Discussing Globalization and Cultural Hybridization

Fatih Fuat Tuncer [1]

Abstract: Globalization has led to the hybridization of culture, becoming an increasingly interesting research topic. This is a reflection of the cultural changes in modern society. Globalization allows for and also causes interaction between cultures worldwide. Consequently, different cultures interact with each other, resulting in a hybrid culture. The process of globalization has been accelerated by advancements in communication, transportation, and technology on a global scale. This has increased mutual interaction between cultures worldwide, accelerating cultural hybridization. This article will discuss the reasons and consequences of globalization and cultural hybridization, as well as their impact on cultures.

Keywords: Cultural hegemony, Cultural hybridity, Cultural studies, Globalization, Global culture

1. Introduction

Globalization has become an increasingly important topic of discussion in today's world as it affects many aspects of people's daily lives. One of the most significant effects of globalization is the interaction between different cultures, leading to the emergence of a new concept called cultural hybridization. As cultures from around the world become more intertwined, they begin to influence and borrow from one another, creating a unique blend of traditions and customs. To make the topic more understandable, it would be useful to define globalization and discuss its effects.

Globalization can generally be defined as a process that increases interaction on a global scale in economic, cultural, and social areas. With the impact of developments in transportation, communication, and technology around the world, this process is seen to be accelerating. With this acceleration, it is evident that the world is becoming more interconnected and there is an increase in interaction in many areas globally. One of the most significant effects of globalization is that it enhances economic integration. The increase in economic activities around the world has also increased world trade and investment. Globalization has profoundly affected the world economy, allowing for more cooperation and trade between countries. Furthermore, the economic benefits of globalization are not only limited to developed

---
[1] İstanbul Gelişim University, Department of Political Science And International Relations, İstanbul, Türkiye, fftuncer@gelisim.edu.tr, ORCID ID: 0000-0002-4034-5949

countries but also can be seen in developing countries. Developing countries are being repositioned in the new world thanks to globalization, receiving more investment, increasing their exports significantly, and being able to develop economically.

The effects of globalization are not limited to the economic field alone. Globalization has also caused significant cultural changes and increased interaction between different cultures. This has led to cultures learning from each other and the formation of a hybrid culture. Thanks to globalization, cultural interactions can be seen in popular culture products, music, film, and fashion worldwide. As a result, globalization affects many areas such as economy, culture, and social life.

The concept of cultural hybridity has become increasingly visible and debated in recent years, especially in the field of social sciences. Disciplines such as cultural anthropology, sociology, political science, social psychology, and cultural studies emphasize the importance of cultural hybridity as a research topic. Stuart Hall, Homi Bhabha, Paul Gilroy, and Arjun Appadurai are some of the thinkers and theorists who have made significant contributions to the increasing visibility and popularity of this concept.

Stuart Hall views cultural hybridity as the "continuous re-creation of culture" through a combination of various social groups and cultures, rather than from a single center (Hall, 1990). Homi Bhabha, on the other hand, defines cultural hybridity as "the culturalization of intervals," emphasizing that the interaction between cultures is always mutual, and cultures reconstruct themselves by drawing on different cultures (Bhabha, 1994). Another British thinker, Paul Gilroy, who has worked extensively in this area, defines cultural hybridity as "the encounter of black culture with white culture." According to Gilroy, the interaction between different cultures allows them to reinvent, explore, and construct themselves, ultimately leading to the adoption of the characteristics of different cultures (Gilroy, 1993). Arjun Appadurai, an Indian-American social anthropologist, views cultural hybridity as "a process in which cultures are reinvented in non-local, global contexts." According to Appadurai, cultures cannot be confined within today's boundaries, and the interaction between different cultures is leading to an increasingly hybridized culture (Appadurai, 1996).

The purpose of this study is to examine the issue of cultural hybridization and the impact of globalization, migration, diaspora, communication, and technology on the process of cultural hybridization. Additionally, the study discusses the consequences, advantages, and disadvantages of cultural hybridization, highlighting the importance of intercultural dialogue and multiculturalism. This is a qualitative study based on document analysis. Various academic sources, articles, reports, and books are examined to explore the topic of cultural hybridization and discuss different approaches, criticisms, and alternative

perspectives. The assumption of this study is that cultural hybridization is a source of richness. It is anticipated that intercultural interaction can enhance dialogue between societies, improve mutual understanding, and promote cultural diversity that can unite to form a strong cultural identity.

