



Research Article

J Exp Clin Med
2023; 40(3): 437-442
doi: 10.52142/omujecm.40.3.3

A retrospective observational study of autologous peripheral blood stem-cell transplantation and long-term survival outcomes - An institutional experience

Mukesh KUMAR^{1,*}, Sandeep JASUJA¹, Aditi MITTAL¹, Krutika GOEL², Ramkrishan¹, Lalchand MITTAL¹,
Suman KAPURIA³, Jaiprakash YADAV¹

¹Department of Medical Oncology and BMT, SMS Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

²Department of Pediatric Oncology and BMT, SMS Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

³Department of Pathology, SMS Hospital, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India

Received: 19.06.2023

Accepted/Published Online: 29.07.2023

Final Version: 30.08.2023

Abstract

Autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation (PBSCT) has been employed in patients with various haematological and non-haematological malignancies. The present retrospective study aimed to examine the clinical efficacy and overall long-term survival outcomes of the patients who underwent autologous PBSCT. The clinical data of 49 patients with various haematological and non-haematological malignancies from the Department of Haematology of SMS Hospital from April 2015 to March 2021 were retrospectively analysed. The median age of our patients was 41.5 years. Among all indications, relapsed hodgkins lymphoma (10, 20.4%) and multiple myeloma (27, 55.1%) were reported to be high. The average engraftment was observed to be 11 days with no post-operative complications. The average follow-up period was 2.5 years with a mortality rate of 8.16% (4). Overall, a total of 43 (87.75%) patients showed a complete response with a relapse rate of 12.24% (6). In conclusion, autologous PBSCT can be an effective treatment option with good clinical efficacy, and long term survival outcomes. Our results are comparable to those of many national and international published reports. Overall, the results suggest that with improved management of conditioning-related toxicities and infections, it is possible to develop PBSCT programs in third-world countries and achieve outcomes comparable to those in the international data.

Keywords: autologous transplantation, peripheral blood stem-cell transplantation, conditioning regimen, retrospective observational study, survival outcomes

1. Introduction

Cancer is the leading cause of death worldwide with an estimated 19.3 million new cancer cases and 10 million cancer deaths in 2020 alone (1). Among all the cancers, hematological malignancies are a heterogeneous group of cancers that comprise diverse subgroups of neoplasms originated from uncontrolled growth of hematopoietic and lymphoid tissues (2,3). These biologically and clinically heterogeneous disorders account for 6.5% of all cancers around the world (2). They are commonly classified into four common subtypes: multiple myeloma (MM), non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL), Hodgkin lymphoma (HL), and leukemia.

In patients with hematological malignancies and disorders, bone marrow failure is a common phenomenon. In such patients, myeloablation or myeloablative therapy is initiated using very high doses of chemotherapy/radiation therapy to kill the cancer cells as a potentially curative treatment for a variety of hematological diseases. Such high doses also lead to death of normal bone marrow stem cells. In such cases, hematopoietic stem cell transplantation is done to restore those destroyed cells using either autologous or allogeneic stem cells through one of the following methods: bone marrow transplant

(BMT), peripheral blood stem cell transplant (PBSCT), and cord blood transplant (CBT). The goal of the engraftment is for the transplanted cells in the bone marrow to grow/make healthy blood cells over time.

Among all hematopoietic stem cell transplantation methods, PBSCT has been preferred over others for more than 25-30 years, since its first reported use in 1989 (4,5). The use of PBSCT as a source is preferred and supplanted over BMT due to its higher potency to restore hematopoietic and immune functions, stem cell harvesting technique without the need of general anesthesia, and the discomfort associated with multiple BM aspirations (4). Other major benefits include graft-versus-tumor effect, fewer febrile days, a lower incidence of infections, a lower requirement of antibiotics, a lower number of platelet and red cell transfusions, and lower intensive care requirements leading to reduced costs (4). In contrast, the treatment with PBSCT is highly toxic and risky due to its severe immunological complications such as acute/chronic graft versus host disease (GVHD) (6,7). The most common causes of post-transplant mortality are organ toxicity, infections (11%), GVHD (12%), and relapse of neoplastic

*Correspondence: drmkms@gmail.com

disease (41%) (6,8).

