



Theorems of Second Korovkin Type with respect to Triangular A-Statistical Convergence

Selin Çınar¹

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Sinop University, 57000 Sinop, Türkiye

Article Info

Keywords: Positive linear operator, Korovkin type theorem, Triangular A - statistical convergence.

2010 AMS: 40C05, 41A25, 41A36.

Received: 18 November 2022

Accepted: 23 January 2023

Available online: 28 March 2023

Abstract

This article is a continuation of our previous works. We mainly investigate a Korovkin type theorem for double sequences of positive linear operators defined in the space of all 2π -periodic and real valued continuous functions on the real two-dimensional space with help of the concept of triangular A-statistical convergence, which is a kind of statistical convergence for double real sequences. Also, we analyze the rate of convergence of double operators in this via modulus of continuity.

1. Introduction

Fast [1] (independently, Steinhaus [2]) introduced the concept of statistical convergence, which is an advantageous approach. This concept is studied in various fields and its generalization and properties are investigated. Bardaro et al. [3], introduced the concept of triangular A-statistical convergence which is a variant of statistical convergence in 2015. This new convergence offers another perspective as it is not comparable to statistical convergence. In addition, there are other studies in the literature [4–7].

The Korovkin type theorem has an important place in approximation theory as it enables us to check convergence with minimum calculations [8]. This theorem has been studied by many mathematicians in different spaces and with various types of convergence, with the aim of obtaining more general results [9–20].

Let $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ stands for the space of all 2π -periodic and continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Our main aim in this study is to present a theorem of Korovkin type on $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ in the light of the triangular A-statistical convergence given by Bardaro et al.

Before proceeding we recall some notation on the paper.

A double sequence $x = (x_{m,n})$ is said to be convergent in Pringsheim's sense if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $N = N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of all natural numbers, such that $|x_{m,n} - \iota| < \varepsilon$ whenever $m, n > N$, where ι is called the Pringsheim limit of x and denoted by $P - \lim x = \iota$ (see [21]). We shall call such an x , as P -convergent. By a bounded double sequence we mean there exists a $H > 0$ such that $|x_{m,n}| \leq H$ for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$. It is worthy of note that unlike the single sequences, the double sequence does not have to be bounded. Let $A = (a_{k,l,m,n})$ be a four-dimensional summability matrix. For a given double sequence $x = (x_{m,n})$, the A-transform of x , denoted by $Ax := ((Ax)_{k,l})$, is given by

$$(Ax)_{k,l} = \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{k,l,m,n} x_{m,n}$$

provided the double series converges in Pringsheim's sense for every $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

If two dimensional matrix transformation of a given $x = (x_{m,n})$ sequence preserve $(Ax)_{k,l}$ limit, that is $P - \lim x = \iota$ whenever $P - \lim (Ax)_{k,l} = \iota$ then the matrix $A = (a_{k,l,m,n})$ is called a regular matrix.

Let's remember a four dimensional matrix $A = (a_{k,l,m,n})$ is said to be RH-regular if it maps every bounded P -convergent sequence into a P -convergent sequence with the same P -limit. The well establish characterization of regularity for four-dimensional matrices is known as Robison-Hamilton conditions or RH-regularity (see, [22, 23]) state that a four dimensional matrix $A = (a_{k,l,m,n})$ is RH-regular iff



- (i) $P - \lim_{k,l} a_{k,l,m,n} = 0$ for each $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$,
- (ii) $P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_{k,l,m,n} = 1$,
- (iii) $P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{k,l,m,n}| = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iv) $P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |a_{k,l,m,n}| = 0$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (v) $\sum_{(m,n) \in \mathbb{N}^2} |a_{k,l,m,n}|$ is P -convergent for each $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N}^2$,
- (vi) there exist finite $A, B > 0$ such that $\sum_{m,n > B} |a_{k,l,m,n}| < A$ holds for every $(k, l) \in \mathbb{N}^2$.

