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#### Abstract

We prove the unique existence of the functions $r_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ on $[0,1]$ such that the corresponding sequence of King operators approximates each continuous function on $[0,1]$ and preserves the functions $e_{0}(x)=1$ and $e_{j}(x)=x^{j}$, where $j \in\{2,3, \ldots\}$ is fixed. We establish the essential properties of $r_{n}$, and the rate of convergence of the new sequence of King operators will be estimated by the usual modulus of continuity. Finally, we show that the introduced operators are not polynomial and we obtain quantitative Voronovskaja type theorems for these operators.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Pi_{n}$ be the space of all algebraic polynomials of degree not greater than $n$. The Bernstein operators $B_{n}: C[0,1] \rightarrow \Pi_{n}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{n} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=1,2, \ldots, x \in[0,1], f \in C[0,1]$ and $p_{n, k}(x)=\binom{n}{k} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}$. For $j=0,1,2, \ldots$, we denote by $e_{j}$ the power function $e_{j}(x)=x^{j}, x \in[0,1]$. It is well-known [6, p. 3] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{n} e_{0}\right)(x)=1,\left(B_{n} e_{1}\right)(x)=x,\left(B_{n} e_{2}\right)(x)=x^{2}+\frac{1}{n} x(1-x), x \in[0,1] . \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Studying the connection between regular summability matrices and convergent positive linear operators, King [14, pp. 204-205] introduced the operators $V_{n}: C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V_{n} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}^{*}(x)\right) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
r_{n}^{*}(x)= \begin{cases}x^{2}, & \text { if } n=1  \tag{1.4}\\ -\frac{1}{2(n-1)}+\sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4(n-1)^{2}},} & \text { if } n=2,3, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

Taking into account (1.1)-(1.3), we have $\left(V_{n} f\right)(x)=\left(B_{n} f\right)\left(r_{n}^{*}(x)\right), x \in[0,1]$ and $V_{n} e_{0}=e_{0}$, $V_{n} e_{2}=e_{2}$. The uniform convergence $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} V_{n} f=f$ and a quantitative estimation are also discussed in [14, p. 204 and p. 206]. We mention that in [8] we obtained direct and converse
approximation theorems for (1.3). The existence of a sequence of linear positive bounded polynomial operators on $C[0,1]$, possessing $e_{0}$ and $e_{2}$ as fixed points, was proved in [9]. Main results concerning certain King type modifications of the Bernstein operators and the Szász-Mirakyan operators were presented in the survey paper [1].

Replacing $f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$ in (1.1) with $f\left(\sqrt[j]{\frac{k(k-1) \ldots(k-j+1)}{n(n-1) \ldots(n-j+1)}}\right), n \geq j \geq 2$, Aldaz, Kounchev and Render [3, p. 12, Proposition 11] defined a new King type operator, which preserves the functions $e_{0}$ and $e_{j}$, where $j \in\{2,3, \ldots\}$ is fixed. In [10], we proved that there exist infinitely many sequences of Bernstein type operators $\left(L_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, which approximate each continuous function on $[0,1]$ and have the functions $e_{0}$ and $e_{j}$ as fixed points, where $j \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ is given and

$$
\left(L_{n} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}(x) \lambda_{n, k}(f), \quad f \in C[0,1]
$$

and $\lambda_{n, k} \in C^{*}[0,1]$ are bounded positive linear functionals. Further properties of the Bernstein type operators of Aldaz, Kounchev and Render were obtained in the papers [2], [4], [5] and [13]. In [11], among others, we studied the approximation properties of the operators $U_{n}$ : $C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $r_{n} \in C[0,1]$ were constructed such that $U_{n}$ preserves the functions $e_{0}$ and $e_{2 i}$, with $i \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$ given. The main goal of the present paper is to prove the unique existence of the functions $r_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1](n=1,2, \ldots)$ such that the corresponding King operators given by (1.5) approximate each continuous function on $[0,1]$ and satisfy the conditions $U_{n} e_{0}=e_{0}$ and $U_{n} e_{j}=e_{j}$, where $j \in\{2,3, \ldots\}$ is fixed. The essential properties of $r_{n}$ ( $n=1,2, \ldots$ ) will be established. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for the uniform convergence of $\left(U_{n} f\right)_{n \geq 1}$ to $f \in C[0,1]$. The quantitative estimates for the operators (1.5) are obtained with the aid of the usual modulus of continuity. Finally, we show that $U_{n}$ cannot be polynomial operator of degree $n$, and we obtain a quantitative Voronovskaja type theorem for the operators (1.5).

