IDUNAS

NATURAL & APPLIED SCIENCES JOURNAL

2023 Vol. 6 No. 1 (41-49)

Relations of Multiplicative Generalized (α, β) — Reverse Derivation and α — Commuting Maps

Research Article

Barış ALBAYRAK 1*

⁺Department of Finance and Banking, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey.

Author E-mails balbayrak@comu.edu.tr

*Correspondence to: Barış ALBAYRAK, Department of Finance and Banking, Çanakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey.

DOI: 10.38061/idunas.1260138

Received: 04.03.2023; Accepted: 03.07.2023

Abstract

In this paper, properties of the ideal I of semiprime ring R with multiplicative generalized (α, β) — reverse derivation with determined not necessarily additive map d is studied. We generalized previous studies for different derivations to multiplicative generalized (α, β) — reverse derivation F. We show that $[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$ or $[d(p)], \alpha(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$ under the given different conditions. Also, we give the relationship between map d and anti-automorphism α of semiprime ring R and automorphism β of semiprime ring R. Under the given different conditions, we examine whether d is α — commuting on ideal I or β — commuting on ideal I and obtain new results.

Keywords: Reverse derivation, semiprime ring, commuting map

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 16N60; Secondary 16U80, 16W25.

1. INTRODUCTION

The aim of our study is to investigate properties of the ideal I of semiprime ring R with multiplicative generalized (α, β) -reverse derivation. How to generalize the work on semiprime rings involving derivation and how to obtain new results has been a long-studied topic in ring theory. The definition of derivation is given as additive map d that provides d(rp) = d(r)p + rd(p) for $r, p \in R$. This definition has been generalized over time and studies have been generalized for different derivations. In order to contribute to these studies, we study multiplicative generalized (α, β) -reverse derivation and we obtain

new results. Before moving on to the main conclusions, let's give some previous studies and terms that we will use throughout this article.

Let Z(R) denote the center of ring R. Assume that pRp = (0) for any $p \in R$. R is said to be a semiprime ring, if p = 0. [p, r] expression is used for commutator pr - rp and (por) expression is used for anticommutator pr + rp. A subgroup I which is additive is said to be an ideal of R if IR and RI are included I.

The generalized derivation definition was first given by Bresar in [1]. F from R to R is said to be generalized derivation with determined derivation d if F(rp) = F(r)p + rd(p) for $r, p \in R$. According to [2], generalized derivation F is called generalized α —derivation with determined derivation d if $F(rp) = F(r)\alpha(p) + \alpha(r)d(p)$ for $r, p \in R$. On the other hand, Herstein introduced reverse derivation in [3]. If an additive map d provides d(rp) = d(p)r + pd(r) for any $r, p \in R$, then d is a reverse derivation.

Later on, derivations with non-additive maps began to be studied. In [4,5,6], authors gave different definitions of the derivation when d is a non-additive map (not necessarily additive). A non-additive map d is said to be multiplicative derivation if it provides d(rp) = d(r)p + rd(p) for $r, p \in R$. F from R in R is said to be multiplicative generalized derivation with determined non-additive map (not necessarily additive) d if F(rp) = F(r)p + rd(p) for $r, p \in R$.

Next, in [7], authors gave the definitions of multiplicative generalized reverse derivation and multiplicative generalized (α, β) —reverse derivation. F is said to be multiplicative generalized reverse derivation with determined a non-additive map (not necessarily additive) d if F(rp) = F(p)r + pd(r). F is said to be multiplicative generalized (α, β) —reverse derivation with determined a non-additive map (not necessarily additive) d if $F(rp) = F(p)\alpha(r) + \beta(p)d(r)$ for an automorphism β of R and anti-automorphism α of R.

On the other hand, different types of maps used in derivation studies were also defined. A map d from R to R that provides [d(p), p] = 0 for all $p \in R$, is said to be commuting on R. Also, for α automorphism of R, a map d from R to R that provides $[d(p), \alpha(p)] = 0$ for all $p \in R$, is said to be α – commuting on R. Similar definitions can be made for anti-automorphism. Authors introduced multiplicative left reverse α –centralizer in [8]. A map d from R to R is called a multiplicative left reverse α –centralizer satisfy $d(pr) = d(r)\alpha(p)$ holds for all $p, r \in R$. α is a mapping of R and d is a map such that not necessarily additive.