Firstly let $A = (a_{k,l,m,n})$ be a non-negative RH -regular summability matrix, and let $K \subset \mathbb{N}^2$. Then A -density of K is given as below

$$\delta_A^2(K) := P - \lim_{k,l} \sum_{(m,n) \in K} a_{k,l,m,n}$$

provided that the limit on the right-hand side exists in Pringsheim's sense. Now recall the definition of A -statistical convergence by considering the concept of A -density. A real double sequence $x = (x_{m,n})$ is said to be A -statistically convergent to a number L if, for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\delta_A^2(\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : |x_{m,n} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}) = 0.$$

At this state, we can show it as $st_A^2 - \lim x = L$. Also, while $P - \lim x = L$, $st_A^2 - \lim x = L$ is true but when $st_A^2 - \lim x = L$ is not always $P - \lim x = L$. Furthermore, the double sequence does not require to be bounded when $st_A^2 - \lim x = L$ is exist.

It is worth noting that now with the special choices of the A matrix in concept of A -statistical convergence for double sequences, the following relations are obtained. If one replaces the matrices A the double Cesàro matrix, then A -statistical convergence coincides to the statistical convergence i.e., $st_{C(1,1)}^2 - \lim x = st^2 - \lim x$ [24].

2. Triangular Statistical Convergence

Let $x = (x_{m,n})$ be a double sequence and suppose that $x = (x_{m,n})$ is neither A -statistical convergent nor convergent in the Pringsheim's sense. On the question of whether a different convergence is considered in such a case, Bardaro et al. introduced the notion of triangular A -statistical convergence in [3]. First, consider the regular matrix for double sequences [3].

The Silverman-Toeplitz conditions, which have an important place in the literature for the regular characterization of the two-dimensional matrix transformation, are as follows (see, for instance, [25]).

- (i) $\|A\| = \sup_m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_{m,n}| < \infty$,
- (ii) $\lim_m a_{m,n} = 0$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (iii) $\lim_m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{m,n} = 1$.

Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be a nonnegative regular summability matrix, K denotes the set $\{(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : n \leq m\}$ and K_m is the m -section of K , i.e., the set of all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $(m, n) \in K$, then we define triangular A -density of K by

$$\delta_A^T(K) := \lim_m \sum_{n \in K_m} a_{m,n}$$

provided that the limit on the right-hand side exists [3].

Also,

- (i) $\delta_A^T(\mathbb{N}^2) = 1$,
- (ii) if $K \subset L$ then $\delta_A^T(K) \leq \delta_A^T(L)$,
- (iii) if K has triangular A -density then $\delta_A^T(\mathbb{N}^2/K) = 1 - \delta_A^T(K)$,

triangular A -density has the above properties.

Definition 2.1 ([3]). Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be a nonnegative regular summability matrix. The number sequence $x = (x_{m,n})$ is triangular A -statistically convergent to l provided that for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_m \sum_{n \in K_m(\varepsilon)} a_{m,n} = 0,$$

where $K_m(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, |x_{m,n} - l| \geq \varepsilon\}$ also written as $st_A^T - \lim x_{m,n} = l$.

The case in which $A = C_1$ the Cesaro matrix of order one reduces to the triangular statistical convergence i.e., $st_A^T - \lim x = st_{C_1}^T - \lim x$. Triangular density $\delta^T(K)$ is given by

$$\delta^T(K) = \lim_m \frac{1}{m} |K_m|$$

or equivalently

$$\delta^T(K) = \lim_m (C_1 \chi_{K_m}(n))_m = \lim_m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{m,n} \chi_{K_m}(n)$$

if it exists. The number sequence $x = (x_{m,n})$ is triangular statistically convergent to ι provided that for every $\epsilon > 0$, the set $K := K_m(\epsilon) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, |x_{m,n} - \iota| \geq \epsilon\}$ if $\delta^T(K_m(\epsilon)) = 0$; then we can write $st^T - \lim x_{m,n} = \iota$.

