

The effect of different type of exercises on the isokinetic strength of quadriceps and hamstring muscles

Alpaslan GORUCU, Bekir TOKAY

¹ Selçuk University Faculty of Sport Sciences, Konya/Turkey
Address correspondence to A. Gorucu, e-mail: alpgorucu@selcuk.edu.tr

Abstract

This study was conducted with the aim of investigating the effect of three different types of exercise on the isokinetic strength of quadriceps and hamstring muscles. Thirty recreational athletes aged of 23.19 years on average participated in the study. In the study, the subjects were divided into 3 groups consisting of 10 individuals (bicycle group, plyometric group, and weight group). The athletes were given 3 different exercises for 3 days and 30 minutes per week for 6 weeks. Muscular strength and endurance as was measured at a constant angular velocity of 60°/s and 180°/s and concentric quadriceps and concentric hamstring strength test were measured before and after exercises by an isokinetic dynamometer (Cybex Humac Norm-2009). The Kruskal-Wallis H test was used to determine the differences among the groups in the study, and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine the group that is the source of these the differences. Wilcoxon test was conducted to determine pre - test post - test differences within the groups. As a result; Weight exercises during 6 weeks provided a significant increase in parameters at NDQPT60°/s, NDQAP60°/s and NDHPT60°/s in constant angular velocity values compared to cycling exercise in the same period. In terms of other parameters, exercises done in the same time period in all three groups did not show the same significant effect on strength development.

Keywords: Bicycle, hamstring, isokinetic strength, quadriceps, plyometric.

INTRODUCTION

The concentric maximum power that a group of muscles or muscles responding toward a special tool that is previously bounded and fixed by a specific velocity is defined as the "isokinetic strength" (4). The isokinetic strength is the highest torque value that can be developed during contraction at a given speed. Isokinetically contracting muscles are faced with a resistance that is adaptable to the strengths throughout the entire range of motion, in accordance with the rule that for every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction (26).

Isokinetic evaluation can be done at different speeds to assess muscle performance at those speeds, and performance of an individual can be monitored by various objective data. The equilibrium between agonist and antagonist muscle groups can also be measured by isokinetic testing (13). It also allows the measurement of the net effect of strength produced by the lower extremity or upper extremity muscles (32).

The evaluation for isokinetic strength test gives valuable information in the process of the

performance of the athletes. Isokinetic testing can be used in strength and fitness programs by documenting strength, strength, endurance and identifying specific weaknesses and imbalances. Isokinetic exercise is a valuable tool in terms of reproducibility and objectivity and development effectiveness of performance and strength (12).

Speeds between 5°-500°/s (26) or from 1°/s to about 1000°/s (10) can be achieved by various isokinetic dynamometers. The speed of the moving segment does not exceed the predetermined speed whatever the strength is applied to the isokinetic dynamometer is (26). Joint mobility range of motion is the only exercise that can be loaded at maximum capacity at each point along of the muscles (10).

The strength of quadriceps and hamstrings in the athletes can be measured isokinetic dynamometer. Isokinetic strength tests provide evaluation for the strength by allowing to test the concentric and eccentric muscle strength at a constant angular velocity. Torque peak value at low speeds (0-180°/s) can reach to the level of pure muscle strength the highest speed (>180°/s) by an intermuscular control mechanism (32).

The low torque peak/body weight ratio implies the need for more strength exercises, low total work value implies the need for repetitive exercises. Low average strength value indicates the exercises based on explosive strength (12).

The strength ratios of these muscle groups are measured to assess the strength balance among the mutual muscle groups. Studies on the Hamstring quadriceps (H / Q) force ratio provides the right decision about muscle stabilization and dynamic stabilization in the knee joint. Determination of the isokinetic strength profiles of the athletes in different branches is of great importance in terms of meeting the requirements of the branch of the athletes and maintaining the high performance of them (20).

In this study, it was aimed to determine the effect of 3 different types of exercise on the isokinetic strength of quadriceps and hamstring muscles.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Participants

Thirty male recreational athletes in total voluntarily participated in this study aged as 23.19 years on average who did not perform professional sports and actively exercised for 3 days a week for at least 2 hours. The subjects were selected from the athletes who had not experienced any neurological, audio-visual discomfort in last year and didn't have any serious injuries in the upper and lower extremities during the last six months.

Measurement

Length measurement

Length Measurements (Welch Allyn) were made while the athlete was standing in an upright position. The scale was adjusted so that the caliper sliding on the scaffold was touched on the head of the athlete and the length was read with a sensitivity within 1 mm.

Body weight

Body weight measurements were made in bascule which is a sensitive within 20-gram (Welch Allyn), with bare feet by wearing shorts only.