Let's take a brief look at the work we have done in this study. In [9] authors studied identities F(por) + H(por) = 0, F(por) + H[p,r] = 0, $F[p,r] + [\alpha(p),H(r)] = 0$, $F(por) + [\alpha(p),H(r)] = 0$, $F(rp) + [\alpha(p),H(r)] \in Z(R)$, $F(rp) + [H(p),H(r)] \in Z(R)$ for all $r,p \in I$ such that F is a multiplicative generalized derivation, I is an ideal semiprime ring R. We generalize their results to multiplicative generalized (α,β) – reverse derivation F for anti-automorphism α and automorphism β of semiprime ring R. Also, we examine the relationship between multiplicative generalized (α,β) – reverse derivations and α – commuting maps.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let's first give the properties provided for the anticommutator and commutator for all $s, r, p \in R$. Next, we will give a lemma that we will use in our theorems.

- [pr, s] = p[r, s] + [p, s]r
- [p,rs] = [p,r]s + r[p,s]
- (pr)os = p(ros) [p, s]r = (pos)r + p[r, s]
- $p \circ (rs) = (por)s r[p, s] = r(pos) + [p, r]s$

Lemma 2.1 [10] Let R be a 2 -torsion free semiprime ring and U a noncentral Lie ideal of R. If pU = 0 for $p \in U$, then p = 0.

3. RESULTS

Let R be a semiprime ring, $0 \ne I$ be an ideal of R, α be an anti-automorphism of R, β be an automorphism of R, $0 \ne G$: $R \to R$ be a multiplicative left reverse α — centralizer and $0 \ne F$: $R \to R$ be a multiplicative generalized (α, β) — reverse derivation determined with a map $0 \ne d$: $R \to R$ such that it doesn't need to be an additive map. In the following theorems, we examine the conditions under which $[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$ is provided for all $p \in I$.

Theorem 3.1: If F(por) + G(por) = 0 for all $r, p \in I$, then $[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$.

Proof: Let F(por) + G(por) = 0 for all $r, p \in I$. Replacing r by pr and using commutator properties, we have

$$0 = F(po(pr)) + G(po(pr))$$

= $F(p(por)) + G(p(por))$

for all $r, p \in I$. Using commutator properties and definitions of F and G, we get

$$F(por)\alpha(p) + \beta(por)d(p) + G(por)\alpha(p) = 0$$

for all $r, p \in I$. Using hypothesis, we have

$$\beta(por)d(p) = 0 \text{ for all } r, p \in I. \tag{1}$$

Replacing r by $\beta^{-1}(r)s$, $s \in I$ and using commutator properties, we have

$$r\beta(pos)d(p) + \beta[p,\beta^{-1}(r)]\beta(s)d(p) = 0$$

for all $s, r, p \in I$. Using equation (1) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\beta\left[p,\beta^{-1}(r)\right]\beta(s)d(p)=0\,for\,all\,s,r,p\in I.$$

Since β is an automorphism of R, we write this relation as below relation.

$$[\beta(p), r]Vd(p) = 0$$
 for all $r, p \in I$.

where $\beta(I) = V$ is a nonzero ideal of R. Replacing r by d(p)r, we have

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]rwd(p) = 0 \text{ for all } r, p \in I, w \in V.$$
(2)

Replacing w by $w\beta(p)$, we have

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]rw\beta(p)d(p) = 0$$
(3)

for all $r, p \in I, w \in V$. Also, right multiplication of equation (2) by $\beta(p)$, we get

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]rwd(p)\beta(p) = 0 \tag{4}$$

for all $r, p \in I, w \in V$. Comparing (3) and (4), we get

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]IV[\beta(p), d(p)] = 0$$
 for all $p \in I$.

Since V is an ideal of R, we write

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]IVR[\beta(p), d(p)]IV = 0$$
 for all $p \in I$.

Since R is a semiprime ring, we obtain

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]IV = 0$$
 for all $p \in I$.

Specially, we write

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]I\beta(s) = 0 \text{ for all } s, p \in I.$$
(5)

Replacing s by $s\beta^{-1}(d(p))$, we have

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]I\beta(s)d(p) = 0 \tag{6}$$

for all $s, p \in I$. Also, since I is an ideal of R, from equation (5) we write

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]Id(p)\beta(s) = 0 \tag{7}$$

Theorem 3.2: If F(por) + G[p, r] = 0 for all $r, p \in I$, then $[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$.

