The success of volumetric means ADC in predicting MGMT promoter hypermethylation in glioblastomas
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ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aimed to investigate the relationship between volumetric mean ADC values and MGMT promoter hypermethylation status in glioblastoma (GB) patients segmented into perilesional edema area, solid tumor area, and necrosis area.

Methods: The 212 GB patients in the University of California San Francisco Preoperative Diffuse Glioma MRI (UCSF-PDGM) dataset were retrieved from the Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA). The mean volumetric ADC value was calculated in patients with shared segmentation data in the UCSF-PDGM dataset. The difference in mean volumetric ADC value was investigated in patients divided into groups based on MGMT promoter hypermethylation (MGMT+/MGMT-).

Results: Of the patients in our study, 125 (59.0%) were male. The median age of the patients was 62 years (26-94). MGMT promoter hypermethylation was observed in 152 (71.7%) patients. Mean Survival was calculated as 574.14±345.57 days in the MGMT+ group and 484.68±301.71 days in MGMT- group. According to volumetric mean ADC values, a difference was observed in the solid tumor and perilesional edema areas according to MGMT promoter hypermethylation (p<0.001). In the ROC analysis, the AUC value was calculated as 0.897 for the edema area and 0.812 for the solid tumor area. MGMT+ group could be identified with a cut-off value of >1.14 in ADC measurements from the edema area with 72% sensitivity and 90% specificity. MGMT+ group could be determined with a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 69% with a cut-off value of >1.01 in ADC measurements from the solid tumor area.

Conclusion: Volumetric ADC measurements from the perilesional edema and solid tumor areas revealed higher ADC values in the MGMT+ group.
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INTRODUCTION

Glioblastoma (GB) is the most common and aggressive primary brain neoplasm. GB has a very poor prognosis, which is often explained by the molecular heterogeneity of its genome, leading to an unpredictable clinical course with unpredictable treatment response. The 2016 WHO classification of central nervous system tumors added molecular features to the diagnostic criteria for gliomas, which were previously based on histological diagnosis, and with the 2021 edition, the term glioblastoma has been used only for isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH) wild-type glial tumors. O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) repairs DNA damage induced by temozolomide, and therefore higher MGMT levels lead to temozolomide resistance. MGMT promoter hypermethylation (MGMT+) reduces MGMT protein expression, thereby increasing sensitivity to temozolomide. Previous studies have shown that MGMT hypermethylated patients with grade II or III glioma or glioblastoma have a longer overall survival time than those whose MGMT promoter is not methylated (MGMT).

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is widely accepted as the preferred method for diagnosing and evaluating treatment response. GB has an infiltrative growth pattern and may expand beyond the conventional radiologic margin into normal-appearing brain tissue. Standard MRI tests underestimate the true tumor size. Diffusion-weighted imaging (DAG) is combined with other sequences to assess brain tissue function and physiology. Apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps/values, a subset of DAG, represent Brownian motion in water molecules at a sub-voxel level. ADC maps and
DAG are technically robust and can be obtained without administering a contrast agent. Since the extracellular volume fraction is linked to water diffusion and is highly correlated with tissue cellularity, tissue edema, and tissue necrosis, DAG and ADC maps are helpful in the initial evaluation and post-treatment assessment of GBs. Studies have shown that low ADC values before treatment are associated with high cellularity and overall survival of glioma patients. Furthermore, mean ADC values have been found to correlate with overall survival. ADC values have been used in differentiation in the challenging diagnostic dilemma of pseudoprogression and radiation necrosis.

This study aimed to investigate the relationship between volumetric mean ADC values and MGMT promoter hypermethylation status in glioblastoma patients segmented into perilesional edema area, solid tumor area, and necrosis area.

METHODS

Ethics

With the decision of Afyonkarahisar University of Health Sciences Medical Ethics Committee dated 13.04.2023 and numbered 2023/4, it was decided that there was no need to obtain ethics committee approval for this study. All procedures were carried out in accordance with the ethical rules and the principles.

Patient Selection

The 374 GB patients in the University of California San Francisco Preoperative Diffuse Glioma MRI (UCSF-PDGM) dataset were retrieved from the Cancer Imaging Archive (TCIA). Patient characteristics, including age, sex, pathologic grade, and genomic profile, were obtained from the TCIA. The TCIA data did not contain any personal identifying information; ethics committee approval and informed consent were obtained in the reference study of the open-source dataset. Inclusion criteria were determined as follows: (a) patients with a pathologic diagnosis of primary (de novo) IDH wild type (glioblastoma), (b) patients with preoperative imaging DAG MR imaging data, (c) patients with gross total resectability of the lesion. Exclusion criteria were defined as (a) patients without MGMT mutation data; (b) cranial MR images with poor quality and artifacts. We included 212 glioblastoma patients who met the selection criteria.

Segmentation and Average ADC Value Measurement

For patients with shared segmentation data in the UCSF-PDGM dataset, a series of operations were applied to the images of all patients before the mean volumetric ADC value was measured. Advanced Normalization tools for Python, intensity normalization package, bias field correction, and Z-score normalization tools were used. Resampling of the images to 1 × 1 voxel spacing and resizing to 256 × 256 pixels was performed. Afterward, the mean ADC value was calculated in the areas of necrosis, solid tumor area, and perilesional edema. All these processes were performed with Slicer v 13 (http://www.slicer.org) (Figure 1).

