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#### Abstract

The purpose is to provide a generalization of Carleson's Theorem on interpolating sequences when dealing with a sequence in the open unit ball of a Hilbert space. Precisely, we interpolate a sequence by a function belonging to a weighted Bergman space of infinite order on a unit Hilbert ball and we furnish explicitly the upper bound corresponding to the interpolation constant.
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## 1. Introduction

Let us recall a known result that it has been shown that a sequence $\Gamma=\left(a_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is interpolated by a function in $B_{\varphi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{n}\right)$, the set of holomorphic functions $f$ on the complex unit ball $\mathbb{D}^{n}$ such that $\varphi f$ is bounded, where $\varphi$ is strictly positive continuous function on $[0,1)$ satisfying a few meaningful assumptions and the power $c$ is a strictly positive constant [3]. Precisely, it has been shown the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 ([3]). Let $\Gamma=\left(a_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{D}^{n}$ and $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{k\}}\left|\psi_{a_{j}}\left(a_{k}\right)\right| \geq \varphi\left(\left|a_{k}\right|\right)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\Gamma$ is interpolated by a function in $B_{\varphi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{n}\right)$. Furthermore, an upper bound of the interpolation constant is given explicitly and it is independent of $n$ and $\varphi$.
$\left|\psi_{a_{j}}\left(a_{k}\right)\right|$ is the pseudohyperbolic distance between $a_{j}$ and $a_{k}$ such that $\psi_{a_{j}}(\cdot)$ is the $\mathbb{D}^{n}$ valued Möbius map on $\mathbb{D}^{n}$. Apropos of the proof of Theorem 1.1, concisely the author sets up an interpolating function belonging in $B_{\varphi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{D}^{n}\right)$ given in terms of a series of functions.

The goal of the present article is to show that Theorem 1.1 remains true when we swap $\mathbb{D}^{n}$ by a unit Hilbert ball, so we give a positive response on a question raised in Remark 3.1 in [3]. Therefore, let $\mathbb{B}_{H}=\left\{x \in H:\|x\|_{H}<1\right\}$ be the open unit ball in $H=\left(H,\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H} ;\|\cdot\|_{H}\right)$, an infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space endowed with the inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{H}$ and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{H}$. E.g., $H=L_{2}(X, \mu)$, the space of square-integrable measurable functions on $X$ with respect to the measure $\mu$ such that $\langle f, g\rangle_{H}=\int_{X} f(x) \overline{g(x)} d \mu(x)$ and $\|f\|_{H}=\left(\int_{X}|f(x)|^{2} d \mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Instead to use a holomorphic function, we employ a complex-valued analytic function on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$, i.e., a Fréchet differentiable function at all points in $\mathbb{B}_{H}$.

[^0]
## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $\mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ be the space of analytic functions on $\mathbb{B}_{H}, \phi$ be a strictly positive continuous function on $[0,1)$, where its inverse is logarithmically convex. Let $L_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)=\left(L_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right),\|\cdot\|_{\infty, \phi}\right)$ be the space of complex-valued measurable functions $f$ on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ such that $\phi\left(\|x\|_{H}\right) \cdot f(x)$ is bounded for all $x \in \mathbb{B}_{H}$ and $\|f\|_{\infty, \phi}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{B}_{H}} \phi\left(\|x\|_{H}\right)|f(x)|<\infty$.

The weighted Bergman space of infinite order on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ is defined by

$$
B_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)=\left\{f \text { complex measurable functions on } \mathbb{B}_{H}: f \in \mathcal{A}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right) \cap L_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)\right\} .
$$

The space $B_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ is endowed with the induced norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty, \phi}$. We suppose that the continuous function $\phi$ is not identically equal to one which implies that $B_{\phi}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ contains strictly $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$, the Hardy space of order infinity on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$. We recall that interpolating a sequence by a function in $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ has been conducted in [8].