## 2. THE CONSTRUCTION OF $r_{n}$

At first we prove the following lemma.
Lemma 2.1. Let $f, g:[a, b] \rightarrow[\alpha, \beta]$ be strictly increasing and continuous functions such that $f(a)=$ $\alpha=g(a), f(b)=\beta=g(b)$ and $f(u) \leq g(u)$ for all $u \in[a, b]$. Then, the inverse mappings $f^{-1}, g^{-1}$ : $[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow[a, b]$ exist and are strictly increasing and continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$ such that $g^{-1}(v) \leq f^{-1}(v)$ for all $v \in[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. The existence of $f^{-1}$ and $g^{-1}$ is the consequence of the following continuous inverse theorem: if $\varphi:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly increasing and continuous function then the inverse mapping $\varphi^{-1}:[\varphi(a), \varphi(b)] \rightarrow[a, b]$ exists and is strictly increasing and continuous on $[\varphi(a), \varphi(b)]$. Consequently $f^{-1}, g^{-1}:[\alpha, \beta] \rightarrow[a, b]$ are strictly increasing and continuous on $[\alpha, \beta]$. Moreover, for every $v \in[\alpha, \beta]$ there exists a unique $u \in[a, b]$ such that $v=f(u)$. Then $g^{-1}(v)=g^{-1}(f(u)) \leq$ $g^{-1}(g(u))=u=f^{-1}(v)$, because $f(u) \leq g(u)$ and $g^{-1}$ is strictly increasing.

The next result contains the construction of the functions $r_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$.

Theorem 2.1. For every $n=1,2, \ldots$, there exists the unique function $r_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j}=x^{j} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in[0,1]$, being $j \in\{2,3, \ldots\}$ fixed.
Proof. If $n=1$ then the function $r_{1}(x)=x^{j}, x \in[0,1]$ satisfies the equality

$$
p_{1,0}\left(r_{1}(x)\right) \cdot 0+p_{1,1}\left(r_{1}(x)\right) \cdot 1=x^{j}, \quad x \in[0,1] .
$$

Let $n \geq 2$ and consider the function $\phi_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\phi_{n}(y)=\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)(y)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}(y)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j}
$$

By (1.1)-(1.2), we have $\phi_{n}(0)=0, \phi_{n}(1)=1$ and $0 \leq \phi_{n}(y) \leq\left(B_{n} e_{0}\right)(y)=1$ for every $y \in[0,1]$. Because

$$
\left(B_{n} f\right)^{\prime}(y)=n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1, k}(y)\left[f\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)-f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)\right]
$$

(see [6, p. 305, (2.2)]), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{n}^{\prime}(y) & =\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)^{\prime}(y)=n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1, k}(y)\left[\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{j}-\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j}\right] \\
& =n\left\{(1-y)^{n-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{j}+\binom{n-1}{1} y(1-y)^{n-2}\left[\left(\frac{2}{n}\right)^{j}-\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^{j}\right]+\ldots\right. \\
& \left.+\binom{n-1}{n-2} y^{n-2}(1-y)\left[\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{j}-\left(\frac{n-2}{n}\right)^{j}\right]+y^{n-1}\left[1-\left(\frac{n-1}{n}\right)^{j}\right]\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $y \in[0,1]$. Thus $\phi_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1]$ is a strictly increasing and continuous function. But the function $e_{j}$ is also strictly increasing and continuous on $[0,1]$ such that $e_{j}(0)=0$ and $e_{j}(1)=1$, therefore if $x \in[0,1]$ is arbitrary then the equation $\phi_{n}(y)=x^{j}$ has a unique solution $y=r_{n}(x)$. In view of the continuous inverse theorem, there exists the strictly increasing and continuous inverse mapping $\phi_{n}^{-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}(x)=\left(\phi_{n}^{-1} \circ e_{j}\right)(x), \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and satisfies (2.6). Moreover $0=r_{n}(0) \leq r_{n}(x) \leq r_{n}(1)=1$ for all $x \in[0,1]$.
The essential properties of $r_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ are gathered in the following theorem.
Theorem 2.2. Let $r_{n}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1](n=1,2, \ldots)$ be the function defined by (2.6). Then
a) $r_{n}$ is strictly increasing and continuous function on $[0,1]$;
b) $x^{j} \leq r_{n}(x) \leq r_{n+1}(x) \leq x$ for all $x \in[0,1]$;
c) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}(x)=x$ for all $x \in[0,1]$;
d) $r_{n}$ is differentiable on $[0,1]$.