RESULTS

Of the patients included in our study, 125 (59.0%) were male. The median age of the patients was 62 years (26-94). MGMT promoter hypermethylation was observed in 152 (71.7%) patients. Mean Survival was calculated as 574.14±345.57 days in the MGMT+ group and 484.68±301.71 days in MGMT- group. Based on volumetric mean ADC values, a difference was observed in the solid tumor and perilesional edema areas according to MGMT promoter hypermethylation (p<0.001). No significant difference was observed between the two groups in the measurements made from the necrosis area. A more detailed evaluation can be seen in Table.

### Table. MGMT promoter hypermethylation (MGMT+/MGMT-) glioblastoma patients data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MGMT+ mean (standard deviation)</th>
<th>MGMT- mean (standard deviation)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>61.90 (12.07)</td>
<td>61.93 (12.07)</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (male)</td>
<td>84 (55%)</td>
<td>41 (68%)</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequency (percent)</td>
<td>574.14 (345.57)</td>
<td>484.68 (301.71)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean survival (days)</td>
<td>1.201 (0.114)</td>
<td>1.013 (0.094)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ADC in the edema area</td>
<td>1.102 (0.101)</td>
<td>0.971 (0.110)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ADC in solid area</td>
<td>1.28 (0.216)</td>
<td>1.31 (0.234)</td>
<td>0.289</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In the ROC analysis, the AUC value for edema area was 0.897 (Figure 2) and 0.812 for solid tumor area (Figure 3). MGMT+ group could be identified with a cut-off value of >1.14 in ADC measurements from the edema area with 72% sensitivity and 90% specificity. MGMT+ group could be determined with a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 69% with a cut-off value of 1.01 in ADC measurements made from the solid tumor area.

DISCUSSION
Our results showed that there were significant differences in the ADC values obtained from the perilesional edema area and solid tumor area of patients with GB, which correlated with the genetic profiles of MGMT methylation status.
MGMT methylation status is an important prognostic factor because the methylation level of MGMT corresponds to the therapeutic effects of chemotherapeutic alkylating agents such as temozolomide. Although many studies have been conducted on the correlation of visual parameters (tumor location and laterality, enhancement features such as ring enhancement) and MGMT methylation status, there is still no generally accepted consensus. Advances in radiologic diagnostics may lead to a development where molecular profiles can be predicted based on an initial MRI study, which could have major implications for treatment options prior to any surgical intervention (biopsy or resection).

In studies, lower ADC values have been associated with more malignant tumors and tumors with higher cellularity. It has been suggested that radiologic reports of gliomas should include the locations of areas with the lowest ADC values. These low ADC areas and other imaging indices and features are considered the parts of these tumors with the most malignant potential.

Our results did not find a significant difference based on the MGMT methylation status of the centrally located necrosis area. We think that this is predictable because the necrosis area does not contain significant cellularity.

Higher ADC values were measured in the area of perilesional edema in the MGMT+ group. We used a cut-off value of >1.14 to determine MGMT+ status. The perilesional T2-hyperintense white matter in GB also contains a significant amount of tumor invasion area, unlike the vasogenic edema areas found in other brain mass lesions with metastases. Ladenhauf et al. found lower ADC values in the peri-tumoral edema area in the MGMT+ group in their ROI-based measurement from the peritumoral area, which differs from our study. Unlike our study, ROI-based normalized ADC value was used, and a relatively small sample group of 42 patients was studied. We think this may be the reason for the difference between the results.

Higher ADC values were measured in the solid tumor area in the MGMT+ group. The MGMT+ group could be identified with a sensitivity of 88% and specificity of 69% with a cut-off value of >1.01 in ADC measurements. In a recent study by Xie et al., IDH mutant and MGMT+ patients were compared with patients with other IDH and MGMT conditions. They found higher ADC values in the IDH mutant and MGMT+ group according to the results of ADC analysis and ADC histogram analysis. Although the study group was quite heterogeneous, similar results with our study are noteworthy. Choi et al. found no correlation between ADC histogram analysis and MGMT status.

Diffusion imaging, one of the main neuroimaging examinations, both tumor imaging and neuroimaging obtained in other conditions, stores much more information than routine use. Without clear results, there is still much uncertainty about the relationship between ADC values and MGMT profile. The results of our study with the largest sample group in the literature on this subject are promising. Although we only evaluated the mean ADC value in our study, it can be evaluated more accurately in future studies with refined texture analysis-based artificial intelligence models, of which there are many examples in glial tumors.

Our study has some important limitations. Since the study was planned retrospectively, no evaluation could be made regarding data such as performance status and other mutations, not in the patient’s available data set.

CONCLUSION

As a result, volumetric ADC measurements from the perilesional edema and solid tumor areas showed higher values in the MGMT+ group. It is promising that MGMT promoter hypermethylation, an important prognostic marker for glioblastoma, can be predicted preoperatively non-invasively with ADC maps. Future prospective studies, which may include more comprehensive texture analyses, will solidify the place of ADC analysis.
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