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## 1. Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ be a n-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian manifold, where $M$ is a differentiable manifold and $g$ is a pseudoRiemannian metric. A magnetic field on $(M, g)$ is a closed 2-form $F$. The Lorentz force of the magnetic field $F$ on manifold $(M, g)$ is a $(1,1)$-type tensor field $\Phi$. For any vector fields $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, it is expressed as

$$
g(\Phi(X), Y)=F(X, Y)
$$

The magnetic curves on the pseudo-Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ are the trajectories of charged particles moving on $M$ under the influence of the magnetic field $F$. The magnetic trajectories of $F$ are the curves of $M$ in the Lorentz equation. Hence, the Lorentz equation is as follows:

$$
\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=\Phi\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right)
$$

where the connection $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$. The generalized Lorentz equation obtained from the geodesics of $M$, that is, $\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=0$. Therefore, the magnetic curves generalize geodesics. The magnetic curves have been studied in different space. The magnetic curves on Kähler magnetic fields in complex space were studied by Adachi in [1] and were obtained some interesting results. Also, in [2], Corbrerizo obtained some different results when working on the Sasakian 3-manifold.

The Lorentz force is skew symmetric. Hence, we can write the following equation:

$$
\frac{d}{d t} g\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=2 g\left(\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)=0
$$

Therefore, the magnetic curves have a constant speed $v(t)=\left\|\gamma^{\prime}\right\|_{v}=v_{0}$. When the magnetic curve $\gamma(t)$ is arc-lenght parametrized $\left(v_{0}=1\right)$, it is called a normal magnetic curve. The arc-lenght parameter of these curves is $s$.

[^0]The magnetic field is always divergence-free (see [2]). Especially, Killing magnetic fields formed by Killing vector fields are the most important class of magnetic fields. A vector field $V$ on $M$ is a Killing vector field if and only if it satisfies the following Killing equation:

$$
g\left(\nabla_{U} V, W\right)+g\left(\nabla_{W} V, U\right)=0
$$

for each vector field $U$ and $W$ on $M$, where the connection $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ on $M$ (see [2,6,9, 12]).
On the vector space $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$, the pseudo inner product can be defined in the form

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{v}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} u_{i} v_{i}+\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n} u_{i} v_{i}
$$

for $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, u_{n+1}, \ldots, u_{2 n}\right)$ and $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, v_{n+1}, \ldots, v_{2 n}\right)$ on $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. Since the pseudo inner product is not positive, the vectors in this space are classified as follows:
*: If $\langle u, u\rangle_{v}>0$ or $u=0$, then the vector $u$ is spacelike.
*: If $\langle u, u\rangle_{v}<0$, then the vector $u$ is timelike.
*: If $\langle u, u\rangle_{v}=0$ and $u \neq 0$, then the vector $u$ is lightlike (or null),
where $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, u_{n+1}, \ldots u_{2 n}\right)$ is any vector in the space $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}[10]$.
Almost para-Hermitian manifolds consist of a pseudo-Riemannian metric $g$ and an almost product structure $K$ ( $K^{2}=I, K \neq \pm I$ ), where $I$ is the identity map such that

$$
g(K U, K W)=-g(U, W)
$$

for any vector fields $U$ and $W$ on $M$. An almost para-Hermitian manifold is called a para-Kähler manifold if $\nabla K=0$. The para-Kähler manifolds firstly defined and studied by Rashevski in 1948 [16], and then many scientists from past to present have worked on the para-Kähler manifold. We refer to Rozenfeld, Ruse and Liberman in 1949 [11, 17, 18]. In addition, para-Kähler manifolds have recently been applied to supersymmetric field theories and studied in many different fields [3-5, 7, 14, 15, 19]

Let $(M, g)$ be a n-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian and a $(1,1)$-type tensor field $F$. A curve $\gamma: A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow M$ is called $F$-planar if the velocity of the curve $\gamma$, that is, the tangent vector $\gamma^{\prime}$ satisfies the following equation for each $t \in A$ :

$$
\nabla_{\gamma^{\prime}} \gamma^{\prime}=\mu(t) \gamma^{\prime}+\xi(t) F \gamma^{\prime}
$$

where the functions $\mu$ and $\xi$ are two differentiable functions depending on $t$ and the connection $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ [8]. In [8] and [13], the $F$-planar curves studied on different manifolds and obtained different results. $F$-planar curves are a curve that represents a planar graph. If the nodes of the planar graph can be located on the curve without intersecting each other, then this curve is called $F$-planar. One of the important features of these curves is that there is at least one $F$-planar curve corresponding to every planar graph. In addition to the use of $F$-planar curves in planar graph drawings, it can also be used in other mathematical problems.