In the present study, we report our experience of autologous peripheral blood stem-cell transplantation, its clinical efficacy, and long term survival outcomes in our patients.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patient screening and selection

In this single-centre observational study, the medical records of all the patients who underwent autologous stem cell transplant from April 2015 to March 2021 were retrospectively analysed. The age range for the consideration was ≤ 75 years with a suitable Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status, and the lack of significant comorbidities or multiple organ dysfunctions. Patients with only particular cancers (table 1) were included, while other active cancer patients were deemed ineligible. The present study data was also keen on multiple patient specific characteristics as mentioned in table 1 such as type of transplantation, diagnosis, status of the transplant, chemotherapy regimen, etc. All patients gave their written informed consent. This retrospective evaluation has been approved by the institutional ethics committee. The objectives of our study were to investigate overall survival (OS), and treatment-related death (TRD) after transplantation.

2.2. Data collection and analysis

The patient's information such as socio-demographic profile, clinical history, diagnosis, type of transplant underwent, status of transplant, chemotherapy given, and follow-up details were collected, classified, and categorised. Procured data was analysed descriptively. OS was calculated starting from the day of the first PBSCT (day 0) until death from any reason with censoring of patients alive at their last follow-up. TRD was determined as death other than progression or relapse before day +100 from the last PBSCT. The results were compiled and statistically analysed using SPSS 22 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

3. Results

Table 1 shows the base-line characteristics of the 49 patients. Among the 49 patients, the youngest one was 5 years and the oldest was 67 years. Only patients undergoing their first transplant were considered for this present study and all of them underwent PBSCT using their own cryopreserved stem cells collected prior to conditioning. The most common diagnosis reported in our patients was multiple myeloma (27, 55%), relapsed (non-hodgkins and hodgkins lymphoma) (13, 26.53%), and multiple sclerosis (3, 6.1%). At the time of transplant, 67.34% of our patients were under remission. The average follow-up period post transplantation was 30 months. Among 49 patients, 4 patients were succumbed due to renal failure (2, 4%) and other GI infections (2, 4%). In the remaining, 43 patients have shown a complete response (87.75%) and 2 (4.4%) were under relapse. Overall, an average engraftment period for all the patients was reported to be 11 days.

Table 1. Baseline patient characteristics and transplant data of our autologous patients

Characteristics	Number of patients (n=49), %
Age (years \pm SD)	41.5 (14.7)
Sex	
Men	36 (73.4)
Women	13 (26.6)
Chronological number of transplant - 1 st transplant	
Type of Transplant - Autologous	
Donor - Self - PBSCT	49
Type - PB - Mobilized peripheral blood stem cells (all are cryopreserved stem cells)	
Diagnosis - conditioning regimen used	
Relapsed (non-hodgkins and hodgkins lymphoma) - BEAM	13 (26.53)
Relapsed non - hodgkins lymphoma - LACE	2 (4.08)
Neuroblastoma - CECy	1 (2.04)
Amyloidosis - Melphalan	1 (2.04)
Primary CNS Lymphoma - RCT	1 (2.04)
Relapsed seminoma testes - CECy	1 (2.04)
Multiple sclerosis - BEAM-ATG	3 (6.12)
Multiple myeloma - Melphalan	27 (55.1)
Day of engraftment (on average)	11
Status at transplant	
Remission	33 (67.34)
Non-Remission	16 (32.65)
Time (average days)	914
Status at LFU	
Alive	45 (91.83)
Dead	4 (8.16)
Cause of Death	
kidney failure	2 (4.08)
Infections	2 (4.08)
If Alive	
Complete response	43 (87.75)
Relapse	6 (12.24)

BEAM - Carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan; BEAM ATG - Carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan, anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG); CECy - Carboetocyclophosphamide; LACE - Lomustine, etoposide, cytarabine(Ara-C), cyclophosphamide; RCT - Rituximib, Carmustine, thiotepa; PBSCT - Peripheral blood stem cells transplantation; LFU - Last follow-up.