This article consists of three main sections, which can be evaluated as a conceptual framework. The first section focuses on the topic of "cultural hybridization." This section includes subheadings such as the impact of globalization on cultural hybridization, the effects of migration and diaspora, and the impact of communication and technology on cultural hybridization. In the second section, "the consequences of cultural hybridization" are examined, including multiculturalism and identity, the emergence of new cultural expressions, and intercultural dialogue. The final section of the article is focused on "criticisms and alternative approaches to cultural hybridization." This section will discuss criticisms of cultural assimilation and homogenization, as well as the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures. These sections aim to comprehensively explore the topic of cultural hybridization and provide readers with different perspectives on the subject.

2. Cultural Hybridization

Cultural hybridization can be defined as a phenomenon that emerges as a result of interaction between different cultures. This process has gained momentum with the increasing global transportation, advancements in technology, and widespread use of communication tools. As a result, not only cultural changes but also the similarities and differences between cultures have become more visible. Interactions between cultures can occur in various ways, particularly through tourism, migration, trade, and media (Czaika & Haas, 2014). However, the main reasons for cultural hybridization include the interaction between cultures as well as factors such as globalization, modernization, colonialism, international relations, social movements, and cultural activism. While these factors contribute to the proliferation of cultural hybridization, they also contribute to the transformation and enrichment of cultures (Garcia Canclini, 1995). However, cultural hybridization can create power relations between cultures and lead to the disappearance of certain cultures. Therefore, the issue of cultural hybridization should be approached by taking into account both its advantages and disadvantages. If the disadvantages are not taken into consideration, there is a risk of homogenization and cultural assimilation instead of cultural diversity. In the process of cultural hybridization, certain cultural elements can be suppressed or altered by the dominant culture, leading to a decrease in cultural diversity and the potential extinction of certain cultures. Therefore, careful consideration and balance should be maintained when examining the process of cultural hybridization. It

is crucial to preserve cultural differences and uniqueness within a framework that emphasizes interaction and sharing between cultures.

2.1 The Impact of Globalization on Cultural Hybridization

Globalization, as previously mentioned, is a significant factor that accelerates interaction between different cultures and increases cultural hybridization. The significant increase in trade, transportation, and technological developments worldwide as a result of globalization has facilitated cultural contact and increased interaction between different cultures. This process allows cultures to get to know and interact with each other and become hybridized. Globalization does not mean the elimination of differences between cultures; instead, it results in the emergence of new cultural expressions and forms as a result of the interaction between different cultures. This process enriches cultures while potentially changing cultural identities. For example, cultural elements such as eating habits, clothing styles, and music can differentiate or merge as a result of cultural interaction (Giddens, 2002).

The impact of globalization on cultural hybridization can lead to the emergence of similar elements in many cultures. For example, fast food culture has become widespread worldwide and popular in many countries. Similarly, music genres and fashion trends have also become popular worldwide due to the influence of globalization. However, this process also brings cultural fusion. For example, different cuisines can be combined to create a new flavor, or fashion trends can turn into a different style inspired by different cultures (Herminingrum, 2017). An example of this is the fast-food pizza chains in Türkiye introducing pizza varieties with döner, or Turkish döner becoming a popular European dish with European sauces. It is also observed that with globalization, the world's fashion trends are becoming increasingly similar, and the differences in clothing cultures between countries and cultures are disappearing.

The impact of globalization on cultural hybridization can have both positive and negative consequences. It can be said that the interaction between cultures allows for the discovery and understanding of different cultures. As a result of the fusion of different cultures, new cultural expressions emerge, increasing cultural diversity. However, the impact of globalization on cultural hybridization can also lead to the changing or loss of cultural identities (Tomlinson, 1999). With globalization becoming increasingly debated, the number of academics interested in this field has increased, and social scientists' studies in this area have increased.

For example, Elleze Bhattacherjee is one of the prominent theorists discussing the impact of globalization on cultural hybridization. According to Bhattacherjee, globalization reduces borders and distinctions

between cultures, leading to increased cultural exchange and interaction between cultures. This interaction can result in the emergence of a new cultural synthesis between different cultures. Bhattacherjee also considers the economic and political aspects of globalization's impact on cultural hybridization (Bhattacherjee, 2001).

Arjun Appadurai explains the impact of globalization on cultural hybridization through the "cultural flows theory". According to Appadurai, globalization accelerates cultural transitions, leading to the emergence of a cultural market between different cultures. Within this market, cultures interact with each other, and as a result of this interaction, a new cultural synthesis emerges (Appadurai, 1996).

In conclusion, there are many theories and explanations about the impact of globalization on cultural hybridization. The common thread among these theories is that globalization reduces borders and distinctions between cultures, increases cultural exchange, and leads to the emergence of a new synthesis between cultures.

