

# **BILIMSEL MADENCILIK DERGISI<br>SCIENTIFIC MINING JOURNAL**

TMMOB Maden Mühendisleri Odası Yayını / The Publication of the Chamber of Mining Engineers of Turkey

Original Research

www.mining.org.tr

# **Recovery of chromite from concentrator plant tailings**

Mehmet Özyurt<sup>a,\*</sup>, Yakup Cebeci<sup>b,\*\*</sup>, Şevket Levent Ergün<sup>c,\*\*\*</sup>

*a Bilfer Mining and Tourism Inc., Ankara, TÜRKİYE*

*b Cumhuriyet University, Department of Chemical Engineering, Sivas, TÜRKİYE*

*c Hacettepe University, Department of Mining Engineering, Ankara, TÜRKİYE*

*Received: 02 December 2022* • *Accepted: 23 December 2022*

# A B S T R A C T

In this study, experimental studies have performed to recover chromite from Eskiköy-Sivas chromite concentrator which is owned Bilfer Madencilik ve Turizm A.Ş. Mineralogical and liberation measurement studies were performed, and it was decided to grind the feed to 100% finer than 300 µm.

Gravity concentration and wet high intensity magnetic separation (WHIMS) tests were performed. After grinding to -300  $\mu$ m, -38  $\mu$ m fraction which cannot be recovered efficiently was removed. The studies were conducted on 300+100  $\mu$ m and -100+38  $\mu$ m fractions. After the beneficiation tests, it was determined a circuit consists of spiral concentrator and shaking tables could produce a concentrate containing 48%  $\mathrm{Cr}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ from the tailings having 6.54 %  $Cr_2O_3$ , with a 56% recovery.

*Keywords: Tailings, Particle Size Distribution, Spiral, Shaking Table, Magnetic Separation.*

# **Introduction**

Chromite ((Mg,Fe<sup>+2</sup>)(Cr,Al,Fe<sup>+3</sup>)<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>), which has been used metallurgy, chemical, refractory and foundry industries, is an irreplaceable mineral.

Türkiye is the fourth in the chromite reserves and second in the production [\(USGS, 2022\).](#page-4-0) Chromite deposits are distributed to all regions. Since the beneficiation plants have been in operation since the beginning of the 20th century, there are large amount of tailings accumulated containing varying amount of chromite, and the depletion of high grade deposits have been motivated the researchers for the recovery of chromite from old tailings (Acar, et al.,2018, Güney, et al., 2016, Güney, et al., 2001 and [Çiçek, et al. 2002\)](#page-4-1).

Currently, there are two operating plants in Türkiye processing tailings.

In countries such as South Africa, Iran, and India, which have a significant share in chromite production, there are studies to obtain concentrate from tailings. ([Tripathy et al, 2011](#page-4-2), [Khakmardan](#page-4-3)  [et al, 2020](#page-4-3), [Feng and Aldrich, 2003,](#page-4-4) [Tripathy et al, 2013](#page-4-5) and [Ku](#page-4-6)[mar et al, 2009\)](#page-4-6).

It is possible to enrich the chromite ore by different methods. However, it is necessary to choose the most appropriate and economical management. In the selection of the most suitable method, mineralogical, physical, and chemical properties of the ore have an important place. (Deniz et al., 2001)

Gravity concentration has been the main method used in chromite beneficiation. Dense medium separation, jigging, spiral concentrators, shaking tables and Multi-Gravity Separator are used in operating plants ([Burt, 1984\)](#page-4-7).

Magnetic separation and flotation have also found application in a few cases [\(Nafziger, 1975](#page-4-8)). As the chemical composition and attenuation grain size of chromite, which has a spinel structure, are very variable, enrichment methods naturally also contain differences. For example, increased the Fe<sup>+2</sup> ratio in the chromite content increases its magnetic susceptibility. Therefore, it can be enriched by high-intensity magnetic separation. ([URL-1](#page-4-9))

Beneficiation of chromite from tailings is more difficult and complex since free liberated chromite particles were already recovered in the original process.