4. Discussion

The rationale of the present study was to compile and analyse the data to observe the survival outcomes our patients after autologous transplantation performed in the past decade at our centre. The four main indications were multiple sclerosis (MS), relapsed non-hodgkins lymphoma (NHL), relapsed hodgkins lymphoma (RHL), and multiple myeloma (MM). Over the past 20 years almost all the haematological malignancies are treated with PBSCT by largely replacing the bone marrow transplantation procedure for both autologous and allogeneic stem cell transplantation. Use of such mobilized PBSC were

proven to be highly efficient in terms of rapid restoration of the immune system, convenience of stem cell collection, fewer transfusions, shorter engraftment time, and a shorter hospital stay. In all of our patients, PBSCT were used.

Many studies have shown the preponderance of haematological and non-haematological malignancies in elders (60 years of age or older) compared to adolescents (0–19 years) and young adults (20–59 years) (9–11) However, some reports have made exceptions and have shown a higher frequency in adolescents and young adults (AYA, 0 – 39 years).(12,13) Our patients group median age was observed to be high in young adults as reported by previous studies (12,13). Many studies have confirmed the slight predominance of male over female patients.(2) In contrast, majority of our patients were male. Whereas with the current transplantations, almost all of autologous and a majority of allogeneic transplants are performed with mobilized peripheral blood stem cells due to their potential for tumor cell free collection and restoration of hematopoietic and immune functions more rapidly than BM (14,15,24,16–23). Before transplantation, conditioning regimens were given to all the patients with hematological indications using myeloablative conditioning chemotherapy using central lines by avoiding non-myeloablative or reduced intensity regimens and total body irradiation.

The preparative or conditioning regimen is a critical element that helps prepare patients for stem cell transplantation by killing any cancer cells that are in the body. As shown in table 1 and table 2, every indication has its own conditioning regimen for better outcomes. Most of our patients have four main indications and their conditioning protocols are presented in table 2.

Over the last three decades, BEAM and LACE have been the most widely used conditioning regimens before autologous stem cell transplantation for patients with NHL, relapsed NHL, and relapsed hodgkin lymphoma (HL).(2,3,11,13,25–30) In recent times, as a result of two major ground-breaking CORAL and PARMA trials, it has become a standard of care (SOC) in the treatment of chemotherapy-sensitive and relapsed NHL.(27,31,32) BEAM and LACE are generally very effective and well tolerated. In view of findings from previous studies, in our patients too we have adapted the BEAM and LACE protocols as SOC to treat HL, NHL, and relapsed NHL.(25,29,32–35)

Table 2. Disease indication conditioning regimens and their protocols

Conditioning regimens	Indications	Protocol
BEAM(25,29,33,34)	Relapsed hodgkins lymphoma and relapsed non-hodgkins lymphoma	Day-6: Carmustine (BCNU) (300mg/m ²) Days -5, -4, -3, -2: Etoposide (200mg/m ²) Cytarabine (Ara-c) (400mg/m ²) Day -1: Melphalan

		(140mg/m ²) Day 0: stem-cell transplant
BEAM-ATG(36–41)	Multiple sclerosis	Day -6: Carmustine (BCNU) (300mg/m ²) Days -5, -4, -3, -2: Etoposide (200mg/m ²) Cytarabine (Ara-c) (400mg/m ²) Day -1: Melphalan (140mg/m ²) + peri-transplant ATG as an intermediate-intensity regimen. Day 0: stem-cell transplant
LACE(26,28,30,50–52)	Relapsed non-hodgkins lymphoma	Day -7: Lomustine (200mg/m ²) Day -7: Etoposide (1000mg/m ²) Day -6, -5: Cytarabine (Ara-C) (2000mg/m ²) Day -4, -3, -2: cyclophosphamide (1800mg/m ²) Day 0: stem-cell transplant
Melphalan (42,45,49,53–55)	Multiple myeloma	Day -1: Melphalan (200mg/m ²) or Melphalan (140mg/m ²) Day 0: stem-cell transplant

BEAM - Carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan; BEAM ATG - Carmustine, etoposide, cytarabine, melphalan, anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG); CECy - Carboetocyclophosphamide; CM – Carbomelphalanetopocyte; LACE - Lomustine, etoposide, cytarabine(Ara-C), cyclophosphamide; RCT – Rituximib, Carmustine, thiotepa;