Let st_A^T be the set of all triangular A -statistically convergent sequences. As we mentioned before, triangular A -statistical convergence is a variant of statistical convergence. Here we give examples showing that these two convergences cannot be compared.

Example 2.2. Let $A = C_1$ and

$$x_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 2, & m = n = j^2 \\ \frac{j}{3(j+1)}, & m = 2j, n = 2j + 1 \\ \frac{2j}{3(j+2)}, & m = 2j - 1, n = 2(j + 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$x = (x_{m,n})$ be given as above. For every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{m} |\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, |x_{m,n} - 0| \geq \epsilon\}| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{j^2}, & m = j^2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, j \in \mathbb{N}$$

clearly,

$$\lim_m \frac{1}{m} |\{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, |x_{m,n} - 0| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

So, we obtain $st_{C_1}^T - \lim x_{m,n} = 0$. Nevertheless, $x = (x_{m,n})$ is not Pringsheim's and $C(1, 1)$ -statistically convergent.

Example 2.3. Take $A = C(1, 1)$ and

$$x_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{mn}, & m = n = j^2 \\ \frac{3}{mn}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$x = (x_{m,n})$ be given as above. Obviously, $st_{C(1,1)}^2 - \lim x_{m,n} = 0$ but x is not Pringsheim's and triangular statistically convergent.

Example 2.4. Let $A = C_1$ and

$$x_{m,n} = \begin{cases} -2, & m = n = j^2 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$x = (x_{m,n})$ be given as above. Similarly, $st_{C_1}^T - \lim x_{m,n} = 0$ and $st_{C(1,1)}^2 - \lim x_{m,n} = 0$.

Example 2.5. Let $A = C_1$ and

$$x_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1, & m = n = j^2 \\ \frac{j}{2j+1}, & m = 2j + 1, n = 2j - 1 \\ \frac{j}{4j+2}, & m = 2j, n = 2(j + 1) \\ k, & m = j^2, n = j^2 + 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

$x = (x_{m,n})$ be given as above. So, we can easily see that $st_{C_1}^T - \lim x_{m,n} = 0$. Neither $x = (x_{m,n})$ is Pringsheim's and $C(1, 1)$ -statistically convergent nor bounded.

Remark 2.6. (i) Triangular statistical convergence and statistical convergence are incompatible; i.e., $st_A^T \not\subseteq st_A^2$ and $st_A^2 \not\subseteq st_A^T$.
 (ii) A P -convergent double sequence is A -statistically convergent and triangular A -statistically convergent to the same value but the inverse implications are not true, i.e., $st_A^2 \not\subseteq c^2$ and $st_A^T \not\subseteq c^2$.

3. A Korovkin-Type Approximation Theorem

In this section using the concept of triangular A -statistical convergence for double sequence and test function 1, *sins*, *cos*, *sint*, *cost*, we provide a Korovkin type theorem for positive linear operators on the space $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

If a function f on \mathbb{R}^2 has a 2π -period, then, for all $(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$f(s, t) = f(s + 2k\pi, t) = f(s, t + 2k\pi)$$

holds for $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$. This space is equipped with the supremum norm

$$\|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \sup_{(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2} |f(s, t)|, \left(f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2) \right).$$

Theorem 3.1 ([26]). Let $A = (a_{k,l,m,n})$ be a non-negative RH-regular summability matrix and let $(L_{m,n})$ be a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, for all $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$st_A^2 - \lim \|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0$$

iff the following statements hold:

$$st_A^2 - \lim \|L_{m,n}(f_r) - f_r\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4,$$

where $f_0(s,t) = 1$, $f_1(s,t) = \sin s$, $f_2(s,t) = \sin t$, $f_3(s,t) = \cos s$ and $f_4(s,t) = \cos t$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be a nonnegative regular summability matrix and $(L_{m,n})$ be a double sequence of positive linear operators from $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, for all $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$st_A^T - \lim_m \|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

iff the following statements hold:

$$st_A^T - \lim_m \|L_{m,n}(f_r) - f_r\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0, \quad \text{for every } r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \tag{3.2}$$

where $f_0(s,t) = 1$, $f_1(s,t) = \sin s$, $f_2(s,t) = \sin t$, $f_3(s,t) = \cos s$ and $f_4(s,t) = \cos t$.

