# JOURNAL OF CONTEMPORARY MEDICINE

DOI:10.16899/jcm.1221630 J Contemp Med 2023;13(3):418-425

**Original Article / Orijinal Araştırma** 



# Evaluation of Personal Protective Behaviors Among Healthcare Workers After Receiving COVID-19 Vaccination

# COVID-19 Aşısı Yaptıran Sağlık Çalışanlarının Kişisel Koruyucu Davranışlarının Değerlendirilmesi

### <sup>®</sup>Zeynep Gümüşkanat Tabur<sup>1</sup>, <sup>®</sup>Tuğba Güler Sönmez<sup>2</sup>, <sup>®</sup>Hamit Harun Bağcı<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Health Sciences, Bursa City Hospital, Department of Anesthesiology and Reanimation, Bursa, Turkey <sup>2</sup>Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health, General Directorate of Public Health Ankara, Turkey <sup>3</sup>Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health Ankara, Turkey

### Abstract

**Aim**: The aim of the study was to evaluate personal protective behaviors after COVID-19 vaccination in healthcare workers.

**Material and Method**: This cross-sectional study was conducted with healthcare workers (physician, dentist, midwife, nurse, health officer or emergency medicine technician) in Bursa City Hospital between 01.09.2021 and 01.09.2022. Data was collected with a questionnaire form which was sent to participants via an instant messaging application or email.

**Results**: All participants had received at least one dose of a COVID-19 vaccine and 31.0% had had experienced at least one COVID-19 infection. It was determined that while the use of N95 masks in the workplace (p<0.001) and in daily life (p<0.001) decreased following vaccination among healthcare workers, the use of three-layer surgical masks increased. The great majority did not alter behaviors after vaccination. The frequency of being present in crowded places was higher in those without a history of COVID-19 (p=0.023). In the multivariable regression analysis, a reported 'decrease' in the number of masks used in the workplace was associated with profession (those other than Nurse/Midwife/Health officer) and smoking status (non-smokers) (p=0.001 and p=0.025, respectively).

**Conclusions**: It can be said that healthcare professionals maintain their personal protective behaviors in the hospital and in daily life even after receiving COVID-19 vaccination. Of note, healthcare workers other than Nurse/Midwife/Health officer and non-smokers had a higher likelihood of reporting a decrease in the number of masks they were using in the workplace.

**Keywords**: Pandemic, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 vaccines, personal protective equipment

## Öz

**Amaç**: Çalışmanın amacı, sağlık çalışanlarında COVID-19 aşılaması sonrası kişisel koruyucu kullanım davranışların değerlendirilmesidir.

**Gereç ve Yöntem**: Bu kesitsel araştırma, 01.09.2021-01.09.2022 tarihleri arasında Bursa Şehir Hastanesinde sağlık çalışanları (hekim, diş hekimi, ebe, hemşire, sağlık memuru veya acil tıp teknisyeni) ile yapılmıştır. Veriler anket formu ile toplanmış ve bir anlık mesajlaşma uygulaması veya e-posta yoluyla katılımcılara gönderilmiştir.

**Bulgular**: Tüm katılımcılar en az bir doz COVID-19 aşısı almıştı ve %31.0'ı en az bir COVID-19 enfeksiyonu geçirmişti. Sağlık çalışanlarında aşılama sonrası işyerinde (p<0.001) ve günlük hayatta (p<0.001) N95 maske kullanımı azalırken, üç katlı cerrahi maske kullanımının arttığı belirlendi. Büyük çoğunluk aşılamadan sonra davranışlarını değiştirmedi. COVID-19 öyküsü olmayanlarda kalabalık ortamlarda bulunma sıklığı daha yüksekti (p=0.023). Çok değişkenli regresyon analizinde işyerinde kullanılan maske sayısında bildirilen 'azalma' meslek (Hemşire/Ebe/Sağlık memuru dışındakiler) ve sigara içme durumu (sigara içmeyenler) ile ilişkilendirildi (p=0.001 ve p= sırasıyla 0.025).

**Sonuç**: Sağlık profesyonellerinin hastanede ve günlük yaşamda kişisel koruyucu davranışlarını COVID-19 aşısı olduktan sonra bile sürdürdükleri söylenebilir. Hemşire/Ebe/Sağlık memuru ve sigara içmeyenler dışındaki sağlık çalışanlarının işyerinde kullandıkları maske sayısında azalma bildirme olasılıklarının daha yüksek olduğunu belirtmek gerekir.