Recently, BEAM has been in the process of being replaced by a more economic and available fotemustine or bendamustine, etoposide, cytarabine, and melphalan (BeEAM) regimen. However, due to a lack of larger, prospective trials data on its risk-benefit ratio- instead of BeEAM, BEAM chemotherapy was used in our patients. In MS, we have used BEAM-ATG as conditional regimen due its high safety and efficacy profile. Its usage is also quite popular across Europe, North and South America. (36–41) Whereas in our MM patients, the current accepted standard conditioning regimen - high-dose melphalan (200 mg/m²) was used (42). Previous trials attempting to replace this with oral and intravenous busulfan have failed, due to increased toxicity and a lack of superiority, respectively (42–44).

The average engraftment period after conditioning in our patients was observed to be 11 days and it was consistent and comparable with the reports published from multiple studies and other standard international data. On average, almost all

the studies have reported the median engraftment day of their patients as 13 days (\pm 4 days) (28,34,38,45–48). Overall survival of our autologous transplant patients was 91.83% with a remission rate as high as 67.34% compared to the other studies where it was 30 - 65% (47,49). Complete remission means the disappearance of all signs of cancer, but it does not always mean that the cancer has been cured. A total of 87.75% of our patients have shown complete responses. On the other hand, transplant related mortality was reported in our patients, however, two patients were succumbed to kidney failure and infections due to non-transplant related complications. Such non-transplant mortalities due to various causes were reported to be up to 37% and they were 8% in our patient group (47).

In a developing and resource limiting settings like India, performing autologous PBSCT are complex due to various reasons such as a lack of expertise, knowledge, infrastructure, and high treatment related costs. However, reports from this study can assure the fellow clinicians and the patients about the positive outcomes and we encourage other centres to start performing such transplantations or refer eligible patients for this available important treatment option.

In conclusion, our results reinforced the evidence for encouraging autologous PBSCT for eligible patients. In our study with 49 patients treated with autologous PBSCT, the long-term overall survival and complete response were highly positive. Autologous PBSCT is now evolving as a highly efficacious and relatively safe therapeutic option for the treatment of patients with variable hematological and non-hematological malignancies. In autologous PBSCT, conditioning chemotherapy is of utmost importance and it plays a vital role in overall survival of the patient by avoiding various unnecessary post-operative and long-term complications. Even though the study is limited by its retrospective nature and some differences in cohort, the findings indicate that autologous PBSCT could serve as a best available treatment. Large prospective clinical trials and long-term registry data are required to ascertain its long-term safety, efficacy and to optimise the transplant techniques. It is of prime importance for scientists, healthcare organizations, haematological societies, and persons to organise such large prospective studies to demonstrate the effectiveness of Autologous PBSCT.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Funding

The authors declared that this study has received no financial support.

Acknowledgments

Great appreciation is owed to all of the participants contributed to our work.

Authors' contributions

Concept - M.K., J.Y.; Design - M.K., J.Y.; Data Collection or

Processing - M.K., S.J., A.M., K.G., R.K., L.M., S.K., J.Y.; Analysis or Interpretation - M.K., J.Y.; Literature Search - M.K., J.Y.; Writing: M.K.

Ethical Statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board and Ethics Committee of SMS Hospital, Jaipur as part of project in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and later amendments. As the study was retrospective, there was no study-specific consent. All patients granted verbal or written consent prior to and investigation or treatment.