Proof. Under the hypotheses, since 1, $\sin s$, $\cos s$, $\sin t$ and $\cos t$ belong to $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the necessity is clear. Suppose that (3.2) hold and let $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and D, F be closed subinterval of length 2π of \mathbb{R} . Fix $(s,t) \in D \times F$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [17], it follows from the continuity of f that

$$|f(u,v) - f(s,t)| < \varepsilon + \frac{2M_f}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \varphi(u,v)$$

which gives,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{m,n}(f; s,t) - f(s,t)| &\leq L_{m,n}(|f(u,v) - f(s,t)|; s,t) + |f(s,t)| |L_{m,n}(f_0; s) - f_0(s,t)| \\ &\leq \left| L_{m,n} \left(\varepsilon + \frac{2M_f}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \varphi(u,v); s,t \right) \right| + M_f |L_{m,n}(f_0; s) - f_0(s,t)| \\ &\leq (\varepsilon + M_f) |L_{m,n}(f_0; s) - f_0(s,t)| + \frac{M_f}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}} \{2 |L_{m,n}(f_0; s) - f_0(s,t)| \\ &\quad + |\sin x| |L_{m,n}(f_1; s,t) - f_1(s,t)| + |\sin y| |L_{m,n}(f_2; s,t) - f_2(s,t)| \\ &\quad + |\cos x| |L_{m,n}(f_3; s,t) - f_3(s,t)| + |\cos t| |L_{m,n}(f_4; s,t) - f_4(s,t)|\} + \varepsilon \\ &< \varepsilon + N \sum_{r=0}^4 |L_{m,n}(f_r; s) - f_r(s,t)| \end{aligned}$$

where $M_f = \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)}$, $\varphi(u,v) = \sin^2 \frac{u-s}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v-t}{2}$ and $N := \varepsilon + M_f + \frac{2M_f}{\sin^2 \frac{\delta}{2}}$. Then, taking supremum over $(s,t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we obtain

$$\|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} < \varepsilon + N \sum_{r=0}^4 \|L_{m,n}(f_r) - f_r\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)}. \tag{3.3}$$

Now given $\varepsilon' > 0$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\varepsilon < \varepsilon'$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} D_m &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \varepsilon' \right\}, \\ D_m^r &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \|L_{m,n}(f_r) - f_r\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon' - \varepsilon}{5N} \right\}, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy see that from (3.3)

$$D_m \subseteq \bigcup_{r=0}^4 D_m^r.$$

Hence, we may write

$$\sum_{n \in D_m} a_{m,n} \leq \sum_{m=0}^4 \sum_{n \in D_m^r} a_{m,n}.$$

Now taking the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, (3.2) yield the result. \square

Example 3.3. We consider the following the double sequence of Fejer operators on $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\sigma_{m,n}(f; s, t) = \frac{1}{(m\pi)(n\pi)} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(u, v) F_m(u) F_n(v) dudv \tag{3.4}$$

where $F_m(u) = \frac{\sin^2 \frac{m(u-s)}{2}}{2 \sin^2 \frac{u-s}{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} F_m(u) du = 1$. Analyze this

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m,n}(f_0; s, t) &= f_0(s, t), \quad \sigma_{m,n}(f_1; s, t) = \frac{m-1}{m} f_1(s, t), \\ \sigma_{m,n}(f_2; s, t) &= \frac{n-1}{n} f_2(s, t), \quad \sigma_{m,n}(f_3; s, t) = \frac{m-1}{m} f_3(s, t), \\ \sigma_{m,n}(f_4; s, t) &= \frac{n-1}{n} f_4(s, t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Let $A = C_1$ and define a double sequence $(u_{m,n})$ by

$$u_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1, & m = n = k^2 \\ \frac{k}{3(k+1)}, & m = 2k + 1, n = 2k - 1 \\ \frac{k}{2(k+1)}, & m = 2k, n = 2(k + 1) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.6}$$