Anahtar Kelimeler: Pandemi, SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 aşıları, kişisel koruyucu ekipman

Corresponding (*iletişim*): Tuğba Güler Sönmez, Republic of Turkey Ministry of Health, General Directorate of Public Health Ankara, TURKEY E-mail (*E-posta*): gulertugba@hotmail.com Received (*Geliş Tarihi*): 20.12.2022 Accepted (*Kabul Tarihi*): 02.02.2023



#### INTRODUCTION

The cause of COVID-19, declared a pandemic by the World Health Organization (WHO) on 11.03.2022, is the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2).<sup>[1]</sup> The global crisis caused by COVID-19 can still be considered one of the largest public health crises, well into its third year.<sup>[2,3]</sup> As of 12.10.2022, there are 605,912,418 confirmed cases of COVID-19, including 6,491,649 deaths reported worldwide. In Türkiye, there are 16,829,941 confirmed cases, with 100,979 deaths.<sup>[4]</sup>

COVID-19 pandemic has prompted scientists and public health officials around the world to rapidly improve our knowledge of this disease and develop new measures. Vaccines are the most effective long-term strategy to control and prevent the COVID-19 pandemic.<sup>[2]</sup> According to WHO data, 12,589,972,108 doses of COVID-19 vaccine were administered worldwide and a total of 152,059,687 doses were administered in Türkiye (as of September 2022). <sup>[4]</sup> Alongside vaccines, multi-layered interventions, which also include preventive measures to reduce the spread of COVID-19, are important in pandemic control.<sup>[2,5]</sup> Since the beginning of the pandemic, those who perceive SARS-CoV-2 as a threat have implemented preventive measures against the disease, such as frequent testing, contact tracing, vaccination programs and personal protective measures (hand cleaning, physical distancing, wearing masks, etc.).<sup>[6]</sup>

"Peltzman Effect" is about individuals' respond to safety measures with a compensatory increase in risky behavior. <sup>[7-9]</sup> There is not enough evidence yet on the behavioral responses of society to preventive measures after COVID-19 vaccination. While a high vaccination rate is critical to end the pandemic, increased vigilance in infectious cases and reduced preventive measures due to a heightened sense of perceived security could lead to an alarming increase in cases.<sup>[10]</sup> The aim of the study was to evaluate the PPB of healthcare workers after receiving COVID-19 vaccine(s).

#### MATERIAL AND METHOD

This cross-sectional study was carried out with healthcare professionals at Bursa City Hospital between 01.09.2021 and 01.09.2022. Ethics committee approval was obtained from Bursa City Hospital Ethics Committee (Date: 22.09.2021, Decision No: 2021-17/5).

Healthcare professionals (physicians, dentists, midwives, nurses, health officers or emergency medicine technicians) were included in the study group. The questionnaire form was distributed through an instant messaging application and e-mail groups and applied between 7.10.2021 and 31.11.2021 via Google forms. In the questionnaire, sociodemographic characteristics, employment status, medical history, COVID-19 vaccination history and personal protective equipment (PPE) usage were asked. Smoking

status, chronic diseases, isolation measures, and type of masks used (none, cloth mask, surgical masks, respirators -referred to as N95) were also gathered. According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the N95 mask is the type of mask that filters at least 95% of airborne particles.[11] Participants were asked about their PPB (handwashing frequency, daily mask count, disinfectant usage, exposure to crowds) and their usage of PPE before and after vaccination. To make comparisons, they were expected to provide a relative response regarding their post-vaccination behaviors compared to their prevaccination behaviors (decreased, same, increased). Perception of safety following vaccination was scored on a Likert scale, '0' indicated that they did not feel safe at all, while '5' indicated absolute certainty of safety. In the preparation of the guestions, COVID-19 guide prepared by the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Türkiye was used. [12]

The COVID-19 vaccine used for the first time in Türkiye was administered to healthcare personnel and then population aged 65 and over.<sup>[13]</sup> According to the most recently updated data (25.09.2022), 93.32% of the population had received at least a single dose of either vaccine, while completed (two doses) vaccination was reported as 85.64%.<sup>[14]</sup>

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (IBM Corp. Released 2017. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 25.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). For the normality check, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used. Data are given as median (1<sup>st</sup> guartile-3<sup>rd</sup> guartile) for continuous variables according to non-normality of distribution, and as frequency (percentage) for categorical variables. Mask type before and after vaccination were analyzed with the marginal homogeneity test. Between groups analyses were performed with the chi-square test. Logistic regression analyses were performed to determine significant factors independently associated with the decrease in mask usage frequency at work. Variables were analyzed with univariate regression analysis and those with statistical significance were included into the multivariate model. The statistical significance threshold was accepted as p < 0.05.

#### RESULTS

Among participants, 81.8% were female and 18.2% were male, median age was 35 (22-62). The frequency of experiencing COVID-19 at least once was 31.0% and the frequency of direct contact with COVID-19 cases was 83.0%. The entire research group had received at least one dose of vaccine. The frequency of four doses of vaccine in the study group was 21.9%. The frequency of healthcare professionals feeling "safe" after vaccination was 91.4% (**Table 1**).

