The association between vitamin D level and ICU mortality in COVID-19 patients: a single center survey

Sevda Onuk¹, DEsma Eren²

¹Department of Intensive Care, Kayseri City Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Kayseri, Turkey ²Deparment of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, Kayseri City Hospital, University of Health Sciences, Kayseri, Turkey

Cite this article as: Onuk S, Eren E. The association between vitamin D level and ICU mortality in COVID-19 patients: a single center survey. J Health Sci Med 2023; 6(2): 336-341.

ABSTRACT

Aim: Vitamin D, an immune modulator, may contribute COVID-19 infection. This study aims to assess the relationship between vitamin D value and clinical outcomes (need for mechanical ventilation (MV) support and intensive care unit (ICU) mortality) in critically ill patients diagnosed with COVID-19.

Material and Method: This study included critically ill adult patients diagnosed with COVID-19 infection. Serum vitamin D level was analyzed using liquid chromatography mass spectrometry. Vitamin D concentration was classified as normal (\geq 20 ng/mL) and deficiency (<20 ng/mL). The association between serum vitamin D value and the need for MV treatment and ICU mortality was analyzed by logistic regression model.

Results: Ninety-six critically adult COVID-19 patients with were recruited. The mean age of patients was 68.8 ± 12.6 years. The mean APACHE II score of participants was 14.5 ± 6.7 . A total of 69.8% of participants had vitamin D deficiency. Patients with deficiency of vitamin D had significantly higher procalcitonin, BUN and creatinine concentrations than patients with normal vitamin D value (p=0.031, p=0.003, and p=0.001, respectively). Serum vitamin D level was negatively weak correlated with SOFA score (Rho=-0.238, p=0.020), serum creatinine (Rho=-0.299, p=0.003) and troponin levels (Rho=-0.330, p=0.004). Serum vitamin D value was not significantly associated with the need for MV support and ICU mortality (p>0.05).

Conclusion: Approximately 70% of our study sample has below the normal range of serum vitamin D value. Low serum vitamin D concentrations were associated with increased SOFA, creatinine, and troponin concentrations in patients with COVID-19 infection. Vitamin D deficiency was not a predictor of need for MV support and ICU mortality in COVID-19 patients.

Keywords: COVID-19, vitamin D, intensive care unit, mortality

INTRODUCTION

COVID-19, a global clinical viral disease, can progress to critical illness and acute respiratory failure, increasing the likelihood for ICU admission and the possibility of subsequent mortality (1-3).Vitamin D acts as an immune modulator through several mechanisms, including modulation of cytokine release, neutrophil activity, ACE-2 receptors, and pulmonary barrier function (4,5). Vitamin D has also been cited as having a possible impact concerning the treatment as well as the prevention of COVID-19 infection (6-9). Achieving normal vitamin D status has become a significant clinical goal in COVID-19 patients, based on the preliminary evidence of ICU admission, more extended ICU stay, and the mortality rate. Research suggests that a deficiency in vitamin D levels leads to worse outcomes in COVID-19 patients than in those with levels reported as average (10-13). Given the inconclusive clinical

evidence, further primary studies would be apposite in clarifying how vitamin D status affects disease severity and mortality in COVID-19 patients (4–6,14).

This study aims to determine the relationship between vitamin D status and poor clinical outcomes (need for mechanical ventilation (MV) support and mortality) in critically ill patients receiving ICU treatment for COVID-19.

MATERIAL AND METHOD

The study was carried out with the permission of Kayseri City Training and Research Hospital Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Date: 15.04.2021; Decision No: 2021/370). All procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical rules and the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.



This study was made retrospectively in a single-center ICU between August 2020 and January 2021. The inclusion criteria of the current study were as follows:

- 1. ≥18 years of age,
- 2. Diagnosed with COVID-19 (positive SARS-CoV-2 reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) test and thorax CT consistent with COVID-19 infection),
- 3. Presence of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) (15),
- 4. \geq 48 hours expect ICU stay.

Pregnant women, patients with rickets and osteomalacia were excluded.