In this case, observe that

$$st_{C_1}^T - \lim_m u_{m,n} = 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Nevertheless, the sequence $(u_{m,n})$ is not statistically convergent. Also using (3.4) and (3.6), we define the following double positive linear operators on $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as follows:

$$L_{m,n}(f; s, t) = (1 + u_{m,n}) \sigma_{m,n}(f; s, t). \tag{3.8}$$

Then, observe that the double sequence of positive linear operators $(L_{m,n})$ defined by (3.8) satisfy all hypotheses of Theorem 3.2. Therefore, by (3.5) and (3.7), we have, for all $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$st_A^T - \lim_m \|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0.$$

Since $(u_{m,n})$ is not statistically convergent, the Theorem 3.1 does not work for our operators $(L_{m,n})$ defined by (3.8).

Example 3.4. Fejer operators be the same in Example 3.3. Now let $A = C(1, 1)$ and define a double sequence $(\beta_{m,n})$ by

$$\beta_{m,n} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{mn}, & m = n = k^2, \\ \frac{1}{mn}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.9}$$

Obviously

$$st_{C(1,1)}^2 - \lim_{m,n} \beta_{m,n} = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

Combing (3.4) and (3.9), we define the following positive linear operators on $C(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as follows:

$$L_{m,n}(f; s, t) = (1 + \beta_{m,n}) \sigma_{m,n}(f; s, t). \tag{3.11}$$

So, by the Theorem 3.1 and (3.10), we are seeing this

$$st_A^2 - \lim_{m,n} \|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = 0.$$

Also, since $(\beta_{m,n})$ is not triangular statistical convergent, here we can explain that the Korovkin theorem in triangular statistical sense does not work for operators defined by (3.11).

4. Rate of Triangular A-Statistical Convergence

Definition 4.1 ([3]). Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be a nonnegative regular summability matrix and let (α_m) be a positive non-increasing sequence. A double sequence $x = (x_{m,n})$ is triangular A-statistically convergent to a number ι with the rate of $o(\alpha_m)$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_m \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \sum_{n \in K_m(\epsilon)} a_{m,n} = 0,$$

where

$$K_m(\epsilon) := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, |x_{m,n} - \iota| \geq \epsilon \}.$$

We may write

$$x_{m,n} - \iota = st_A^T - o(\alpha_m) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Definition 4.2 ([3]). Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ and (α_m) be the same as in Definition 4.1. Then, a double sequence $x = (x_{m,n})$ is triangular A -statistically bounded with the rate of $O(\alpha_m)$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sup_m \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \sum_{n \in L_m(\varepsilon)} a_{m,n} < \infty,$$

where

$$L_m(\varepsilon) := \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, |x_{m,n}| \geq \varepsilon\}.$$

In this case, we write $x_{m,n} = st_A^T - O(\alpha_m)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

We now use the modulus of continuity $\omega(f; \delta)$, expressed as below:

$$\omega(f; \delta) := \sup \left\{ |f(u, v) - f(s, t)| : (u, v), (s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \sqrt{(u-s)^2 + (v-t)^2} \leq \delta \right\}$$

where $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $\delta > 0$. We will use the fundamental inequality to obtain our main result, for all $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and for $\lambda, \delta > 0$,

$$\omega(f; \lambda \delta) \leq (1 + [\lambda]) \omega(f; \delta) \quad (4.1)$$

where $[\lambda]$ is defined to be the greatest integer less than or equal to λ .