Isokinetic strength test

Isokinetic strength pre-tests and post-tests were performed in a sports medicine treatment room in Meram Medical Faculty Hospital Sports Medicine Hospital with an isokinetic dynamometer (Cybex, Humac Norm-2009) in the control of an expert. The first measurement for isokinetic strength tests of

quadriceps and hamstring muscles was performed before the exercises and the second measurement was performed after the 6-week exercise program was finished. Before starting the isokinetic test, each athlete was warmed up by using a horizontal bike at a speed of 5 minutes and 60 rpm and through stretching exercises on quadriceps femoris hamstring muscles. First, the dominant leg was tested, then the non-dominant leg was tested. The arms of the athletes were fastened by the grips of the seat next to the arm to lower the involvement of upper extremity. Quadriceps and hamstring muscles of athletes were tested. Concentric muscle strength was measured at constant angular velocities of 60°/s and 180°/s by an isokinetic dynamometer. Torque peak, mean work, mean strength values of concentric contraction type at each angular velocity (60°/s and 180°/sec) were measured for the evaluation parameters.

Training Procedure

Cycling

Athletes in the bicycle exercise group (n=10), were doing exercises for 30 minutes in 3 times a week for 6 weeks constituting 75 % of their maximal heart rate by with the BL909D Starline brand stationary bicycle. The pulse rate of the athletes was calculated by 220 heart rate formula and the pulse interval was found and followed by the polar watch.

Plyometric exercise

Plyometric exercises were applied to the plyometric exercise group by 3 sets of 10 repetitions for the first 3 weeks, by 3 sets of 15 repetitions for the last 3 weeks. The duration of the exercise is limited to 30 minutes in total, which will be 15 seconds for each set.

Weight exercise

In the weight training group, the athletes performed the exercises as 3 sets with 10 repetitions. 80% of the maximal 1 repetitions that the athletes can lift are calculated in every week. One minute break between sets, 5 minutes break between movements was given to the participants. The duration of the exercise is limited to 30 minutes in total, which will be 30 seconds for each set.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical evaluation of the findings was performed with the SPSS 21.0 computer package program, and the arithmetic mean and standard deviation of all parameters was calculated. The

Kruskal-Wallis H test was used to determine the differences between the groups and the Mann-Whitney U test was used to determine the source group for the differences because of the insufficiency of the data (n=30). Wilcoxon test was used to determine pre-test and post-test differences within the groups. P<0.05 was considered as a significant level for the differences.

RESULTS

When the isokinetic strength values of the bicycle group were examined, the values were found to be as at 60°/s and 180°/s as constant angular velocity, when the isokinetic strength was examined, it was found that there were a significant difference as DHPT60°/s and DHAW60°/s between the pretest and post-test values (p<0.05). It was determined there was a significant difference between isokinetic strength values as NDQPT60°/s, NDQAP60°/s and NDHAW60°/s between in pre-test and post-test values of cycling group at constant angular velocity values as 60°/s and 180°/s (p<0.05). Although, when the isokinetic strength values as DHPT60°/s and DHAP60°/s were examined it was found that there were significant difference at a constant angular velocity of 60°/s for the plyometric exercise group (p<0.05), there was no statistically significant

difference between pre- and post-test values in other measurements (p>0.05). There was a significant difference (p<0.05) among isokinetic strengths as DQAP60°/s, DHPT60°/s, DHAW60°/s and DHAP60°/s at a constant angular velocity of 60°/s and 180°/sec in the pre-test and post-test values of weight training group. There was a significant difference (p<0.05) among isokinetic strengths of the weight training group as NDHAW60°/s, NDHAP60°/s and NDHPT180°/s at a constant angular velocity 60°/s and 180°/s. When the isokinetic strength values were examined at fixed angular velocity as 60°/s between the groups in our study, no statistically significant difference among groups was found between the groups (p>0.05).

When the isokinetic strength values were examined at fixed angular velocity as 60°/s between the groups in our study, no statistically significant difference among groups was found between the groups (p>0.05).

It was found that there was no statistically significant difference among groups (p>0.05) when the isokinetic strength values were examined at fixed angular velocity of 180°/s between groups in our study.