This paper was extracted from MSc thesis of Mehmet Özyurt at Sivas Cumhuriyet University and presents beneficiation studies have been performed for the recovery of chromite from the tailings of a chromite beneficiation plant operating since 1987 in Eskiköy- Sivas.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: [mehmetozyurt@bilfer.com.tr](mailto:mehmetozyurt@bilfer.com.tr) - <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5551-9251>

<sup>\*\*</sup> [cebeci@cumhuriyet.edu.tr](mailto:cebeci@cumhuriyet.edu.tr) - https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5344-8392

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> [lergun@hacettepe.edu.tr](mailto:lergun@hacettepe.edu.tr) [-](mailto:ergun.levent@gmail.com%20-) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6500-7540

# **1. Materials and methods**

# *1.1. Sampling*

Samples were taken from the tailing storage facility of the mine by trenching and shaft samples in both vertical and horizontal axes. Main sample was then divided to sub sample of 500 kg by coning-quartering.

# *1.2. Characterization of the sample*

#### *1.2.1. Chemical composition of the sample*

XRF method was used for chemical analyses. The chemical composition of the sample is given in [Table 1.](#page-1-0)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>*Table 1. Chemical composition of the tailings*

% Amount							
	$Cr_2O_3$ Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> MgO SiO <sub>2</sub> CaO					Ni Ni	Co.
6.54				6.34 2.12 35.05 28.13 1.12 0.28 0.02			

1.2.2. Size distribution of the tailings and  $Cr_2O_3$  distribution of the *fractions*

The size distribution of the tailings after wet sieving and  $\mathrm{Cr}_2\mathrm{O}_3$ content of the size fractions are given in [Table 2.](#page-1-1)

<span id="page-1-1"></span>**Table 2.** Size distribution and  $Cr_2O_3$  content of the size fractions.

Sieve Size (mm)	Weight (%)	$Cr_{2}O_{2}$ %	Distribution (%)
$-9.50 + 1.18$	4.85	2.65	2.01
$-1.18+0.6$	14.71	4.33	9.95
$-0.6 + 0.3$	21.42	5.25	17.57
$-0.3 + 0.106$	25.08	6.36	24.91
$-0.106 + 0.038$	14.06	10.04	22.06
$-0.038 + 0.025$	3.24	16.65	8.41
$-0.025$	16.64	5.81	15.10
Total	100.00	6.40	100.00
Assay		6.54	

As can be seen from the [Table 2,](#page-1-1) chromite content of the size fractions increases as the size decreases down to 25µm. About 23 % of  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  is in the -38  $\mu$ m, while 30% of it is in +300 $\mu$ m.

# *1.2.3. Determination of Mineral Composition and Liberation 1.2.3.1. Quantitative XRD Analysis*

XRD pattern of the sample were obtained by using Rigaku-Miniflex 600 equipment. XRD pattern of the tailing sample is given in [Figure 1](#page-1-2) and its mineral composition is given in [Table 3.](#page-1-3)



<span id="page-1-2"></span>*Figure 1. X-ray diffraction pattern of the tailing*

<span id="page-1-3"></span>

#### *1.2.3.2. Determination of liberation*

Grain counting using an optical microscope is one of the methods that can be applied to determine the degree of attenuation. It is based on the principle of examining the product remaining on each sieve in the sieve series with a microscope after the sieve analysis is done as a result of the size reduction processes.

Easily identifiable grains can be counted in "Stereo (Binocular) Microscopes" up to 75 μm. The method is based on counting the precious and non-precious free particles and the combined particles under the microscope.

For the determination of liberation degree of the tailings particle counting under binocular microscope. For this purpose, -300+212 µm, -212+150 µm, -150+106 µm and -106+75 µm, size fractions were prepared by wet sieving.

Liberation degrees of the size fractions are given in [Figure 2.](#page-1-4) As expected, the liberation increases as the size decreases. The liberation of the finest fraction studied, -106+75 µm, was found to be 76.52% which denotes grinding would be required before beneficiation. Fherefore, the sample was ground to 100% finer than -300 µm.



<span id="page-1-4"></span>*Figure 2. Liberation degree of size fractions* 

#### *1.3. Mineralogy and liberation of ore produced from quarries*

The bright sections of the hand samples taken from the quarries that provide raw materials to the ore beneficiation plant, prepared by embedding in araldite, were examined by ore microscope. Serpentine mineral containing euhedral and semi-euhedral chromite crystals and olivine residues filling them were observed in these sections. Most of the chromite crystals had dimensions of 0.25-0.3 mm. Chromite texture is generally in cataclastic structure and not strictly angular. Cataclastic tissue is a structure prone to slime production. It is possible to release the chromite to a large extent as a result of grinding the ore below 300 microns.

