

Two New Types of Irresolute Functions via e -open Sets

Murad Özkoç^{1*}, Tuğçe Yılmaz²

^{1,2}Muğla Sıtkı Koçman Üniversitesi Fen Fakültesi Matematik Bölümü
48000 Menteşe, Muğla +90 252 211 50 92
murad.ozkoc@mu.edu.tr
*Corresponding author

Received: 1st December 2016

Accepted: 17th March 2017

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18466/cbujos.302646>

Abstract

The main purpose of this paper is to introduce two new types of irresolute functions called completely e -irresolute and completely weakly e -irresolute functions via e -open sets introduced by Ekici. We obtain some characterizations of these functions. Also, we investigate some fundamental properties between these new notions and separation and covering.

Keywords – completely e -irresolute, completely weakly e -irresolute, countably e -compact, e -closed compact, e -Lindelöf, strongly e -regular space, e -normal space.

1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout this paper (X, τ) and (Y, σ) (or simply X and Y) represent non-empty topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise stated. Let X be a topological space and A a subset of X . The closure of A and the interior of A are denoted by $cl(A)$ and $int(A)$, respectively. $\mathcal{U}(x)$ denotes all open neighborhoods of the point $x \in X$. A subset A of a space X called regular open [17] (resp. regular closed [17]) if $A = int(cl(A))$ (resp. $A = cl(int(A))$). The δ -interior [19] of a subset A of X is the union of all regular open sets of X contained in A and is denoted by $int_\delta(A)$. The subset A is called δ -open [19] if $A = int_\delta(A)$, i.e., a set is δ -open if it is the union of regular open sets. The complement of a δ -open set is called δ -closed. Alternatively, a set $A \subset X$ is called δ -closed [19] if $A = cl_\delta(A)$, where $cl_\delta(A) = \{x | U \in \mathcal{U}(x) \Rightarrow int(cl(U)) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$. The family of all δ -open (resp. δ -closed) sets in X is denoted by $\delta O(X)$ (resp. $\delta C(X)$).

A subset A of a space X called e -open [17] if $A \subset int(cl_\delta(A)) \cup cl(int_\delta(A))$. The complement of an e -open set is said to be e -closed. The e -interior [7] of a subset A of X is the union of all e -open sets of X

contained in A and is denoted by $e-int(A)$. The e -closure [7] of a subset A of X is the intersection of all e -closed sets of X containing A and is denoted by $e-cl(A)$. The family of all e -open (resp. regular open) sets of X are denoted by $eO(X)$ (resp. $RO(X)$). The family of all e -closed (resp. regular closed) sets of X is denoted by $eC(X)$ (resp. $RC(X)$) and the family of all e -open (resp. regular open) sets of X containing a point $x \in X$ is denoted by $eO(X, x)$ (resp. $RO(X, x)$).

Definition 1. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be:

- (a) strongly continuous [9] (briefly s.c.) if $f^{-1}[V]$ is both open and closed in X for each subset V of Y ;
- (b) completely continuous [2] (briefly c.c.) if $f^{-1}[V]$ is regular open in X every open set V of Y ;
- (c) e -irresolute [6] (briefly e.i.) if $f^{-1}[V]$ is e -closed (resp. e -open) in X for every e -closed (resp. e -open) subset V of Y ;
- (d) e -continuous [7] (briefly e.c.) if $f^{-1}[V]$ is e -open in X every open set V of Y .

2 Completely e -irresolute Functions

Definition 2. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be completely e -irresolute (briefly c.e.i.) if the inverse image of each e -open subset of Y is regular open in X .

Remark 3. It is not difficult to see that every strongly continuous function is completely e -irresolute and

every completely e -irresolute function is e -irresolute. But the converse of the implications are not true in general as shown by the following examples.

$$s.c. \rightarrow c.e.i. \rightarrow e.i.$$

Example 4. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{b, c\}\}$ and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{b, c\}\}$. Then the identity function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is e -irresolute but not completely e -irresolute.

QUESTION. Is there any completely e -irresolute function which is not strongly continuous?