Data were collected from patients' medical record. At ICU admission, demographic characteristics, symptoms related to COVID-19 on ICU admission, presence of comorbidity, the severity of illness scores (Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II (APACHE II), Sequential Organ Failure Assessment (SOFA), Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS), Charlson Comorbidity Index), need for Mechanical Ventilation (MV) support (Invasive) were recorded.

Troponin, C-reactive protein (CRP), procalcitonin, blood urea nitrogen (BUN), creatinine, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), ferritin, D-dimer, PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio, lactate, leukocyte, neutrophil count, lymphocyte count, platelet count of patients was recorded. In addition, the length of ICU/hospital stay, and ICU mortality were noted.

Vitamin D Measurement

The concentrations of vitamin D of the participants were obtained from their medical records. Liquid Chromatography Mass Spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) (SCIEX Model 4500 Q TRAP) was utilized to determine 25(OH)D levels at the ICU admission.

According to vitamin D levels, we classified two groups: normal (Vit D \geq 20 ng/mL) and deficiency (Vit D <20 ng/mL).

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 26.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Continuous variables were presented as mean±SD or median (interquartile range, IQR) based on normality distribution. Categorical variables were shown as numbers (%). The difference between categorical variables was analyzed using the Chi-square test. The difference between continuous variables was analyzed with the two independent sample t test. Vitamin D level correlation with other parameters was analyzed using Spearman correlation analysis. The association between vitamin D levels and the need for MV and ICU mortality was assessed using logistic regression analysis adjusted with potential confounders (age and gender). A p-value <0.05 was accepted as statistically significant.

RESULTS

This study included 96 critically ill patients with respiratory failure related to COVID-19 infection in ICU. The mean age of the study sample was 68.8 ± 12.6 years, and 52.1% of the cohorts were male. The mean APACHE II score was 14.5 ± 6.7 , and SOFA score was 5.5 ± 2.2 at ICU admission. The most common reason for ICU admission was dyspnea (75.0%). In addition, the most common comorbidities of patients were hypertension (53.1%) and diabetes mellitus (39.7%). **Table 1** shows the patients' demographic and clinical characteristics.

MV support was required in 42.7% of patients. The median length of ICU stay was 10 (6.3-15) days, and the median length of hospital stay was 16 (11-26.5) days. The mortality rate of the participants was 57.3% in ICU (**Table 1**).

A total of 67 (69.8%) patients had vitamin D deficiency (<20 ng/mL). There was no statistically significant difference between groups in terms of age, symptoms on admission, and severity of illness (APACHE II score, SOFA score, GCS, Charlson comorbidity index) (p>0.05 for all). Approximately half of both groups treated with MV support. Patients with deficiency of vitamin D had similar length of ICU and hospital stay to patients with normal vitamin D status (p=0.606 and p=0.903, respectively).

Furthermore, the ICU mortality rates of the patients with deficiency of vitamin D and normal vitamin D status were 56.4% and 46.3%. The ICU mortality rate was similar between two groups (p=0.534) (Table 1).

As shown in **Table 2**, patients with deficiency of vitamin D had significantly higher procalcitonin than patients with average vitamin D value (median: 0.35 vs median: 0.15, p=0.031).Compared to patients with normal vitamin D values, patients with deficiency of vitamin D had significantly higher serum BUN (median: 33.0 (24.0-53.0) vs 24.0 (18.0-27.5), p=0.003) and creatinine value (1.2 (0.9-1.9) vs. 0.8 (0.7-1.1) ng/mL, p=0.001). There was no significant difference in serum CRP level and WBC count according to vitamin D classification (p>0.05 for all) (**Table 2**).

There was negatively weak-correlation between vitamin D levels and SOFA score (Rho=-0.238, p=0.020), serum creatinine (Rho=-0.299, p=0.003), and troponin (Rho=-0.330, p=0.004) levels (**Table 3** and **Figure 1**).