To obtain our main result we require the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Let $(L_{m,n})$ be a double sequence of positive linear operators acting from $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ into itself and let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be a nonnegative regular summability matrix, and let (α_m) and (β_m) be positive non-increasing sequences. Then, for all $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = st_A^T - o(\gamma_m), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty, \text{ with } \gamma_m := \max\{\alpha_m, \beta_m\} \text{ for each } m \in \mathbb{N}$$

provided that the following conditions hold:

(i) $\|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = st_A^T - o(\alpha_m)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, with $f_0(u, v) = 1$,

(ii) $\omega(f; \delta_{m,n}) = st_A^T - o(\beta_m)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where $\delta_{m,n} := \sqrt{\|L_{m,n}(\Psi)\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)}}$ with $\Psi(u, v) = \sin^2 \frac{u-s}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v-t}{2}$ for each $(s, t), (u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Also, analogue results holds when the symbol “ o ” is replaced by “ O ”.

Proof. To express it, we first assume that $(s, t) \in [-\pi, \pi] \times [-\pi, \pi]$ and $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be fixed, and that (i) and (ii) hold. Let $\delta > 0$. Also, it is as in the the proof Theorem 9 in [26]. Using the definition of modulus of continuity and the linearity and the positivity of the operators $L_{m,n}$ for all $(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |L_{m,n}(f; s, t) - f(s, t)| &\leq L_{m,n}(|f(u, v) - f(s, t)|; s, t) + |f(s, t)| |L_{m,n}(f_0; s, t) - f_0(s, t)| \\ &\leq \omega(f; \delta) L_{m,n}(f_0; s, t) + \pi^2 \frac{\omega(f; \delta)}{\delta^2} L_{m,n}(\Psi; s, t) + |f(s, t)| |L_{m,n}(f_0; s, t) - f_0(s, t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over (s, t) on the both-sides of the above inequality and $\delta := \delta_{m,n} := \sqrt{\|L_{m,n}(\Psi)\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)}}$, then we get

$$\|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \omega(f; \delta) \|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} + (1 + \pi^2) \omega(f; \delta) + M \|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \quad (4.2)$$

where the quantity $M := \|f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ is a finite number since $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} D_m &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \varepsilon \right\}, \\ D_m^1 &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \omega(f; \delta) \|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} \right\}, \\ D_m^2 &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \omega(f; \delta) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3(1 + \pi^2)} \right\}, \\ D_m^3 &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3M} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, thanks to (4.2) that $D_m \subset D_m^1 \cup D_m^2 \cup D_m^3$. Also, defining

$$\begin{aligned} D_m^4 &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \omega(f; \delta) \geq \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \right\}, \\ D_m^5 &:= \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \leq m, \|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} \geq \sqrt{\frac{\varepsilon}{3}} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

we have $D_m^1 \subset D_m^4 \cup D_m^5$, which yields

$$D_m \subseteq \bigcup_{r=2}^5 D_m^r.$$

Therefore, since $\gamma_m := \max \{ \alpha_m, \beta_m \}$, we get the result for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_m} \sum_{n \in D_m} a_{m,n} \leq \frac{1}{\beta_m} \sum_{n \in D_m^2} a_{m,n} + \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \sum_{n \in D_m^3} a_{m,n} + \frac{1}{\beta_m} \sum_{n \in D_m^4} a_{m,n} + \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \sum_{n \in D_m^5} a_{m,n}. \tag{4.3}$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of (4.3), we get

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \sum_{n \in D_m} a_{m,n} = 0.$$

Thus ends the proof. □

Now, having experienced from Theorem 4.3, we can introduce the ordinary rates of convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators defined on the space $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Firstly, we should point out if we choose $\alpha_m = \beta_m = 1$ for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then Theorem 3.2 is get from Theorem 4.3 at once. So our theorem gives us the rate of triangular A -statistical convergence in Theorem 3.2.