Proof. a) By (2.8), we have that $r_{n}(x)=\left(\phi_{n}^{-1} \circ e_{j}\right)(x), x \in[0,1]$, where $\phi_{n}^{-1}$ is a strictly increasing and continuous function on $[0,1]$. Hence, we obtain that $r_{n}$ is also a strictly increasing and continuous function on $[0,1]$.
b) In view of (1.2), we have $\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right) \frac{k}{n}=r_{n}(x)$. Using (2.6) and Jensen's inequality on $[0,1]$ for the convex function $e_{j}$, we get

$$
x^{j}=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j} \geq\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right) \frac{k}{n}\right)^{j}=\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j}, x \in[0,1] .
$$

Hence $r_{n}(x) \leq x, x \in[0,1]$.
Because $\left(B_{n} f\right)(y)>\left(B_{n+1} f\right)(y), 0<y<1$ for any strictly convex function $f$ on $[0,1]$ (see [6, p. 310, Corollary 4.2]), we obtain $\phi_{n}(y)=\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)(y)>\left(B_{n+1} e_{j}\right)(y)=\phi_{n+1}(y)$ for $y \in(0,1)$. But $\phi_{n}(0)=0=\phi_{n+1}(0)$ and $\phi_{n}(1)=1=\phi_{n+1}(1)$, therefore $\phi_{n}(y) \geq \phi_{n+1}(y), y \in[0,1]$. Due to Lemma 2.1, we have $\phi_{n}^{-1}(x) \leq \phi_{n+1}^{-1}(x), x \in[0,1]$. In particular $\phi_{n}^{-1}\left(x^{j}\right) \leq \phi_{n+1}^{-1}\left(x^{j}\right), x \in[0,1]$, i.e. $r_{n}(x) \leq r_{n+1}(x), x \in[0,1]$, because of (2.8). But $r_{1}(x)=x^{j}, x \in[0,1]$, thus $x^{j} \leq r_{n}(x)$, $x \in[0,1]$.
c) Because $p_{n, k}(k=0,1, \ldots, n)$ are polynomials of degree $n$, we have, by Taylor's formula for $x, y \in[0,1]$ that

$$
p_{n, k}(y)=p_{n, k}(x)+\frac{1}{1!} p_{n, k}^{\prime}(x)(y-x)+\frac{1}{2!} p_{n, k}^{\prime \prime}(x)(y-x)^{2}+\ldots+\frac{1}{n!} p_{n, k}^{(n)}(x)(y-x)^{n} .
$$

Hence, in view of (2.6) and (1.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{j}-\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)(x) & =\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j}-\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}(x)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)-p_{n, k}(x)\right]\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i!} p_{n, k}^{(i)}(x)\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{i}\right\}\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i!}\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}^{(i)}(x)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i!}\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{i}\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)^{(i)}(x) . \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand the Bernstein polynomial $B_{n} P$ of a polynomial $P$ of degree $m$ is itself a polynomial of degree $m$, if $n \geq m$ (see [6, p. 306]). Then $\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)^{(i)}(x)=0, x \in[0,1]$ for $n \geq i>j$. By (2.9), we get for $n>j$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{j}-\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{i!}\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{i}\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)^{(i)}(x) . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is known that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(B_{n} f\right)^{(i)}(x)=f^{(i)}(x)$, if $x \in[0,1]$ and $f \in C^{i}[0,1]$ (see [6, p. 306, Theorem 2.1]). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)^{(i)}(x)=e_{j}^{(i)}(x)=j(j-1) \ldots(j-i+1) x^{j-i} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x \in[0,1]$ and $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, j\}$. Furthermore, in view of $b$ ), the sequence $\left(r_{n}(x)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is convergent for all $x \in[0,1]$ : there exists