Theorem 5. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) f is completely e -irresolute;
- (b) $f^{-1}[e - int(B)] \subset int_{\delta}(f^{-1}[B])$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (c) $f[cl_{\delta}(A)] \subset e - cl(f[A])$ for every subset A of X ;
- (d) $cl_{\delta}(f^{-1}[B]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(B)]$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (e) $f^{-1}[V]$ is regular closed in X for each e -closed set V in Y ;
- (f) $f^{-1}[V]$ is regular open in X for each e -open set V in Y .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $B \subset Y$ and $x \in f^{-1}[e - int(B)]$.

$$x \in f^{-1}[e - int(B)] \Rightarrow e - int(B) \in eO(Y, f(x))$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow} (\exists U \in RO(X, x))(f[U] \subset e - int(B) \subset B)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists U \in RO(X, x))(U \subset f^{-1}[B]) \Rightarrow x \in int_{\delta}(f^{-1}[B]).$$

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Let $A \subset X$.

$$A \subset X \Rightarrow f[A] \subset Y \Rightarrow Y \setminus f[A] \subset Y \stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} f^{-1}[e - int(Y \setminus f[A])] \subset int_{\delta}(f^{-1}[Y \setminus f[A]])$$

$$\Rightarrow X \setminus f^{-1}[e - cl(f[A])] \subset X \setminus cl_{\delta}(f^{-1}[f[A]])$$

$$\Rightarrow cl_{\delta}(A) \subset cl_{\delta}(f^{-1}[f[A]]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(f[A])]$$

$$\Rightarrow f[cl_{\delta}(A)] \subset e - cl(f[A]).$$

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Let $B \subset Y$.

$$B \subset Y \Rightarrow f^{-1}[B] \subset X \stackrel{(c)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\stackrel{(c)}{\Rightarrow} f[cl_{\delta}(f^{-1}[B])] \subset e - cl(f[f^{-1}[B]]) \subset e - cl(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow cl_{\delta}(f^{-1}[B]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(B)].$$

(d) \Rightarrow (e): Let $V \in eC(Y)$.

$$V \in eC(Y) \Rightarrow V = e - cl(V) \stackrel{(d)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\stackrel{(d)}{\Rightarrow} cl_{\delta}(f^{-1}[V]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(V)] = f^{-1}[V]$$

$$\Rightarrow f^{-1}[V] = cl_{\delta}(f^{-1}[V]) \Rightarrow f^{-1}[V] \in \delta C(X).$$

(e) \Rightarrow (f): Obvious.

(f) \Rightarrow (a): Let $V \in eO(Y)$ and $x \in f^{-1}[V]$.

$$(V \in eO(Y))(x \in f^{-1}[V]) \stackrel{(f)}{\Rightarrow} V \in eO(Y, f(x)) \stackrel{(f)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\stackrel{(f)}{\Rightarrow} (U := f^{-1}[V] \in RO(X, x))(f[U] \subset V).$$

Theorem 6. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a bijective function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) f is completely e -irresolute;
- (b) $e - int(f[A]) \subset f[int_{\delta}(A)]$ for every subset of X .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $A \subset X$.

$$A \subset X \Rightarrow X \setminus A \subset X \stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow} f[X \setminus int_{\delta}(A)] = f[cl_{\delta}(X \setminus A)] \subset e - cl(f[X \setminus A]) \left. \vphantom{\stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow}} \right\} f \text{ is bijection}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \setminus f[int_{\delta}(A)] \subset Y \setminus e - int(f[A])$$

$$\Rightarrow e - int(f[A]) \subset f[int_{\delta}(A)].$$

(b) \Rightarrow (a): Let $A \subset X$.

$$A \subset X \Rightarrow X \setminus A \subset X \stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} e - int(f[X \setminus A]) \subset f[int_{\delta}(X \setminus A)] \left. \vphantom{\stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow}} \right\} \Rightarrow f \text{ is bijection}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \setminus e - cl(f[A]) \subset Y \setminus f[cl_{\delta}(A)]$$

$$\Rightarrow f[cl_{\delta}(A)] \subset e - cl(f[A]).$$

Lemma 7. [10] Let Y be an open subset of a topological space X . Then the following hold:

(a) If A is regular open in X , then so is $A \cap Y$ in the subspace (Y, τ_Y) .

(b) If B is regular open in (Y, τ_Y) , then there exists a regular open set R in X such that $B = R \cap Y$.

Theorem 8. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a completely e -irresolute function and A is any open subset of X , then the restriction $f_A: A \rightarrow Y$ is completely e -irresolute.