Table 1. Summary of participants' characteristics a	ind vaccination status
Age, years, (range 22-62)	35 (27-43)
Sex	
Female	477 (81.8%)
Male	106 (18.2%)
Marital status	
Married	388 (66.6%)
Single	195 (33.4%)
Occupation	
Physician	83 (14.2%)
Nurse/Midwife/Health officer	421 (72.2%)
Other medical personnel	79 (13.6%)
Duration of employment, years (range: 0.75-39)	12 (3-20)
Smoking status	
Non-smoker	344 (59.0%)
Ex-smoker	65 (11.1%)
Smoker	174 (29.8%)
Chronic disease	149 (25.6%)
Diabetes mellitus	19 (3.3%)
Hypertension	38 (6.5%)
COPD	3 (0.5%)
Asthma	34 (5.8%)
Heart diseases	17 (2.9%)
Malignancy	8 (1.4%)
Other	82 (14 1%)
COVID-19 infection history	02 (14.170)
None	402 (69.0%)
One time	174 (20.8%)
Two times	7 (1 20%)
Isolation due to contact	7 (1.270)
Divert contect with petients	154 (25.0%)
	484 (83.0%)
Loses of COVID-19 vaccination	15 (2 (0/)
	15 (2.6%)
2	145 (24.9%)
3	295 (50.6%)
	128 (21.9%)
COVID-19 vaccination (1st dose)	a (a aa()
No	0 (0.0%)
Biontech	59 (10.1%)
Sinovac	524 (89.9%)
COVID-19 vaccination (2nd dose)	
No	15 (2.6%)
Biontech	58 (9.9%)
Sinovac	510 (87.5%)
COVID-19 vaccination (3rd dose)	
No	160 (27.4%)
Biontech	367 (63.0%)
Sinovac	56 (9.6%)
COVID-19 vaccination (4th dose)	
No	455 (78.0%)
Biontech	122 (20.9%)
Sinovac	6 (1.0%)
Type of vaccine received	
Only Biontech	55 (9.4%)
Only Sinovac	154 (26.4%)
Both	374 (64.2%)
Feeling "safe" after vaccination	
0 (Not at all)	50 (8.6%)
1	34 (5.8%)
2	93 (16.0%)
3	201 (34.5%)
4	139 (23.8%)
5 (Absolutely)	66 (11.3%)
Data are given as median (1st quartile-3rd quartile) for continuous	s variables according to non-
normality of distribution and as frequency (percentage) for categorica COPD: Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	l variables

It was determined that three people before the vaccination and two people after the vaccination did not use masks. Cloth mask usage frequency before vaccination was 6.0%, while it was 6.9% after vaccination. It was determined that while the use of N95 masks decreased in the workplace (p<0.001) and daily life (p<0.001) after vaccination, the use of three-layer surgical masks increased (**Table 2**).

Table 2. Type of mask before and after vaccination				
	Before vaccination	After vaccination	р	
At work				
None	3 (0.5%)	2 (0.3%)	.0.001	
Cloth mask	35 (6.0%)	40 (6.9%)		
Surgical mask	374 (64.2%)	415 (71.2%)	<0.001	
Respirators (N95, etc.)	171 (29.3%)	126 (21.6%)		
Daily life				
None	7 (1.2%)	9 (1.5%)		
Cloth mask	45 (7.7%)	49 (8.4%)	-0.001	
Surgical mask	460 (78.9%)	483 (82.8%)	<0.001	
Respirators (N95, etc.)	71 (12.2%)	42 (7.2%)		
Data are given as frequency (pe	ercentage)			

After vaccination, the vast majority of healthcare workers continued their behavior of washing hands at work, washing hands in daily life, using masks at work, using masks in daily life, using disinfectants at work, and using disinfectants in daily life. Most importantly, only a marginal proportion of participants reported a decrease in these protective measures after vaccination (**Table 3**).

Table 3. Change in protective behaviors after vaccination		
Handwashing frequency at work		
Decreased	18 (3.1%)	
Same	461 (79.1%)	
Increased	104 (17.8%)	
Handwashing frequency in daily life		
Decreased	20 (3.4%)	
Same	461 (79.1%)	
Increased	102 (17.5%)	
Number of masks used in work		
Decreased	47 (8.1%)	
Same	428 (73.4%)	
Increased	108 (18.5%)	
Number of masks used in daily life		
Decreased	45 (7.7%)	
Same	441 (75.6%)	
Increased	97 (16.6%)	
Disinfectant usage frequency at work		
Decreased	62 (10.6%)	
Same	419 (71.9%)	
Increased	102 (17.5%)	
Disinfectant usage frequency in daily life		
Decreased	72 (12.3%)	
Same	416 (71.4%)	
Increased	95 (16.3%)	
Frequency of being present in crowded places		
Decreased	69 (11.8%)	
Same	365 (62.6%)	
Increased	149 (25.6%)	
Data are given as frequency (percentage)		

There was no relationship between feeling safe after vaccination and having a chronic disease (p=0.940). The distribution of mask types used in the workplace (p=0.818) and in daily life (p=0.753) after vaccination was similar between those with and without chronic disease. There was no relationship between having a chronic disease and handwashing frequency at work (p=0.969), handwashing frequency in daily life (p=0.890), number of masks used at work (p=0.691), number of masks used in daily life (p=0.842), disinfectant usage frequency at work (p=0.792), disinfectant usage frequency in daily life (p=0.906) (**Table 4**).