References

1. Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A, et al. Global Cancer Statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN Estimates of Incidence and Mortality Worldwide for 36 Cancers in 185 Countries. *CA Cancer J Clin* [Internet]. 2021 May 1 [cited 2021 Oct 19];71(3):209–49. Available from: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.3322/caac.21660>
2. Hungria VT de M, Chiattono C, Pavlovsky M, Abenzoa LM, Agreda GP, Armenta J, et al. Epidemiology of Hematologic Malignancies in Real-World Settings: Findings From the Hemato-Oncology Latin America Observational Registry Study. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JGO1900025>. 2019 Nov 27;5:1–19.
3. Keykhaei M, Masinaei M, Mohammadi E, Azadnajafabad S, Rezaei N, Saeedi Moghaddam S, et al. A global, regional, and national survey on burden and Quality of Care Index (QCI) of hematologic malignancies; global burden of disease systematic analysis 1990–2017. *Exp Hematol Oncol* 2021 101 [Internet]. 2021 Feb 8 [cited 2021 Oct 19];10(1):1–15. Available from: <https://ehonline.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s40164-021-00198-2>
4. O A, R M. Mobilization of peripheral blood stem cells. *Transfus Apher Sci* [Internet]. 2007 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 19];37(2):179–85. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17980665/>
5. Nishimura KK, Barlogie B, van Rhee F, Zangari M, Walker BA, Rosenthal A, et al. Long-term outcomes after autologous stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma. *Blood Adv*. 2020 Jan 28;4(2):422–31.
6. Saad A, Lamb LS. Ex vivo T-cell depletion in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplant: past, present and future. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2017 529 [Internet]. 2017 Mar 20 [cited 2021 Oct 19];52(9):1241–8. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/bmt201722>
7. Melve GK, Ersvaer E, Eide GE, Kristoffersen EK, Bruserud Ø. Peripheral Blood Stem Cell Mobilization in Healthy Donors by Granulocyte Colony-Stimulating Factor Causes Preferential Mobilization of Lymphocyte Subsets. *Front Immunol*. 2018 May 2;0(MAY):845.
8. JR W, NS M, R B, Z W, KA S, D J, et al. Long-term survival and late deaths after allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2011 Jun 1 [cited 2021 Oct 19];29(16):2230–9. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21464398/>
9. Peyrade F, Gastaud L, Ré D, Pacquelet-Cheli S, Thyss A. Treatment decisions for elderly patients with haematological malignancies: a dilemma. *Lancet Oncol*. 2012 Aug 1;13(8):e344–52.
10. Alves A de SBM, Bataglia FB, Conterno L de O, Segato R, Payão SLM. Epidemiological and cytogenetic profiles of patients with hematological malignancies and their relationship with aging. *Hematol Transfus Cell Ther*. 2018 Jul 1;40(3):200–6.
11. Sant M, Allemanni C, Tereanu C, De Angelis R, Capocaccia R, Visser O, et al. Incidence of hematologic malignancies in Europe