Proof. Let $F \in eO(Y)$.

$$F \in eO(Y) \stackrel{f \text{ is c.e.i.}}{\Longrightarrow} f^{-1}[F] \in RO(X) \left. \vphantom{\stackrel{f \text{ is c.e.i.}}{\Longrightarrow}}} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Lemma 7} \\ A \in \tau \end{array} \Longrightarrow$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Lemma 7}}{\Longrightarrow} (f_A)^{-1}[F] = f^{-1}[F] \cap A \in RO(A).$$

Lemma 9. [3] Let Y be a preopen subset of a topological space X . Then $Y \cap A$ is regular open in Y for each regular open subset A of X .

Theorem 10. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is a completely e -irresolute function and A is preopen subset of X , then $f_A: A \rightarrow Y$ is completely e -irresolute.

Proof. It is clear from Lemma 9.

Theorem 11. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be two functions. Then the following hold:

(a) If f is completely e -irresolute and g is e -irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is completely e -irresolute;

- (b) If f is completely continuous and g is completely e -irresolute, then $g \circ f$ is completely e -irresolute;
- (c) If f is completely e -irresolute and g is e -continuous, then $g \circ f$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 12. A space X is said to be almost connected [5] (resp. e -connected [8]) if there does not exist disjoint regular open (resp. e -open) sets A and B such that $A \cup B = X$.

Theorem 13. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is completely e -irresolute surjection and X is almost connected, then Y is e -connected.

Proof. Suppose that Y is not e -connected.

$$\begin{aligned} & Y \text{ is not } e\text{-connected} \Rightarrow \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists A, B \in eO(Y) \setminus \{\emptyset\})(A \cap B = \emptyset)(A \cup B = Y) \Big\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad f \text{ is completely } e\text{-irresolute surjection} \\ & \Rightarrow (f^{-1}[A], f^{-1}[B] \in RO(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \\ & (f^{-1}[A \cap B] = f^{-1}[\emptyset])(f^{-1}[A \cup B] = f^{-1}[Y]) \\ & \Rightarrow (f^{-1}[A], f^{-1}[B] \in RO(X) \setminus \{\emptyset\}) \\ & (f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B] = \emptyset)(f^{-1}[A] \cup f^{-1}[B] = X) \\ & \text{This means that } X \text{ is not almost connected.} \end{aligned}$$

Definition 14. A topological space X is said to be:

- (a) nearly compact [14] if every regular open cover of X has a finite subcover;
- (b) nearly countably compact [4] if every countable cover by regular open sets has a finite subcover;
- (c) nearly Lindelöf [5] if every cover of X by regular open sets has a countable subcover;
- (d) e -compact [8] if every e -open cover of X has a finite subcover;
- (e) countably e -compact if every e -open countable cover of X has a finite subcover;
- (f) e -Lindelöf if every cover of X by e -open sets has a countable subcover.

Theorem 15. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a completely e -irresolute surjection. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) If X is nearly compact, then Y is e -compact;
- (b) If X is nearly Lindelöf, then Y is e -Lindelöf;
- (c) If X is nearly countably compact, then Y is countably e -compact.

Proof. (a) Let X be nearly compact and \mathcal{A} be an e -open cover of Y .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset eO(Y))(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RO(X))(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \Big\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad X \text{ is nearly compact} \\ & \Rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{B})(|\mathcal{B}^*| < \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \xrightarrow{f \text{ is surjective}} (f[\mathcal{B}^*] \subset f[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{A})(|f[\mathcal{B}^*]| < \aleph_0) \\ & (Y = f[X] = f[\cup \mathcal{B}^*] = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*} f[B]). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let X be nearly Lindelöf and \mathcal{A} be an e -open cover of Y .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset eO(Y))(|\mathcal{A}| \leq \aleph_0)(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RO(X))(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \Big\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad X \text{ is nearly countably compact} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{B})(|\mathcal{B}^*| < \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}^*) \Big\} \Rightarrow$$

$$f \text{ is surjective}$$

$$\Rightarrow (f[\mathcal{B}^*] \subset f[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{A})(|f[\mathcal{B}^*]| < \aleph_0)$$

$$(Y = f[X] = f[\cup \mathcal{B}^*] = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*} f[B]).$$