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}(x)=r(x), \quad x \in[0,1] \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.10)-(2.12), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(x^{j}-\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)(x)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{i!} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{i} \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)^{(i)}(x) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{i!}(r(x)-x)^{i} j(j-1) \ldots(j-i+1) x^{j-i}=\sum_{i=1}^{j}\binom{j}{i}(r(x)-x)^{i} x^{j-i} \\
& =-x^{j}+\sum_{i=0}^{j}\binom{j}{i}(r(x)-x)^{i} x^{j-i}=-x^{j}+(r(x)-x+x)^{j}=-x^{j}+(r(x))^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $r(x)=x, x \in[0,1]$, thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} r_{n}(x)=x$.
d) Because $\phi_{n}^{\prime}(y)>0, y \in[0,1]$ (see (2.7)) and $r_{n}(x)=\phi_{n}^{-1}\left(x^{j}\right), x \in[0,1]$ (see (2.8)), it follows that $r_{n}$ is a differentiable function on $[0,1]$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\left(\phi_{n}^{-1}\right)^{\prime}\left(x^{j}\right) \cdot\left(x^{j}\right)^{\prime}=\frac{j x^{j-1}}{\left(\phi_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)}=\frac{j x^{j-1}}{\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)}, \quad x \in[0,1], \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $\phi_{n}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)=x^{j}$.
Remark 2.1. Due to (1.4), we have for all $x \in[0,1]$ that

$$
\left(r_{n}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(x)= \begin{cases}2 x, & \text { if } n=1 \\ \frac{n}{n-1} x\left(\frac{n}{n-1} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4(n-1)^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & \text { if } n=2,3, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

The same result can be obtained from (2.13) for $j=2$.
Indeed, by (2.7), (1.2) and (1.4), we have for $x \in[0,1]$ and $n \geq 2$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(B_{n} e_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{n}^{*}(x)\right) & =n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1, k}\left(r_{n}^{*}(x)\right)\left[\left(\frac{k+1}{n}\right)^{2}-\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{2(n-1)}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1, k}\left(r_{n}^{*}(x)\right) \frac{k}{n-1}+\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} p_{n-1, k}\left(r_{n}^{*}(x)\right) \\
& =\frac{2(n-1)}{n} r_{n}^{*}(x)+\frac{1}{n} \\
& =\frac{2(n-1)}{n} \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4(n-1)^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by (2.13),

$$
\left(r_{n}^{*}\right)^{\prime}(x)=\frac{2 x}{\left(B_{n} e_{2}\right)^{\prime}\left(r_{n}^{*}(x)\right)}=\frac{n}{n-1} x\left(\frac{n}{n-1} x^{2}+\frac{1}{4(n-1)^{2}}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}
$$

## 3. THE APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES OF $U_{n}$

The operators $U_{n}: C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1]$ given by (1.5) are positive linear and $\left(U_{n} f\right)(0)=f(0)$ and $\left(U_{n} f\right)(1)=1$, because $r_{n}(0)=0$ and $r_{n}(1)=1$. Moreover, by (1.2) and (2.6), we have $U_{n} e_{0}=e_{0}$ and $U_{n} e_{j}=e_{j}$. In the following theorem, we study the convergence $U_{n} f \rightarrow f$ in the uniform norm defined by $\|f\|=\sup \{|f(x)|: x \in[0,1]\}, f \in C[0,1]$.

Theorem 3.3. $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|U_{n} f-f\right\|=0$ for each $f \in C[0,1]$ if and only if $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|r_{n}-e_{1}\right\|=0$, where $r_{n}$ $(n=1,2, \ldots)$ are defined by (2.6).

Proof. Using (1.5), (1.1) and (1.2), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{n} e_{0}\right)(x)=1,\left(U_{n} e_{1}\right)(x)=r_{n}(x),\left(U_{n} e_{2}\right)(x)=\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n} r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|U_{n} e_{0}-e_{0}\right\| & =0  \tag{3.15}\\
\left\|U_{n} e_{1}-e_{1}\right\| & =\left\|r_{n}-e_{1}\right\| \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|U_{n} e_{2}-e_{2}\right\| \leq\left\|r_{n}^{2}-e_{1}^{2}\right\|+\frac{1}{4 n} \leq 2\left\|r_{n}-e_{1}\right\|+\frac{1}{4 n} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

because $r_{n}(x) \in[0,1]$ for $x \in[0,1]$ (see Theorem 2.1).
On the other hand, the statements $a$ ), b) and $c$ ) of Theorem 2.2, and Dini's theorem (see e.g. [15, p. 150, 7.13. Theorem]) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|r_{n}-e_{1}\right\|=0 \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (3.15)-(3.18), in view of Korovkin theorem [6, pp. 8-10], we obtain the assertion of our theorem.