- by morphologic subtype: results of the HAEMACARE project. *Blood* [Internet]. 2010 Nov 11 [cited 2021 Oct 22];116(19):3724–34. Available from: <http://ashpublications.org/blood/article-pdf/116/19/3724/1489994/zh804510003724.pdf>
12. Poon EYL, Wong E, Goh WL, Hong J, Wong V, Quah D, et al. Hematological malignancies in the adolescent and young adult (AYA) population in Singapore. *https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO20203815_suppl.e13630*. 2020 May 25;38(15_suppl):e13630–e13630.
 13. MM H, E R, TA S, MS H. Pattern of hematological malignancies in adolescents and young adults in Bangladesh. *Cancer Epidemiol* [Internet]. 2017 Dec 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];51:109–12. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29121606/>
 14. Visani G, Lemoli R, Tosi P, Martinelli G, Testoni N, Ricci P, et al. Use of peripheral blood stem cells for autologous transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia patients allows faster engraftment and equivalent disease-free survival compared with bone marrow cells. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 1999 245 [Internet]. 1999 Sep 8 [cited 2021 Oct 22];24(5):467–72. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/1701920>
 15. Arslan Ö, Moog R. Mobilization of peripheral blood stem cells. *Transfus Apher Sci* [Internet]. 2007 Oct 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];37(2):179–85. Available from: <http://www.trasci.com/article/S1473050207001115/fulltext>
 16. WI B, PJ M, B S, R C, SJ F, R N, et al. Transplantation of bone marrow as compared with peripheral-blood cells from HLA-identical relatives in patients with hematologic cancers. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 2001 Jan 18 [cited 2021 Oct 22];344(3):175–81. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11172139/>
 17. Russell NH, Pacey S. Economic evaluation of peripheral blood stem cell transplantation for lymphoma. *Lancet* [Internet]. 1992 Nov 21 [cited 2021 Oct 22];340(8830):1290. Available from: <http://www.thelancet.com/article/0140673692929920/fulltext>
 18. Reconstitution of human hematopoietic function with autologous cryopreserved circulating stem cells - PubMed [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/2868909/>
 19. Linker CA. Autologous stem cell transplantation for acute myeloid leukemia. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2003 May;31(9):731–8.
 20. Linker CA, Damon LE, Ries CA, Navarro WA, Case D, Wolf JL. Autologous stem cell transplantation for advanced acute myeloid leukemia. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2002;29(4):297–301.
 21. Use of peripheral blood stem cells for autologous transplantation in acute myeloid leukemia patients allows faster engraftment and equivalent disease-free survival compared with bone marrow cells | Bone Marrow Transplantation [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/1701920>
 22. Wierenga PK, Weersing E, Dontje B, de Haan G, van Os R. Differential role for very late antigen-5 in mobilization and homing of hematopoietic stem cells. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2006 Dec;38(12):789–97.
 23. Höglund M, Brune M, Sallerfors B, Ahlgren T, Billström R, Hedenus M, et al. More efficient mobilisation of peripheral blood stem cells with HiDAC + AMSA + G-CSF than with mini-ICE + G-CSF in patients with AML. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2003 Dec;32(12):1119–24.
 24. Blum V, Heimi AD, Novak U, Taleghani BM, Baerlocher GM, Leibundgut K, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell remobilization with vinorelbine and filgrastim in AML. *Bone Marrow Transplant*. 2017 May 1;52(5):786–8.