(c) Let X be nearly countably compact and \mathcal{A} be an e -open countable cover of Y .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset eO(Y))(|\mathcal{A}| \leq \aleph_0)(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RO(X))(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \Big\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad X \text{ is nearly countably compact} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{B})(|\mathcal{B}^*| < \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}^*) \Big\} \Rightarrow$$

$$f \text{ is surjective}$$

$$\Rightarrow (f[\mathcal{B}^*] \subset f[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{A})(|f[\mathcal{B}^*]| < \aleph_0)$$

$$(Y = f[X] = f[\cup \mathcal{B}^*] = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*} f[B]).$$

Definition 16. A topological space X is said to be:

- (a) S -closed [18] (resp. e -closed compact) if every regular closed (resp. e -closed) cover of X has a finite subcover;
- (b) Countable S -closed compact [1] (resp. countable e -closed compact) if every countable cover of X by regular closed (resp. e -closed) sets has a finite subcover;
- (c) S -Lindelöf [11] (resp. e -closed Lindelöf) if every cover of X by regular closed (resp. e -closed) sets has a countable subcover.

Theorem 17. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a completely e -irresolute surjection. Then the following statements hold:

- (a) If X is S -closed, then Y is e -closed compact;
- (b) If X is S -Lindelöf, then Y is e -closed Lindelöf;
- (c) If X is countable S -closed compact, then Y is countable e -closed compact.

Proof. (a) Let X be S -closed and \mathcal{A} be an e -closed cover of Y .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset eC(Y))(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RC(X))(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \Big\} \Rightarrow \\ & \qquad X \text{ is } S\text{-closed} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow (\exists \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{B})(|\mathcal{B}^*| < \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}^*)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xrightarrow{f \text{ is surjective}} & (f[\mathcal{B}^*] \subset f[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{A})(|f[\mathcal{B}^*]| < \aleph_0) \\ (Y = f[X] = f[\cup \mathcal{B}^*] = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*} f[B]). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let X be S -Lindelöf and \mathcal{A} be an e -closed countable cover of Y .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset e\mathcal{C}(Y))(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} & \left. \begin{aligned} (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RC(X))(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \\ X \text{ is } S\text{-Lindelöf closed} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (\exists \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{B})(|\mathcal{B}^*| \leq \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}^*) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \xrightarrow{f \text{ is surjective}} & (f[\mathcal{B}^*] \subset f[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{A})(|f[\mathcal{B}^*]| \leq \aleph_0) \\ (Y = f[X] = f[\cup \mathcal{B}^*] = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*} f[B]). \end{aligned}$$

(c) Let X be countable S -closed compact and \mathcal{A} be an e -closed countable cover of Y .

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset e\mathcal{C}(Y))(|\mathcal{A}| \leq \aleph_0)(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RC(X))(|\mathcal{B}| \leq \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset e\mathcal{C}(Y))(|\mathcal{A}| \leq \aleph_0)(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RC(X))(|\mathcal{B}| \leq \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \end{aligned}} \right\} \\ & X \text{ is countable } S\text{-closed compact} \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset e\mathcal{C}(Y))(|\mathcal{A}| \leq \aleph_0)(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RC(X))(|\mathcal{B}| \leq \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \end{aligned}} \right\} \\ \Rightarrow & (\exists \mathcal{B}^* \subset \mathcal{B})(|\mathcal{B}^*| < \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}^*) \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset e\mathcal{C}(Y))(|\mathcal{A}| \leq \aleph_0)(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RC(X))(|\mathcal{B}| \leq \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \end{aligned}} \right\} \\ & f \text{ is surjective} \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A} \subset e\mathcal{C}(Y))(|\mathcal{A}| \leq \aleph_0)(Y = \cup \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} \\ & (\mathcal{B} := \{f^{-1}[A] | A \in \mathcal{A}\} \subset RC(X))(|\mathcal{B}| \leq \aleph_0)(X = \cup \mathcal{B}) \end{aligned}} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (f[\mathcal{B}^*] \subset f[\mathcal{B}] = \mathcal{A})(|f[\mathcal{B}^*]| < \aleph_0) \\ (Y = f[X] = f[\cup \mathcal{B}^*] = \cup_{B \in \mathcal{B}^*} f[B]). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 18. A topological space X is said to be almost regular [13] (resp. strongly e -regular) if for any regular closed (resp. e -closed) set $F \subset X$ and any point $x \in X \setminus F$, there exists disjoint open (resp. e -open) sets U and V such that $x \in U$ and $F \subset V$.

Theorem 19. If f is completely e -irresolute e -open bijection from an almost regular space X onto a space Y , then Y is strongly e -regular.