The next result contains pointwise and uniform quantitative estimates for $U_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$, using the usual modulus of continuity of $f \in C[0,1]$ given by

$$
\omega(f ; \delta)=\sup \{|f(u)-f(v)|: u, v \in[0,1],|u-v|<\delta\}, \delta>0 .
$$

Theorem 3.4. Let $\left(U_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be the sequence of operators defined by (1.5). Then for every $f \in C[0,1]$, we have
a) $\left|\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| \leq 2 \omega\left(f ; \sqrt{\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n} r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)}\right), n \geq 1, x \in[0,1]$;
b)

$$
\left|\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| \leq \begin{cases}6 \omega\left(f ; \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n}}\right), & \text { if } j=2 \\ 2(1+\sqrt{C(j)}) \omega\left(f ; \frac{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}{\sqrt[2]{n}}\right), & \text { if } j=3,4, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

where $n \geq j, x \in[0,1]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(j)=(j-1) \sqrt[j]{\frac{j(j-1)^{2}}{8}}+j \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

c)

$$
\left\|U_{n} f-f\right\| \leq \begin{cases}6 \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), & \text { if } j=2 \\ 2(1+\sqrt{C(j)}) \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{\sqrt[{2 \sqrt{n}}]{ }}\right), & \text { if } j=3,4, \ldots\end{cases}
$$

where $n \geq j$ and $C(j)$ is defined by (3.19).

Proof. a) For any sequence $\left(L_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ of positive linear operators on $C[a, b]$, it is known [7, p. 30] that for $f \in C[a, b]$ and $x \in[a, b]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(L_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| & \leq|f(x)| \cdot\left|\left(L_{n} e_{0}\right)(x)-e_{0}(x)\right| \\
& +\omega(f ; \delta)\left[\left(L_{n} e_{0}\right)(x)+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(\left(L_{n} e_{0}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(\left(L_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / 2}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

In our case $[a, b]=[0,1]$ and $U_{n} e_{0}=e_{0}$ (see (3.14)), thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| \leq\left[1+\delta^{-1}\left(\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \omega(f ; \delta) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, in view of (3.14), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x) & =\left(U_{n} e_{2}\right)(x)-2 x\left(U_{n} e_{1}\right)(x)+x^{2}\left(U_{n} e_{0}\right)(x) \\
& =\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n} r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing $\delta=\left(\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n} r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)\right)^{1 / 2}$ in (3.20), we get the required estimate.
$b)$ We will prove the following estimates below:

$$
\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \leq \begin{cases}\frac{4}{n} x(1-x), & \text { if } j=2  \tag{3.22}\\ \frac{C(j)}{\sqrt[3]{n}} x(1-x), & \text { if } j \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

where $x \in[0,1]$ is arbitrary. Hence, by (3.20) and the property $\omega(f ; \lambda \delta) \leq(1+\lambda) \omega(f ; \delta), \lambda>0$, we get for $\delta=\left(\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / 2}$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| & \leq 2 \omega\left(f ;\left(\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)\right)^{1 / 2}\right) \\
& \leq \begin{cases}2 \omega\left(f ; 2 \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n}}\right), & \text { if } j=2 \\
2 \omega\left(f ; \sqrt{C(j)} \frac{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}{\sqrt[21]{n}}\right), & \text { if } j \geq 3\end{cases} \\
& \leq \begin{cases}6 \omega\left(f ; \frac{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}{\sqrt{n}}\right), & \text { if } j=2 \\
2(1+\sqrt{C(j)}) \omega\left(f ; \frac{\sqrt{x(1-x)}}{\sqrt[21]{n}}\right), & \text { if } j \geq 3\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