Let $\left(l_{\phi}^{\infty},\|\cdot\|_{l_{\phi}^{\infty}}\right)$ be the weighted space of bounded sequences with respect to the sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ and which is defined by

$$
l_{\phi}^{\infty}=\left\{v=\left(v_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{C} \text { such that }\left(\phi\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)\left|v_{k}\right|\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^{\infty}\right\}
$$

such that $\|v\|_{l_{\phi}^{\infty}}=\sup _{k \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\phi\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)\left|v_{k}\right|\right)$. In the sequel, we need the following definition of an interpolation sequence.

Definition 2.1. Let $c$ be a positive constant, we say that $\Gamma=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is an interpolation sequence for $B_{\phi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ if for every complex-valued sequence $v=\left(v_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_{\phi^{c-4}}^{\infty}$, there is $f \in B_{\phi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ such that $f\left(a_{k}\right)=v_{k}$. The associated interpolation constant is the smaller constant $M$ such that $\|f\|_{\infty, \phi} \leq$ $M\|v\|_{l^{\infty}{ }^{\infty}-4}$.

The pseudohyperbolic distance between two points $x, y$ belonging to $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ is defined by $\left\|\Phi_{y}(x)\right\|_{H}$ such that $\Phi_{y}(x)$ is the Möbius transformation on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ defined by $\Phi_{y}(x)=\left(s_{y} Q_{y}+P_{y}\right) m_{y}(x)$ such that $m_{y}$ is the $\mathbb{B}_{H}$-valued analytic map on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ and defined as $m_{y}(x)=\frac{y-x}{1-\langle y, x\rangle_{H}}, P_{y}(x)=$ $\frac{\langle y, x\rangle_{H}}{\|y\|_{H}^{2}} y, Q_{y}(x)=x-P_{y}(x)$, and $s_{y}=\sqrt{1-\|y\|_{H}^{2}}$. It is known (see Page 99 in [5]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi_{y}(x)\right\|_{H}^{2}=1-\frac{\left(1-\|x\|_{H}^{2}\right)\left(1-\|y\|_{H}^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\langle x, y\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result states
Theorem 2.2. Let $\Gamma=\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ such that $\prod_{j \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{k\}}\left\|\Phi_{x_{j}}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|_{H} \geq \phi\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi$ be a strictly positive continuous function on $[0,1)$ such that its inverse is logarithmically convex. Then $\Gamma$ is interpolated by a function belonging to $B_{\phi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ and an upper bound of the associated interpolation constant is provided explicitly and does not rely on the weight function $\phi$.

As we observe that the announcement of the main result is almost the same as the one stated in Theorem 1.1, where $\mathbb{D}_{n}$ is substituted by $\mathbb{B}_{n}$ and the complex modulus is substituted by $\|\cdot\|_{H}$. The novelty of the proof of Theorem 2.2 is that we use the pseudohyperbolic distance between two points in $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ and essentially Equality (2.1).

In the following section, we furnish the proof of Theorem 2.2 in two parts and we employ the techniques used in $[2,3,6,7]$. The first part is on building an interpolation function, see Subsection 3.1, and the second one focuses on the interpolation constant, see Subsection 3.2.

## 3. Proof of the main Theorem

3.1. On an appropriate interpolating function. Let us consider the following series of functions on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{k} G_{k}(x) \text { for } x \in \mathbb{B}_{H} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(v_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \in l_{\phi^{c-4}}^{\infty}$ such that each $G_{k}$ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ defined as

$$
G_{k}(x)=\left(\frac{1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}}{1-\left\langle x_{k}, x\right\rangle_{H}}\right)^{4} \mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right) \mathcal{V}\left(x_{k}, x\right) \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{k\}} \frac{\left\langle\Phi_{x_{j}}\left(x_{k}\right), \Phi_{x_{j}}(x)\right\rangle_{H}}{\left\|\Phi_{x_{j}}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|_{H}^{2}},
$$

where $x \in \mathbb{B}_{H},\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{B}_{H}, \mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, \cdot\right)$ and $\mathcal{V}\left(x_{k}, \cdot\right)$ are two analytic functions on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$. Precisely,

$$
\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right)=\exp \left[-\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}}\left(\mathfrak{f}(x)-\mathfrak{f}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \frac{\left(1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)}{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x_{k}\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}\right]
$$