Proof. Let $F \in e\mathcal{C}(Y)$ and $f(x) = y \notin F$.

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) = y \notin F \in e\mathcal{C}(Y) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} & \left. \begin{aligned} x \notin f^{-1}[F] \in RC(X) \\ X \text{ is almost regular} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (\exists U, V \in e\mathcal{O}(X))(x \in U)(f^{-1}[F] \subset V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{f \text{ is } e\text{-open bijection}} (f[U], f[V] \in e\mathcal{O}(Y))(y = f(x) \in f[U]) \\ (F \subset f[V])(f[U] \cap f[V] = \emptyset).$$

Definition 20. A topological space X is said to be:

- (a) almost normal [15] if for each closed set A and each regular closed set B such that $A \cap B = \emptyset$, there exist disjoint open sets U and V such that $A \subset U$ and $B \subset V$.
- (b) strongly e -normal if for every pair of disjoint e -closed subsets A and B of X , there exist disjoint e -open sets U and V such that $A \subset V$ and $B \subset U$.

Theorem 21. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is completely e -

irresolute e -open bijection from an almost normal space X into a space Y , then Y is strongly e -normal.

Proof. Let $A, B \in e\mathcal{C}(Y)$ and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \left. \begin{aligned} (A, B \in e\mathcal{C}(Y))(A \cap B = \emptyset) \\ f \text{ is c. e. i.} \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (f^{-1}[A], f^{-1}[B] \in RC(X))(f^{-1}[A \cap B] = f^{-1}[\emptyset]) \\ \Rightarrow & (f^{-1}[A], f^{-1}[B] \in RC(X))(f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B] = \emptyset) \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & (f^{-1}[A], f^{-1}[B] \in RC(X))(f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B] = \emptyset) \\ & (f^{-1}[A], f^{-1}[B] \in RC(X))(f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B] = \emptyset) \end{aligned}} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (f^{-1}[A] \in \mathcal{C}(X))(f^{-1}[B] \in RC(X)) \\ & (f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B] = \emptyset) \xrightarrow{X \text{ is almost normal}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & (\exists U, V \in \tau)(f^{-1}[A] \subset U)(f^{-1}[B] \subset V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & (\exists U, V \in \tau)(f^{-1}[A] \subset U)(f^{-1}[B] \subset V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \\ & (\exists U, V \in \tau)(f^{-1}[A] \subset U)(f^{-1}[B] \subset V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \end{aligned}} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ & f \text{ is } e\text{-open bijection} \left. \vphantom{\begin{aligned} & (\exists U, V \in \tau)(f^{-1}[A] \subset U)(f^{-1}[B] \subset V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \\ & (\exists U, V \in \tau)(f^{-1}[A] \subset U)(f^{-1}[B] \subset V)(U \cap V = \emptyset) \end{aligned}} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (f[U], f[V] \in e\mathcal{O}(Y))(A \subset f[U])(B \subset f[V]) \\ (f[U] \cap f[V] = \emptyset). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 22. A topological space (X, τ) is said to be e - T_1 [6] (resp. r - T_1 [5]) if for each pair of distinct points x and y of X , there exist e -open (resp. regular open) sets U_1 and U_2 such that $x \in U_1$ and $y \in U_2$, $x \notin U_2$ and $y \notin U_1$.

Theorem 23. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is completely e -irresolute injection and Y is e - T_1 , then X is r - T_1 .

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y \in X)(x \neq y) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is injective}} & \left. \begin{aligned} f(x) \neq f(y) \\ Y \text{ is } e\text{-}T_1 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (\exists F_1 \in e\mathcal{O}(Y, f(x)))(\exists F_2 \in e\mathcal{O}(Y, f(y)))(f(x) \notin F_2) \\ & (f(y) \notin F_1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} (f^{-1}[F_1] \in RO(X, x))(f^{-1}[F_2] \in RO(X, y)) \\ (x \notin f^{-1}[F_2])(y \notin f^{-1}[F_1]).$$

Definition 24. A topological space X is said to be e - T_2 [8] (resp. r - T_2 [16]) for each pair of distinct points x and y in X , there exist disjoint e -open (resp. regular open) sets A and B in X such that $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Theorem 25. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is completely e -irresolute injection and Y is e - T_2 , then X is r - T_2 .