5. An Application to Theorem 4.3

Let $A = (a_{m,n})$ be a nonnegative regular summability matrix. Then, we consider the following operators defined by (3.8) on $C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$:

$$L_{m,n}(f; s, t) = (1 + u_{m,n}) \sigma_{m,n}(f; s, t). \tag{5.1}$$

Then, we take $A = C_1 := (c_{m,n})$, the Cesàro matrix. Then, setting $(\alpha_m) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}})$, we get, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_m} \sum_{n: |u_{i,j}| \geq \varepsilon} c_{m,n} = \sqrt{m} \sum_{n: |u_{m,n}| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{m}}{m} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{m}}. \tag{5.2}$$

Taking the limit as $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.2), we get, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_m} \sum_{n: |u_{m,n}| \geq \varepsilon} c_{m,n} = 0$$

which gives,

$$u_{m,n} = st_A^T - o(\frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5.3}$$

Also, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} L_{m,n}(f_0; s, t) &= (1 + u_{m,n}), \\ L_{m,n}(f_1; s, t) &= (1 + u_{m,n}) \frac{m-1}{m} f_1(s, t), \\ L_{m,n}(f_2; s, t) &= (1 + u_{m,n}) \frac{n-1}{n} f_2(s, t), \\ L_{m,n}(f_3; s, t) &= (1 + u_{m,n}) \frac{m-1}{m} f_3(s, t), \\ L_{m,n}(f_4; s, t) &= (1 + u_{m,n}) \frac{n-1}{n} f_4(s, t), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_0(s, t) = 1$, $f_1(s, t) = \sin s$, $f_2(s, t) = \sin t$, $f_3(s, t) = \cos s$ and $f_4(s, t) = \cos t$. Since $\|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = u_{m,n}$, we obtain from (5.3)

$$\|L_{m,n}(f_0) - f_0\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = st_A^T - o(\alpha_m) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5.4}$$

Now, we calculate the quantity $L_{m,n}(\Psi; s, t)$, where $\Psi(u, v) = \sin^2 \frac{u-s}{2} + \sin^2 \frac{v-t}{2}$. After some calculations, we have

$$L_{m,n}(\Psi; s, t) = \frac{1 + u_{m,n}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right).$$

So, we get $\delta_{m,n} := \sqrt{\|L_{m,n}(\Psi)\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)}} = \sqrt{\frac{1+u_{m,n}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n} \right)}$. In this case, setting $(\beta_m) = (\frac{1}{\sqrt[4]{m}})$, we have, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{\beta_m} \sum_{n: |\delta_{m,n}| \geq \varepsilon} c_{k,l,m,n} = \sqrt[4]{m} \sum_{n: |\delta_{m,n}| \geq \varepsilon} \frac{1}{m} \leq \frac{2\sqrt[4]{m}}{m} = \frac{2}{\sqrt[4]{m^3}}$$

which gives that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta_m} \sum_{n: |\delta_{m,n}| \geq \varepsilon} c_{k,l,m,n} = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain $\delta_{m,n} = st_{C_1}^T - o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m}}\right)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. By the uniform continuity of f on \mathbb{R}^2 , we can write as follows:

$$\omega(f; \delta_{m,n}) = st_{C_1}^T - o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m}}\right) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty. \quad (5.5)$$

Then, the sequence of positive linear operators $(L_{m,n})$ satisfy all hypotheses of Theorem 4.3 from (5.4) and (5.5). So, we have, for all $f \in C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\|L_{m,n}(f) - f\|_{C^*(\mathbb{R}^2)} = st_{C_1}^T - o\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{m}}\right) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Article Information

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to express their sincere thanks to the editor and the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Author's contributions: All authors contributed equally to the writing of this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure: No potential conflict of interest was declared by the author.

Copyright Statement: Authors own the copyright of their work published in the journal and their work is published under the CC BY-NC 4.0 license.

Supporting/Supporting Organizations: No grants were received from any public, private or non-profit organizations for this research.

Ethical Approval and Participant Consent: It is declared that during the preparation process of this study, scientific and ethical principles were followed and all the studies benefited from are stated in the bibliography.

Plagiarism Statement: This article was scanned by the plagiarism program. No plagiarism detected.

Availability of data and materials: Not applicable.