Proof: Let F(por) + G[p, r] = 0 for all $r, p \in I$. Replacing r by pr and using commutator properties, we have

$$0 = F(po(pr)) + G[p, pr] = F(p(por)) + G(p[p, r])$$

for all $r, p \in I$. Using commutator properties and definitions of F and G, we get

$$F(por)\alpha(p) + \beta(por)d(p) + G(por)\alpha(p) = 0$$

for all $r, p \in I$. Using hypothesis, we have

$$\beta(por)d(p) = 0$$
 for all $r, p \in I$.

This equation is the equation (1) in Theorem 3.1. If the proof is continued in a similar way,

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$$

is obtained.

Theorem 3.3: If $F[p,r] + [\alpha(p), G(r)] = 0$ for all $r, p \in I$, then $[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$.

Proof: Let $F[p,r] + [\alpha(p), G(r)] = 0$ for all $r, p \in I$. Replacing r by pr and using commutator properties, we have

$$F[p,pr] + [\alpha(p),G(pr)] = F\big[p[p,r]\big] + [\alpha(p),G(pr)] = 0$$

for all $r, p \in I$. Using commutator properties and definitions of F and G, we get

$$F[p,r]\alpha(p) + \beta[p,r]d(p) + [\alpha(p),G(r)]\alpha(p) + G(r)[\alpha(p),\alpha(p)] = 0 \text{ for all } r,p \in I$$

Using hypothesis, we have

$$\beta[p,r]d(p) = 0 \text{ for all } r,p \in I. \tag{8}$$

Replacing r by $\beta^{-1}(r)s$, $s \in I$ and using commutator properties, we have

$$\beta \left[p, \beta^{-1}(r) \right] \beta(s) d(p) + r\beta[p, s] d(p) = 0$$

for all $s, r, p \in I$. Using equation (8) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\beta \left[p, \beta^{-1}(r) \right] \beta(s) d(p) = 0 \text{ for all } s, r, p \in I.$$

Since β is an automorphism of R, we write this relation as below relation.

$$[\beta(p), r]Vd(p) = 0$$
 for all $r, p \in I$.

where $\beta(I) = V$ is a nonzero ideal of R. Replacing r by d(p)r, we have

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]rwd(p) = 0$$
 for all $r, p \in I, w \in V$.

This equation is the equation (2) in Theorem 3.1. If the proof is continued in a similar way,

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$$

is obtained.

Theorem 3.4: If $F(por) + [\alpha(p), G(r)] = 0$ for all $r, p \in I$, then $[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$.

Proof: Let $F(por) + [\alpha(p), G(r)] = 0$ for all $r, p \in I$. Replacing r by pr and using commutator properties, we have

$$F(po(pr)) + [\alpha(p), G(pr)] = F(p(por)) + [\alpha(p), G(pr)] = 0$$

for all $r, p \in I$. Using commutator properties and definitions of F and G, we get

$$F(por)\alpha(p) + \beta(por)d(p) + [\alpha(p), \beta(r)]\alpha(p) + \beta(r)[\alpha(p), \alpha(p)] = 0$$
 for all $r, p \in I$.

Using hypothesis, we have

$$\beta(por)d(p) = 0$$
 for all $r, p \in I$.

This equation is the equation (1) in Theorem 3.1. If the proof is continued in a similar way,

$$[\beta(p), d(p)]I = 0$$

is obtained.

Now, using the Lemma 2.1, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.5: Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $I \not\subset Z(R)$ be an ideal of R, α be an antiautomorphism of R, β be an automorphism of R, $0 \neq G$: $R \to R$ be a multiplicative left reverse α – centralizer and $0 \neq F$: $R \to R$ be a multiplicative generalized (α, β) – reverse derivation determined with a map $0 \neq d$: $R \to R$ such that it doesn't need to be an additive map. If one of the following properties are provided f or g all g is g – commuting on g.

- 1) F(por) + G(por) = 0
- 2) F(por) + G[p, r] = 0
- 3) $F[p,r] + [\alpha(p), G(r)] = 0$
- 4) $F(por) + [\alpha(p), G(r)] = 0$

Now, let's give the relationship between map d and anti-automorphism α .

Theorem 3.6: If $\beta(pr) = \alpha(rp)$ and $F(rp) + [\alpha(p), G(r)] \in Z(R)$ for all $r, p \in I$, then $[d(p), \alpha(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$.