It was determined that the data obtained from the determination of the degree of attenuation of the waste and the data of the attenuation dimension obtained from the microscopic analysis of the run-of-the-mill ore were consistent.

# *1.4. Beneficiation Studies*

Since the amount of tailings is about 500 kg, it was logical to build the tailing recovery circuit in the existing concentrator building. Therefore, to reduce the footprint of the circuit, spiral concentrator was thought to be the most convenient alternative as a pre-concentration step. On the other hand, the efficiency of gravity concentration drops sharply for the particles smaller than 40µm. Multi Gravity Separator has higher efficiency than shaking tables for this size range was not considered due to the very high investment cost of the equipment. Instead, -38 µm was removed from the feed by wet screening. The fractions prepared and their  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  contents are given in [Table 4.](#page-2-3)

<span id="page-2-3"></span>*Table 4.*  $\mathit{Cr_{2}O_{3}}$  contents of size fractions used in tests

Size (micron)	Weight %	$Cr_2O_3\%$	Distribution $(\%)$
$-300+100$	65.28	6.46	63.99
$-100+38$	20.14	8.12	24.81
-38	14.58	5.06	11.20
Total	100.00	6.59	100.00
Analysis		6.54	

The simplified flowsheet for the beneficiation studies is given in [Figure 3.](#page-2-4)



<span id="page-2-4"></span>*Figure 3.* Simplified flowsheet of beneficiation studies

# *1.4.1. Spiral Beneficiation Studies for -300+100 µm Fractions*

The spiral concentrator used in the tests has 600mm diameter, seven turns (Mineral Deposit A87D). The test conditions are given in [Table 5.](#page-2-5)

<span id="page-2-5"></span>*Table 5. Spiral test conditions*

Parameter	Value
Feed Size (µm)	$-300+100$
Solid Content (%)	30
Flowrate $(m^3/s)$	2
	<b>Product Splitter Position</b>
S1	High grade
S <sub>2</sub>	Concentrate + Middling
S <sub>3</sub>	High Yield
	(Pre-concentrate)

# *1.4.2. Shaking Table Tests for -300+100 µm Fraction*

The dimension of the shaking table test is 500x1.200 mm (Wilfey). The shaking table was fed with the help of a vibrating feeder placed under a 5 kg capacity bunker. Then, the tests were carried out by adjusting the washing water and the table at optimum values. The test conditions are given in [Table 6.](#page-2-0)

#### <span id="page-2-0"></span>*Table 6. Shaking table test conditions*



## *1.4.3. Shaking Table Test for -100+38 µm Fraction*

The test conditions for -100+38 fraction shaking table test are given in [Table 7](#page-2-1).

# <span id="page-2-1"></span>*Table 7. Shaking table test conditions*



# *1.4.4. WHIMS Tests*

These tests were performed with  $-100+38$  µm.

Chromite displays paramagnetic properties, and its susceptibility varies according to the substituting elements in the crystal structure ([Svoboda, 1987\)](#page-4-10).

Matrix type WHIMS (Carpco) was used in the tests as described in the literature [\(Carpenter, 1964](#page-4-11) and [Svoboda, 1987](#page-4-10)).

After the pulp having 25% solids onto the matrix, the feeding was stopped 5 litres of wash water was used to wash out non-magnetics. Steel balls was used as matrix material. The tests were performed at 0.49, 0.86 and 1.10 Tesla magnetic field intensity.

# **2. Results and discussion**

#### *2.1. Spiral Tests*

The test results for three different product splitter positions, S1, S2 and S3, are given in [Table 8,](#page-2-2) [9](#page-3-0) and [10](#page-3-1), respectively. The effect of splitter position on the weight recovery, the grade and the recovery are shown in [Figure 4.](#page-3-2)

<span id="page-2-2"></span>



<span id="page-3-0"></span>*Table 9. Spiral concentration test results (splitter position S2)*

	S2		
	Weight %	$Cr_2O_3\%$	Recovery %
Concentrate	12.03	21.33	36.79
Tailing	87.97	5.01	63.21
Feed	100.00	6.97	100.00

<span id="page-3-1"></span>*Table 10. Spiral concentration test results (splitter position S3)*





<span id="page-3-2"></span>*Figure 4. Effect of splitter position on concentrate weight,*  $\mathit{Cr}_2\mathit{O}_3$  *grade and recovery*

As can be seen from [Table 10](#page-3-1), even for the largest splitter position, the recovery was 56.2%. However, 75% of the feed was removed as tailings. Pre-concentration with spiral concentration would reduce the number of shaking tables required significantly and allows to fit in existing concentrator building.