2.2 The Effect of Migration and Diaspora

The hybridization of culture has become even more widespread in the process of globalization with factors such as migration and diaspora. Migration refers to the transition of people to different countries, social, economic, and cultural environments, increasing cultural diversity. When migrants make this transition, they bring different cultural practices, values, and norms with them, contributing to the cultural diversity in new societies. The cultural interaction of migrants in the countries they go to can lead to the emergence of hybrid cultures (Aksakal, 2014).

Diaspora, on the other hand, means people voluntarily or forcibly leaving their communities to live in a different place. While diaspora communities live in different cultural contexts, they also remain attached to their cultures and carry these cultures to new societies. This process helps diaspora communities to preserve their identities, while also leading to various cultural interactions. These interactions can lead to the emergence of similarities between different cultures and the creation of hybrid cultures (Ang, 2003).

In addition to these factors, the development of communication and technology has facilitated cross-cultural interaction and prepared the ground for different cultural elements to be shared. The internet, social media, and other communication technologies increase the interaction between different cultural groups and also accelerate cultural hybridization. Cultural interactions can also arise due to reasons such as increasing tourism and migrant workers (Appadurai, 1990). Mary Louise Pratt explains the effect of

diaspora on cultural hybridization with the term 'transculturation'. According to Pratt, diaspora enables cultures to interact with each other, adapt, synthesize and create a new culture (Pratt, 1992).

Another important theorist who worked on the effect of diaspora on cultural hybridization is Homi K. Bhabha, whom we mentioned before. Using the term 'hybridity,' Bhabha emphasizes that cultural hybridization means reshaping a culture under the influence of other cultures. Similar to other thinkers, Bhabha also believes that diaspora leads to cultural hybridization and creates a new culture (Bhabha, 1994). The effect of migration on cultural hybridization can also be evaluated similarly. Stuart Hall states that migration leads to increased relations and interactions between cultures. Additionally, while immigrants try to maintain their cultural identities, they also interact with a new culture, making cultural hybridization inevitable (Hall, 1990).

In conclusion, the influence of migration and diaspora is important in the hybridization of culture. Diaspora facilitates the encounter and synthesis of cultures, while migration increases cultural interaction, leading to the emergence of new cultural richness. Therefore, the cultural exchange and interaction between different cultures are essential to create a more diverse and inclusive world.

2.3 The Impact of Communication and Technology on Cultural Hybridization

Undoubtedly, one of the most important elements of globalization is the development of communication technologies. Technological tools such as the internet, mobile phones, and social media applications have made it easier than ever before for people all over the world to communicate with each other. All technological developments have enabled people from different cultures to communicate with people in different countries. Communication technologies also increase interaction between cultures (Castells, 1996). For example, a Japanese anime fan can live in Turkey and easily learn about Japanese culture through the internet. Similarly, a European pop music fan can follow K-pop artists in South Korea and learn about their culture.

It may not be accurate to evaluate the impact of communication technologies on globalization as only positive. Some thinkers believe that these technologies create a homogeneous culture worldwide. For instance, Hollywood-produced movies and television programs are popular in many parts of the world, which may cause the disappearance or distortion of local cultures in many countries. Elisabeth Eide and Risto Kunelius also point out this issue and emphasize that dominant cultural hegemony is spreading through media tools (Eide & Kunelius, 2001).

Many theorists focus on the impact of globalization on cultural hybridization. Appadurai states that globalization creates new cultural processes, media, advertising, and popular culture that go beyond cultural boundaries and interact between cultures (Appadurai, 1996). Similarly, Robertson says that globalization brings cultures closer together and creates a world where different cultures coexist (Robertson, 1992).

The contribution of communication and technology to the globalization process is significant. The internet and social media have facilitated communication among people worldwide and increased interaction between cultures. Therefore, people have had the opportunity to get to know different cultures more closely and see the similarities and differences between different cultures more clearly. For example, in recent years, Turkish TV series have appealed to a significant audience worldwide. These series exported to over 150 countries have introduced Turkish culture worldwide and created a unique style by taking influences from other cultures (Sayın, 2021). Similarly, as we have mentioned before, Korean pop music (K-Pop) has also gained a massive market share worth billions of dollars and gained a huge fan base worldwide (Romano, 2018). K-Pop has increased intercultural interaction by making Korean culture popular.

It is also not accurate to claim that the impact of communication and technology in the globalization process is entirely positive. There are criticisms that it leads to a kind of cultural imperialism of dominant cultures.

