Turk. J. Math. Comput. Sci. 16(1)(2024) 143–146 © MatDer DOI : 10.47000/tjmcs.1224591



# A Note on Quasi-Metrizable Spaces

AYGÜL BILGIN<sup>1,\*</sup>, HÜLYA DURU<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Institute of Science, Istanbul University, 34116, Istanbul, Turkey. <sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Haliç University, 34060, Istanbul, Turkey. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Istanbul University, 34134, Istanbul, Turkey.

Received: 26-12-2022 • Accepted: 14-03-2024

ABSTRACT. In a previous paper on quasi-uniformizable spaces related to statistical metric spaces [2] it was determined three conditions to obtain a first countable T1-topology. In this paper, we determine two conditions to define a quasi-metrizable topological space. Namely, we determine the conditions to obtain both a quasi-metric and a quasi-uniform topology coincides with a quasi-metrizable topological space.

2020 AMS Classification: 54E15, 54E70, 54E99

Keywords: Uniform space, quasi-uniform space, distribution function, distance function, statistical metric space.

# 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper X is a nonempty set, D is the diagonal set, namely,  $D = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  and P(X) is the collection of all subset of X. Recall that for  $J, K \in P(X \times X)$ ,

 $J^{-1} = \{ (s, p) \mid (p, s) \in J \},\$ 

 $J \circ K = \{(p, s) : \text{there exists } r \in X \text{ such that } (p, r) \in K \text{ and } (r, s) \in J\}$ 

and that a sub-family  $\Im$  of P(X) is said to be a filter on X if the following are satisfied:

(**i**) ∅ ∉ ℑ,

(ii) The intersection of finitely many elements of  $\Im$  belongs to  $\Im$ ,

(iii) Any element of P(X) containing an element of  $\Im$  belongs to  $\Im$ .

The notion of "semi-uniform space" was introduced by Nachbin in 1948 [5]. In 1960, it was called as "quasi-uniform space" by Császár [1].

Recall that a filter  $\Im$  on  $X \times X$  is said to be a quasi-uniformity, if each element of  $\Im$  contains the diagonal and for each  $J \in \Im$ , there exists  $K \in \Im$  satisfying  $K \circ K \subseteq J$ . In this case, the couple  $(X, \Im)$  is called a quasi-uniform space. It is well-known that if  $\Im$  is a quasi-uniformity on X then the collection  $\tau_{\Im} = \{R \subseteq X : \text{ for each } r \in R \text{ there exists } J \in \Im$  such that  $J(r) \subseteq R\}$  is a topology on X generated by  $\Im$ , where  $J(r) = \{s \in X : (r, s) \in J\}$ . The first direct topological

\*Corresponding Author

Email addresses: aygulbilgin@halic.edu.tr (A. Bilgin) , hduru@istanbul.edu.tr (A. Bilgin)

proof of the converse, that is, there is a quasi-uniformity for a given topology compatible with the topology, was proved by Pervin [6] by using a result of Kelley [4].

**Definition 1.1.** A subcollection  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $\mathfrak{I}$  in a quasi-uniform space  $(X,\mathfrak{I})$  is said to be a basis for  $\mathfrak{I}$ , if for any  $J \in \mathfrak{I}$  there exists  $K \in \mathfrak{G}$  such that  $K \subseteq J$ .

**Theorem 1.2** ([3]). Let  $\mathfrak{E}$  be a subcollection of  $P(X \times X)$ . Then, there exists a quasi-uniformity  $\mathfrak{I}$  having  $\mathfrak{E}$  as a basis if and only if  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a filter basis for which each element of it contains D, and for each element J of  $\mathfrak{E}$  there exists  $K \in \mathfrak{E}$  satisfying  $K \circ K \subseteq J$ .

At this point we state some basic notions of statistical metric spaces.

**Definition 1.3.** A function  $\phi : [-\infty, \infty] \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be a distribution function if the following two conditions are satisfied;

(i)  $\phi$  is monotone increasing, and

(ii)  $\phi(-\infty) = 0$  and  $\phi(\infty) = 1$ .

If in addition  $\phi(0) = 0$ , then  $\phi$  is called a distance function.

**Example 1.4.** The function from  $[0, \infty]$  to [0,1]

$$\varrho_0(r) = \begin{cases} 0 & : r \le 0 \\ 1 & : r > 0 \end{cases}$$

is a left-continuous distance function which is called an unit step function.

By  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_L$ , we denote the collection of all distance functions and all left-continuous distance functions respectively.