Proof: Let $F(rp) + [\alpha(p), G(r)] \in Z(R)$ for all $r, p \in I$. Replacing r by $vr, v \in I$ and using commutator properties, we have

$$F(v(rp)) + [\alpha(p), G(vr)] \in Z(R)$$
(9)

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Using commutator properties and definitions of F and G, we get

$$(F(rp) + [\alpha(p), G(r)])\alpha(v) + \beta(rp)d(v) + G(r)[\alpha(p), \alpha(v)] \in Z(R)$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Since the element in the above equation is in the Z(R), we write following equation for $\alpha(v)$.

$$[(F(rp) + [\alpha(p), G(r)])\alpha(v) + \beta(rp)d(v) + G(r)[\alpha(p), \alpha(v)], \alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Using hypothesis, we have

$$[\beta(rp)d(v),\alpha(v)] + [G(r)[\alpha(p),\alpha(v)],\alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Using the fact that $\beta(pr) = \alpha(rp)$ for all $r, p \in I$, we obtain

$$[\alpha(pr)d(v),\alpha(v)] + [G(r)[\alpha(p),\alpha(v)],\alpha(v)] = 0$$
(10)

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Replacing p by vp and using commutator properties, we have

$$[\alpha(vpr)d(v),\alpha(v)] + [G(r)[\alpha(p),\alpha(v)]\alpha(v),\alpha(v)] = 0$$
(11)

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Also, right multiplication of equation (10) by $\alpha(v)$, we get

$$[\alpha(pr)d(v) \ \alpha(v), \alpha(v)] + [G(r)[\alpha(p), \alpha(v)] \ \alpha(v), \alpha(v)] = 0 \tag{12}$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Comparing (11) and (12) and using properties of anti- automorphism for α , we get

$$[\alpha(r)\alpha(p)d(v)\alpha(v),\alpha(v)] - [\alpha(r)\alpha(p)\alpha(v)d(v),\alpha(v)] = 0 \text{ for all } v,r,p \in I.$$

Arranging above equation, we have

$$[\alpha(r)\alpha(p)[d(v),\alpha(v)],\alpha(v)] = 0 \tag{13}$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Replacing r by $rw, w \in I$, we have

$$[\alpha(w)\alpha(r)\alpha(p)[d(v),\alpha(v)],\alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $w, v, r, p \in I$. Using commutator properties, we get

$$\alpha(w)[\alpha(r)\alpha(p)[d(v),\alpha(v)],\alpha(v)] + [\alpha(w),\alpha(v)]\alpha(r)\alpha(p)[d(v),\alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $w, v, r, p \in I$. Using equation (13) in the above equation, we obtain

$$[\alpha(w), \alpha(v)]\alpha(r)\alpha(p)[d(v), \alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $w, v, r, p \in I$. Since α is an anti-automorphism of R, we write this relation as below relation.

$$[\alpha(w), \alpha(v)]VV[d(v), \alpha(v)] = 0$$
 for all $w, v \in I$.

where $\alpha(I) = V$ is a nonzero ideal of R. Replacing w by v, we have

$$[\alpha(v), \alpha(v)]VV[d(v), \alpha(v)] = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Since V is an ideal of R, left and right multiplication of above equation by V, we get

$$V[\alpha(v), \alpha(v)]VRV[d(v), \alpha(v)]V = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Since R is a semiprime ring, we get

$$V[d(v), \alpha(v)]V = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Using properties of ideal V, we have

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]VR[d(v), \alpha(v)]V = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Using the fact that *R* is a semiprime ring, we obtain

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]V = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Since V is an ideal of R, we get

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]IV = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Specially, we write

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]I\alpha(s) = 0 \text{ for all } s, v \in I$$
(14)

Replacing s by $s\alpha^{-1}(d(v))$, we have

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]I\alpha(s)d(v) = 0$$
(15)

for all $s, v \in I$. Also, since I is an ideal of R, from equation (14), we write

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]Id(v)\alpha(s) = 0$$
(16)

for all $s, v \in I$. Comparing (15) and (16), we get

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]I[d(v), \alpha(s)] = 0$$
 for all $s, v \in I$.

Replacing s by v we have

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]I[d(v), \alpha(v)] = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Since *I* is an ideal of *R*, we write

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]IR[d(v), \alpha(v)]I = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$

Since *R* is a semiprime ring, we obtain

$$[d(v), \alpha(v)]I = 0$$
 for all $v \in I$.

Theorem 3.7: If $\beta(pr) = \alpha(rp)$ and $F(rp) + [G(p), G(r)] \in Z(R)$ for all $r, p \in I$, then $[d(p)], \alpha(p)]I = 0$ for all $p \in I$.