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$ and $x \neq y$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y \in X)(x \neq y) \xrightarrow{f \text{ is injective}} & \left. \begin{aligned} f(x) \neq f(y) \\ Y \text{ is } e\text{-}T_2 \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow & (\exists A \in e\mathcal{O}(Y, f(x)))(\exists B \in e\mathcal{O}(Y, f(y)))(A \cap B = \emptyset) \end{aligned}$$

$$\xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} (f^{-1}[A] \in RO(X, x))(f^{-1}[B] \in RO(X, y))$$

$$(f^{-1}[A] \cap f^{-1}[B] = \emptyset).$$

Theorem 26. Let Y be an $e-T_2$ space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: X \rightarrow Y$ are completely e -irresolute, then the set $A = \{x | f(x) = g(x)\} \in \delta C(X)$.

Proof. Let $x \notin A$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \notin A &\Rightarrow f(x) \neq g(x) \\ &\left. \begin{array}{l} Y \text{ is } e-T_2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists V_1 \in eO(Y, f(x))) (\exists V_2 \in eO(Y, g(x))) \\ &\quad (V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{f \text{ and } g \text{ are c.e.i.}} \\ &\Rightarrow (f^{-1}[V_1] \in RO(X, x)) (g^{-1}[V_2] \in RO(X, x)) \\ &\quad (f^{-1}[V_1] \cap g^{-1}[V_2] = \emptyset) \\ &\Rightarrow (U := f^{-1}[V_1] \cap g^{-1}[V_2] \in RO(X, x)) (U \cap A = \emptyset) \\ &\Rightarrow x \notin cl_\delta(A). \end{aligned}$$

Then A is δ -closed in X .

Theorem 27. Let Y be an $e-T_2$ space. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is completely e -irresolute, then the set $B = \{(x, y) | f(x) = f(y)\} \in \delta C(X \times X)$.

Proof. Let $(x, y) \notin B$.

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \notin B &\Rightarrow f(x) \neq f(y) \\ &\left. \begin{array}{l} Y \text{ is } e-T_2 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists V_1 \in eO(Y, f(x))) (\exists V_2 \in eO(Y, f(y))) \\ &\quad (V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset) \\ &\quad \xrightarrow{f \text{ is c.e.i.}} (f^{-1}[V_1] \in RO(X, x)) (f^{-1}[V_2] \in RO(X, y)) \\ &\quad (f^{-1}[V_1] \cap f^{-1}[V_2] = \emptyset) \\ &\Rightarrow (U := f^{-1}[V_1] \times f^{-1}[V_2] \in RO(X \times X, (x, y))) \\ &\quad (U \cap B = \emptyset) \\ &\Rightarrow (x, y) \notin cl_\delta(B). \end{aligned}$$

Then B is δ -closed in $X \times X$.

3 Completely Weakly e -irresolute Functions

Definition 28. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be completely weakly e -irresolute (briefly c.w.e.i.) if for each $x \in X$ and for any e -open set V containing $f(x)$, there exists an open set U containing x such that $f[U] \subset V$.

Remark 29. We have the following diagram from Definition 1 and Definition 2 and Definition 28. The converses of these implications are not true in general as shown by the following examples.

$$\text{c.e.i.} \rightarrow \text{c.w.e.i.} \rightarrow \text{e.i.}$$

Example 30. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$, $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, c\}\}$

and $\sigma = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then the identity function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \sigma)$ is e -irresolute but not completely weakly e -irresolute.

QUESTION. Is there any completely weakly e -irresolute function which is not completely e -irresolute?

Theorem 31. Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a function, then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) f is completely weakly e -irresolute;
- (b) $f^{-1}[e - \text{int}(B)] \subset \text{int}(f^{-1}[B])$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (c) $f[cl(A)] \subset e - cl(f[A])$ for every subset A of X ;
- (d) $cl(f^{-1}[B]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(B)]$ for every subset B of Y ;
- (e) $f^{-1}[V]$ is closed in X for each e -closed set V in Y ;
- (f) $f^{-1}[V]$ is open in X for each e -open set V in Y .