 25. S S, N S, R D, Q Z, D A, P E, et al. BEAM or BUCYVP16-conditioning regimen for autologous stem-cell transplantation in non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. *Bone Marrow Transplant* [Internet]. 2019 Oct 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];54(10):1553–61. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30718797/>
 26. JB P, C G, R S, D O, J S, A C, et al. LACE-conditioned autologous stem cell transplantation for relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma: treatment outcome and risk factor analysis in 67 patients from a single centre. *Bone Marrow Transplant* [Internet]. 2007 Jan [cited 2021 Oct 22];39(1):41–7. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17115062/>
 27. T P, C G, A H, R S, H V der L, D B, et al. Autologous bone marrow transplantation as compared with salvage chemotherapy in relapses of chemotherapy-sensitive non-Hodgkin's lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* [Internet]. 1995 Dec 7 [cited 2021 Oct 23];333(23):1540–5. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/7477169/>
 28. Gupta, Gokarn A, Rajamanickam D, Punatar S, Thippeswamy R, Mathew L, et al. Lomustine, cytarabine, cyclophosphamide, etoposide – An effective conditioning regimen in autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplant for primary refractory or relapsed lymphoma: Analysis of toxicity, long-term outcome, and prognostic factors. *J Cancer Res Ther* [Internet]. 2018 Jul 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];14(5):926. Available from: <https://www.cancerjournal.net/article.asp?issn=0973-1482;year=2018;volume=14;issue=5;page=926;epage=933;aulast=Gupta>
 29. J O, F M, M P, A F, S R, M G, et al. A Comparison of the Conditioning Regimens BEAM and FEAM for Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Lymphoma: An Observational Study on 1038 Patients From Fondazione Italiana Linfomi. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* [Internet]. 2018 Sep 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];24(9):1814–22. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29857196/>
 30. Rajamanickam D, Gokarn A, Gupta A, Punatar S, Thippeswamy R, Bagal B, et al. LACE – an Effective Conditioning Regimen for Lymphoma Patients Undergoing Autologous Transplant- Analysis of Outcomes and Prognostic Factors. *Blood*. 2014 Nov 14;124(21):3979.
 31. C G, B G, N M, D SG, DC L, M T, et al. Salvage regimens with autologous transplantation for relapsed large B-cell lymphoma in the rituximab era. *J Clin Oncol* [Internet]. 2010 Sep 20 [cited 2021 Oct 23];28(27):4184–90. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20660832/>
 32. Hahn L, Lim H, Dusyk T, Sabry W, Elemetry M, Stakiw J, et al. BeEAM conditioning regimen is a safe, efficacious and economical alternative to BEAM chemotherapy. *Sci Reports* 2021 111 [Internet]. 2021 Jul 7 [cited 2021 Oct 22];11(1):1–9. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-021-93516-x>
 33. 405-Autologous conditioning protocol BEAM (carmustine etoposide cytarabine melphalan) | eviQ [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://www.eviq.org.au/haematology-and-bmt/blood-and-marrow-transplant/autologous/405-autologous-conditioning-protocol-beam-carmust>
 34. Colita A, Colita A, Bumbea H, Croitoru A, Orban C, Lipan LE, et al. LEAM vs. BEAM vs. CLV Conditioning Regimen for Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation in Malignant Lymphomas. Retrospective Comparison of Toxicity and Efficacy on 222 Patients in the First 100 Days After Transplant, On Behalf of the Romanian Society for Bone Marrow Transplantation. *Front Oncol*. 2019 Sep 10;0:892.
 35. Galieni P, Troiani E, Bigazzi C, Mazzotta S, Ruggieri M, Pezzoni V, et al. Modified BEAM as conditioning regimen for lymphoma patients undergoing autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2018 531 [Internet].