3. The Consequences of Cultural Hybridization

Cultural hybridization is a phenomenon that arises from increased interaction between world cultures and their mutual influence. This process breaks down barriers between cultures and leads to the adoption of different cultures. Hybridization helps cultures to adopt a more open and tolerant perspective and, at the same time, increases cultural diversity.

However, cultural hybridization can also have negative consequences. For example, some cultures may disappear or be assimilated under the influence of other cultures. Additionally, interactions between cultures can lead to some cultures dominating others, resulting in the formation of cultural hegemony. Therefore, it is important to consider cultural hybridization to ensure the understanding and preservation of cultural differences.

3.1 Multiculturalism and Identity

The mixing of cultures arises from the increased and intense interaction between different societies. This situation brings about multiculturalism, which is a phenomenon observed in societies where multiple cultures coexist. Mixing cultures have a common cultural heritage because they interact with each other. Thus, new cultural structures created by the influence of different cultures increase the cultural diversity of the society. In addition, the coexistence of different cultures enables people to become more open-minded, tolerant, and empathetic (Kim, 1987). However, multiculturalism can also bring identity problems. The coexistence of different cultures can cause difficulties for individuals in creating their own identities. In this case, individuals may have difficulty deciding which culture to connect with or may create complex identities by connecting with multiple cultures. This can lead to identity crises in individuals. Additionally, some individuals may be prejudiced against different cultures or believe that one culture is superior to others. This situation can cause social fragmentation and discrimination. Therefore, the issues of multiculturalism and identity have become an important topic of discussion in society, and efforts to find solutions are ongoing (Weaver, 1998).

Many theorists and academics have different approaches regarding the mixing of culture. For example, Homi K. Bhabha states that the process of cultural hybridity arises from the disagreements between different cultural identities that mix with each other. According to Bhabha, cultural hybridity is not only the result of different cultures coming together but also the result of the conflicts and clashes between these cultures (Bhabha, 1994). Stuart Hall describes the process of cultural hybridity as "living on the border." According to him, people have to redefine their identities while they move spatially and culturally between different cultures (Hall, 1990). Hall also argues that in the process of cultural hybridity, interactions between different cultures should be approached with openness and tolerance rather than prejudice and discrimination.

In conclusion, the subject of the mixing of culture includes different approaches by various theorists. However, it is generally accepted that the process of cultural hybridity increases cultural diversity and enables people to understand different cultures and exhibit a more tolerant approach. Nevertheless, it should not be forgotten that if this process is not managed properly, discriminatory language can emerge, and dominant cultures can also assimilate other cultures.

3.2 Emergence of New Cultural Expressions

The blending of cultures leads to the emergence of new cultural expressions, particularly in areas such as music, fashion, cinema, and art. For example, hip-hop music was born as a cross-cultural blend and has become popular worldwide. Additionally, fashion creates new trends through the blending of cultures. Western fashion has become popular worldwide and created cross-cultural interactions. The emergence of new cultural expressions as a result of cultural blending is one of the most important cultural phenomena of our time. Many theorists and academics have conducted studies in this area and obtained important findings.

For example, Homi Bhabha argues that cultural blending is an area where a culture interacts with another culture and new cultural identities emerge. Power balances between cultures change in this area, and each culture gains a unique perspective (Bhabha, 1994). Similarly, Stuart Hall also argues that cultural blending is a process of producing new cultural identities that emerge from the interaction of cultures (Hall, 1990).

Although many studies have been conducted on this topic, research on the blending of music cultures is particularly noteworthy. For example, Şenol Durgun, who reads Turkish modernization through the evolution of Turkish music, notes that music changed in Turkey based on its strategic, social, and political positioning in the world (Durgun, 2018). Thus, the emergence of new cultural expressions as a result of cultural blending is a product of interaction and communication between cultures. This process offers opportunities for discovering new and rich cultural expressions that emerge from interactions between cultures.

Cinema, like music, is a cross-cultural expression tool and can help increase understanding between cultures. For example, films that reflect different cultures, such as Slumdog Millionaire, which made its mark on the 2009 Oscars, can create cross-cultural understanding and empathy among viewers by addressing the lives and difficulties of people from different cultures (Edelstein, 2008). Art is also used as a means of cross-cultural interaction. The best examples of this can be seen in the field of visual arts. Although this interaction is not unique to the present day, there are examples of it in history as well. Benal Dikmen explains this as follows:

> *"In the context of visual arts, the phenomenon of interaction and hybridity resulting from cross-cultural encounters dates back to ancient times. Ancient Greek art was influenced by Egyptian sphinxes, Scythian gold embroidery, Syrian love goddesses, and Phoenician coin*

patterns. Even the aesthetics of Zen Buddhism, considered "Japanese," reflects the centuries-long coexistence of a new and foreign religion - Buddhism of Indian origin that came to Japan via China and Korea - with Japanese culture. In Islamic civilizations, cross-cultural relations were maintained through ethnic and regional variations, which resulted in the emergence of hybrid forms in architecture, calligraphy, textiles, ceramics, and metalwork." (Dikmen, 2014).