Proof. (a) \Rightarrow (b): Let $B \subset Y$ and $x \in f^{-1}[e - \text{int}(B)]$.

$$\begin{aligned} x \in f^{-1}[e - \text{int}(B)] &\Rightarrow e - \text{int}(B) \in eO(Y, f(x)) \\ &\stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow} (\exists U \in \mathcal{U}(x)) (f[U] \subset e - \text{int}(B) \subset B) \\ &\Rightarrow (\exists U \in \mathcal{U}(x)) (U \subset f^{-1}[B]) \Rightarrow x \in \text{int}(f^{-1}[B]). \end{aligned}$$

(b) \Rightarrow (c): Let $A \subset X$.

$$\begin{aligned} A \subset X &\Rightarrow f[A] \subset Y \Rightarrow Y \setminus f[A] \subset Y \stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} \\ &\stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} f^{-1}[e - \text{int}(Y \setminus f[A])] \subset \text{int}(f^{-1}[Y \setminus f[A]]) \\ &\Rightarrow X \setminus f^{-1}[e - cl(f[A])] \subset X \setminus cl(f^{-1}[f[A]]) \\ &\Rightarrow cl(A) \subset cl(f^{-1}[f[A]]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(f[A])] \\ &\Rightarrow f[cl(A)] \subset e - cl(f[A]). \end{aligned}$$

(c) \Rightarrow (d): Let $B \subset Y$.

$$\begin{aligned} B \subset Y &\Rightarrow f^{-1}[B] \subset X \stackrel{(c)}{\Rightarrow} \\ &\stackrel{(c)}{\Rightarrow} f[cl(f^{-1}[B])] \subset e - cl(f[f^{-1}[B]]) \subset e - cl(B) \\ &\Rightarrow cl(f^{-1}[B]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(B)]. \end{aligned}$$

(d) \Rightarrow (e): Let $V \in eC(Y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} V \in eC(Y) &\Rightarrow V = e - cl(V) \stackrel{(d)}{\Rightarrow} \\ &\stackrel{(d)}{\Rightarrow} cl(f^{-1}[V]) \subset f^{-1}[e - cl(V)] = f^{-1}[V] \\ &\Rightarrow f^{-1}[V] = cl(f^{-1}[V]) \Rightarrow f^{-1}[V] \in C(X). \end{aligned}$$

(e) \Rightarrow (f): Obvious.

(f) \Rightarrow (a): Let $V \in eO(Y)$ and $x \in f^{-1}[V]$.

$$\begin{aligned} (V \in eO(Y)) (x \in f^{-1}[V]) &\Rightarrow V \in eO(Y, f(x)) \stackrel{(f)}{\Rightarrow} \\ &\stackrel{(f)}{\Rightarrow} (U := f^{-1}[V] \in \mathcal{U}(x)) (f[U] \subset V). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 32. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a bijective function. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) f is completely weakly e -irresolute;
- (b) $e - \text{int}(f[A]) \subset f[\text{int}(A)]$ for every subset of X .

Proof. **(a) ⇒ (b):** Let $A \subset X$.

$$A \subset X \Rightarrow X \setminus A \subset X \stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow}$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{\Rightarrow} f[X \setminus \text{int}(A)] = f[\text{cl}(X \setminus A)] \subset e - \text{cl}(f[X \setminus A]) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ f \text{ is bijection} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \setminus f[\text{int}(A)] \subset Y \setminus e - \text{int}(f[A])$$

$$\Rightarrow e - \text{int}(f[A]) \subset f[\text{int}(A)].$$

(b) ⇒ (a): Let $A \subset X$.

$$A \subset X \Rightarrow X \setminus A \subset X \stackrel{(b)}{\Rightarrow} e - \text{int}(f[X \setminus A]) \subset f[\text{int}(X \setminus A)] \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ f \text{ is bijection} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\Rightarrow Y \setminus e - \text{cl}(f[A]) \subset Y \setminus f[\text{cl}(A)]$$

$$\Rightarrow f[\text{cl}(A)] \subset e - \text{cl}(f[A]).$$

Theorem 33. Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ be any two functions. Then the following statements hold:

(a) If f is c.w.e.i. and g is e -irresolute, then $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ is c.w.e.i.

(b) If f is completely continuous and g is c.w.e.i., then $g \circ f$ is c.e.i.

(c) If f is strongly continuous and g is c.e.i., then $g \circ f$ is c.e.i.

(d) If f and g are c.e.i., then $g \circ f$ is c.e.i.

(e) If f is c.e.i. and g is c.w.e.i., then $g \circ f$ is c.e.i.

(f) If f is c.w.e.i. and g is e -continuous, then $g \circ f$ is continuous.