Table 1. Intergroup comparisons of quadriceps-hamstring strength values of the dominant leg at a constant angular velocity of 60°/s (n=10).

| Groups | Pre-test (Nm) | | P | Post-test (Nm) | | P |
|--------|----------------|------------------|-------|----------------|------------------|-------|
| | <u>QPT</u> | | | <u>QPT</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 244.20±28.25 | - 223.90±43.03 | 0.241 | 233.10±21.53 | - 235.20±26.66 | 0.889 |
| BG-WG | 244.20±28.25 | - 241.90±40.53 | 0.893 | 233.10±21.53 | - 254.20±46.76 | 0.170 |
| PG-WG | 223.90±43.03 | - 241.90±40.53 | 0.297 | 235.20±26.66 | - 254.20±46.76 | 0.215 |
| | <u>QAW</u> | | | <u>QAW</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 1225.60±202.19 | - 1150.30±222.12 | 0.398 | 1217.30±117.95 | - 1191.50±162.63 | 0.764 |
| BG-WG | 1225.60±202.19 | - 1181.80±159.00 | 0.622 | 1217.30±117.95 | - 1261.10±261.89 | 0.611 |
| PG-WG | 1150.30±222.12 | - 1181.80±159.00 | 0.722 | 1191.50±162.63 | - 1261.10±261.89 | 0.421 |
| | <u>QAP</u> | | | <u>QAP</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 171.20±22.59 | - 159.50±30.58 | 0.363 | 167.00±16.05 | - 170.60±21.93 | 0.760 |
| BG-WG | 171.20±22.59 | - 170.50±30.81 | 0.956 | 167.00±16.05 | - 189.60±35.98 | 0.063 |
| PG-WG | 159.50±30.58 | - 170.50±30.81 | 0.392 | 170.60±21.93 | - 189.60±35.98 | 0.114 |
| | <u>HPT</u> | | | <u>HPT</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 114.00±19.61 | - 119.30±17.34 | 0.648 | 122.60±18.01 | - 130.30±17.82 | 0.406 |
| BG-WG | 114.00±19.61 | - 116.20±35.91 | 0.849 | 122.60±18.01 | - 136.30±24.59 | 0.145 |
| PG-WG | 119.30±17.34 | - 116.20±35.91 | 0.789 | 130.30±17.82 | - 136.30±24.59 | 0.516 |
| | <u>HAW</u> | | | <u>HAW</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 609.00±150.86 | - 650.60±120.51 | 0.540 | 686.00±107.27 | - 691.40±80.34 | 0.908 |
| BG-WG | 609.00±150.86 | - 609.90±173.32 | 0.989 | 686.00±107.27 | - 705.30±119.46 | 0.680 |
| PG-WG | 650.60±120.51 | - 609.90±173.32 | 0.549 | 691.40±80.34 | - 705.30±119.46 | 0.767 |
| | <u>HAP</u> | | | <u>HAP</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 89.40±16.49 | - 91.60±13.40 | 0.809 | 96.40±14.79 | - 100.90±11.17 | 0.505 |
| BG-WG | 89.40±16.49 | - 89.60±27.80 | 0.983 | 96.40±14.79 | - 108.40±17.95 | 0.083 |
| PG-WG | 91.60±13.40 | - 89.60±27.80 | 0.826 | 100.90±11.17 | - 108.40±17.95 | 0.270 |

BG: Bicycle Group, PG: Plyometric Group, WG: Weight Group, QPT: Quadriceps Peak Tork, QAW: Quadriceps Average Work, QAP: Quadriceps Average Power, HPT: Hamstring Peak Tork, HAW: Hamstring Average Work, HAP: Hamstring Average Power

Table 2. Intergroup comparisons of quadriceps-hamstring strength values of the dominant leg at a constant angular velocity of 180°/s (n=10).

| Groups | Pre-test (Nm) | P | Post-test (Nm) | P |
|--------|--------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------|-------|
| | <u>QPT</u> | | <u>QPT</u> | |
| BG-PG | 158.20±22.82 - 143.10±27.46 | 0.170 | 157.60±17.91 - 150.50±24.53 | 0.536 |
| BG-WG | 158.20±22.82 - 152.80±21.13 | 0.618 | 157.60±17.91 - 167.80±31.67 | 0.376 |
| PG-WG | 143.10±27.46- 152.80±21.13 | 0.373 | 150.50±24.53- 167.80±31.67 | 0.138 |
| | <u>QAW</u> | | <u>QAW</u> | |
| BG-PG | 2060.20±306.25-1876.20±343.11 | 0.185 | 2038.00±231.77 - 1943.90±327.94 | 0.520 |
| BG-WG | 2060.20±306.25- 1953.00±250.29 | 0.435 | 2038.00±231.77 - 2114.10±389.34 | 0.603 |
| PG-WG | 1876.20±343.11- 1953.00±250.29 | 0.575 | 1943.90±327.94- 2114.10±389.34 | 0.249 |
| | <u>QAP</u> | | <u>QAP</u> | |
| BG-PG | 273.90±40.34 - 227.40±86.75 | 0.092 | 276.50±37.46 - 261.60±42.27 | 0.465 |
| BG-WG | 273.90±40.34 - 263.20±38.29 | 0.691 | 276.50±37.46 - 287.90±53.50 | 0.575 |
| PG-WG | 227.40±86.75- 263.20±38.29 | 0.190 | 261.60±42.27- 287.90±53.50 | 0.202 |
| | <u>HAW</u> | | <u>HAW</u> | |
| BG-PG | 911.30±230.56 - 977.80±195.43 | 0.564 | 914.60±154.02 - 1038.50±104.97 | 0.180 |
| BG-WG | 911.30±230.56 - 937.70±320.33 | 0.818 | 914.60±154.02 - 1041.30±294.30 | 0.170 |
| PG-WG | 977.80±195.43- 937.70±320.33 | 0.727 | 1038.50±104.97- 1041.30±294.30 | 0.975 |
| | <u>HAP</u> | | <u>HAP</u> | |
| BG-PG | 131.40±30.51 - 138.90±29.43 | 0.651 | 136.40±25.50 - 144.40±15.67 | 0.520 |
| BG-WG | 131.40±30.51 - 134.80±47.26 | 0.837 | 136.40±25.50 - 153.00±36.94 | 0.188 |
| PG-WG | 138.90±29.43 - 134.80±47.26 | 0.804 | 144.40±15.67- 153.00±36.94 | 0.490 |