Table 1. Patient demographic and clinical parameters							
	Total (n=96)	Normal Vitamin D (n=29)	Vitamin D Deficiency (n=67)	p value			
Age (year), ±SD	68.8±12.6	68.6±13.46	68.9±12.31	0.925			
Gender, n (%)							
Male	50 (52.1)	13 (26.0)	37 (74.0)	0.238			
Female	46 (47.9)	32 (69.6)	14 (30.4)				
BMI (kg/m²), ±SD	27.9±4.5	28.3±4.33	27.8±4.53	0.600			
Active smoking, n (%)	51 (53.1)	32 (62.7)	19 (37.3)	0.058			
Comorbidity, n%)							
Hypertension	51 (53.1)	23 (45.1)	28 (54.9)	0.484			
Diabetes mellitus	38 (39.6)	18 (47.4)	20 (52.6)	0.260			
CAD	17 (17.7)	6 (35.3)	11 (64.7)	0.509			
Asthma	14 (14.6)	7 (50.0)	7 (50.0)	0.423			
COPD	11 (11.5)	3 (27.3)	8 (72.7)	0.105			
Symptoms on admission, n (%)							
Dyspnea	72 (75.0)	32 (44.4)	40 (55.6)	0.521			
Cough	14 (14.6)	5 (35.7)	9 (64.3)	0.160			
Weakness	14 (14.6)	4 (28.6)	10 (71.4)	0.627			
Fever	12 (12.5)	5 (41.7)	7 (58.3)	0.674			
Myalgia	8 (8.3)	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	0.738			
Indigestion	8 (8.3)	3 (37.5)	5 (62.5)	0.255			
Severity of illness scores, ±SD							
APACHE II	14.5±6.7	13.1±7.0	15.1±4.5	0.176			
SOFA	5.5±2.2	4.9±2.2	5.7±2.2	0.092			
GCS	13.1±3.5	13.4±3.1	13.0±3.7	0.642			
Charlson comorbidity index	4.2±2.0	3.9±1.9	4.3±2.0	0.345			
MV support, n (%)	41 (42.7)	20 (48.8)	21 (51.2)	0.782			
Length of stay, median (min-max)							
At ICU	10.0 (6.3-15.0)	10.0 (7.0-16.0)	10.0 (6.0-15.0)	0.606			
In hospital	16.0 (11.0-26.5)	15.0 (9.5-28.0)	16.0 (11.0-24.0)	0.903			
Mortality, n (%)							
ICU mortality	55 (57.3)	31 (56.4)	24 (43.6)	0.534			
Hospital mortality	57 (59.4)	31 (54.4)	26 (45.6)	0.724			
ICU: Intensive care unit, MV: Mechanical ventilation.							

Table 2. Laboratory findings according to vitamin D status Total Normal Vitamin D Vitamin D Deficiency p value (n=96) (n=67) (n=29) Troponin (ng/mL) 23.0 (12.3-49.2) 17.5 (10.7-35.1) 27.4 (15.1-56.9) 0.094 CRP (mg/L) 110.7 (59.4-183.9) 88.0 (58.0-179.4) 125.0 (60.4-192.0) 0.346 Procalcitonin (µg/L) 0.29 (0.13-0.85) 0.15 (0.11-0.40) 0.35 (0.15-0.97) 0.031 BUN (mg/dL) 28.0 (21.0-46.0) 24.0 (18.0-27.5) 33.0 (24.0-53.0) 0.003 Creatinine (mg/dL) 1.0(0.8-1.6)0.8 (0.7-1.1) 1.2 (0.9-1.9) 0.001 LDH (U/L) 485.5 (373.5-619.0) 535.0 (387.0-620.5) 467.5 (370.8-620.3) 0.500 AST (IU/L) 39.0 (27.3-54.8) 41.0 (27.0-67.0) 38.0 (28.0-51.0) 0.336 Ferritin (µg/L) 742.0 (394.0-1589.0) 853.0 (482.0-1245.5) 716.5 (344.8-1847.5) 0.777 D-dimer (µg/L) 1604.5 (905.0-3604.8) 1630.0 (821.0-3501.5) 1579.0 (924.0-3652.0) 0.612 PaO₂/FiO₂ 87.3 (70.3-158.3) 93.0 (68.0-167.0) 86.0 (71.0-143.0) 0.747 Lactate (mmol/L) 1.8 (1.4-2.6) 1.5 (1.3-2.6) 1.9 (1.4-2.6) 0.482 WBC $(10^{9}/L)$ 11.3 (8.3-14.0) 12.7 (9.2-15.2) 11.2 (7.7-13.6) 0.298 Neutrophil (10⁹/L) 10.0 (7.1-12.9) 9.8 (6.5-12.4) 0.307 10.7 (8.4-13.4) Lymphocyte (10⁹/L) 0.67 (0.47-0.97) 0.67 (0.51-0.83) 0.67 (0.45-0.98) 0.971 Platelet $(10^9/L)$ 233.0 (169.3-300.3) 255.0 (174.0-329.0) 225.0 (157.0-283.0) 0.171 CRP: C-reactive protein; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase; AST: Aspartate aminotransferase; WBC: white blood cell, All data presented as median (IQR)



