: Severe periodontitis characterized by exacerbation
The study patients were also classified by gender by occlusion (Group I: No teeth opposite arch, Group II: Teeth at opposite arch) and by age in years as under Group I: 25 years, Group II: 25 to 50 years, and Group III: over 50 years.

Statistical analysis

X²-test was used to detect whether there were significant differences in the prevalence of PBL, PAtatus, FD, and SF by gender and by age, by occlusion pattern in both the left and right sites. P values less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Pearson's coefficient was applied to evaluate the correlation between variables. All computations were performed by using SPSS version 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, U.S.A).

**Results**

The CBCT images of 402 mandibular posterior regions from 201 patients (90 females, 111 males, mean age of 30.52±13.08) were examined. At right sides, 91.1% of mandibular first molar teeth were in occlusal contact and in left side 93.6% were in occlusal contact.

SF depth ranged from 0.3mm to 7.2 mm. The mean SF was 2.30±0.97 mm. SF depth of less than 2 mm, 2 to 3 mm, and more than 3 mm were observed 39.7%, 38.8%, and 21.3% of the images, respectively. The average SF depth of males, and females were 2.34±1.04 mm, and 2.26±0.88 mm, respectively. There were no significant associations between gender and SF at both right and left sides (p=0.842, p=0.310). When SF depth evaluated according to age groups, there were significant associations between age and SF depth at both right and left sides (p=0.012, p=0.001). Occlusion had no significant effect on SF depth (p=0.491, p=0.122) (Table 1).

Group I PBL was observed in 48.8% of males and 43.3% of females, and group II PDL was observed in 6.5% of males 1.5% of females. PBL was significantly associated with gender at right side (p=0.004) and occlusal contact at right side (p=0.028). Table 2 shows the association between gender, age and occlusal contact and the degree of PBL.

**Table 1. Differences related to age, gender, side and occlusion of depth of SF**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right Submandibular fossa depth</th>
<th>Left Submandibular fossa depth</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I (p=0.841)</td>
<td>II (p=0.012)</td>
<td>III (p=0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49(24.3%)</td>
<td>39(19.4%)</td>
<td>23(11.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>36(17.9%)</td>
<td>33(16.4%)</td>
<td>21(10.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>54(26.8%)</td>
<td>32(15.9%)</td>
<td>20(9.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>22(10.9%)</td>
<td>32(15.9%)</td>
<td>15(7.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>7(3.4%)</td>
<td>4(1.9%)</td>
<td>15(7.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>76(37.8%)</td>
<td>65(32.3%)</td>
<td>42(20.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>8(3.9%)</td>
<td>6(2.9%)</td>
<td>4(1.9%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* *p<0.05 statistically significant
Table 2. Association between periodontal bone loss and gender, age, occlusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right Periodontal Bone Loss &lt;25%</th>
<th>25-50%</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>Left Periodontal Bone Loss &lt;25%</th>
<th>25-50%</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>96 (47.8%)</td>
<td>15 (7.5%)</td>
<td>0.004*</td>
<td>100 (49.8%)</td>
<td>11 (5.5%)</td>
<td>0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>88 (43.8%)</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>86 (42.8%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>106 (52.7%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>0.013*</td>
<td>106 (52.7%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>0.019*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>63 (31.3%)</td>
<td>9 (4.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>65 (32.3%)</td>
<td>7 (3.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>15 (7.5%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>15 (7.5%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occlusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>170 (84.6%)</td>
<td>13 (6.5%)</td>
<td>0.028*</td>
<td>173 (86.1%)</td>
<td>15 (7.5%)</td>
<td>0.290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>14 (7%)</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>13 (6.5%)</td>
<td>0 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 statistically significant

FD was observed in 77.3% of the arches, in which 40.55% were group I, 30.55% were group II, and 6.25% were group III. FD was significantly associated with age at both right (p=0.001) and left sides (p=0.01). There was no significant association between gender and FD at both right and left side (p=0.093, p=0.063). There was a statistically significant difference among the FD with regard to occlusal contact at right side (p=0.01) (Table 3).