BG: Bicycle Group, PG: Plyometric Group, WG: Weight Group, QPT: Quadriceps Peak Tork, QAW: Quadriceps Average Work, QAP: Quadriceps Average Power, HPT: Hamstring Peak Tork, HAW: Hamstring Average Work, HAP: Hamstring Average Power

Table 3. Intergroup comparisons of quadriceps-hamstring strength values of the non-dominant leg at a constant angular velocity of 60°/s (n=10).

| Groups | Pre-test (Nm) | P | Post-test (Nm) | P |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|--------|
| | <u>QPT</u> | | <u>QPT</u> | |
| BG-PG | 232.90±32.55-231.20±41.38 | 0.926 | 213.90±25.57-233.80±28.51 | 0.152 |
| BG-WG | 232.90±32.55-247.30±46.26 | 0.433 | 213.90±25.57-242.00±35.51 | 0.047* |
| PG-WG | 231.20±41.38-247.30±46.26 | 0.382 | 233.80±28.51-242.00±35.51 | 0.548 |
| | <u>QAW</u> | | <u>QAW</u> | |
| BG-PG | 1007.50±359.28-1130.40±224.94 | 0.344 | 1107.70±133.57-1170.90±163.57 | 0.458 |
| BG-WG | 1007.50±359.28-1160.20±253.47 | 0.242 | 1107.70±133.57-1149.20±247.51 | 0.625 |
| PG-WG | 1130.40±224.94-1160.20±253.47 | 0.817 | 1170.90±163.57-1149.20±247.51 | 0.798 |
| | <u>QAP</u> | | <u>QAP</u> | |
| BG-PG | 157.20±25.23-158.90±28.26 | 0.901 | 149.30±19.35-164.90±21.80 | 0.156 |
| BG-WG | 157.20±25.23-175.10±36.26 | 0.197 | 149.30±19.35-175.20±29.43 | 0.022* |
| PG-WG | 158.90±28.26-175.10±36.26 | 0.242 | 164.90±21.80-175.20±29.43 | 0.344 |
| | <u>HPT</u> | | <u>HPT</u> | |
| BG-PG | 112.20±24.14-112.50±16.38 | 0.978 | 119.70±21.16-120.80±17.20 | 0.899 |
| BG-WG | 112.20±24.14-118.40±28.99 | 0.564 | 119.70±21.16-137.70±19.07 | 0.046* |
| PG-WG | 112.50±16.38-118.40±28.99 | 0.583 | 120.80±17.20-137.70±19.07 | 0.060 |
| | <u>HAW</u> | | <u>HAW</u> | |
| BG-PG | 595.60±149.50-571.90±119.42 | 0.694 | 661.60±114.86-625.60±109.70 | 0.473 |
| BG-WG | 595.60±149.50-566.70±129.33 | 0.632 | 661.60±114.86-648.80±107.32 | 0.798 |
| PG-WG | 571.90±119.42-566.70±129.33 | 0.931 | 625.60±109.70-648.80±107.32 | 0.643 |
| | <u>HAP</u> | | <u>HAP</u> | |
| BG-PG | 86.10±22.09-86.30±14.51 | 0.982 | 92.80±16.27-93.50±13.06 | 0.918 |
| BG-WG | 86.10±22.09-89.70±22.11 | 0.689 | 92.80±16.27-101.60±15.75 | 0.203 |
| PG-WG | 86.30±14.51-89.70±22.11 | 0.705 | 93.50±13.06-101.60±15.75 | 0.241 |