with $\mathfrak{f}(x)=\frac{1+\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}}{1-\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}}$ which is well defined due the fact by using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have $1-\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}>0$ and $\mathcal{V}\left(x_{k}, x\right)=\exp \left(\partial u\left(\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \cdot\left(\widetilde{\psi}(x)-\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)\right)$, where $\partial u\left(\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{k}\right)\right) \cdot(\widetilde{\psi}(x)-$ $\left.\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)$ is the inner product in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ between $\partial u\left(\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)$ and $\widetilde{\psi}(x)-\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{k}\right)$ where $u$ is a real-valued convex function on $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}$ is a $\mathbb{C}^{n}$-valued surjective map on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$. Consequently, from the definitions of $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{V}$, we have $G_{k}\left(x_{k}\right)=1$ and for $j \neq k$ we have $G_{k}\left(x_{j}\right)=0$ this due to the fact that $\Phi_{x_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right)=0$, see (2.1). Whence, the sequence $\left(a_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is interpolated by $G$ and in the next subsection, we prove that $G \in B_{\phi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$ and provide explicitly an upper bound associated to the interpolation constant.
3.2. On the interpolation constant. By using the hypothesis of Theorem 2.2, that is, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, \prod_{j \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{k\}}\left\|\Phi_{x_{j}}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|_{H}$ is bigger than $\phi\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{k}(x)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}}{1-\left\langle x_{k}, x\right\rangle_{H}}\right)^{4}\left|\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right) \| \mathcal{V}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| \phi^{-2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us look an upper bound for $\left|\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right|$. So, since that we work in a complex Hilbert space, we have $\Re \mathfrak{f}(x)=\frac{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}$. Whence, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| & =\exp \left[-\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right\rangle^{2}} \frac{\left(1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)}{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x_{k}\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}\right] \\
& \times \exp \left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left(1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x_{k}\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}\right] . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us show that the terms $\exp \left[\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\left(1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x_{k}\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}\right]$ is upper bounded by $\exp (1) \phi^{-2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)$. For $x>0$, we have $1-x \leq \exp (-x)$, thus by employing, successively, this inequality with $y_{m, k}=\frac{\left(1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x_{k}\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}>0$, the square of the pseudohyperbolic distance equality (2.1), and
the assumption of Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\exp \left[-\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} y_{m, k}\right] & =\prod_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \exp \left(-y_{m, k}\right) \\
& =\exp (-1) \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{k\}} \exp \left(-y_{m, k}\right) \\
& \geq \exp (-1) \prod_{m \in \mathbb{N} \backslash\{k\}}\left\|\Phi_{x_{m}}\left(x_{k}\right)\right\|_{H}^{2} \geq \exp (-1) \phi^{2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, Equality (3.4) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| \leq \frac{\exp (1)}{\phi^{2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)} \exp \left[-\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} A_{m, k}(x)\right] \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $A_{m, k}(x)=\frac{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}} \frac{\left(1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)\left(1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}\right)}{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x_{k}\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}$.
Let us reorder the sequence $\left(x_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, for obtaining an increasing sequence $\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, then by using the fact that $\frac{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}{1-\left|\left\langle x_{m}, x_{k}\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}} \geq \frac{1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}}{8\left(1-\left|\left\langle x_{k}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}\right)}$ whenever $\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H} \geq\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}$, for the proof see Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 in [8], and Inequality (3.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| \leq \frac{\exp (1)}{\phi^{2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)} \exp \left[-\frac{\mathfrak{X} \mathfrak{T}_{k}}{8}\right] \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