Table 4. Summary of protective behaviors after vaccination with regard to chronic disease			
	Chronic disease		
	Absent (n=434)	Present (n=149)	р
Feeling "safe" after vaccinati	on		
0 (Not at all)	37 (8.5%)	13 (8.7%)	
1	27 (6.2%)	7 (4.7%)	
2	67 (15.4%)	26 (17.4%)	0.040
3	152 (35.0%)	49 (32.9%)	0.940
4	104 (24.0%)	35 (23.5%)	
5 (Absolutely)	47 (10.8%)	19 (12.8%)	
Type of mask, at work			
None	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.7%)	
Cloth mask	31 (7.1%)	9 (6.0%)	
Surgical mask	307 (70.7%)	108 (72.5%)	0.818
Respirators (N95, etc.)	95 (21.9%)	31 (20.8%)	
Type of mask, daily life	20 (211270)	01 (2010 /0)	
None	6 (1.4%)	3 (2.0%)	
Cloth mask	38 (8 8%)	11 (7 4%)	
Surgical mask	361 (83 2%)	122 (81 9%)	0.753
Bespirators (N95 etc.)	29 (6 7%)	13 (8 7%)	
Handwashing frequency at	work	13 (0.770)	
Decreased	13 (3 0%)	5 (3 4%)	
Samo	242 (70,004)	119 (70 20/2)	0.060
Increased	79 (19 00%)	26 (17 40/)	0.909
Handwashing frequency in	70 (10.070) daily life	20 (17.4%)	
Decreased	15 (2 504)	E (2 40/)	
Decreased	15 (3.5%)	5 (3.4%)	0.000
Same	345 (79.5%)	110 (77.9%)	0.890
Increased	74 (17.1%)	28 (18.8%)	
Number of masks used in w	Ork 27 (0.5%)	10 (6 70()	
Decreased	37 (8.5%)	10 (6.7%)	0.001
Same	315 (72.6%)	113 (75.8%)	0.691
Increased	82 (18.9%)	26 (17.4%)	
Number of masks used in da	aily life		
Decreased	35 (8.1%)	10 (6.7%)	
Same	328 (75.6%)	113 (75.8%)	0.842
Increased	71 (16.4%)	26 (17.4%)	
Disinfectant usage frequence	cy at work		
Decreased	44 (10.1%)	18 (12.1%)	
Same	313 (72.1%)	106 (71.1%)	0.792
Increased	77 (17.7%)	25 (16.8%)	
Disinfectant usage frequence	cy in daily life		
Decreased	54 (12.4%)	18 (12.1%)	
Same	311 (71.7%)	105 (70.5%)	0.906
Increased	69 (15.9%)	26 (17.4%)	
Frequency of being present	in crowded places		
Decreased	51 (11.8%)	18 (12.1%)	
Same	265 (61.1%)	100 (67.1%)	0.297
Increased	118 (27.2%)	31 (20.8%)	
Data are given as median (1st quartile-3rd quartile) for continuous variables according to non-			

No correlation was found between safety perception after vaccination and history of COVID-19 (p=0.142). The distribution of mask types used in the workplace (p=0.352) and in the daily life environment (p=0.407) after vaccination was similar between those with and without a history of COVID-19. There was no relationship between having a history of COVID-19 and handwashing frequency at work (p=0.939), handwashing frequency in daily life (p=0.554), number of masks used at work (p=0.087), number of masks used at work (p=0.087), number of masks used in daily life (p=0.155), disinfectant usage frequency at work (p=0.189) and disinfectant usage frequency in daily life (p=0.213)(**Table 5**).

	COVID-19 di	sease history	
	Absent (n=402)	Present (n=181)	р
Feel safe after vaccination			
0 (None)	32 (8.0%)	18 (9.9%)	
1	18 (4.5%)	16 (8.8%)	
2	67 (16.7%)	26 (14.4%)	0 1 4 7
3	134 (33.3%)	67 (37.0%)	0.142
4	100 (24.9%)	39 (21.5%)	
5 (Absolutely)	51 (12.7%)	15 (8.3%)	
Type of mask, at work			
None	2 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	
Cloth mask	29 (7.2%)	11 (6.1%)	0.255
Surgical mask	278 (69.2%)	137 (75.7%)	0.352
Respirators (N95, etc.)	93 (23.1%)	33 (18.2%)	
Type of mask, daily life			
None	8 (2.0%)	1 (0.6%)	
Cloth mask	37 (9.2%)	12 (6.6%)	0.40-
Surgical mask	328 (81.6%)	155 (85.6%)	0.407
Respirators (N95, etc.)	29 (7.2%)	13 (7.2%)	
Handwashing frequency a	t work		
Decreased	12 (3.0%)	6 (3.3%)	
Same	317 (78.9%)	144 (79.6%)	0.939
Increased	73 (18.2%)	31 (17.1%)	
Handwashing frequency in	n dailv life	, ,	
Decreased	16 (4.0%)	4 (2.2%)	
Same	316 (78.6%)	145 (80.1%)	0.554
Increased	70 (17.4%)	32 (17.7%)	
Number of masks used in	work		
Decreased	39 (9.7%)	8 (4.4%)	
Same	288 (71.6%)	140 (77.3%)	0.087
Increased	75 (18.7%)	33 (18.2%)	
Number of masks used in	daily life		
Decreased	36 (9.0%)	9 (5.0%)	
Same	296 (73.6%)	145 (80.1%)	0.155
Increased	70 (17.4%)	27 (14.9%)	01101
Disinfectant usage freque	ncv at work	_/ (1 11/ /0)	
Decreased	49 (12 2%)	13 (7 2%)	
Same	283 (70.4%)	136 (75 1%)	0 189
Increased	70 (17 4%)	32 (17 7%)	0.102
Disinfectant usage freque	ncy in daily life	52 (17.576)	
Decreased	56 (13 9%)	16 (8.8%)	
Same	283 (70.4%)	133 (73 5%)	0 213
Increased	63 (15 7%)	32 (17 7%)	0.215
Being in crowded places fr		52 (17.770)	
Decreased	AA (10.9%)	25 (13.8%)	
Same	242 (60.2%)	123 (68 00%)	0.023
Increased	116 (29.00/)	22 (10 20/)	0.023
Data are given as media: (1-t	110 (20.970)		

Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that occupation and smoking status were independently associated with reporting a "decrease" in the number of masks used in the workplace after vaccination. Nurse/midwife/health officers (p=0.001) were less likely to report a decrease in the number of masks used in the workplace after vaccination than physicians and other medical personnel; whereas, non-smokers (p=0.025) were more likely to report a decrease in the number of masks used in the workplace after vaccination than ex-smokers and smokers (Table 6, Figure 1, Figure 2).



Figure 1. Change in mask use frequency at work after vaccination with regard to occupation



**Figure 2.** Change in mask use frequency at work after vaccination with regard to smoking status

#### DISCUSSION

The impact of vaccination in controlling the spread of SARS-CoV-2 varies widely, depending not only on efficacy and coverage, but also on concurrent adherence to non-pharmaceutical interventions.<sup>[15]</sup> The decrease in personal protective measures in the society may cause an uncontrolled increase in cases.<sup>[10]</sup> It becomes even more important that individuals do not pay attention to measures, as it may coincide with the rise of variants.<sup>[16]</sup>

The value of face masks is further increased by the ongoing uncertainty of the pandemic, the emergence of new variants, reduced vaccine efficacy, diminished immunity, seasonal variation in case numbers and increased contagion. COVID-19 peaks have raised questions about whether or how long face masks will be required.<sup>[5]</sup> Vaccination protects from disease development, nonetheless immunity decreases a few months after vaccination. Masks, on the other hand, play an important role in the control of infection by interfering with transmission, regardless of antibody level and variant. <sup>[3]</sup> Healthcare professionals, caregivers and visitors should wear an appropriate mask when caring for patients with or without COVID-19, maintaining hand hygiene each time they touch their medical mask or face, and continuing to adhere to physical distancing.[17] Vaccinated individuals may have a false perception of their long-term immunity. This may have negative consequences, such as delaying booster doses or decreasing compliance with PPB.<sup>[18]</sup>

In a study conducted, it was reported that mask usage frequency reduced by 22% after vaccination.<sup>[19]</sup> In the study by Varas et al., unvaccinated participants were found to be less likely to stop physical distancing than participants who received at least one dose of vaccine. On the other hand, it has been reported that vaccination is not one of the predictive factors of mask use and hand washing.<sup>[20]</sup> Karayürek et al. reported that the vaccine had a positive effect on reducing the fear and anxiety levels of dentists, but adherence to protective measures reduced after vaccination (such as the use of PPE and pre-operative

Table 6. Factors associated with decrease the number of masks used in work after vaccination, logistic regression analysis results				
	Univariable		Multivariable	
	OR (95% CI)	р	OR (95% CI)	р
Age, years	0.981 (0.948-1.017)	0.297		
Sex, Male	0.772 (0.336-1.775)	0.543		
Marital status, Single	0.661 (0.335-1.305)	0.233		
Occupation, Nurse/Midwife/Health officer	0.249 (0.135-0.459)	< 0.001	0.328 (0.169-0.635)	0.001
Duration of work, years	0.979 (0.947-1.012)	0.205		
Smoking status, Non-smoker	2.760 (1.344-5.666)	0.006	2.342 (1.114-4.922)	0.025
Chronic disease	0.772 (0.374-1.593)	0.484		
COVID-19 disease history	0.430 (0.197-0.941)	0.035	0.455 (0.203-1.022)	0.057
Isolation due to contact	1.163 (0.586-2.310)	0.665		
Direct contact with patients	1.435 (0.592-3.477)	0.424		
Doses of COVID-19 vaccination, 4 doses	1.954 (1.032-3.699)	0.040	0.901 (0.438-1.856)	0.778
Type of vaccination, Only Sinovac	0.384 (0.160-0.923)	0.032	0.445 (0.177-1.121)	0.086
Type of mask at work before vaccination, Respirators	2.078 (1.135-3.807)	0.018	1.563 (0.825-2.963)	0.171
Nagelkerke R2	-		0.136	
OR: Odds Ratio, CI: Confidence Interval				