* p < 0.05; BG: Bicycle Group, PG: Plyometric Group, WG: Weight Group, QPT: Quadriceps Peak Tork, QAW: Quadriceps Average Work, QAP: Quadriceps Average Power, HPT: Hamstring Peak Tork, HAW: Hamstring Average Work, HAP: Hamstring Average Power

Table 4. Intergroup comparisons of quadriceps-hamstring strength values of the non-dominant leg at a constant angular velocity of 180°/s (n=10).

| Groups | Pre-test (Nm) | P | Post-test (Nm) | | P |
|--------|-------------------------------|-------|-------------------------------|-------|---|
| | | | QPT | QPT | |
| BG-PG | 156.00±24.88-151.10±21.36 | 0.699 | 154.20±24.80-156.50±15.66 | 0.831 | |
| BG-WG | 156.00±24.88-160.50±35.78 | 0.722 | 154.20±24.80-162.30±29.06 | 0.454 | |
| PG-WG | 151.10±21.36-160.50±35.78 | 0.460 | 156.50±15.66-162.30±29.06 | 0.591 | |
| | <u>QAW</u> | | <u>QAW</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 1996.20±352.81-1961.80±322.14 | 0.836 | 1987.80±281.24-1994.30±197.44 | 0.958 | |
| BG-WG | 1996.20±352.81-2062.00±424.05 | 0.693 | 1987.80±281.24-2020.20±319.24 | 0.791 | |
| PG-WG | 1961.80±322.14-2062.00±424.05 | 0.549 | 1994.30±197.44-2020.20±319.24 | 0.832 | |
| | <u>QAP</u> | | <u>QAP</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 273.20±47.26-264.70±39.90 | 0.725 | 264.40±48.05-266.80±24.75 | 0.903 | |
| BG-WG | 273.20±47.26-275.60±68.86 | 0.921 | 264.40±48.05-277.30±52.77 | 0.514 | |
| PG-WG | 264.70±39.90-275.60±68.86 | 0.652 | 266.80±24.75-277.30±52.77 | 0.595 | |
| | <u>HPT</u> | | <u>HPT</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 74.10±23.41-71.40±12.26 | 0.769 | 76.90±18.74-79.90±7.27 | 0.703 | |
| BG-WG | 74.10±23.41-71.40±23.31 | 0.769 | 76.90±18.74-83.50±22.40 | 0.403 | |
| PG-WG | 71.40±12.26-71.40±23.31 | 1.000 | 79.90±7.27-83.50±22.40 | 0.647 | |
| | <u>HAW</u> | | <u>HAW</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 910.90±330.99-928.30±172.53 | 0.888 | 910.40±239.42-1000.00±131.66 | 0.362 | |
| BG-WG | 910.90±330.99-881.00±292.09 | 0.809 | 910.40±239.42-959.40±255.56 | 0.616 | |
| PG-WG | 928.30±172.53-881.00±292.09 | 0.702 | 1000.00±131.66-959.40±255.56 | 0.678 | |
| | <u>HAP</u> | | <u>HAP</u> | | |
| BG-PG | 131.60±43.87-128.60±21.94 | 0.859 | 132.50±32.19-142.10±15.60 | 0.518 | |
| BG-WG | 131.60±43.87-128.70±42.58 | 0.864 | 132.50±32.19-150.00±43.98 | 0.242 | |
| PG-WG | 128.60±21.94-128.70±42.58 | 0.995 | 142.10±15.60-150.00±43.98 | 0.594 | |

BG: Bicycle Group, PG: Plyometric Group, WG: Weight Group, QPT: Quadriceps Peak Tork, QAW: Quadriceps Average Work, QAP: Quadriceps Average Power, HPT: Hamstring Peak Tork, HAW: Hamstring Average Work, HAP: Hamstring Average Power

There was a significant increase among groups ($p<0.05$) in isokinetic strength values as NDQPT60°/s, NDQAP60°/s and NDHPT60°/s at fixed angular speeds of 60°/s.

In our study, there was no significant difference among groups ($p<0.05$) when the isokinetic strength values as NDQPT180°/s, NDQAW180°/s, NDQAP180°/s, NDHPT180°/s, NDHAW180°/s and NDHAP180°/s were examined at fixed angular speeds of 180°/s.

DISCUSSION

Various studies have reported that different exercises involving different strength training develop some strength-requiring features such as squat bouncing and multiple bouncing (3, 9, 16).