Table 3. Differences related to age, gender, and side of furcation classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right Furcation classification</th>
<th>Left Furcation classification</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>26 (12.9%)</td>
<td>39 (19.4%)</td>
<td>35 (17.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>21 (10.4%)</td>
<td>42 (20.9%)</td>
<td>25 (12.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>35 (17.4%)</td>
<td>47 (23.4%)</td>
<td>26 (12.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>10 (5%)</td>
<td>28 (13.9%)</td>
<td>27 (13.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>2 (1%)</td>
<td>6 (3%)</td>
<td>7 (3.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Occlusion</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>43 (21.4%)</td>
<td>80 (39.8%)</td>
<td>52 (25.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>4 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
<td>8 (4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 statistically significant

PA lesions were found in 41.8% of the alveolar crests in which 23.7% of males and, 18.2% of female patients. No significant association between PA lesions and gender (p=0.817) and lateralizations (p=0.650) were detected. Age is an important factor affecting PA status at both right and left sides (p=0.003, p=0.006) (Table 4).

Table 5 show that age was correlated with SF depth, PBL, FD, PA and gender was correlated with PBL, FD.
between our study and different classifications obtained from the surgical site in difference

Table 4. Association between periapical status and gender, age, occlusion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Right</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Left</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Periapical status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Periapical status</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>61(30.3%)</td>
<td>28(13.9%)</td>
<td>11(5.5%)</td>
<td>8(4%)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>66(32.8%)</td>
<td>20(10%)</td>
<td>16(8%)</td>
<td>6(3%)</td>
<td>3(1.5%)</td>
<td>0.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>56(27.9)</td>
<td>20(10.0)</td>
<td>7(3.5)</td>
<td>4(2)</td>
<td>3(1.5%)</td>
<td>51(25.4%)</td>
<td>22(10.9%)</td>
<td>11(5.5%)</td>
<td>4(2%)</td>
<td>2(1%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>77(38.3%)</td>
<td>24(11.9%)</td>
<td>5(2.5%)</td>
<td>3(1.5%)</td>
<td>1(0.5%)</td>
<td>0.003*</td>
<td>75(37.3%)</td>
<td>23(11.4%)</td>
<td>8(4%)</td>
<td>4(2%)</td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>33(16.4%)</td>
<td>18(9%)</td>
<td>10(5%)</td>
<td>6(3%)</td>
<td>5(2.5%)</td>
<td>35(17.4%)</td>
<td>16(8%)</td>
<td>13(6.5%)</td>
<td>4(2%)</td>
<td>4(2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>7(3.5%)</td>
<td>6(3%)</td>
<td>3(1.5%)</td>
<td>3(1.5%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>7(3.5%)</td>
<td>3(1.5%)</td>
<td>6(3%)</td>
<td>2(1%)</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>111(55.2%)</td>
<td>43(21.4%)</td>
<td>12(6%)</td>
<td>12(6%)</td>
<td>5(2.5%)</td>
<td>0.002*</td>
<td>109(54.2%)</td>
<td>40(19.9%)</td>
<td>26(12.9%)</td>
<td>8(4%)</td>
<td>5(2.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II</td>
<td>63(3%)</td>
<td>5(2.5%)</td>
<td>6(3%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td>1(0.5%)</td>
<td>8(4%)</td>
<td>2(1%)</td>
<td>1(0.5%)</td>
<td>2(1%)</td>
<td>0(0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 statistically significant

Table 5. Correlations regarding to age, gender and occlusion with SF depth, periodontal bone loss, furcation classification and periapical status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Submandibular fossa depth</th>
<th>Periodontal bone loss</th>
<th>Furcation classification</th>
<th>Periapical status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>0.405</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.269</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
<td>0.187</td>
<td>0.001*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusion</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>0.053</td>
<td>0.291</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 statistically significant

Discussion

New diagnostic imaging methods have been developed based on technological advances in computer systems. CBCT devices, available at lower prices than CT machines, provide dentists with valuable diagnostic information (15). Cross-sectional images obtained with CBCTs allow the dentist to evaluate the area more accurately than conventional 2 dimensional imaging methods (16).

The most important part of the examination of the tomography obtained from the surgical site in the evaluation before the dental implant surgery is to evaluate the relationship between the anatomical structures and variations of the region where the dental implant will be applied (14). The depth of SF is one of the most important parameters that should be evaluated in the mandibular posterior region before dental implant surgery to eliminate perforation of the lingual bone cortex and to eradicate potentially dangerous complication (17, 18).

Evaluation of SF by preoperative palpation or flap elevation with a direct view is insufficient for a safe surgery (19). In the literature, many studies have been reported on lingual cortex perforation after dental implant placement (20, 21). Souze et al. reported that the depth of SF should be evaluated carefully, especially in the mandibular molar region not the premolar areas (19).