Ultimately, the hybridization of cultures has been an ongoing process from the past to the present, but it has accelerated with globalization, leading to the emergence of new cultural expressions and their popularity worldwide. This phenomenon appears in fields such as music, fashion, cinema, and art, and can help increase understanding among cultures.

3.3 Inter-Cultural Dialogue

Inter-cultural dialogue is of great significance as it can directly impact the outcomes of cultural blending. With the increase in the impact of globalization and the rapid development of technology, cultures around the world are being influenced by each other as never before. This interaction is believed to create opportunities for different cultures to share many different ideas, beliefs, values, and traditions, but at the same time, it can also lead to disagreements and conflicts between different cultures.

Inter-cultural dialogue means creating a platform for different cultures to respect each other and try to understand each other. Inter-cultural dialogue can help eliminate prejudices that cultures have towards each other, and by facilitating communication between different cultures, it can create a better understanding and collaboration environment. As a result, different ideas, beliefs, and traditions that arise from inter-cultural interaction can be better understood, and the richness of these differences can be better evaluated. Additionally, the efforts and publications of UNESCO to promote inter-cultural dialogue and understanding can also be considered important in this regard. UNESCO aims to promote inter-cultural dialogue and understanding and implements projects for cultural diversity preservation and the establishment of peace among cultures (UNESCO, 2023).

There are many studies conducted in the field of social sciences on inter-cultural dialogue. For example, Edward Said emphasized the importance of inter-cultural understanding for a peaceful world in his book "Orientalism," where he discussed the Western view of the East, highlighting existing issues (Said, 1999). Amartya Sen, who was awarded the Nobel Prize in economics, also suggests in his work "Development as

Freedom" that inter-cultural understanding and dialogue can play an important role not only in conflict resolution but also in economic development (Sen, 2000). Sen summarizes this issue as follows:

> *"Preservation of cultural diversity, development of inter-cultural understanding and strengthening of cultural dialogue are of great importance not only in the cultural field but also in the economic and social field. Inter-cultural dialogue can be extremely beneficial in reducing social conflicts and promoting economic development. The understanding and collaboration environment created by inter-cultural dialogue can play an effective role in solving problems that can lead to conflicts. Moreover, the coexistence of cultural differences and the enrichment of each other's beliefs, values, and traditions are a great wealth."* (Sen, 2000)

Other studies conducted on inter-cultural understanding and dialogue also show that international studies in this area can have a significant impact on inter-cultural interaction. In this regard, the role of the media, civil society, and governments is emphasized in creating an environment where different cultures can understand and respect each other.

4. Criticisms and Alternative Approaches to the Hybridization of Culture

The hybridization of culture has been subject to both positive and negative criticisms. Some critics argue that the mixing of cultures has led to the loss of uniqueness, homogenization, and the erasure of certain cultural characteristics. In other words, some critics claim that the hybridization of cultures leads to assimilation and the disappearance of certain cultural features. Additionally, criticisms include that the hybridization of cultures leads to cultural imperialism and hegemony (Appiah, 2006).

Alternative approaches that view the hybridization of culture in a positive light also exist. These approaches argue that the interaction between cultures leads to the emergence of new ideas, art, music, fashion trends, and food recipes, resulting in the enrichment of cultures and the creation of new syntheses. These approaches also emphasize that when cultures approach each other with understanding and respect, they can cooperate for a better world. Dialogue and interaction between cultures can be a foundation for global peace and human rights (Guirdham, 1999).

4.1 Critiques of Cultural Assimilation and Homogenization

The increased interaction between cultures as a result of the hybridization process has brought about some critiques, which argue that cultures are entering a process of assimilation and homogenization.

The critique of cultural assimilation posits that one culture assimilates and destroys other cultures, or that the dominant culture homogenizes other cultures by making them similar to itself. This critique suggests that the differences between cultures may disappear, leading to the emergence of a uniform culture. For example, Adnan Mahiroğlu interprets this situation as follows: "In the globalization process, new habits and customs, particularly those with Western cultural references, are observed to emerge in many national cultures. Traditions in national cultures, referred to as "customs," are attitudes and behaviors witnessed by the new generation in the previous generation. In the acquisition of customs, the attitudes and behaviors of the previous generation, which serve as a reference for the new generation, have lost their feature of being the sole source in the globalization process; in parallel with the developments in audio/visual communication tools, a second source called "global culture" has emerged. Therefore, global culture has become an important reference in acquiring new habits and customs; it has initially hybridized national cultures, which were homogeneous, and then accelerated its influence activities to make itself homogeneous, weakening national cultures." (Mahiroğlu, 2010).