It is observed that there is a significant difference among the strength values of 30 minutes cycling exercises constituting 75% of their maximal heart rate performed by cycling groups as DHPT60°/s, DHAW60°/s, NDQPT60°/s, NDHAW60°/s and NDQAP60°/s at fixed angular speeds of 60°/s, no significant difference was found for the fixed angular speeds of 180°. Six male students performed bicycle ergometer test by 90 % of VO2 max for 7 weeks, in the study of Tabata et al.

(28) which supports our findings investigating the effect of endurance exercises in the high-intensity interval on isokinetic muscle strength. They reported that they did not find any significant difference in isokinetic knee extension strength values at a constant angular velocity of 180°/s. In a study of Sangnier and Tourny-Chollet (25) conducted to semi-professional footballers, no statistically significant difference was found between dominant and nondominant legs in terms of flexor peak torque values at 180 rpm angular velocity. In the same study, similar results with what have been found in this study were found that the peak torque values at both spots at 180 rpm angular speed were found to be higher than the 240 rpm angular velocity peak torque of the work done. This difference may be related to the reduction of strength values due to the increase in angular velocity.

Martinmäki (21) in another study, reported that bicycle exercises high-intensity intervals for 6 weeks provided a significant increase in knee flexion strength. Sözen (27) also examined muscle activations during cycling exercises and found a significant improvement in rectus femoris muscles which can be regarded as muscles of the lower extremity in his study supporting our findings regarding NDQPT strength increase.

Similarly, it was determined that exercises for 25-45 minutes on 3 days a week for 9 weeks at 60-85% heart rate result in a significant increase in the ratio of 4-6% for the isokinetic strength of knee extension in the research of Van Zant & Bouillon (30) investigating the effect of cycling strength training on aerobic fitness and muscle strength. Differently, Bentley et al. (5) found a decrease in 60°/s isokinetic torque peak values after 30 minutes of bicycle exercise. The reduction in quadriceps strength values in our study supports the studies reported by Lepers et al. (19) finding a decrease in muscle strength after aerobic exercises with 30 min by 80% heart rate. Takashi et al. (29) reported that knee flexor strength developed more than knee extensor muscles, resulting in muscle activity for knee extender muscles and decreased pedal peak strength at high speed (>100 rpm). It was thought that this study suggested that the group of hamstring muscle provided isokinetic strength values with an increase.

We observed a significant increase in DHPT60°/s and DHAP60°/s strength values at a constant angular velocity of 60°/s after a 30-minute plyometric exercise program conducting for 3x10 for the first 3 weeks and 3x15 for the next 3 weeks. As a matter of fact, it has been reported to increase the strength in lower extremity flexion and extensional torque peak in plyometric studies conducted by Carvalho et al. (7) by 20 male handball players. Similarly, Sağıroğlu et al. (24) reported that plyometric training resulted in an increase in knee flexion concentric isokinetic torque peak values in both dominant and nondominant legs at 60°/s. In another study, Akdeniz (1) reported that plyometric exercises continuing for 3 days a week for 8 weeks resulted in a significant increase in right quadriceps torque peak strength values at a constant angular velocity of 60°/s. Matavulj et al. (22) also found that the strength development rate of knee extensors increased after plyometric training in basketballs aged 15-16 years. According to Willigenburg et al. (32), it has been reported that hamstring muscles are able to improve take-off performance by allowing hip flexor extensibility during liftoff, providing knee stabilization during a fall.

Characteristics of phenomena in the study, such as training level, gender, age, sports activities or similarities with plyometric exercise, may differentiate the effects of plyometric exercises. The intensity of training and the duration of the program are also among the factors that change the effectiveness of plyometric exercises. Besides,

according to previous studies, there is a disagreement over the ideal height of the boards for the depth jumps in order for the best use of plyometric exercises. Trainers and athletes should work out to find the appropriate height to achieve the shortest amortization phase and to maximize the jump height (32). Chu and Myer (8) reported that the height of the boards should be between 45 and 121 cm. Some researchers said that it should be less than 60 cm or more (31). Chu and Myer (8) reported that the height should be increased for quadriceps activation. Although the height of the jump boards, jumpings and in the values of the torque peak, mean work and mean power values of the muscle groups in all other values of 6 weeks of exercise time were increased, it was revealed that there is no significant difference in the post-test values statistically.

It found that the leg press, hack squat machine, leg extensions and seated leg curl exercises done by the weight training group for 30 minutes resulted in significant increase in the values of strength as DQAP60°/s, DHPT60°/s, DHAW60°/s, DHAP60°/s, NDHAW60°/s at 60°/s at constant angular velocity of 180°/s.