mouth rinsing).<sup>[21]</sup> There are also studies reporting positive changes in PPB after vaccination. For instance, Calamari et al. reported that vaccinated people had higher mask usage than unvaccinated people.<sup>[22]</sup> In a study conducted by Zhang et al. with healthcare professionals, PPB demonstrated a significant positive change after vaccination.[23] In another study conducted among healthcare professionals, it was reported that the correct mask usage rates increased significantly after vaccination.[24] In the current study, it was determined that PPB such as the number of masks used by healthcare professionals, hand hygiene and social distancing remained at the same levels after vaccination. Of note, there was no relationship between daily mask counts and vaccination status in multivariable analysis. Previously, healthcare professionals were not found to decrease their PPB even when they experienced a positive perception of safety following vaccination,<sup>[25]</sup> similar to our results. Kaim et al. reported that social distancing and mask use were not associated with vaccination.[26] Similar results have been demonstrated in different studies, which demonstrates that healthcare professionals' PPB have not changed after vaccination.[27,28] The fact that the current research group consists of healthcare personnel, the high level of compliance with PPB or the support provided by health policies and trainings for PPB may be among the reasons causing this strict adherence to measures. Differences in COVID-19 control techniques, policies and socioeconomic levels between countries at the time of studies could influence adherence to protective measures and personal perceptions associated with vaccination.

The effectiveness of face masks on the incidence of COVID-19 is dependent on mask material and mask fit.<sup>[3]</sup> WHO states that filtering and breathability are important characteristics of masks, and that cloth (fabric) masks should be constructed with three layers. The innermost layer of cloth masks should consist of absorbent material such as cotton, the middle layer of non-absorbent material such as polypropylene and the outermost layer of non-absorbent material such as polypropylene and the outermost layer of non-absorbent material such as polypropylene was 6% before vaccination and 6.9% after vaccination. It was concluded that the use of cloth masks, which are likely to be unsafe due to the lower frequency of replacement and the variety of materials produced, is a subject that should be investigated more.

Being a healthcare professional during the COVID-19 pandemic is an independent predictor of higher mask usage frequency.<sup>[22]</sup> In another study, it was reported that the change in the correct mask usage rates of physicians and nurses after the COVID-19 vaccine was found to be similar.<sup>[24]</sup> Lopez et al. reported that there was no difference between professions in terms of social distancing and PPB.<sup>[25]</sup> In another study, it was reported that nurses and physicians were similar in terms of appropriate use of PPE for COVID-19.<sup>[27]</sup> In the current study, nurse/midwife/health

officer professions were less likely to decrease the number of masks they used in the hospital than physicians and other health personnel. Similarly, in the study of Zhang et al. it was reported that healthcare professionals with higher education levels had better knowledge about COVID-19, but had worse PPB.<sup>[23]</sup> Since the number of masks used in a day varies in terms of the number of situations that a healthcare personnel touches or needs to change their mask and the mobility of the department where they work, the decreasing number of masks in some healthcare personnel may not necessarily indicate inappropriate mask use. While those in the nurse/midwife/health officer profession did not reduce the number of masks they use for their job, physicians may have experienced a change in workload. Since our data are based on self-reports of healthcare professionals due to cross-sectional design, we cannot directly evaluate the appropriateness of masks use among healthcare personnel.

Since smoking behavior is characterized by inhalation and repetitive hand-mouth movements that are recommended to be avoided to reduce viral contamination, it is expected that smokers will not comply with personal protective measures necessary to prevent COVID-19 spread.<sup>[29]</sup> Peixoto et al. reported that ex-smokers are more likely to wear masks in public than current and non-smokers.<sup>[30]</sup> Other previously published studies have reported that individuals who do not smoke or smoke less are more likely to comply with COVID-19 preventive measures.[31-34] On the other hand, Massey et al. reported that risk communication about COVID-19 and smoking was associated with higher mask wearing among smokers.<sup>[35]</sup> In this study, the number of masks used by nonsmokers at work was found to be more likely to decrease. This may have been because smokers and ex-smokers may have remained concerned about possible exposure due to their perception of being in a high-risk group.

Patterson et al. reported that those who perceive COVID-19 as a serious risk tended to have personal health concerns and were taking protective measures.<sup>[36]</sup> It is reported that chronic disease status is significantly associated with COVID risk perceptions and the desire to be vaccinated.<sup>[37]</sup> Chan et al. reported that there was no relationship between having a chronic disease and preventive measures against COVID-19. <sup>[38]</sup> In two previous studies, no relationship was found between compliance with social distancing and the presence of comorbidity.<sup>[32,39]</sup> In this study, similar to the studies in the literature, no significant difference was found between those with and without chronic diseases in terms of personal protective measures after vaccination.

Previous history of COVID-19 has been directly associated with decreased adherence to both social distancing and personal protective measures.<sup>[25]</sup> Kaim et al. reported that those infected with the COVID-19 were less likely to wear masks or adhere to social distancing compared to vaccinated individuals without a history of COVID-19.<sup>[26]</sup> In a prospective study by Calamari et al., previous COVID-19 was initially found

to be unrelated to mask usage; however, follow-up analysis revealed that COVID-19 history was one of the independent factors associated with reduced mask usage.<sup>[22]</sup> In the present study, no relationship was found between COVID-19 history and various factors, including safety perception after vaccination, the distribution of mask types used and the frequency of protective behavior; however, the frequency of being in crowded places was found to be higher in those who did not have a history of COVID-19. Differences between the time elapsed since the infection, the severity of the previous infection and the case/vaccination rates in the population where the studies were conducted may have affected the results.