which was to be proved.
Now let us prove (3.22). Using Theorem 2.2 b ), we have for $x \in[0,1]$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq x\left(1-x^{j}\right)=x(1-x)\left(1+x+\ldots+x^{j-1}\right) \leq j x(1-x) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $j=2$, we have in view of [ $8, \mathrm{p} .87$, Lemma 1, d)] that $0 \leq x-r_{n}(x) \leq \frac{2}{n}(1-x)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}=\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq \frac{2}{n} x(1-x) \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (3.21), (3.24) and (3.23) imply $\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \leq \frac{2}{n} x(1-x)+\frac{2}{n} x(1-x)=\frac{4}{n} x(1-x)$.
Let $j \geq 3$ and $n \geq j$. By Theorem 2.1 and [11, pp. 102-103, Lemma 1 and Lemma 2], the polynomial $\phi_{n}(y) \equiv P_{n, j}(y)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}(y)\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{j}=a_{0} y^{j}+a_{1} y^{j-1}+\ldots+a_{j-1} y$ satisfies the
following conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{n, j}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)=x^{j} ; \\
& a_{0}=\frac{1}{n^{j-1}}(n-1)(n-2) \ldots(n-j+1) ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j-1}>0 ; a_{0}+a_{1}+\ldots+a_{j-1}=1 ; \\
& 0 \leq 1-a_{0} \leq \frac{j(j-1)}{2 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \leq x^{j}-\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j}=P_{n, j}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)-\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_{k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j-k}-\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j} \\
& =\left(a_{0}-1\right)\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j-k} \\
& =-\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j}+\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j-k} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j-k}\left[1-\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{k}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j-k}\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)\left[1+r_{n}(x)+\ldots+\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{k-1}\right] \\
& \leq r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} k a_{k} \leq(j-1) r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} a_{k} \\
& =(j-1) r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)\left(1-a_{0}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{j(j-1)^{2}}{2 n} r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq \frac{j(j-1)^{2}}{8 n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $(u-v)^{2 j} \leq\left(u^{j}-v^{j}\right)^{2}, u, v \in[0,1]$ (see [11, p. 103, Lemma 2, (b)]), we find that $(x-$ $\left.r_{n}(x)\right)^{2 j} \leq\left(x^{j}-\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j}\right)^{2} \leq\left(\frac{1}{8 n} j(j-1)^{2}\right)^{2}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq x-r_{n}(x) \leq \sqrt[j]{\frac{1}{8 n} j(j-1)^{2}} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the same time, due to Theorem 2.2 b ), we obtain
(3.26) $0 \leq x-r_{n}(x) \leq x-x^{j}=x\left(1-x^{j-1}\right)=x(1-x)\left(1+x+\ldots+x^{j-2}\right) \leq(j-1) x(1-x)$.

Hence, in view of (3.21), (3.25), (3.26) and (3.23), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x) & =\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)+\frac{1}{n} r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) \\
& \leq \sqrt[j]{\frac{1}{8 n} j(j-1)^{2}}(j-1) x(1-x)+\frac{j}{n} x(1-x) \leq \frac{C(j)}{\sqrt[j]{n}} x(1-x)
\end{aligned}
$$

c) Because $x(1-x) \leq 1$ for $x \in[0,1]$, the estimates formulated in c) follow from the statement of b).

Remark 3.2. By Theorem 2.1, we have $U_{n} f \equiv V_{n} f$ for $j=2$. Then $V_{n} e_{0}=e_{0}$ and $V_{n} e_{2}=e_{2}$, thus, by (3.21), we get $\left(V_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)=2 x\left(x-r_{n}^{*}(x)\right)$. Applying Theorem 3.4, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\left(V_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| & \leq 2 \omega\left(f ; \sqrt{2 x\left(x-r_{n}^{*}(x)\right)}\right), n \geq 1, x \in[0,1] \\
\left|\left(V_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right| & \leq 6 \omega\left(f ; \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{n}}\right), n \geq 2, x \in[0,1] \\
\left\|V_{n} f-f\right\| & \leq 6 \omega\left(f ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right), n \geq 2
\end{aligned}
$$

For the first estimate see [14, p. 206, Theorem 3.1].
Furthermore, we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3.5. Let $U_{n}: C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1](n=1,2, \ldots)$ be the operators given by (1.5) with $r_{n}$ defined by (2.6). Then $U_{n}$ cannot be polynomial operator of degree $n$ : there exists $f \in C[0,1]$ such that $U_{n} f \notin \Pi_{n}$.

Proof. Let $n \geq j$ and suppose that $U_{n} f \in \Pi_{n}$ for all $f \in C[0,1]$. Then $U_{n} e_{1}=r_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ due to (3.14). Furthermore $B_{n} e_{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $j$, because $n \geq j$, and thus $\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)(y)=$ $a_{0} y^{j}+a_{1} y^{j-1}+\ldots+a_{j-1} y$, where $a_{0}>0$ (see [11, p. 102, Lemma 1]). Taking into account (2.6), we have

$$
x^{j}=\left(U_{n} e_{j}\right)(x)=\left(B_{n} e_{j}\right)\left(r_{n}(x)\right)=a_{0}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j}+a_{1}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{j-1}+\ldots+a_{j-1} r_{n}(x)
$$

In view of $r_{n} \in \Pi_{n}$ and $a_{0}>0$, we find that $r_{n}$ is a first degree polynomial. By Theorem 2.1, we have $r_{n}(0)=0$ and $r_{n}(1)=1$, thus $r_{n}(x)=x, x \in[0,1]$. Hence $\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)=\left(B_{n} f\right)\left(r_{n}(x)\right)=$ $\left(B_{n} f\right)(x), x \in[0,1]$. But $U_{n} e_{j}=e_{j}$ (see (2.6)), therefore $B_{n} e_{j}=e_{j}$ on [0,1], contradiction, because $\left(B_{n} f\right)(x)>f(x), 0<x<1$ for any strictly convex function $f$ on [ 0,1 ] (see [6, p. 310, Corollary 4.2]), in particular $B_{n} e_{j}>e_{j}$ on ( 0,1 ).