(g) If f is e -continuous and g is c.w.e.i., then $g \circ f$ is e -irresolute.

(h) If f is continuous and g is c.w.e.i., then $g \circ f$ is c.w.e.i.

Proof. Straightforward.

Definition 34. A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be almost open [12] if $f[U]$ is open in Y for every regular open set U of X .

Theorem 35. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is almost open surjection and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is any function such that $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is completely e -irresolute, then g is completely weakly e -irresolute.

Proof. Let $V \in eO(Z)$.

$$V \in eO(Z) \stackrel{g \circ f \text{ is c.e.i.}}{\Rightarrow} (g \circ f)^{-1}[V] = f^{-1}[g^{-1}[V]] \in RO(X) \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ f \text{ is almost open surjection} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f[f^{-1}[g^{-1}[V]]] = g^{-1}[V] \in \sigma.$$

Theorem 36. If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is open surjection and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is any function such that $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$ is completely weakly e -irresolute, then g is completely weakly e -irresolute.

Proof. Let $V \in eO(Z)$.

$$V \in eO(Z) \stackrel{g \circ f \text{ is c.w.e.i.}}{\Rightarrow} (g \circ f)^{-1}[V] = f^{-1}[g^{-1}[V]] \in \tau \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ f \text{ is open surjection} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow f[f^{-1}[g^{-1}[V]]] = g^{-1}[V] \in \sigma.$$

Acknowledgement. This work is financially supported by Muğla Sıtkı Koçman University, Turkey under BAP grant no: 15/181.

4 References

[1] Abd El-Monsef, M.E.; El-Deep, S.N.; Mohmoud, R.A. β -open sets and β -continuous mappings. Bulletin of the Faculty of Science Assiut University. 1983; 12, 77-90.

[2] Arya, S.P.; Gupta, R. On strongly continuous maps. Kyungpook Mathematical Journal. 1974; 14, 131-143.

[3] Allam, A.A.; Zaharan, A.M.; Hasanein, I.A. On almost continuous, δ -continuous and set connected mappings. Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics. 1987; 18(11), 991-996.

[4] Ergun, N. On nearly paracompact spaces. Istanbul Universitesi Fen Fakültesi Mecmuası A Serisi. 1980; 45, 65-87.

[5] Ekici, E. Generalization of perfectly continuous, regular set-connected and clopen functions. Acta Mathematica Hungarica. 2005; 107(3), 193-206.

[6] Ekici, E. New forms of contra continuity. Carpathian Journal of Mathematics. 2008; 24(1), 37-45.

[7] Ekici, E. On e -open sets, \mathcal{DP}^* -sets and $\mathcal{DP}\mathcal{E}^*$ -sets and decompositions of continuity. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering. 2008; 33(2A), 269-281.

[8] Ekici, E. Some weak forms of δ -continuity and e^* -first-countable spaces (submitted)

[9] Levine, N. Strong continuity in topological spaces. The American Mathematical Monthly. 1960; 67, 269.

[10] Long, P.E.; Herrington, L.L. Basic properties of regular-closed functions. Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo. 1978; 27, 20-28.

[11] Maio, G.D. S -closed spaces, S -sets and S -continuous functions. Accademia delle Scienze di Torino. 1984; 118, 125-134.

[12] Singal, M.K.; Singal, A. Almost continuous mappings. Yokohama Mathematical Journal. 1968; 16, 63-73.

[13] Singal, M.K.; Arya, S.P. On almost regular spaces, Glasnik Matematicki. 1969; 4(24), 89-99.

[14] Singal, M.K.; Singal, A.R.; Mathur, A. On nearly-compact spaces. *Bollettino dell'Unione Matematica Italiana*. 1969; 4(2), 702-710.

[15] Singal, M.K.; Arya, S.P. Almost normal and almost completely regular spaces. *Glasnik Matematički*. 1970; 5(25), 141-152.

[16] Staum, R. The algebra of bounded continuous functions into a nonarchimedean field. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*. 1974; 50, 169-185.

[17] Stone, M.H. Applications of the theory of Boolean rings to general topology. *Transaction of the American Mathematical Society*. 1937; 41, 373-381.

[18] Thomson, T. S -closed spaces. *Proceeding of the American Mathematical Society*. 1976; 60, 335-338.

[19] Velicko, N.V. H -closed topological spaces. *American Mathematical Society Translations Series 2*. 1968; 78(2), 103-118.