There are alternative approaches that advocate for the preservation of mutual interaction and cultural richness between cultures, despite the criticisms. According to this approach, it is important to understand cultures through dialogue and to value this interaction, as well as to emphasize values such as respect, empathy, and tolerance between cultures, while preserving and enriching the differences between them. This approach can help reduce conflicts between cultures and foster better understanding between people by maintaining cultural diversity (Imil, 2019).

Different theorists and researchers have expressed their views on this subject. For example, Edward Said argues that Western countries have maintained their domination by otherizing Eastern cultures (Said, 1999), while Arjun Appadurai advocates that global culture is a heterogeneous structure and that cultural interaction creates richness (Appadurai, 1996). Criticisms of cultural assimilation and homogenization arise from concerns that cultures may disappear. Cultures that are under the influence of dominant cultures, especially in areas where cultural minorities live, may be at risk of disappearing over time. This would result in a decrease in cultural diversity. Many studies and research are being conducted on this subject. Factors that lead to the disappearance of cultures include globalization, tourism, urbanization, and trade. Therefore, it is important to create awareness at the international level and to develop protection policies for preserving cultural diversity (Pieterse, 2003).

Another topic of debate is how cultural assimilation affects political discourse. Some political leaders support the elimination of cultural differences between cultures, while others advocate for different cultures

to coexist with respect for each other. Undoubtedly, political discourse can influence criticisms of cultural assimilation and homogenization. Some political leaders may stoke extreme nationalist sentiments by claiming that their countries and cultures are in danger or that foreign cultures are spreading into their countries. This can sometimes lead to discrimination and even racism, as unfortunately has happened throughout history. The Solingen massacre, which occurred in Germany and resulted in the killing of Turks living in Germany, is an example of this. Therefore, political leaders must be careful when producing discourse on this subject. Policies and legal regulations can be put in place to preserve cultural diversity and enable different cultures to coexist, and the UNDP (United Nations Development Programme) has provided recommendations in this regard (UNDP, 2004).

4.2 The Idea of Equality and Mutual Exchange Between Cultures

The idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures is an approach that was proposed as an alternative to the criticisms of cultural hybridization and assimilation. This idea advocates for cultures to interact with each other while respecting each other, resulting in the emergence of new cultural expressions. This approach aims to preserve cultural diversity and richness while emphasizing the positive outcomes of interactions between cultures. This idea was first proposed in the field of cultural anthropology. Anthropologists working in this field study the reasons and consequences of cultural differences to better understand interactions between cultures. As a result of these studies, the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures emerged as a thought that cultures can develop by learning from each other while preserving their uniqueness. For example, Claude Levi-Strauss' book "The View from Afar" is one of the important works in anthropology and cultural studies. Levi-Strauss proposes a new and original approach to understanding and explaining cultural differences, based on the evolutionary history of humanity and comparative studies of cultures, and argues that cultural differences can be enriched through mutual understanding and interaction (Levi-Strauss, 1985).

The idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures is an important concept that underlies intercultural dialogue and understanding. Research and opinions of theorists on this topic are quite diverse. According to some theorists, the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures allows different cultures to learn new things from each other and interact with each other, resulting in a rich exchange between cultures and the emergence of new cultural expressions. However, some authors also argue that this idea of exchange and equality between cultures is too idealistic to be implemented in real life. According to these criticisms, relationships between cultures often occur asymmetrically due to power imbalances and hegemonic relationships. Additionally, cultural change occurs only when some cultures

dominate others, and there is no dialogue and interaction that will provide equality between cultures.

Edward Said is one of the most important writers who advocates for the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures. In his work "Orientalism," Said opposes the view that Western civilization considers itself superior to and marginalizes the East. According to him, the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures is important, and each culture can learn from the others (Said, 1999). Homi Bhabha, who also shares similar views as Said, is another theorist who advocates for the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures. According to Bhabha, the process of understanding and learning from each other between cultures promotes cultural diversity (Bhabha, 1994). Stuart Hall, who is recognized in the field of cultural studies, is also one of the names that advocates for the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures. According to Hall, understanding the differences between cultures will enable people to accept and be tolerant of differences (Hall, 1990).