such that $\mathfrak{X}=\frac{1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}}{1-\left|\left\langle x_{k}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|^{2}}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{k}=\sum_{m \geq k}\left(\frac{1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|}\right)^{2}$.
Let $b_{m}(x)=\left(\frac{1-\left\|x_{m}\right\|_{H}^{2}}{\left|1-\left\langle x_{m}, x\right\rangle_{H}\right|}\right)^{2}$, then thanks to the triangle inequality, we have $b_{k}(x) \leq 4 \mathfrak{X}^{2}$, and we observe that the function $g_{\mathfrak{X}}(\tau)=\mathfrak{X}^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{\mathfrak{X} \tau}{8}\right)$ for $\tau>0$, is at most equal $h(\tau)=$ $\min \left(1, \frac{256}{\exp (2) \tau^{2}}\right)$. Accordingly, Inequality (3.6) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
b_{k}(x)\left|\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| & \leq \frac{4 \exp (1) \mathfrak{X}^{2}}{\phi^{2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)} \exp \left(-\frac{\mathfrak{X T}_{k}}{8}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{4 \exp (1)}{\phi^{2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)} h\left(\mathfrak{T}_{k}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, from the definition of $\mathcal{V}$ and the use the properties of the convex function $u$, we have $\left|\mathcal{V}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| \leq \exp \left(u(\widetilde{\psi}(x))-u\left(\widetilde{\psi}\left(x_{k}\right)\right)\right)$. Furthermore, since that the inverse of $\phi$ is logarithmically convex, let us choose $u(\widetilde{\psi}(x))=-c \log \left(\phi\left(\|x\|_{H}\right)\right)$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathcal{V}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| \leq \phi^{c}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right) \phi^{-c}\left(\|x\|_{H}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that $G_{k}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|G_{k}(x)\right| \leq\left(\frac{1-\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}^{2}}{1-\left\langle x_{k}, x\right\rangle_{H}}\right)^{4}\left|\mathcal{W}\left(x_{k}, x\right) \| \mathcal{V}\left(x_{k}, x\right)\right| \phi^{-2}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Whence, by using Inequalities (3.7)-(3.9) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{c}\left(\|x\|_{H}\right) \phi^{4-c}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)\left|G_{k}(x)\right| \leq 4 \exp (1) b_{k}(x) h\left(\mathfrak{T}_{k}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $h(\tau)$ decreases on $\left[\mathfrak{T}_{k+1}, \mathfrak{T}_{k}\right]$, then by using Inequality (3.10), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{c}\left(\|x\|_{H}\right) \phi^{4-c}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)\left|G_{k}(x)\right| \leq 4 \exp (1) \int_{\mathfrak{T}_{k+1}}^{\mathfrak{T}_{k}} h(\tau) d \tau . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by using the definition of $h(\tau)$ and Inequality (3.11), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \phi^{c}\left(\|x\|_{H}\right) \phi^{4-c}\left(\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{H}\right)\left|G_{k}(x)\right| & \leq 4 \exp (1) \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathfrak{T}_{k+1}}^{\mathfrak{T}_{k}} h(\tau) d \tau \\
& \leq 4 \exp (1) \int_{0}^{\infty} h(\tau) d \tau \\
& =47.0886 . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

We recall that $G(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} v_{k} G_{k}(x)$, then from (3.12), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|G(x)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left|v_{k}\right|\left|G_{k}(x)\right| & \leq\|v\|_{l_{\phi^{c-4}}^{\infty}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \phi^{4-c}\left(\left|x_{k}\right|\right)\left|G_{k}(x)\right| \\
& \leq 47.0886\|v\|_{l_{\phi^{c-4}}^{\infty}} \phi^{-c}\left(\|x\|_{H}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $\|G\|_{\infty, \phi}=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{B}_{H}} \phi^{c}\left(\|x\|_{H}\right)|G(x)| \leq 47.0886\|v\|_{l_{\phi^{c-4}}^{\infty}}^{\infty}<\infty$, i.e., $G \in B_{\phi^{c}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$, consequently the sequence $\Gamma$ is interpolated by the function $G$, furthermore an upper bound of the interpolation constant is equal to 47.0886 . The proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.

## On an extension

We are asking whether it possible to state an analogue result of Theorem 2.2, for a proper subspace of a suitable weighted Bergman space of infinite order on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$ and containing a proper subspace of $H^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{B}_{H}\right)$. E.g., interpolating sequences for a proper space of $H^{\infty}(\mathbb{D})$ has been conducted by Dyakonov [1]. Also, we are asking whether our result remains true for a function belonging to a Bloch-type space on $\mathbb{B}_{H}$, see, e.g., [9].
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