References

- [1] H. Fast, *Sur la convergence statistique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 241-244.
- [2] H. Steinhaus, *Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique*, Colloq. Math. **2** (1951), 73-74.
- [3] C. Bardaro, A. Boccuto, K. Demirci, I. Mantellini, S. Orhan, *Triangular A-statistical approximation by double sequences of positive linear operators*, Results in Mathematics, **68** (2015), 271-291.
- [4] C. Bardaro, A. Boccuto, K. Demirci, I. Mantellini, S. Orhan, *Korovkin-Type Theorems for Modular Ψ -A-Statistical Convergence*, Journal of Function Spaces, **2015** (2015), 1-11.
- [5] K. Demirci, F. Dirik, P. Okçu, *Approximation in Triangular Statistical Sense to B-Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators*, Annals of the Alexandru Ioan Cuza University-Mathematics, **63**(3) (2017).
- [6] S. Çınar, *Triangular A-statistical relative uniform convergence for double sequences of positive linear operator*, Facta Universitatis. Series: Mathematics and Informatics, (2021) 065-077.
- [7] S. Çınar, S. Yıldız, K. Demirci, *Korovkin type approximation via triangular A-statistical convergence on an infinite interval*, Turkish Journal of Mathematics **45**(2) (2021), 929-942.
- [8] P. P. Korovkin, *Linear Operators and Approximation Theory*, Hindustan Publ. Co., Delhi, 1960.
- [9] C. Bardaro, I. Mantellini, *Korovkin's theorem in modular spaces*, Commentationes Math. **47** (2007), 239-253.
- [10] K. Demirci, A. Boccuto, S. Yıldız, F. Dirik, *Relative uniform convergence of a sequence of functions at a point and Korovkin-type approximation theorems*, Positivity, **24**(1) (2020), 1-11.
- [11] K. Demirci, S. Orhan, *Statistically relatively uniform convergence of positive linear operators*, Results Math., **69** (2016), 359-367.
- [12] K. Demirci, S. Orhan, B. Kolay, *Relative Hemen Hemen Yakınsaklık ve Yaklaşım Teoremleri*, Sinop Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Dergisi, **1**(2) (2016), 114-122.
- [13] K. Demirci, S. Yıldız, F. Dirik, *Approximation via power series method in two-dimensional weighted spaces*, Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, **43**(6) (2020), 3871-3883.
- [14] K. Demirci, F. Dirik, *Approximation for periodic functions via statistical σ -convergence*, Mathematical Communications, **16**(1) (2011), 77-84.
- [15] K. Demirci, F. Dirik, S. Yıldız, *Approximation via equi-statistical convergence in the sense of power series method*, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, **116**(2) (2022), 1-13.
- [16] O. Duman, *Statistical approximation for periodic functions*, Demons. Math., **36**(4) (2003), 873-878.
- [17] O. Duman, E. Erkuş, *Approximation of continuous periodic functions via statistical convergence*, Comput. Math. Appl., **52** (2006) 967-974.
- [18] O. Duman, M. K. Khan, C. Orhan, *A-statistical convergence of approximating operators*, Math. Inequal. Appl., **6** (2003) 689-699.
- [19] A. D. Gadjiev, C. Orhan, *Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **32** (2002), 129-138.
- [20] M. Ünver, C. Orhan, *Statistical convergence with respect to power series methods and applications to approximation theory*, Numerical Functional Analysis and Optimization, **40**(5) (2019), 535-547.
- [21] A. Pringsheim, *Zur theorie der zweifach unendlichen zahlenfolgen*, Math. Ann., **53** (1900), 289-321.
- [22] H.J. Hamilton, *Transformations of multiple sequences*, Duke Math. J., **2** (1936), 29-60.
- [23] G.M. Robison, *Divergent double sequences and series*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **28** (1926), 50-73.
- [24] F. Moricz, *Statistical convergence of multiple sequences*, Arch. Math. (Basel), **81** (2004), 82-89.
- [25] G.H. Hardy, *Divergent Series*, Oxford Univ. Press, London, 1949.
- [26] K. Demirci, F. Dirik, *Four-dimensional matrix transformation and rate of A-statistical convergence of periodic functions*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **52** (2010), 1858-1866.