As a matter of fact, Brito et al. (14) studied the effect of 20-minute training program on 57 male soccer players for 9 weeks and 2 times a week. At the end of the exercise program, the concentric peak torque value of the knee extensor dominant muscle of the group performing the resistance weight program was significantly higher than the other groups. No significant difference was determined in non-dominant leg values. Nevertheless, there was a significant increase in the non-dominant muscle eccentric peak power of the complex strength group. Furthermore, Goto et al. (14) reported a significant increase in lower extremity isokinetic strength values after 4th week in the leg exercise program, which was applied with 90% of maximal repetition for 10 weeks. In another study, Akkoyunlu et al. (2) investigated the effects of squat training on the knee flexion and extension strength development in 8 weeks of different positions. and the right leg quadriceps had a mean angular velocity of 180°/s. Akkoyunlu et al. (2) found improvements in the right leg quadriceps as a mean angular velocity of 60°/s and 180°/s in their study investigating the effects of squat training on the knee flexion and extension strength development in 8 weeks of different positions. Similarly, Krisnan et al. (18) found significant differences in the strength of the quadriceps muscle in post-test measurements

between the control and experimental groups in their study investigating the effect of 4-week leg extension exercises on quadriceps muscle strength. Wyatt & Edwards (33) reported that the mean of the torque values of the dominant knees was significantly higher than the mean value of the torques produced by the nondominant knee at constant angular velocities of 60-180-300°/s in their research comparing the quadriceps and hamstring torque values of male subjects aged between 25 and 34 after isokinetic exercise. This finding is important in the sense that the dominant mean torque values in our study are higher than the nondominant torque values.

In exercises, the way of the contraction determines the ability of the intramuscularly produced tension. In weight training, 1-10 repetitive sets cause a significant increase in force values (15). Resistance exercises required for maximal strength recovery of max. 1 repeat of 70-85% with 8-12 repetitions are the best ones in which both concentric and eccentric muscle action are benefited from (17). The muscular efficiency is increased both by gravity and by the effect of the machine being worked during eccentric contraction (6). For this reason, eccentric phases of exercises are thought to cause a significant increase in hamstring muscles. There is thought to be a significant increase in both quadriceps mean power values applied at 80% density, dominant-nondominant hamstring strength values of 60°/s and nondominant hamstring torque peak values of 180°/s because of the reason for the 3x10 re-selection of the weight exercises in our study. Rahimi & Behpur (23) reported that if strength training programs are maintained at the appropriate intensity and severity, plyometric and weight training for 6 weeks and/or 2 days per week may be sufficient to improve muscle strength and vertical jumps.

REFERENCES

1. Akdeniz H. Süper ligde oynayan buz hokeycılere uygulanan pliometrik antrenmanların çabuk kuvvet ve maksimal kuvvetlerine etkisinin incelenmesi. Yayımlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi. Kütahya: Dumluşpınar Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, 2014.
2. Akkoyunlu Y, Şenel Ö, Eroğlu H. Farklı pozisyonlarda uygulanan squat egzersizlerinin diz fleksiyon ve ekstensiyon kuvvet gelişimine etkilerinin incelenmesi. Spormetre Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi, 2006; 4: 149-154.
3. Arabatzi F, Kellis E, De Villareal E. Vertical jump biomechanics after plyometric, weight lifting, and combined (weightlifting+ plyometric) training. J Strength Cond Res, 2010; 24: 2440-2448.
4. Başpinar Ö. Futbolcularda izokinetik kas kuvvetinin anaerobik gücü etkisi. Yayımlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi. Denizli: Pamukkale Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, 2009.
5. Bentley DJ, Zhou S, Davie AJ. (1998). The effect of endurance exercise on muscle force generating capacity of the lower limbs. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport, 1998; 1(3): 179-188.
6. Bompa TO, Di Pasquale MG, Cornacchia L. Serious strength training. Human Kinetics, 2003.
7. Carvalho A, Caserotti P, Carvalho C, Abade E, Sampaio J. Effect of a short time concentric versus eccentric training program on electromyography activity and peak torque of quadriceps. Journal of Human Kinetics, 2014; 41: 5-13.
8. Chu DA, Myer GD. Pliometrics. Human Kinetics, 2013.
9. Christou M, Smilios I, Sotiropoulos K, Volaklis K, Pilianidis T, Tokmakidis SP. Effects of resistance training on the physical capacities of adolescent soccer players. J. Strength Cond Res., 2006; 20: 783-791.
10. Çikler H. İzokinetik ve izometrik egzersiz çalışmalarının kas gücü ve propriyosepsiyon üzerine etkileri. Yayımlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi. İstanbul: İstanbul Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, 2007.
11. Goto K, Nagasawa M, Yanagisawa O, Kizuka T, Ishii N, Takamatsu K. Muscular adaptations to combinations of high- and low-intensity resistance exercises. The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research, 2004; 18(4): 730-737.
12. Gürol B. İzokinetik kuvvet antrenmanı programı sonrası basketbolda serbest atışın kinetik ve kinematik analizi. Yayımlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi. Eskişehir: Anadolu Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, 2013.
13. İpseftel İ. Yaşı erkeklerde izokinetik egzersizlerin kas gücüne etkisi. Yayımlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi. İstanbul: İstanbul Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, 2006.
14. Brito J, Vasconcellos F, Oliveira J, Krstrup P, António R. Short-term performance effects of three different low-volume strength-training programmes in college male soccer players. Journal of Human Kinetics, 2014; 40: 121-128.
15. Klausen K. Physiology of sports: strength and weight-training. (Editors: T. Reilly, N. Secher, P. Snell, C. Williams). E & FN Spon., 2005.
16. Wirth K, Keiner M, Hartmann H, Sander A, Mickel C. Effect of 8 weeks of free-weight and machine-based strength training on strength and power performance. Journal of Human Kinetics, 2016; 53: 201-210
17. Kraemer WJ, Vingren JL. Strength training national strength and conditioning association: muscle anatomy 101 (Editor: Lee E. Brown). Human Kinetics, 2007.
18. Krisnan L, Kee H, Hooi LB. (2014). The effect of 4-week leg extention training on the strength of quadriceps muscles. International Journal of Health, Physical Education and Computer Science in Sports, 2014; 15(1): 1-3.
19. Lepers R, Millet GY, Maffiuletti NA. Effect of cycling cadence on contractile and neural properties of knee extensors. Medicine and Science in Sports Exercise, 2001; 33: 1882-1888.
20. Magelhaes J, Oliveira J, Ascenso A, Soares J. Concentric quadriceps and hamstrings isokinetic strength in volleyball