Recall that, a function  $\varpi : X \times X \to [0, \infty[$  is said to be a quasi-metric, if  $\varpi(r, r) = 0$  and  $\varpi(r, s) \le \varpi(r, t) + \varpi(t, s)$  for all  $r, s, t \in X$ .

Remark that if  $\varpi$  is a quasi-metric on *X*, then the collection

$$\{G \subseteq X \mid \text{ for each } r \in G \text{ there exists } \mu > 0 \text{ such that } B_{\mu}(r) \subseteq G\}$$

is a topology on *X*, where  $B_{\mu}(r) = \{s \in X : \varpi(r, s) < \mu\}$ .

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $\varpi$  be a quasi-metric on *X*. Then, we say that *X* is quasi-metrizable if the collection  $S(r) = \{B_{\eta}(r) : \eta > 0\}$  is a local basis at each  $r \in X$ .

The main goal of this paper is to determine the conditions to obtain a quasi-metric and a quasi-uniform topology coincides with quasi-metrizable topological space.

For the terminology of quasi-uniform spaces and statistical metric spaces we refer to [3] and [7] respectively.

# 2. Results

Let  $F : X \times X \to \Omega$  be a function and  $\delta : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$  a function satisfying  $\delta \ge \delta_0$ , where  $\delta_0(u, v) = max\{u + v - 1, 0\}$ , and  $\delta(u_1, v_1) \le \delta(u_2, v_2)$  for  $u_1 \le u_2$  and  $v_1 \le v_2$  for all  $u, v, u_1, v_1, u_2, v_2 \in [0, 1]$ . We consider the space  $(X, F, \delta)$ .

Let  $\eta > 0$ . Put  $A_{\eta} = \{(u, v) \in X \times X : F_{uv}(\eta) > 1 - \eta\}$ , where  $F_{uv}$  denotes the value of F at (u, v). We also consider the function  $\rho : X \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ , defined by, for  $u, v \in X$ 

$$\rho(u, v) = \inf\{1 - F_{uv}(\eta) + \eta : \eta > 0\},\$$

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $F : X \times X \to \Omega$  be a function. Then, for each  $u, v \in X$  and  $\eta, \eta_1, \eta_2 > 0$ . (i)  $(u, v) \in A_\eta \implies \rho(u, v) < 2\eta$ , (ii)  $\rho(u, v) < \eta \implies (u, v) \in A_\eta$ , (iii) If  $\eta_1 \le \eta_2$ , then  $A_{\eta_1} \subseteq A_{\eta_2}$ .

*Proof.* (i) Let  $(u, v) \in X$  and  $\eta > 0$ . If  $(u, v) \in A_{\eta}$ , then  $\rho(u, v) \le 1 - F_{uv}(\eta) + \eta < 2\eta$ . (ii) Suppose that  $\rho(u, v) < \eta$ . Then, there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that  $1 - F_{uv}(\mu) + \mu < \eta$  and,  $0 \le F_{uv}(\mu) \le 1, \mu < \eta$ . It follows from here that, since  $F_{uv}$  is monotone increasing,  $F_{uv}(\eta) \ge F_{uv}(\mu) > 1 - (\eta - \mu) > 1 - \eta$ . Thus, by very definition of  $A_{\eta}$ , we get  $(u, v) \in A_{\eta}$ . (iii) It is trivial.

**Proposition 2.2.** Consider the space  $(X, F, \delta)$ . If  $F_{uv}(a + b) \ge \delta(F_{uw}(a), F_{wv}(b))$  for all a, b positive numbers and  $u, v, w \in X$ , then the function  $\rho$  satisfies the triangle inequality.

*Proof.* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $\rho(u, w) = inf\{1 - F_{uw}(\eta) + \eta : \eta > 0\}$  and  $\rho(w, v) = inf\{1 - F_{wv}(\eta) + \eta : \eta > 0\}$ , there exist  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  such that

$$1 - F_{uw}(\lambda) + \lambda < \rho(u, w) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

and

$$1 - F_{wv}(\mu) + \mu < \rho(w, v) + \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$1 - (F_{uw}(\lambda) + F_{wv}(\mu) - 1) + \lambda + \mu < \rho(u, w) + \rho(w, v) + \epsilon.$$
(2.1)

On the other hand, from the hypothesis, as  $\delta \ge \delta_0$ , we have

$$F_{uv}(\lambda + \mu) \ge \delta(F_{uw}(\lambda), F_{wv}(\mu)) \ge F_{uw}(\lambda) + F_{wv}(\mu) - 1.$$