According to the results of the present study, the depth of SF showed no significant difference according to gender and occlusion status of both right and left sides. However, a statistically significant relationship was determined according to age groups. Group I SF was more common in group I, and group II SF was more common in group II. We think that submandibular fossa depth increases with increasing bone resorption with age. Contrary to our results, Parnia et al investigated no significant differences among age categories (11). The differences between our study and Parina may be based on different classifications used or the presence of age.

One of the most common groups of periodontal diseases is periodontitis characterized by alveolar bone loss (22). The success of periodontal treatment depends on many factors. One of the most important factors is the accurate
visualization of periodontal bone destruction and morphology of bone defects for treatment planning (23). It is reported that only 21% of the angular defects in periodontal diseases can be detected by panoramic radiographs, 32% by periapical radiographs, and 43% of angular bone defects can be detected with both methods (22). The results of the present study showed that PBL was more common in men than in women. Bone destruction was increased with age. Helmi et al. reported that males had a higher risk of developing periodontal diseases with significantly higher alveolar bone loss compared to females (24) and this result coincided with similar results reported in literature indicating that males have a higher risk of developing the disease (25, 26). A study conducted by Eke et al. to evaluated the prevalence of periodontal diseases in adults where older age groups had a higher risk and proportion of periodontitis compared to younger age groups (25).

CBCT is a valuable imaging technique especially for the diagnosis of intra-bony defects, furcation involvement and buccal / lingual bone destructions (27). Early diagnosis of bone defects is crucial for successful results in periodontal treatment. In the literature, studies comparing the 3D and 2D imaging methods for the detection of alveolar bone defects have shown that CBCT has a sensitivity of 80-100% for the detection of bone defects, and 63-67% for intraoral radiographs (28). In order to detect bone resorption on conventional radiographs, excessive mineral loss (30-50%) is required in the bone (29). Therefore, bone lesions may be overlooked in the initial stage by conventional radiographs. Early diagnosis of FDs is very important in the success rate of periodontal treatment (13).

Current study also found that group 0 was 22.6%, group I was 40.55%, group II was 30.55% group III was 6.25%. The result of this study showed that mean ages were statistically higher in group III group, and statistically lower in the group 0 when compared with other groups. Previous research demonstrated that the prevalence and severity of FD increased with age (30, 31). Recent studies demonstrated that PBL increased with age (12, 14). No significant relationship was found between gender and FD. Similar to our study, Özcan et al. reported that gender was not an important parameter affecting the furcation defects (32).

Evaluation of periapical region and detection of changes in this area is one of the most important steps in the planning of diagnosis and treatment of dental diseases. Because of the diagnostic confusion in the diagnosis of these periapical changes, these lesions can often be difficult to diagnose, difficult to treat, and costly. Traditional techniques have been preferred for many years in dental applications despite some diagnostic deficiencies. In traditional and digital techniques, which are 2D, approximately 30-50% of the bone destruction is required to detect lesions (33). Distortion, magnification, superposition, narrow areas of imaging and application errors are limited to the reasons such as, sometimes can give false information. CBCT gives 3D (axial, coronal and sagittal) images. These cross-sectional images are used as a reliable technique in the evaluation of root canal lesions and root canal treatments (34). Patel et al. reported that the success of both imaging systems in detecting periapical lesions was reported as 100% and 24.8%, respectively when CBCT sections and intraoral radiography images were compared (35).

In the present study shown, 58.2% of teeth had no periapical lesions, while 22.4% had group II, 11.25% had group III, 5.5% had group IV, and 2.75% had group V. The frequency of periapical lesions increases with age. Previous studies reported that periapical and periodontal lesions were increased with age (12, 14). We think that this result may be associated with longer exposure of teeth in the oral cavity having physiological and pathologic factors such as aging. Similar to our results Keser et al. reported that no statistically significant difference was found between the number of teeth with lesion in male and female subjects (36). Ampara et al. observed that no significant associations between periapical lesions and gender (37).

**Conclusion**

CBCT should be preferred after a detailed and careful clinical evaluation, especially in complex cases where invasive treatment approaches such as regenerative and dental implant surgery are considered as conventional 2D radiography is not sufficient. According to the findings of the present study, while the effect of age on the anatomical structures and parameters examined was high, gender had an effect only on periodontal bone loss.
Occlusal status was found to be important in PDL, FD, PA evaluations in the right side of the patients.
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