If $1 \leq n<j$ and $U_{n} f \in \Pi_{n}$ for all $f \in C[0,1]$, then $U_{n} e_{j}=e_{j} \in \Pi_{n}$ due to (2.6). Hence $j \leq n$, contradiction.

Finally, we have the following quantitative Voronovskaja type theorem for the operators (1.5). We mention that similar result was established for the Bernstein type operators of Aldaz, Kounchev and Render in [12].

Theorem 3.6. Let $U_{n}(n=1,2, \ldots)$ be given by (1.5). Then
a) $\left|n\left(\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right)+\left(f^{\prime}(x)-x f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right) n\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)\right| \leq 2(2+\sqrt{39}) x(1-x) \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)$ for all $x \in[0,1], f \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and $j=2$, where

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq 2
$$

b) $\left.\left\lvert\, \sqrt[j]{n}\left(\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right)+f^{\prime}(x) \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x)-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right.\right) \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \mid$ $\leq \sqrt{C(j)}\left(\sqrt{C(j)}+\sqrt{C_{1}(j)}\right) x(1-x) \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \frac{1}{\sqrt[2]{n}}\right)$
for all $x \in[0,1], f \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and $j \geq 3$, where $C(j)$ is defined by (3.19),

$$
C_{1}(j)=\frac{3}{4} j^{2}+\frac{119}{8} j+\frac{1}{4}(j-1)^{2} \sqrt[j]{\frac{1}{64} j^{2}(j-1)^{4}}
$$

and

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x) \leq \sqrt[j]{\frac{1}{8} j(j-1)^{2}}
$$

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \leq \frac{1}{4} C(j)
$$

Proof. For $f \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and $x, t \in[0,1]$, by Taylor's formula, we have

$$
f(t)=f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)(t-x)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)(t-x)^{2}+\int_{x}^{t}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(u)-f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)(t-u) d u
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(U_{n} f\right)(x) & =f(x)+f^{\prime}(x)\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)\right)(x)+\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \\
& +U_{n}\left(\int_{x}^{t}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(u)-f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)(t-u) d u ; x\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Because

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{x}^{t}\left(f^{\prime \prime}(u)-f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right)(t-u) d u\right| \leq\left|\int_{x}^{t}\right| f^{\prime \prime}(u)-f^{\prime \prime}(x)| | t-u|d u| \\
\leq & \left|\int_{x}^{t} \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ;|u-x|\right)\right| t-u|d u| \leq\left|\int_{x}^{t}\left(1+\delta^{-1}|u-x|\right) \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \delta\right)\right| t-u|d u| \\
= & \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \delta\right)\left|\int_{x}^{t}\left(|t-u|+\delta^{-1}|u-x||t-u|\right) d u\right| \leq \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \delta\right)\left(|t-x|^{2}+\delta^{-1}|t-x|^{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\delta>0$, we get, by (3.27) and Hölder's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\left(\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right)+f^{\prime}(x)\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x)-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)\right| \\
\leq & \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \delta\right)\left\{\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)+\delta^{-1}\left(U_{n}\left|e_{1}-x e_{0}\right|^{3}\right)(x)\right\} \\
\leq & \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \delta\right) \\
\times & \left.\times\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)+\delta^{-1}\left[\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)\right]^{1 / 2}\left[\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{4}\right)(x)\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} . \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the first four moments of the Bernstein polynomials [6, p. 304], we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{4}\right)(x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}-x\right)^{4} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left[\left(\frac{k}{n}-r_{n}(x)\right)+\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)\right]^{4} \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}-r_{n}(x)\right)^{4}+4\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right) \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}-r_{n}(x)\right)^{3} \\
+ & 6\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{2} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}-r_{n}(x)\right)^{2} \\
+ & 4\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{3} \sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)\left(\frac{k}{n}-r_{n}(x)\right)+\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{4} \\
= & \frac{3}{n^{2}}\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{n^{3}}\left[r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)-6\left(r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}\right] \\
+ & 4\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right) \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(1-2 r_{n}(x)\right) r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)+6\left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{2} \frac{1}{n} r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)  \tag{3.29}\\
+ & \left(r_{n}(x)-x\right)^{4} .
\end{align*}
$$