In this study, we will show that F-planar curves are generalized magnetic curves.

## 2. F-planar Curves on Para-Kähler Manifolds

Theorem 2.1. Let $(M, g)$ be the pseudo-Riemannian manifold and $\gamma$ be a unit speed $F$-planar curve. Under the condition $\ddot{\gamma}=\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}$, the equation $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=\mu(s) \dot{\gamma}(s)+\xi(s) F \dot{\gamma}(s)$ reduces to $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=\xi(s) F \dot{\gamma}(s)$.

Proof. Let us that $\ddot{\gamma}=\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}$. Then, the equation $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=\mu(s) \dot{\gamma}(s)+\xi(s) F \dot{\gamma}(s)$ transforms into

$$
\ddot{\gamma}=\mu(s) \dot{\gamma}(s)+\xi(s) F \dot{\gamma}(s)
$$

Since $\gamma$ is a unit speed curve, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(\ddot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) & =\mu(s) g(\dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s))+\xi(s) g(F \dot{\gamma}(s), \dot{\gamma}(s)) \\
& =\mu(s),
\end{aligned}
$$

such that $\mu(s)=0$.

The arc-length of a smooth curve $\gamma$ is a trajectory of $F_{q}$ if the following Lorentz equation is satisfied:

$$
\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=\xi(s) K \dot{\gamma}(s)
$$

Let $(M, K, g)$ be a para-Kähler manifold and be the two-form $\Omega_{K}$, where

$$
\Omega_{K}(U, W)=g(K U, W)
$$

for each $U, W \in \chi(M)$.
Let $\gamma: A \rightarrow M$ be a smooth curve on $M$. If the curve $\gamma$ satisfies the following equation, then it is the magnetic orbitals corresponding to para-Kähler magnetic field $F_{q}=\xi(s) \Omega_{K}$ :

$$
\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}=\xi(s) K \dot{\gamma}(s)
$$

where $\xi(s) \neq 0$. Since $K$ is skew-symmetric, we can write the following equation:

$$
\frac{d}{d s} g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})=2 g\left(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}\right)=2 \xi(s) g(K \dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})=0
$$

where $\dot{\gamma}$ depends on the $s$ parameter.

## 3. F-Planar Curves on $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$

Let the coordinates $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ be $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} ; y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$. The definition of pseudo-Euclidean metric according to given coordinates is

$$
g=-\sum_{k=1}^{n} d x_{k}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} d y_{k}^{2},
$$

and the para-complex structure is

$$
K \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}, K \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}} .
$$

The manifold $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}, K, g\right)$ is a flat para-Kähler manifold. Thus, its fundamental two-form is $g(K U, W)=$ $\Omega_{K}(U, W)$. Assume that the magnetic field is $F_{q}=\xi(s) \Omega_{K}$, where $\xi(s) \neq 0$ and the curve $\gamma: A \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ is the orbit corresponding to the magnetic field $F_{q}$. Then, the Lorentz equation is as follows:

$$
\ddot{\gamma}=\xi(s) K \dot{\gamma}
$$

Therefore, we have the following result for the spacelike and timelike F-planar curves.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\gamma: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ be a magnetic curve corresponding to the $F$-planar curve flat para-Kähler structure on $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. In the given in the ambient space, the curve $\gamma$ is ordered as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1.i: } \gamma(s)=\left(\int e^{\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0 ; \int e^{\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
& \text { 1.ii: } \gamma(s)=\left(\int e^{-\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0 ; \int e^{-\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
& \text { 2.i: } \gamma(s)=\left(\int \sinh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0 ; \int \cosh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
& \text { 2.ii: } \gamma(s)=\left(\int \cosh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0 ; \int \sinh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi(s)=\int \xi(s) d s$.