Figure 1. Correlation of vitamin D levels with SOFA score and serum creatinine and troponin levels

There was no statistically significant correlation between PaO_2/FiO_2 ratio and vitamin D level at baseline of the study (Rho= 0.008, p=0.940). Serum vitamin D levels were also not correlated with other severity of illness scores (APACHE II, GCS) and other laboratory findings (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Correlation of vitamin D levels with severity of illness scores and laboratory parameters					
Variables	Vitamin D level				
variables	rho	p value			
SOFA	-0.238	0.020			
Charlson comorbidity index	-0.010	0.924			
GCS	0.127	0.218			
Troponin	-0.330	0.004			
CRP	-0.140	0.174			
Procalcitonin	-0.159	0.121			
BUN	-0.177	0.085			
Creatinine	-0.299	0.003			
LDH	0.107	0.306			
Ferritin	0.082	0.430			
Ddimer	-0.105	0.308			
PaO ₂ /FiO ₂	0.008	0.940			
Lactate	-0.060	0.561			
Neutrophil	-0.058	0.572			
Lymphocyte	-0.075	0.470			
GCS: Glasgow Coma scale; CRP: C-reactive protein; BUN: Blood urea nitrogen; LDH: Lactate dehydrogenase, r: correlation coefficient, Pearson correlation analysis					

The results of the logistic regression analysis showed that the impact of vitamin D level on the need for MV support or mortality risk was not statistically significant, even after adjusting for age and gender (p>0.05 for both). In addition, there was no association between deficiency of vitamin D (<20 ng/mL) and both needs for MV support and ICU mortality (p>0.05). After adjusting for confounding variables (age and gender), vitamin D status was not associated with both needs for MV support and ICU mortality (p>0.05)(**Table 4**).

Table 4. Logistic regression analysis for vitamin D levels in predicting need for MV support and ICU mortality							
	Need for MV support		ICU Mortality				
	OR (95% Cl)	p value	OR (95% Cl)	p value			
Model 1							
Vit D, ng/mL	1.042 (0.972-1.118)	0.243	0.980 (0.914-1.051)	0.573			
Vit D<20 ng/mL	1.770 (0.417-7.506)	0.438	0.950 (0.224-4.041)	0.945			
Model 2							
Vit D, ng/mL	1.028 (0.960-1.100)	0.427	0.999 (0.931-1.071)	0.967			
Vit D < 20 ng/mL	1.269 (0.293-5.501)	0.751	1.422 (0.312-6.475)	0.649			
Model 2 adjusted for age and gender, MV: Mechanical ventilation; OR: Odds ratio; CI: Confidence interval							

DISCUSSION

The findings revealed patients with a deficiency of 69.8% in our study sample. The vitamin D levels were negatively correlated with SOFA score, serum creatinine, and troponin levels. The level of vitamin D status of COVID-19 patients was not associated with the need for MV treatment and mortality.

Approximately 70% of our study sample had vitamin D deficiency. Bassatne et al. (14) performed a systematic review of 31 observational studies in 18724 patients with COVID-19 infection. It was suggested that 13-82% of COVID-19 patients had vitamin D deficiency. Karahan et al. (16) conducted a retrospective cohort study investigating relationship between vitamin D status and mortality in 149 COVID-19 patients in our country. Similar to our study, vitamin D deficiency was considered as Vit D <20 ng/mL. It was found that 69.1% of study participants had vitamin D deficiency in consistent with our results.