#### 2.1.1. Shaking Table Test with Spiral Concentrate

Using the spiral concentrate taken at the largest splitter position (S3), a shaking table test was performed, and the results are presented in [Table 11.](#page-3-3)

<span id="page-3-3"></span>



The results showed that a concentrate having  $47.51\%$  Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with a stage recovery of 77.89 % could be obtained. Middling taken at this stage could be recycled to the feed to increase the recovery.

# *2.2. Shaking Table Test Results*

# *2.2.1. -300+100 µm Size Fraction*

Shaking table test for -300+100 µm fraction is given in Table 12.

*Table 12. Shaking table test results with R.O.M. ore*

	Weight %	$Cr_2O_3\%$	Recovery %
Concentrate	7.82	50.83	57.62
Middling	1.70	37.28	9.18
Tailing	90.49	2.53	33.20
Feed	100.00	6.90	100.00

Shaking table enables the production of concentrate having over 48%  $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$  with a higher recovery than spiral concentrator.

In a plant application, a scavenger stage must be added to the spiral concentration to increase the recovery. Then rougher-scavenger concentrate can be fed the shaking tables for final upgrading. Otherwise, the performance of shaking table is superior comparing the spiral concentrator in single stage.

# *2.2.2. -100+38 µm Size Fraction*

The test results are given in Table 13.

*Table 13. Shaking Table Test Results with -100+38 µm size fraction*



As it can be seen from Table 13, both the grade and the recovery are low in single stage beneficiation. Since 25% of the chromite is in -100+38 µm fraction, it must be processed. To increase the performance of the shaking table, two stage separation may be used. In the first stage, a combined product of concentrate and middling can take while 80-85% of the material could be removed as tailings. In the second stage, a concentrate can be taken and middling could be recycled back to the feed of the second stage. Such an arrangement would improve both the recovery and the grade.

# *2.3. WHIMS Results*

The results of the WHIMS test at 0.49, 0.86 and 1.10 Tesla are given in Table 14, 15 and 16, respectively. The results are also presented for different field intensities in [Figure 5.](#page-4-12)



0.49 Tesla					
Weight % $Cr_2O_3 \%$ Recovery %					
Concentrate	60.90	12.27	91.92		
Tailing	39.10	1.68	8.08		
Feed	100.00	8.13	100.00		

*Table 15. H.I.W.M.S test results with -100+38 µm fraction (0.86 Tesla)*



*Table 16. H.I.W.M.S test results with -100+38 µm fraction (1,10 Tesla)*

1,10 Tesla					
Weight % $Cr_2O_3\%$ Recovery %					
Concentrate	89.46	9.03	99.25		
Tailing	10.54	0.58	0.75		
Feed	100.00	8.14	100.00		



<span id="page-4-12"></span>*Figure 5. Effect of magnetic field intensity on concentrate weight, grade, and recovery*

The best result was obtained at 0.49 Tesla field intensity. The tailing grade was  $1,68\%$  Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and 40% of the material could be with 8% metal loss.

In larger plants, WHIMS could be used an efficient pre-concentration step for this fraction since single WHIMS equipment could process 300 tph. This could reduce the number of equipment used in downstream processing.

The chromite recovery in conventional plants is higher at the medium size range and deteriorates both at the coarser and the fine size range. The losses at the coarser range are usually result from poor liberation, the efficiency of shaking tables and spirals are lower for the finer size range.

There is an operating plant processing Üçköprü- Fethiye chromite concentrator tailings. The plant uses shaking tables and MGS for chromite recovery. MGS is particularly useful for the recovery of fines (-100 $\mu$ m) fraction which consists of 80% of the Cr<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Over 2 million tons oftailings was processed ([Uysal, 2022\)](#page-4-13).

As the high grade and easy to process ores were almost depleted, the lower grade ores are now being processed. This requires increase in plant capacities to keep the operation profitable. With this respect, spiral concentrators have been found applications in high-capacity plants [\(Burt, 1984](#page-4-7)). Modern spirals can process 12-18 tph feed in a  $1 \text{ m}^2$  footprint. Such a capacity can be processed 4-6 triple-deck shaking tables each requires  $\sim$  10 m<sup>2</sup>. Although the efficiency of shaking tables is higher than spiral concentrator in single stage separation, the investment, operating costs, control, and water consumption would be in favour of spiral concentrator.