Proof: Let $F(rp) + [G(p), G(r)] \in Z(R)$ for all $r, p \in I$. Replacing r by $vr, v \in I$ and using commutator properties, we have

$$F(vrp) + [G(p), G(vr)] \in Z(R)$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Using commutator properties and definitions of F and G, we get

$$(F(rp) + [G(p), G(r)])\alpha(v) + \beta(rp)d(v) + G(r)[\alpha(p), \alpha(v)] \in Z(R)$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Since the element in the above equation is in the Z(R), we write following equation for $\alpha(v)$.

$$[(F(rp) + [G(p), G(r)])\alpha(v) + \beta(rp)d(v) + G(r)[\alpha(p), \alpha(v)], \alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Using hypothesis in this relation, we have

$$[\beta(rp)d(v),\alpha(v)] + [G(r)[\alpha(p),\alpha(v)],\alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. Using the fact that $\beta(pr) = \alpha(rp)$ for all $r, p \in I$, we obtain

$$[\alpha(pr)d(v),\alpha(v)] + [G(r)[\alpha(p),\alpha(v)],\alpha(v)] = 0$$

for all $v, r, p \in I$. This equation is the equation (10) in Theorem 3.6. If the proof is continued in a similar way,

$$[d(p)], \alpha(p)]I = 0$$

is obtained.

Now, using the Lemma 2.1, we can obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.8: Let R be a 2-torsion free semiprime ring, $I \not\subset Z(R)$ be an ideal of R, α be an antiautomorphism of R, β be an automorphism of R such that $\beta(pr) = \alpha(rp)$ for all $r, p \in I$, $0 \neq G: R \to R$ be a multiplicative left reverse α – centralizer and $0 \neq F: R \to R$ be a multiplicative generalized (α, β) –
reverse derivation determined with a map $0 \neq d: R \to R$ such that it doesn't need to be an additive map. If
one of the following properties are provided for all $r, p \in I$, then d is α – commuting on I.

- 1) $F(rp) + [\alpha(p), G(r)] \in Z(R)$
- 2) $F(rp) + [G(p), G(r)] \in Z(R)$

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, properties of the ideal I of semiprime ring R with multiplicative generalized (α, β) — reverse derivation with determined not necessarily additive map d is studied. Many studies have been done on the derivation and commutativity in the prime ring and the results have been reached. These studies and reached results is adapted for multiplicative generalized (α, β) — reverse derivation F in our study. Also, new results are given about the relationship between map d and anti-automorphism α . The studies and the results found can be used for different derivations and semiprime rings in the future and contribute to the ring theory.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Bresar, M. (1991). On the distance of the composition of two derivations to the generalized derivations. *Glaskow Math. J.*, 33,89-93.
- [2] Chang, J. C. (2009). Right generalized (α, β) derivations having power central values. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 13(4), 1111-1120.
- [3] Herstein, I. N. (1957). Jordan Derivation of Prime Rings. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8, 1104-1110.
- [4] Dhara, B. and Ali, S. (2013). On Multiplicative (generalized) derivative in prime and semi-prime rings", *Aequat. Math.*, 86(1-2), 65-79.

- [5] Daif, M.N. (1991) When is a multiplicative derivation additive. *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 14(3), 615-618.
- [6] Daif, M.N. and Tammam El Sayiad M.S. (2007). Multiplicative generalized Derivation which are additive. *East-west J. Math.* 9(1), 31-37.

- [7] Tiwari, S.K., Sharma, R.K. and Dhara, B. (2008). Some theorems of commutatively on semiprime ring with mapping. *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 42(2), 279-292
- [8] Alhaidary, Z. S. M. and Majeed, A. H. (2021). Square closed Lie İdeals and Multiplicative (Generalized) (α, β) –reverse derivation of Prime Rings. *Journal of Discrete Math. Sci. and Cryptography*, 24(7), 2037-2046.
- [9] Malleswari, G. N., Sreenivasulu, S. and Shobhalatha. G. (2021). Semiprime rings with multiplicative (Generalized) derivations involving left multipliers. *Create. Math. Inform*, 30(1), 61-68.
- [10] Hongan, M., Rehman, N. and Al-Omary R. M. (2011). Lie ideals and Jordan triple derivations in rings. Rend. Sem. *Mat. Univ. Padova*, 125.