Hence,

$$1 - F_{uv}(\lambda + \mu) + \lambda + \mu \le 1 - \delta(F_{uw}(\lambda), F_{wv}(\mu)) + \lambda + \mu \le 1 - (F_{uw}(\lambda) + F_{wv}(\mu) - 1) + \lambda + \mu$$

From here, by taking into account the inequality (2.1) and the definition of  $\rho$ , one can easily get that

$$\rho(u, v) \le 1 - F_{uv}(\lambda + \mu) + \lambda + \mu < \rho(u, w) + \rho(w, v) + \epsilon$$

for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . Hence,  $\rho(u, v) \le \rho(u, w) + \rho(w, v)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Consider the space  $(X, F, \delta)$ . Suppose that  $F_{uu} = \varrho_0$  and  $F_{uw}(a + b) \ge \delta(F_{uv}(a), F_{vw}(b))$  for all a, b > 0 and  $u, v, w \in X$ . In this case, X is a quasi-metrizable space.

*Proof.* Since  $F_{uu} = \epsilon_0$ ,  $\rho(u, u) = inf\{\eta : \eta > 0\} = 0$ . Thus, by Proposition 2.2, the function  $\rho$  is a quasi-metric on X. Now we will prove that the collection S(u) is a local basis at each  $u \in X$ . At this point, we remark that the sub-collection  $\mathfrak{E} = \{A_\eta : \eta > 0\}$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.2. Indeed, as  $F_{uu} = \rho_0$ ,  $D \subseteq A_\eta$  for each  $\eta > 0$ . On the other hand, by Proposition 2.1 (iii), we get  $A_{min\{\eta_1,\eta_2\}} \subseteq A_{\eta_1} \cap A_{\eta_2}$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a filter basis whose each element containing the diagonal. Moreover, let  $\eta > 0$  and  $(u, v) \in A_{\frac{\eta}{2}} \circ A_{\frac{\eta}{2}}$ . Then, there exists  $w \in X$  satisfying (u, w),  $(w, v) \in A_{\frac{\eta}{2}}$ . It follows from the hypothesis that

$$F_{uv}(\eta) \ge \delta(F_{uw}(\frac{\eta}{2}), F_{wv}(\frac{\eta}{2})) \ge \delta_0(F_{uw}(\frac{\eta}{2}), F_{wv}(\frac{\eta}{2})) \ge F_{uw}(\frac{\eta}{2}) + F_{wv}(\frac{\eta}{2}) - 1 > 1 - \eta.$$

Thus,  $(u, v) \in A_{\eta}$ . We conclude from here that  $\mathfrak{E}$  is a basis for a quasi-uniformity  $\mathfrak{I}$  on *X*.

Let  $\tau_{\mathfrak{I}}$  be the topology generated by  $\mathfrak{I}$ . It's well-known that the family  $(A_{\eta}(u))_{\eta>0}$  is a local basis at each  $u \in X$ , here, we recall that  $A_{\eta}(u) = \{v \in X : (u, v) \in A_{\eta}\}$ . In addition, Proposition 2.1 (i) and (ii) imply that  $A_{\frac{\eta}{2}}(u) \subseteq B_{\eta}(u)$  and  $B_{\eta}(u) \subseteq A_{\eta}(u)$  respectively. Thus, the collection  $S(u) = \{B_{\eta}(u) : \eta > 0\}$  is a local basis at each  $u \in X$ . Hence, X is quasi-metrizable.

#### **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## AUTHORS CONTRIBUTION STATEMENT

The authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

### References

- [1] Császár, Á., Fondements de la Topologie Générale, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1960.
- [2] Duru, H., Ilter, S., Bilgin, A., A first countable T1 topology as related to statistical metric spaces, Yüzüncü Yıl Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi, (in press), (2022).
- [3] Fletcher, P., William F.L., Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics Quasi-uniform Spaces, CRC Press, 1982.
- [4] Kelley, J.L., General Topology, Springer, 1975.
- [5] Nachbin, L., *Sur les espaces uniformes ordonnés*, Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l'Académie des Sciences, **226**(10)(1948), 774–775.
- [6] Pervin, W.J., Quasi-uniformization of topological spaces, Math. Annalen 147(1962), 316–317.
- [7] Schweizer, B., Sklar, A., Thorp, E., The metrization of statistical metric spaces, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 10(1960), 673-675.