Enforcing and supporting vaccinations whenever possible and ensuring sustainability of personal protective measures remain as the best approaches against the ongoing pandemic. <sup>[6]</sup> Recommending continuation of protective behaviors after vaccination is unlikely to be effective when the number of cases in the population is very low. Establishing a clearly articulated, realistic, understandable, reliable and actionable set of priorities that sets out the best practices to follow after vaccination can be beneficial to the continuity of public health protection.<sup>[16]</sup>

The cross-sectional design of this study is one of the most important limitations which prevents time-bound analyses that could have provided more reliable information regarding causality. In relation, we asked individuals to characterize their frequency of protective measures in three groups (increased, decreased, same), which may be a problematic approach since this only assesses quantity without discerning the qualitative aspect of individual measures. Direct observation of appropriateness of behaviors would have provided stronger evidence. The fact that the study was conducted in a single center with very high vaccine adherence is another limitation. Despite these, the results of this research are valuable as they share detailed results regarding the relationships between vaccination and PPB of healthcare professionals.

#### CONCLUSIONS

As a result, it can be concluded that there is no remarkable loss of focus regarding PPB after COVID-19 vaccination among healthcare professionals. Non-smokers and healthcare professionals other than nurses, midwives and health officers were more likely to decrease the number of masks they used in the workplace. There was no relationship between the presence of chronic disease and PPB. No relationship was found between PPB and a history of COVID-19, except that those without a history of COVID-19 were more likely to be present in crowded places. There is a need for population-based and prospective studies evaluating vaccination and PPB in the population, with a potential view to assess the influence of healthcare professionals' attitudes on this matter.

#### **ETHICAL DECLARATIONS**

**Ethics Committee Approval:** Ethics committee approval was obtained from Bursa City Hospital Ethics Committee (Date: 22.09.2021, Decision No: 2021-17/5).

**Informed Consent:** All patients signed the free and informed consent form.

Referee Evaluation Process: Externally peer-reviewed.

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Financial Disclosure:** The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

**Author Contributions:** All of the authors declare that they have all participated in the design, execution, and analysis of the paper, and that they have approved the final version.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Escobar-Morreale HF. Polycystic ovary syndrome: definition, aetiology, diagnosis and treatment. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2018;14(5):270-84.
- 2. Da Silva SJR, Do Nascimento JCF, Germano Mendes RP, et al. Two Years into the COVID-19 Pandemic: Lessons Learned. ACS Infect Dis 2022;8(9):1758-814.
- Brüssow H, Zuber S. Can a combination of vaccination and face mask wearing contain the COVID-19 pandemic? Microb Biotechnol 2022;15(3):721-37.
- Ollila M-M, West S, Keinänen-Kiukaanniemi S, et al. Overweight and obese but not normal weight women with PCOS are at increased risk of Type 2 diabetes mellitus—a prospective, population-based cohort study. Hum Reprod 2017;32(2):423-31.
- Bartsch SM, O'shea KJ, Chin KL, et al. Maintaining face mask use before and after achieving different COVID-19 vaccination coverage levels: a modelling study. Lancet Public Health 2022;7(4):e356-e65.
- Karacan I, Koyuncu H, Pekel Ö, et al. Traumatic spinal cord injuries in Turkey: a nation-wide epidemiological study. Spinal Cord 2000;38(11):697-701.
- 7. Hedlund J. Risky business: safety regulations, risk compensation, and individual behavior. Inj Prev 2000;6(2):82-9.
- 8. Peltzman S. The effects of automobile safety regulation. J Polit Econ 1975;83(4):677-725.
- 9. Trogen B, Caplan A. Risk compensation and COVID-19 vaccines. American College of Physicians; 2021. p. 858-9.
- Usherwood T, Lajoie Z, Srivastava V. A model and predictions for COVID-19 considering population behavior and vaccination. Sci Rep 2021;11(1):12051.
- 11. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, NIOSH-approved N95 Particulate Filtering Facepiece Respirators [Access date:25.01.2023] Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/npptl/topics/respirators/ disp\_part/N95list1sect2-l.html
- 12. Pasquali R, Gambineri A. Polycystic ovary syndrome: a multifaceted disease from adolescence to adult age. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2006;1092(1):158-74.
- Ministry of Health Republic of Turkey. T.C. Sağlık Bakanlığı Covid-19 Aşısı Bilgilendirme Platformu 2022 [Available from: https://covid19asi.saglik. gov.tr/.
- 14. Youssef S, Stuve O, Patarroyo JC, et al. The HMG-CoA reductase inhibitor, atorvastatin, promotes a Th2 bias and reverses paralysis in central nervous system autoimmune disease. Nature 2002;420(6911):78-84.
- 15. Alagoz O, Sethi AK, Patterson BW, et al. The impact of vaccination to control COVID-19 burden in the United States: A simulation modeling approach. PLoS One 2021;16(7):e0254456.
- Trogen B, Caplan A. Risk Compensation and COVID-19 Vaccines. Ann Intern Med 2021;174(6):858-9.