In our study sample, patients with vitamin D deficiency had significantly higher procalcitonin, BUN and creatinine value compared to patients with normal vitamin D status. In addition, there is a correlation between serum vitamin D levels and serum BUN, creatinine, and troponin in ourpatients. A meta-analysis of 3637 COVID patients by Mohamed Ben-Eltriki et al. (17) indicated that patients with low vitamin D levels had higher troponin levels compared to the patients with average vitamin D levels. Similarly, Tarek M Yosef et al. (18) reported a negative correlation between vitamin D levels and serum BUN, creatinine in a case-control study involving 80 COVID-19 patients. According to a retrospective study of 71 COVID-19 patients, found a significant association between low vitamin D levels (<20 ng/ml) and increased troponin value (19). Similar to our results, Quintana et al. (20) reported that there was relationship between low vitamin D status and higher procalcitonin level in critically ill patients with COVID-19.

A total of 42.7% of study participants were treated with MV support. The mortality rate was 57.3% of the study participants. In our study, serum vitamin D level was not associated with the need for MV treatment and ICU mortality. A retrospective study with 270 patients with COVID-19 revealed that vitamin D levels were <20 ng/mLin 35.2% of patients, along with the need for MV (21.9%), ICU stay (32.2%) and mortality (26.7%) in almost one-third of the patients. Similarly, there was no significant correlation between vitamin D status and mortality, need for MV, or ICU admission in COVID-19 patients (8). Notably, in a meta-analysis of 20 clinical studies in 12.806 COVID-19 patients, low vs. high vitamin D serum levels were reported as having similar mortality rates, ICU admission rate, ventilator support requirement, and length of ICU stay (9). A retrospective multicenter study of 197 patients with COVID-19 revealed that 73.10% of the patients had deficiency levels of vitamin D compatible with our data (21). Multivariate analysis adjusted for demographics and comorbidities showed that the correlation between vitamin D status and COVID-19 severity parameters, including MV support and mortality, was not statistically significant. We think that mortality in patients with COVID-19 infection may be due presence of cerebrovascular disease, thromboembolic events, etc. Contrary to our study findings and the abovementioned studies, several studies suggest that the vitamin D deficiency has a significant effect on hospital admission, ICU admission, or mortality in patients diagnosed with COVID-19 (10,13,22,23).A metaanalysis of 17 observational studies involving 2756 patients suggests a significant relationship between vitamin D deficiency and higher mortality rates (OR 2.47) compared to patients with normal vitamin D status(10).

Study Limitations

There are several limitations of the study. The study was designed as cross-sectionally and did not include a few time points in ICU. Our study sample was small. A prospective observational study design may improve reliable observation of clinical outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19 infection. The retrospective single-center design of this study can be regarded as a notable limitation that prevents generalizing our findings to the overall ICU-hospitalized COVID-19 population. Several studies revealed a correlation between vitamin D supplementation and poor clinical outcomes in COVID-19 patients (11,24). However, we did not follow the vitamin D supplementation of patients.

CONCLUSION

The findings of this study revealed that about 3/4 of patients hospitalized in the ICU diagnosed with COVID-19 had below average range vitamin D status. Moreover, serum vitamin D concentration was related to increased SOFA score, serum creatinine, and serum troponin value. Nonetheless, vitamin D status was not associated with the need for MV treatment and ICU mortality. Randomized controlled studies with long-term study periods involving vitamin D supplementation are needed.

ETHICAL DECLARATIONS

Ethics Committee Approval: The study was carried out with the permission of Kayseri City Training and Research Hospital Clinical Researches Ethics Committee (Date: 15.04.2021; Decision No: 2021/370).

Informed Consent: Because the study was designed retrospectively, no written informed consent form was obtained from patients.

Referee Evaluation Process: Externally peer-reviewed.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Financial Disclosure: The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Author Contributions: All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper and that they have approved the final version.

REFERENCES

- 1. Alhazzani W, Evans L, Alshamsi F, et al. Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines on the Management of Adults With Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the ICU: First Update. Crit Care Med 2021; 49: E219–34.
- 2. Wu YC, Chen CS, Chan YJ. The outbreak of COVID-19: An overview. J Chin Med Assoc 2020; 83: 217–20.