The best arrangement for a general flowsheet may be removal of barren oversize, grinding, pre-concentration by spirals, final concentration by shaking tables and MGS for fine fraction.

Considering the limited amount of tailings in Eskiköy plant, two stage spiral concentration (rougher-scavenger) and the shaking tables for final concentration was found to be feasible and could be installed in the existing concentrator building.

# **Conclusions**

Since the chromite are locked with gangue minerals at the sizes coarser than 300 µm, the tailings should be ground to 100% finer than 300 µm before beneficiation.

Spiral concentration tests showed that 75% of the feed could be removed with a 43,79 %  $Cr_2O_3$  loss. To increase the recovery, a scavenger stage is recommended.

WHIMS could be used as an efficient pre-concentration step for  $-100+38$  um fraction.

Feasibility studies showed that the tailings of Eskiköy plant can be processed economically with a minimum investment by using two stage spirals and shaking tables.

# **References**

- Acar, C., Anagül, A., (2018), Beneficiation of Low Grade Chromite Ores and Process Tailings via Two-Stage Enrichment Method, 16<sup>th</sup> International Mineral Processing Symposium (IMPS 2018), 23-25 Ekim, Antalya
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>Burt, R. O. (1984), Gravity Concentration Technology, Elsevier Science BV, Amsterdam
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>Carpenter, J. H., (1964), Carpco-Amax High Intensity Wet Magnetic Separator, Seventh International Mineral Processing Congress, 399-404s
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>Çiçek, T., Cöcen, I., Engin, V.T., Cengizler, H., ve Şen, S., (2002), Technical and Economical Applicability Study of Centrifugal Force Gravity Separator (MGS) to Kef Chromite Concentration Plant
- Deniz, V., Güneş, A.N., Özkahraman, S., (2001), Pre-processing and mineralogical investigation of chromite mines in the Fethiye Göcek-Üçköprü before concentration, The Journal of Ore Dressing, 3, 5, 24-32.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>Feng, D., Aldrich, C., (2003), Recovery of Chromite Fines FromWastewater Streams by Column Flotation
- Güney, A., Önal, G., ve Atmaca, T., (2001), New Aspect of Chromite Gravity Tailings Re-processing, Minerals Engineering 2000, Kasım, Cape Town
- Güney, A., Kangal, M.O., Özer ve M., Yenial, Ü., (2016), Concentration Possibilities of Orhaneli Chromite Ore Dressing Plant Tailings, 15<sup>th</sup> International Mineral Processing Symposium, 19-21 Ekim, İstanbul
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>Khakmardan, S., Ramona, J.D., Shirazy, A., Shirazi, A., ve Mozaffari, E., (2020), Evaluation of Chromite Recovery from Shaking Table Tailings by Magnetic Separation Method, Open Journal of Geology, 10, 1153-1163s
- Kıdıman, F.V., (2009), Düşük Tenörlü Krom Cevherlerinin Zenginleştirilmesinin Araştırılması, Çukurova Üniversitesi Maden Mühendisliği Ana Bilim Dalı, (Yüksek Lisans Tezi), Adana
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>Kumar, C.R., Tripathy, S., ve Rao, D.S., (2009), Characterisation and Pre-concentration of Chromite Values from Plant Tailings Using Floatex Density Separator, Journal of Minerals&Materials Characterization&Engineering Vol.8 No.5, 365-378s, USA
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>Nafziger, R.H., (1982), A review of the deposits and beneficiation of lower-grade chromite, Journal of The South African Institute Of Mining And Metallurgy, August, 205-226.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>Svoboda, J., (1987), Magnetic Methods for The Treatment of Minerals, 1st ed., Elsevier, New York, Vol. 8.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>Tripathy, S.K., Ramamurtyhy, Y., ve Singh, V., (2011), Recovery of Chromite Values from Plant Tailings by Gravity Concentration, Journal of Minerals&Materials Characterization&Engineering Vol.10. No.1, 13-25s
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>Tripathy, S.K., Murthy, Y.R., ve Singh, V., (2013), Characterisation and Separation Studies of Indian Chromite Benefication Plant Tailing
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>Uysal, G., (2022), Personal communication
- <span id="page-4-0"></span>U.S. Geological Survey, 2022, Mineral commodity summaries 2022: U.S. Geological Survey, 49s, Virginia
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>URL-1<<https://www.mining-technology.com/projects/kemi/>, acessed on :16.11.2022