Proof. Let $\gamma: I \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ be a magnetic curve. The velocity vector of the curve $\gamma$ is as follows:

$$
\dot{\gamma}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}},
$$

where the functions $a_{k}$ and $b_{k}$ are smooth functions. Moreover, they satisfy

$$
-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k}^{2}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k}^{2}=\delta
$$

where $\delta \in\{-1,0,1\}$.
From the Lorentz equation, we have the following differential equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\dot{a}_{k}=\xi(s) b_{k}  \tag{3.1}\\
\dot{b}_{k}=\xi(s) a_{k}
\end{array}, k=1, \ldots, n\right.
$$

The general solution of the equation (3.1) is

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{k}=\alpha_{k} \cosh \left(\int \xi(s) d s\right)+\beta_{k} \sinh \left(\int \xi(s) d s\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
b_{k}=\beta_{k} \cosh \left(\int \xi(s) d s\right)+\alpha_{k} \sinh \left(\int \xi(s) d s\right)
\end{array} \quad \alpha_{k}, \beta_{k} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad k=1, \ldots, n\right.
$$

Thus, the equation (3.2) satisfies the equation (3.3). Consequently, the velocity vector of curve $\gamma$ is :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\gamma}=\cosh (\varphi(s)) W+\sinh (\varphi(s)) K W \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
W=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+\sum_{k=1}^{n} \beta_{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}, W \neq 0 .
$$

There are two cases according to whether the $W$ and $K W$ vectors are linearly dependent and linearly independent.
Case 1. Assume that the vectors $W$ and $K W$ are linear dependent. This means that the vector $W$ is a constant lightlike vector of the form $W=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \alpha_{k}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{k}}+\varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{k}}\right)$, where $\varepsilon= \pm 1$. Hence, the velocity vector of $\gamma$ can be expressed as

$$
\dot{\gamma}=(\cosh (\varphi(s))+\varepsilon \sinh (\varphi(s)) W
$$

and using the velocity vector of this $\gamma$, we can write the curve $\gamma$ as follows:

$$
\left.\gamma(s)=\gamma_{0}+\left(\int \cosh (\varphi(s)) d s\right)+\varepsilon \int \sinh (\varphi(s)) d s\right) W
$$

Then, we have the following two cases:
1.i: For $\varepsilon=1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(\int e^{\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(\int e^{\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

1.ii: For $\varepsilon=-1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(\int e^{-\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(\int e^{-\varphi(s)}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2. Assume that the vectors $W$ and $K W$ are linear independent. Thus, these vectors are orthogonal. Hence, we have the following equation:

$$
\delta=g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})=\cosh ^{2}(\varphi(s)) g(W, W)+\sinh ^{2}(\varphi(s)) g(K W, K W)=g(W, W)
$$

2.i: For $\delta=1$ : Without breaking the generality, we can get the vectors $W$ and $K W$ as follows: $W=\bar{e}_{1}=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1,0, \ldots, 0) \in$ $\mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ and $K W=e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0 ; 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. If we write the velocity vector of the curve $\gamma$ in terms of the vectors $W$ and $K W$ given, we get

$$
\dot{\gamma}(s)=\sinh (\varphi(s)) e_{1}+\cosh (\varphi(s)) \bar{e}_{1},
$$

where the curve $\gamma$ is a spacelike hyperbola:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(\int \sinh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(\int \cosh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

2.ii: For $\delta=-1$ : Assume that $W=e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0 ; 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ and $K W=\bar{e}_{1}=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. If we write the velocity of the curve $\gamma$ in terms of the vectors $W$ and $K W$ given, we get

$$
\dot{\gamma}(s)=\cosh (\varphi(s)) e_{1}+\sinh (\varphi(s)) \bar{e}_{1},
$$

where the curve $\gamma$ is a timelike hyperbola:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(\int \cosh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(\int \sinh (\varphi(s)), 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Now, let's concretize our work with a few examples below:
Example 3.2. If we take it as $\xi(s)=\frac{1}{s}, s \neq 0$, the curve will be as follows:
Case 1. Suppose that the vectors $W$ and $K W$ are linear dependent. Hence, we can express the velocity vector of the curve $\gamma$ with the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\gamma}=\cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) W+\sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) K W \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equation (3.4), we have

$$
\left.\gamma(s)=\gamma_{0}+\left(\int \cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) d s\right)+\varepsilon \int \sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) d s\right) W .
$$