- 17. World Health Organization, Coronavirus disease (COVID-19): Masks [Access date: 16.09.2022] Available from: https://www.who.int/ emergencies/diseases/novel-coronavirus-2019/question-and-answershub/q-a-detail/coronavirus-disease-covid-19-masks.
- 18. Kaim A, Saban M. Are we suffering from the Peltzman effect? Risk perception among recovered and vaccinated people during the COVID-19 pandemic in Israel. Public Health 2022;209:19-22.
- 19. Zhang N, Liu X, Jin T, et al. Weakening personal protective behavior by Chinese university students after COVID-19 vaccination. Build Environ 2021;206:108367.
- 20. Varas S, Elorrieta F, Vargas C, et al. Factors associated with change in adherence to COVID-19 personal protection measures in the Metropolitan Region, Chile. PLoS One 2022;17(5):e0267413.
- 21. Karayürek F, Çebi AT, Gülses A, Ayna M. The impact of COVID-19 vaccination on anxiety levels of Turkish dental professionals and their attitude in clinical care: A cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(19):10373.
- 22. Calamari LE, Tjaden AH, Edelstein SL, et al. Self-Reported Mask Use among Persons with or without SARS CoV-2 Vaccination—United States, December 2020–August 2021. Prev Med Rep 2022:101857.
- 23. Zhang N, Lei H, Li L, et al. COVID-19 Vaccination Did Not Change the Personal Protective Behaviors of Healthcare Workers in China. Front Public Health 2021;9:777426.
- 24. O'donohue LS, Fletcher-Gutowski S, Sidhu A, Verma A, Phillips TC, Misra PG. Mask use among health care workers and feelings of safety at work pre-and post-COVID-19 vaccine. Am J Infect Control 2022;50(5):503-8.
- 25. López AM, Borges IC, Luna-Muschi A, et al. Risk factors for reduction in adherence to protective measures following coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) vaccination and vaccine perceptions among healthcare workers, in São Paulo, Brazil. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2022:1-3.
- 26. Kaim A, Siman-Tov M, Jaffe E, Adini B. From isolation to containment: Perceived fear of infectivity and protective behavioral changes during the COVID-19 vaccination campaign. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(12):6503.
- 27. Park DH, Lee E, Jung J, et al. Changes in Anxiety Level and Personal Protective Equipment Use Among Healthcare Workers Exposed to COVID-19. jkms 2022;37(16):e126.
- 28. Rahamim-Cohen D, Gazit S, Perez G, et al. Survey of behaviour attitudes towards preventive measures following COVID-19 vaccination. medRxiv 2021.
- 29. Kaya Zaman F. A View of Smoking from the Covid-19 Perspective. Klinik Tıp Aile Hekimliği 2020;12(2):48-53
- 30. Peixoto SV, Nascimento-Souza MA, Mambrini JVDM, Andrade FBD, Malta DC, Lima-Costa MF. Health behaviours and the adoption of individual protection measures during the new coronavirus pandemic: The ELSI-COVID-19 initiative. Cad Saude Publica 2020;36(Suppl 3):e00195420.
- 31. Uddin S, Imam T, Khushi M, Khan A, Moni MA. How did sociodemographic status and personal attributes influence compliance to COVID-19 preventive behaviours during the early outbreak in Japan? Lessons for pandemic management. Pers Individ Dif 2021;175:110692.
- 32. Kim J, Yun I, Kim H, Park E-C, Jang S-I. The effect of depression on compliance with physical distancing during the COVID-19 pandemic 2022:1-13.
- 33. Ezati Rad R, Mohseni S, Kamalzadeh Takhti H, et al. Application of the protection motivation theory for predicting COVID-19 preventive behaviors in Hormozgan, Iran: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2021;21(1):466.
- 34. Hosen I, Pakpour AH, Sakib N, Hussain N, Al Mamun F, Mamun MA. Knowledge and preventive behaviors regarding COVID-19 in Bangladesh: a nationwide distribution. PLoS One 2021;16(5):e0251151.
- 35. Massey ZB, Duong HT, Churchill V, Popova L. Examining reactions to smoking and COVID-19 risk messages: An experimental study with people who smoke. Int J Drug Policy 2022;102:103607.
- 36. Patterson NJ, Paz-Soldan VA, Oberhelman R, Moses L, Madkour A, Miles TT. Exploring perceived risk for COVID-19 and its role in protective behavior and COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy: a qualitative study after the first wave. BMC Public Health 2022;22(1):503.

- 37. Ricotta EE, Kwan JL, Smith BA, Evans NG. Chronic diseases: Perceptions about Covid-19 risk and vaccination. medRxiv 2021.
- 38. Chan EYY, Kim JH, Kwok K-O, et al. Population adherence to infection control behaviors during Hong Kong's first and third COVID-19 waves: A serial cross-sectional study. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18(21):11176.
- 39. Hadizadeh-Talasaz F, Delshad Noghabi A, Mohammadzadeh F. Relationship between Socio-Demographic Factors and Adherence to Social Distancing Recommendations During Covid-19 Pandemic in Gonabad, Iran: A Cross-Sectional Study. Int J Community Based Nurs Midwifery 2022;10(2):134-45.