- and soccer players. *J. Sports Med. Phys. Fitness*, 2004; 44: 119-25.
21. Martinmäki S. Effects of high intensity cycling interval training on endurance performance in ice-hockey players. Unpublished master's thesis. Jyväskylä: Jyväskylä University, 2012.
 22. Matavulj D, Kukolj M, Ugarkovic D, Tihanyi, J, Jaric S. (2001). Effects of plyometric training on jumping performance in junior basketball players. *Journal of Sport Medicine Physical Fitness*, 2001; 41(2): 159-64.
 23. Rahimi R, Behpur N. The effects of plyometric, weight and plyometric-weight training on anaerobic power and muscular strength. *Journal of Physical Education and Sport*, 2005; 3(1): 81-9.
 24. Sağiroğlu I, Önen ME, Ateş O, Kayatekin M, Şemin I. Effect of pliometric exercises on knee extension and flexion isokinetic force values in young basketball players. *Journal of Sport Science*, 2003; 11(3): 89-93.
 25. Sangnier S, Tourny-Chollet C. Effect of fatigue on hamstrings and quadriceps during isokinetic fatigue testing. *Int J Sport Med.*, 2007; 28: 1-6.
 26. Serbest MO. Alt ekstremite kas gücünün değerlendirilmesi. Yayılanmamış uzmanlık tezi. Isparta: Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Spor Hekimliği Anabilim Dalı, 2013.
 27. Sözen H. Eliptik bisiklet, koşu bandı ve bisiklet egzersizleri sırasında kas aktivasyonlarının karşılaştırılması. Yayılanmamış doktora tezi. Samsun: Ondokuz Mayıs Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü, 2009.
 28. Tabata I, Atomii Y, Kanehisa H, Miyashita M. Effect of high-intensity endurance training on isokinetic muscle power. *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, 1990; 60(4): 254-258.
 29. Takashi T, Yamamoto T, Ono T. (1998). Neuromuscular, metabolic and kinetic adaptations for skilled pedalling performance in cyclist. *Medicine and Science in Sports and Exercise*, 1998; 30: 442-449.
 30. Van Zant RS, Bouillon LE. Strength cycle training: effects on muscular strength and aerobic conditioning. *The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 2007; 21(1): 178-182.
 31. Villarreal ESS, Kellis E, Kraemer WJ, Izquierdo M. Determining variables of pliometric training for improving vertical jump height performance: a meta-analysis. *The Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research*, 2009; 22(2): 495-506.
 32. Willigenburg NW, Mcnally MP, Hewett TE. Quadriceps injuries in athletes: a clinical guide; quadriceps and hamstrings strength in athletes hamstring. New York: Springer Science Business Media, 2014.
 33. Wyatt MP, Edwards AM. Comparison of quadriceps and hamstring torque values during lsokinetic exercise. *Journal of Orthopaedic and Sports Phycal Therapy*, 1981; 3(2): 48-56.