The discourse used by policymakers can deeply affect the idea of equality and mutual exchange between cultures. While some politicians produce policies to encourage interaction between cultures and positively interpret cultural differences, others advocate for homogenizing policies that aim to eliminate cultural differences. The important thing here is to avoid the discourse that could bring intercultural conflict. It is argued that producing policies that aim to understand and accept cultural differences will help create a more tolerant and peaceful society. However, homogenizing policies that aim to eliminate cultural differences can increase intercultural conflict (Bhabha, 1994).

5. Conclusion

Culture is a comprehensive entity that encompasses the values, beliefs, norms, language, art, and other symbolic expressions of a society, playing a significant role in people's lives. Culture is shaped by social factors such as history and geography, forming the cornerstone of societal identities. However, in today's world, factors like increased transportation, technological advancements, and the widespread use of communication tools have led to a growing interaction between different cultures. This interaction triggers a process known as cultural hybridization. Cultural hybridization is the phenomenon that arises when different cultures come together. In this process, ideas, values, norms, language, art, and other cultural expressions interact and engage with one another. As a result of this interaction, cultural elements mutually influence, change, and give rise to a new synthesis. Hybridization leads to a clearer manifestation of similarities and differences between cultures, giving rise to new forms of culture. However, it is important to remember that this process has both positive and negative aspects.

Starting with the positive aspects of hybridization, we can say that the mixing of cultures increases understanding and tolerance among people. Thus, people from different cultures make an effort to understand each other better, and this strengthens relations between societies. Additionally, it is expected that interactions between cultures will increase creativity and lead to innovative ideas. For example, mixing food cultures can result in the creation of new types of cuisine. However, cultural hybridization also brings some negative aspects. Firstly, there is a risk of losing cultures. As a result of the mixing of different cultures, some traditions and values may be forgotten and thus disappear. Moreover, cultural hybridization can cause many people to lose their identities and feel alienated. Also, some people may perceive cultural hybridization as a threat, which can cause social tensions.

In conclusion, cultural hybridization is a phenomenon that has both positive and negative aspects. While the innovations and tolerance resulting from the mixing of different cultures increase cultural richness, the negative consequences such as lost values and identity loss must also be taken into consideration. Therefore, it is important to take necessary measures to preserve the positive aspects of cultural hybridization and minimize its negative aspects.

Globalization has increased the interaction and intermingling of cultures around the world through enhanced communication and trade. The impact of this on the future of culture is debated, with both positive and negative effects to consider. On the one hand, globalization can facilitate positive cultural interactions as people from different cultures come together and gain a deeper understanding and appreciation for one another. Additionally, increased cultural exchange can lead to the creation of new and innovative ideas, fostering an interconnectedness of cultures. This can lead to collaboration, understanding, peace, and harmony among cultures.

However, globalization also has negative effects on culture. Cultural hybridization can lead to the loss or assimilation of some cultures, and the widespread influence of popular culture can suppress traditional values and beliefs. The cultural hegemony created by globalization can result in the formation of a single, uniform world culture, leading to a loss of diversity and richness. The future of culture under the influence of globalization is uncertain, as it depends on how global interactions occur and how cultures interact with one another. Preserving cultural diversity and enhancing dialogue between cultures can enrich global culture and make it a unifying force. However, cultural loss and the formation of a single world culture can result in a loss of cultural richness and identity.

In conclusion, cultural hybridization resulting from globalization can have both positive and negative effects. The future of culture under the influence of globalization is uncertain, and the effects cannot be

exclusively classified as positive or negative. However, it is important for cultures to adapt to these changes while preserving their uniqueness. The interconnectedness of cultures can lead to increased dialogue and sharing of ideas, promoting cultural richness, while preserving their originality. Therefore, while cultural interactions are inevitable in the era of globalization, it is important for cultures to adapt while preserving their uniqueness, contributing to a culturally rich world.

Article Information Form

References

Aksakal, E. (2014). Kültürel kimliğin inşa sürecinde melez bir kavram olarak alevilik. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Edebiyat Fakültesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi*, 215-235.

Ang, I. (2003). Together-in-difference: Beyond diaspora, into hybridity. *Asian Studies Review*, 141-154.

Appadurai, A. (1990). Disjuncture and difference in the global cultural economy. *Public Culture*, 1-24.

Appadurai, A. (1996). *Modernity at Large: Cultural Dimensions of Globalization*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Appiah, K. A. (2006). *Cosmopolitanism: Ethics in a World of Strangers*. New York: W. W. Norton & Company.

Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The Location of Culture*. London: Routledge.