- Guan W jie, Ni Z yi, Hu Y, et al. Clinical Characteristics of Coronavirus Disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med 2020; 382: 1708–20.
- Shah K, Saxena D, Mavalankar D. Vitamin D supplementation, COVID-19 and disease severity: a meta-analysis. QJM 2021; 114: 175–81.
- 5. Pal R, Banerjee M, Bhadada SK, Shetty AJ, Singh B, Vyas A. Vitamin D supplementation and clinical outcomes in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Endocrinol Invest 2022; 45: 53–68.
- Rawat D, Roy A, Maitra S, Shankar V, Khanna P, Baidya DK. "Vitamin D supplementation and COVID-19 treatment: A systematic review and meta-analysis." Diabetes Metab Syndr 2021; 15.
- 7. Holick MF. Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med 2007; 357: 266–81.
- 8. Lohia P, Nguyen P, Patel N, Kapur S. Exploring the link between vitamin D and clinical outcomes in COVID-19. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2021; 320.
- Hu Y, Kung JY, Cave A, Banh HL. Effects of vitamin d serum level on morbidity and mortality in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pharm Pharm Sci 2022; 25: 84–92.
- 10.Wang Z, Joshi A, Leopold K, et al. Association of vitamin D deficiency with COVID-19 infection severity: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf) 2022; 96: 281–7.
- 11. Varikasuvu SR, Thangappazham B, Vykunta A, et al. COVID-19 and vitamin D (Co-VIVID study): a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2022; 20: 907–13.
- 12. Annweiler G, Corvaisier M, Gautier J, et al. Vitamin D supplementation associated to better survival in hospitalized frail elderly COVID-19 patients: the GERIA-COVID quasi-experimental study. Nutrients 2020; 12: 1–12.
- 13. Jain A, Chaurasia R, Sengar NS, Singh M, Mahor S, Narain S. Analysis of vitamin D level among asymptomatic and critically ill COVID-19 patients and its correlation with inflammatory markers. Sci Rep 2020; 10.
- 14.Bassatne A, Basbous M, Chakhtoura M, El Zein O, Rahme M, El-Hajj Fuleihan G. The link between COVID-19 and VItamin D (VIVID): A systematic review and meta-analysis. Metabolism 2021; 119.
- Ranieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, Thompson BT, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA 2012; 307: 2526– 33.
- 16.Karahan S, Katkat F. Impact of Serum 25(OH) Vitamin D Level on Mortality in Patients with COVID-19 in Turkey. Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging 2021; 25: 189–96.
- 17.Ben-Eltriki M, Hopefl R, Wright JM, Deb S. Association between Vitamin D status and risk of developing severe COVID-19 infection: a meta-analysis of observational studies. J Am Nutr Assoc 2022; 41.
- Yosef TM, Saleh SA, Ali SF, Ahmed AE. Vitamin D assessment in patients with COVID-19 virus and correlation with severity. Egypt J Intern Med 2022; 34.
- 19.Zidrou C, Vasiliadis A V, Tsatlidou M, Sentona M, Vogiatzis S, Beletsiotis A. The relationship between vitamin D status and the clinical severity of COVID-19 infection: a retrospective singlecenter analysis. Cureus 2022; 14.
- 20.Herrera-quintana L, Gamarra-morales Y, Vázquez-lorente H, et al. Bad prognosis in critical ill patients with covid-19 during short-term icu stay regarding vitamin d levels. Nutrients 2021; 13.
- 21.Bushnaq T, Algethami F, Qadhi A, et al. The impact of vitamin D status on COVID-19 severity among hospitalized patients in the western region of Saudi Arabia: a retrospective cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2022; 19.

- 22. Radujkovic A, Hippchen T, Tiwari-Heckler S, Dreher S, Boxberger M, Merle U. Vitamin D Deficiency and Outcome of COVID-19 Patients. Nutrients 2020; 12: 1–13.
- Baktash V, Hosack T, Patel N, et al. Vitamin D status and outcomes for hospitalised older patients with COVID-19. Postgrad Med J 2021; 97: 442–7.
- 24. Tentolouris N, Samakidou G, Eleftheriadou I, Tentolouris A, Jude EB. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on mortality and intensive care unit admission of COVID-19 patients. A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 2022; 38.