- 2017 Oct 2 [cited 2021 Oct 22];53(1):91–3. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/bmt2017206>
36. Mancardi G, Sormani MP, Muraro PA, Boffa G, Saccardi R. Intense immunosuppression followed by autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation as a therapeutic strategy in aggressive forms of multiple sclerosis: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1352458517742532> [Internet]. 2017 Nov 10 [cited 2021 Oct 22];24(3):245–55. Available from: <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/10.1177/1352458517742532>
 37. Mohammadi R, Aryan A, Omrani MD, Ghaderian SMH, Fazeli Z. <p>Autologous Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation (AHSCT): An Evolving Treatment Avenue in Multiple Sclerosis</p>. *Biol Targets Ther* [Internet]. 2021 Mar 2 [cited 2021 Oct 22];15:53–9. Available from: <https://www.dovepress.com/autologous-hematopoietic-stem-cell-transplantation-ahsct-an-evolving-t-peer-reviewed-fulltext-article-BTT>
 38. Hamerschlag N, Rodrigues M, Moraes DA, Oliveira MC, Stracieri ABPL, Pieroni F, et al. Brazilian experience with two conditioning regimens in patients with multiple sclerosis: BEAM/horse ATG and CY/rabbit ATG. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2010 452 [Internet]. 2009 Jul 6 [cited 2021 Oct 22];45(2):239–48. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/bmt2009127>
 39. Saccardi R, Freedman M, Sormani M, Atkins H, Farge D, Griffith L, et al. A prospective, randomized, controlled trial of autologous haematopoietic stem cell transplantation for aggressive multiple sclerosis: a position paper. *Mult Scler* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2021 Oct 22];18(6):825. Available from: </labs/pmc/articles/PMC3389500/>
 40. Cohen JA, Baldassari LE, Atkins HL, Bowen JD, Bredeson C, Carpenter PA, et al. Autologous Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation for Treatment-Refractory Relapsing Multiple Sclerosis: Position Statement from the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2019 May 1;25(5):845–54.
 41. Das J, Sharrack B, Snowden JA. Autologous Haematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Multiple Sclerosis: a Review of Current Literature and Future Directions for Transplant Haematologists and Oncologists. *Curr Hematol Malig Rep* [Internet]. 2019 Apr 15 [cited 2021 Oct 21];14(2):127. Available from: </labs/pmc/articles/PMC6510794/>
 42. Al Hamed R, Bazarbachi AH, Malard F, Harousseau J-L, Mohty M. Current status of autologous stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma. *Blood Cancer J* 2019 94 [Internet]. 2019 Apr 8 [cited 2021 Oct 19];9(4):1–10. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41408-019-0205-9>
 43. M B, JJ L, JD G, P R, C S, A A, et al. Intravenous busulfan and melphalan as a conditioning regimen for autologous stem cell transplantation in patients with newly diagnosed multiple myeloma: a matched comparison to a melphalan-only approach. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* [Internet]. 2013 Jan [cited 2021 Oct 25];19(1):69–74. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22897964/>
 44. JJ L, MV M, J M-L, C G, J de la R, L R, et al. Busulfan 12 mg/kg plus melphalan 140 mg/m² versus melphalan 200 mg/m² as conditioning regimens for autologous transplantation in newly diagnosed multiple myeloma patients included in the PETHEMA/GEM2000 study. *Haematologica* [Internet]. 2010 [cited 2021 Oct 25];95(11):1913–20. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20663944/>
 45. Muta T, Miyamoto T, Kamimura T, Kanda Y, Nohgawa M, Ueda Y, et al. Significance of Salvage Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation for Relapsed Multiple Myeloma: A Nationwide Retrospective Study in Japan. *Acta Haematol* [Internet]. 2018 Feb 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];139(1):35–44. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29339642/>
 46. M C, M J, A H, K L, CM M, HR J, et al. The Karolinska experience of autologous stem-cell transplantation for lymphoma: a population-based study of all 433 patients 1994–2016. *Exp Hematol Oncol* [Internet]. 2019 Mar 18 [cited 2021 Oct 25];8(1). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30923643/>
 47. Hematopoietic Stem-Cell Transplantation in the Developing World: Experience from a Center in Western India [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 25]. Available from: <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/jo/2015/710543/>
 48. Mazza P, Palazzo G, Minoia C, Amurri B, Pisapia G. Autologous and allogeneic stem cell transplant in Jehovah’s Witnesses: a single-center experience on 22 patients. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2016 517 [Internet]. 2016 Mar 7 [cited 2021 Oct 25];51(7):1002–3. Available from: <https://www.nature.com/articles/bmt201629>
 49. Thoennissen GB, Görlich D, Bacher U, Aufenberg T, Hüsken A-C, Hansmeier AA, et al. Autologous Stem Cell Transplantation in Multiple Myeloma in the Era of Novel Drug Induction: A Retrospective Single-Center Analysis. *Acta Haematol* [Internet]. 2017 Apr 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];137(3):163–72. Available from: <https://www.karger.com/Article/FullText/463534>
 50. 1467-Autologous conditioning protocol LACE (lomustine cytarabine CYCLOPHOSPHamide etoposide) | eviQ [Internet]. [cited 2021 Oct 22]. Available from: <https://www.eviq.org.au/haematology-and-bmt/blood-and-marrow-transplant/autologous/1467-autologous-conditioning-protocol-lace-lomust>
 51. Arslan O, Moog R. Mobilization of peripheral blood stem cells. *Transfus Apher Sci* [Internet]. 2007 Oct [cited 2021 Oct 22];37(2):179–85. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17980665/>
 52. Thippeswamy R, Mathew L, Bhosale B, Kumar N, Kannan S, Joshi A, et al. LACE: A conditioning regimen for patients with lymphoma undergoing autologous transplant. https://doi.org/10.1200/jco.2011.2915_suppl.6591. 2011 May 20;29(15_suppl):6591–6591.
 53. BL Z, J Z, QD L, YZ L, YL Z, RR G, et al. Retrospective analysis of the efficacy and influencing factors of autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for multiple myeloma. *Artif Organs* [Internet]. 2019 Oct 1 [cited 2021 Oct 22];43(10):1028–34. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30972806/>
 54. Attal M, Harousseau J-L, Facon T, Guilhot F, Doyen C, Fuzibet J-G, et al. Single versus Double Autologous Stem-Cell Transplantation for Multiple Myeloma. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032290> [Internet]. 2009 Oct 7 [cited 2021 Oct 21];349(26):2495–502. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa032290>
 55. Palumbo A, Cavallo F, Gay F, Di Raimondo F, Ben Yehuda D, Petrucci MT, et al. Autologous Transplantation and Maintenance Therapy in Multiple Myeloma. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1402888> [Internet]. 2014 Sep 3 [cited 2021 Oct 22];371(10):895–905. Available from: <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/nejmoa1402888>