Then, the curve $\gamma$ is written as follows.
1.i: For $\varepsilon=1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(\frac{s^{2}}{2}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

1.ii: For $\varepsilon=-1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+(\ln (s), 0, \ldots, 0) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+(\ln (s), 0, \ldots, 0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2. Suppose that the vectors $W$ and $K W$ are linear independent.
2.i: For $\delta=1$ : We can get the vectors $W$ and $K W$ as follows. $W=\bar{e}_{1}=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ and $K W=e_{1}=$ $(1,0, \ldots, 0 ; 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. If we write the velocity vector of the curve in terms of the vectors $W$ and $K W$ given, we have

$$
\dot{\gamma}(s)=\sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) e_{1}+\cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) \bar{e}_{1},
$$

where the curve $\gamma$ is a spacelike hyperbola:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(\frac{s^{2}}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \ln (s), 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(\frac{s^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \ln (s), 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

2.ii: For $\delta=-1$ : Assume that $W=e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0 ; 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ and $K W=\bar{e}_{1}=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. If we write the velocity vector of the curve $\gamma$ in terms of the vectors $W$ and $K W$ given, we have

$$
\dot{\gamma}(s)=\cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) e_{1}+\sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{s} d s\right) \bar{e}_{1},
$$

where the curve $\gamma$ is a timelike hyperbola:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(\frac{s^{2}}{4}+\frac{1}{2} \ln (s), 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(\frac{s^{2}}{4}-\frac{1}{2} \ln (s), 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 3.3. If we take it as $\xi(s)=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}}, s>0$, the curve will be as follows:
Case 1. Suppose that the vectors $W$ and $K W$ are linear dependent. Hence, we can express the velocity vector of the curve $\gamma$ with the following equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{\gamma}=\cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) W+\sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) K W \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equation (3.5), we have the following equation:

$$
\left.\gamma(s)=\gamma_{0}+\left(\int \cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) d s\right)+\varepsilon \int \sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) d s\right) W
$$

such that the curve $\gamma$ is written as follows:
1.i: For $\varepsilon=1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(2 e^{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}-2 e^{\sqrt{s}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(2 e^{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}-2 e^{\sqrt{s}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

1.ii: For $\varepsilon=-1$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(2 e^{-\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}+2 e^{\sqrt{s}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(2 e^{-\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}+2 e^{\sqrt{s}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Case 2. Suppose that the vectors $W$ and $K W$ are linear independent.
2.i: For $\delta=1$ : We can get $W$ and $K W$ as follows. $W=\bar{e}_{1}=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ and $K W=e_{1}=$ $(1,0, \ldots, 0 ; 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. If we write the velocity vector of the curve $\gamma$ in terms of the vectors $W$ and $K W$ given, we have

$$
\dot{\gamma}(s)=\sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) e_{1}+\cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) \bar{e}_{1}
$$

where the curve $\gamma$ is a spacelike hyperbola:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(e^{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}-e^{-\sqrt{s}}-\frac{\sqrt{s}+1}{e^{\sqrt{s}}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(e^{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}-e^{\sqrt{s}}+\frac{\sqrt{s}+1}{e^{\sqrt{s}}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

2.ii: For $\delta=-1$ : Assume $W=e_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0 ; 0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$ and $K W=\bar{e}_{1}=(0, \ldots, 0 ; 1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{E}_{n}^{2 n}$. If we write the velocity vector of the curve $\gamma$ in terms of the vectors $W$ and $K W$ given, it is easily seen that

$$
\dot{\gamma}(s)=\cosh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) e_{1}+\sinh \left(\int \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{s}} d s\right) \bar{e}_{1}
$$

where the curve $\gamma$ is a timelike hyperbola:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x(s)=x_{0}+\left(e^{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}-e^{-\sqrt{s}}+\frac{\sqrt{s}+1}{e^{\sqrt{s}}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) \\
y(s)=y_{0}+\left(e^{\sqrt{s}} \sqrt{s}-e^{-\sqrt{s}}-\frac{\sqrt{s}+1}{e^{\sqrt{s}}}, 0, \ldots, 0\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Example 3.4. If we take $\xi(s)=q$, where $q \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$, then we can obtain the paper [9].
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