a) If $j=2$, then $r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq 2 x(1-x), x \in[0,1]$, due to (3.23). Hence, by (3.29) and (3.24),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{4}\right)(x) \\
\leq & \frac{12}{n^{2}} x^{2}(1-x)^{2}+\frac{2}{n^{2}} x(1-x)\left(1+6 r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)\right. \\
+ & \frac{8}{n^{2}} x(1-x)\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)\left(1+2 r_{n}(x)\right)+\frac{12}{n} x(1-x)\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}+\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)^{4} \\
\leq & \frac{3}{n^{2}} x(1-x)+\frac{2}{n^{2}}\left(1+\frac{3}{2}\right) x(1-x) \\
+ & \frac{24}{n^{2}} x(1-x)+\frac{12}{n} x(1-x) \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{4}+\frac{4}{n^{2}} x(1-x) \frac{1}{4} \\
= & \frac{39}{n^{2}} x(1-x) . \tag{3.30}
\end{align*}
$$

Then (3.28), (3.22) and (3.30) imply that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|n\left(\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right)+f^{\prime}(x) n\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x)-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x) n\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)\right| \\
\leq & \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \delta\right)\left\{4 x(1-x)+\delta^{-1} \frac{2 \sqrt{39}}{\sqrt{n}} x(1-x)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\delta=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, and taking into account that $\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x)=x-r_{n}(x)$ and $\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x)=2 x\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)$, we obtain the desired estimate.

Furthermore, in view of [8, p. 87, Lemma 1, d)], we have $0 \leq x-r_{n}(x) \leq \frac{2}{n}(1-x) \leq \frac{2}{n}$, $x \in[0,1]$, thus $0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right) \leq 2$.
b) If $j \geq 3$, then (3.29), (3.23), (3.25) and (3.26) imply that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{4}\right)(x) \\
\leq & \frac{3}{n^{2}} j^{2} x^{2}(1-x)^{2}+\frac{1}{n^{3}} j x(1-x)\left(1+6 r_{n}(x)\left(1-r_{n}(x)\right)\right. \\
+ & \frac{4}{n^{2}} j x(1-x)\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)\left(1+2 r_{n}(x)\right)+\frac{6}{n} j x(1-x)\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)^{2}+\left(x-r_{n}(x)\right)^{4} \\
\leq & \frac{3 j^{2}}{4 n^{2}} x(1-x)+\frac{5 j}{2 n^{3}} x(1-x) \\
+ & \frac{12 j}{n^{2}} x(1-x)+\frac{3 j}{8 n}(j-1)^{2} x(1-x)+\sqrt[j]{\frac{1}{64 n^{2}} j^{2}(j-1)^{4}}(j-1)^{2} \frac{1}{4} x(1-x) \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\sqrt[j]{n^{2}}} x(1-x)\left\{\frac{3}{4} j^{2}+\frac{119}{8} j+\frac{1}{4}(j-1)^{2} \sqrt[j]{\frac{1}{64} j^{2}(j-1)^{4}}\right\}=\frac{C_{1}(j)}{\sqrt[j]{n^{2}}} x(1-x) . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (3.28), (3.22) and (3.31), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left.\left\lvert\, \sqrt[j]{n}\left(\left(U_{n} f\right)(x)-f(x)\right)+f^{\prime}(x) \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x)-\frac{1}{2} f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right.\right) \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(e_{1}-x e_{0}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \mid \\
& \leq \omega\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \delta\right)\left\{C(j) x(1-x)+\delta^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{C(j)}}{\sqrt[2 j]{n}} \sqrt{C_{1}(j)} x(1-x)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\delta=\frac{1}{\sqrt[2]{n}}$, we obtain the desired estimate.

Finally, by (3.25) and (3.22), we get

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)\right)(x) \leq \sqrt[j]{\frac{1}{8} j(j-1)^{2}}
$$

and

$$
0 \leq \liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \leq \limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[j]{n}\left(U_{n}\left(x e_{0}-e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)(x) \leq \frac{1}{4} C(j)
$$

which completes the proof of the theorem.
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