Bhattacherjee, E. (2001). Globalization and cultural hybridization. J. Lull, & S. Hinerman içinde, *Globalisation, Communication and Culture* (s. 249-265). London: Routledge.

Burton, J. W., & Saunders, H. H. (1984). *Conflict: Resolution and Provention*. New York: St. Martin's Press.

Castells, M. (1996). *The Rise of The Network Society*. Cambridge: Wiley-Blackwell.

Czaika, M., & Haas, H. D. (2014). The globalization of migration: Has the world become more migratory? *Int. Migr. Rev.*, 283-323.

Dikmen, B. (2014). Görsel sanatlarda kültürlerarası etkileşim ve melezlik. *Mimar Sinan Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 27-37.

Durgun, Ş. (2018). *Türkiye'de devletçi gelenek ve müzik*. Ankara: A Kitap.

Edelstein, D. (2008, November 20). *Crossing cultures in 'slumdog millionaire'*. NPR: https://www.npr.org/2008/11/20/97254578/crossing-cultures-in-slumdog-millionaire adresinden alındı.

Eide, E., & Kunelius, R. (2001). *Globalization, Culture and Journalism*. London: Routledge.

Garcia Canclini, N. (1995). *Hybrid Cultures: Strategies for Entering and Leaving Modernity*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press.

Giddens, A. (2002). *Runaway World: How Globalisation is Reshaping Our Lives*. London: Profile Books.

Gilroy, P. (1993). *The Black Atlantic: Modernity and Double Consciousness*. London: Harvard University Press.

Guirdham, M. (1999). *Communicating Across Cultures*. London: Red Globe Press.

Hall, S. (1990). *Cultural Identity and Diaspora: Identity: Community, Culture, Difference*. Londra: Lawrence and Wishart.

Herminingrum, S. (2017). Transglobal culture: Hybrid Food and Cultural Identity. *The IAFOR International Conference on Arts & Humanities*. Hawaii: iafor. `http://papers.iafor.org/ wp-content/uploads/papers/iicahhawaii2017/IICAHHawaii2017_33936. pdf` adresinden alındı.

İmil, E. (2019, May 5). *Suudi Arabistan ve Avusturya: Diyalog, Dayanışma ve Sorumluluk*. ŞARKUL AVSAT: `https://turkish.aawsat.com//home/article/1707591/ imil-emin/suudi-arabistan-ve-avusturya-diyalog-dayan%c4%b1%c5% 9fma-ve-sorumluluk` adresinden alındı.

Kim, Y. Y. (1987). *Interethnic Communication: Current Research (International and Intercultural Communication Annual)*. London: Sage.

Lederach, J. P. (2005). *The Moral Imagination: The Art and Soul of Building Peace.* Oxford: Oxford.

Levi-Strauss, C. (1985). *The View From Afar.* (J. Neugroschel, Çev.) Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Mahiroğlu, A. (2010). Küreselleşmenin kültürel değerler üzerine etkisi. *Journal of Social Policy Conference*, 2005(50), 1275-1288.

Pieterse, J. N. (2003). *Globalization and Culture: Global Mélange*. Lanham: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.

Pratt, M. L. (1992). *Imperial Eyes: Travel Writing and Transculturation.* Routledge: London.

Robertson, R. (1992). *Globalization: Social Theory and Global Culture.* Londra: Sage Publications.

Romano, A. (2018, February 26). *How K-Pop Became a Global Phenomenon.* VOX: `https://www.vox.com/culture/2018/2/16/16915672/what-is-kpop-history-explained` adresinden alındı.

Said, E. W. (1999). *Şarkiyatçılık: Batının Şark Anlayışları* (1 b.). (B. Ülner, Çev.) İstanbul: Metis.

Sayın, E. (2021, Mart 2). *Türk dizileri dünyanın dört bir yanına köprü oldu.* TRTHABER: `https://www.trthaber.com/haber/kultur-sanat/turk-dizileri-dunyanin-dort-bir-yanina-kopru-oldu-560791.html` adresinden alındı

Sen, A. (2000). *Development as Freedom.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Tomlinson, J. (1999). *Globalization and Culture*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

UNDP. (2004, January 1). *Human development report 2004*. UNDP: `https://hdr.undp.org/content/human-development-report-2004` adresinden alındı

UNESCO. (2023). *E-Platform on intercultural dialogue.* UNESCO: `https://www.unesco.org/interculturaldialogue/en` adresinden alındı

Weaver, G. (1998). *Culture, Communication, and Conflict: Readings in Intercultural Relations.* New-York: Simon & Schuster.